

STATEMENT OF CONSULTATION

APPENDIX 4

Early Engagement - Additional Site Allocations Consultation

PART 1

Who was invited to make Representations

PART 2

Representations received via questionnaire & Officer Responses

PART 3

Direct Representations & Officer Responses



PART 1

Who was invited to make Representations

The following were invited to make representations:

The Coal Authority	Ahmadiyya Muslim Association UK (Crawley Branch)	Chichester District Council
Environment Agency	Albany Homes Limited	Churches Together in West Crawley
English Heritage	Alliance Planning	Cliveden Properties
Marine Management Organisation	Alternative Learning Community Bewbush	Colgate Parish Council
Natural England	AMEC Environment & Infrastructure	Community Development Organisation-Sussex (CDO-Sussex) formerly Sussex African Communities Project (SACP)
Network Rail	Arlington Development Services	COPE
Network Rail Infrastructure Limited	Arun Council	County Mall
Highways Agency	BAPS Swaminarayan Santha	Crawley and Gatwick Chamber of Commerce
Charlwood Parish Council	Barratt Southern Counties	Crawley Bangladeshi Welfare Association
Horsham District Council	Barton Willmore	Crawley Baptist Church
Lower Beeding Parish Council	Barton Willmore	Crawley Campaign Against Racism
Mid Sussex District Council	Barton Willmore Partnership	Crawley Community Relations Forum
Mole Valley District Council	Bell Cornwell Partnership	Crawley Community Transport
Newdigate Parish Council	Bellway Estates	Crawley Community Voluntary Service
Reigate and Banstead District Council	Black History Foundation	Crawley Educational Institute
Rusper Parish Council	BME Ladies Health and Social Wellbeing Association	Crawley Ethnic Minority Partnership
Slaugham Parish Council	BNP Paribas Real Estate	Crawley Festival Committee
Tandridge District Council	Bodhisattva Buddhist Centre	Crawley Homelessness Forum
West Sussex County Council	Bovis Homes Ltd	Crawley Homes in Partnership (CHiP)- Tenants Database
Worth Parish Council	Bowyer Planning Ltd	Crawley Interfaith Network
British Telecom	British Humanist Society	Crawley International Mela Association (CIMA)
BT Plc	Broadfield Christian Fellowship	Crawley Kashmiri Women's Welfare Association
Cable & Wireless Plc	Broadfield Youth and Community Centre	Crawley Mosque (Sunni Muslim) - c.f. Jamiat entry below
Energis Communications MONO Consultants Ltd on behalf of Mobile Operators	CAA (Civil Aviation Authority)	Crawley Museum Society
NHS Sussex	Cameo Club	Crawley Older Person's Forum
National Grid	Campaign for Real Ale	Crawley Older Person's Forum
RWE npower	CBRichard Ellis	Crawley Portuguese Association
UK Power Networks	Celtic & Irish Cultural Society	Crawley Shop Mobility
Southern Gas Network	Central Crawley Conservation Area Advisory Committee	Crawley Tennis Club
Southern Water	Central Sussex College	Crawley Town Access Group
Thames Water	Chagos Island Community Association (CICA)	Crawley Wellbeing Team
Homes and Communities Agency	Chagos Islands Refugees group	Crawley Young Persons Council
Addaction	Chagossian Elderly West Sussex Group	Cycling Touring Club
Adur Council	Charles Chuch South East Ltd	
Afro Caribbean Association (ACA)		
Age Concern West Sussex		

Deloitte & Touche LLP
Deloitte LLP
Development Land and Planning Consultants
Development Planning & Design Services Ltd
DevPlan
Diego Garcian Society
Divas Dance Club
DMH Stallard
DPDS Consulting Group
Drivas Jonas Deloitte
Drivers Jonas Deloitte
DTZ
DTZ
East Sussex County Council
Elim Church Crawley
Epsom Ewell Council
Epson & Ewell Borough Council
Equality and Human Rights Commission
Fairview Homes
Forestfield & Shrublands Cons. Area Adv Cttee
FPD Savills
Freedom Leisure
Friends of Broadfield Park
Friends, Families and Travellers
Friends, Family, and Travellers
Frogmore Property Company Limited
Fusion Experience
Gambian Society
GIP
GL Hearn Ltd
Guinness Trust Housing Association
Gulzar -E-Habib
Gurjar Hindu Union (GHU)
GVA
Harveys
Health Through Sport Action
Heathrow Airport Holdings Limited
Henry Adams
High Weald AONB Unit

Hillread Homes (Sussex) Ltd
Hillreed Developments Limited
Home Builders Federation
Home Plans
Housing & Planning Directorate
Housing 21
Hyde Housing Association
Iceni
Ifield Village Conservation Area Advisory Committee
Ikra Women & Children Learning Centre
Inspire Broadfield (youth group)
Ismaili Council
J. P. Whelan Homes Limited
Jamiat-ul Muslimeen & Quwait-ul Islam Masjed - c.f. Crawley Mosque entry above
Jones Lang Lasalle
Kashmiri Educational and Welfare Trust
Keniston Housing Association
Kenneth Boyle Associates
King Sturge LLP
Land Securities PLC
Lichfield Planning
Maidenbower Baptist Church
Maidenbower Community Group
Malaika Sussex Multicultural Women's Group (AKA Maliaka and M.O.S.S.)
Mid Sussex District Council
Millat-e-Jafferiyah (Shia Muslim Mosque)
Miller Homes
Moat Housing
Mono Consultants Limited
Montagu Evans
Montagu Evans
Moroccan Community Association
Muslim Women's Forum
Nathaniel Lichfield and Partners
National Car Parks
National Federation of Gypsy Liaison Groups

National Housing Federation
New Hope Church
Northgate Matters
Outreach 3 Way
Palace Street Investments
Parish of Worth, Pound Hill and Maidenbower
Parker Dann
Parker Dann Limited
Paul Brookes Architects
Peacock & Smith
Pegasus Planning Group
Pembroke Residents Association
Persimmon Homes
Persimmon Homes (South East) Ltd
PH2 Planning Limited
Planning Perspectives LLP
Portchester Planning Consultancy
Pound Hill Residents Association
Premier Planning Plc
Rapleys LLP
Rapleys LLP
RDjW Architects
RenewableUK
RISE
Roman Catholic Churches in Crawley
Royal Mail Properties
RPS Group
RPS Planning Transport and Environment Ltd
Rydon Homes Ltd
Savills
Savills L + P Ltd
Scottish Widows Investment Partnership
SEBA South East Bangladeshi Association
SEGRO
Seva Trust
Shared Intelligence
Shelter Housing Aid Centre
Shire Consulting
Sikh Community Centre Crawley & CPT

SIVA
Soka Gakkai International – UK
Southern Counties
Southern Housing Group
Sport England
Spurgeons
Sri Guru Singh Sabha
Sri Lanka Think Tank UK
Sri Lankan Muslim Welfare Association
St Paul's Methodist Church
Standerd Life Investments
Stanhope PLC
Stevensdrake
Stiles Harold Williams
Strutt & Parker
Strutt and Parker
Surrey County Council
Sussex Police
Sussex Wildlife Trust
Sustrans
Swadhyay Community Project (SCP)
Talk Bewbush
Talk Broadfield
Tandridge District Council
Taylor Wimpey
Taylor Wimpey Southern Limited
Taylor Wimpey Strategic Land
Taylor Wimpy South West Thames
Tetlow King
The Fairway Club (older people)
The McLaren Clark Group
The Miller Group
The Palace Street Group
The SIVA Trust
The Theatres Trust
The Vine Christian Fellowship
Three Bridges Forum
Three Bridges Free Church
Tinsley Lane Residents Association

TRY (Plus Chair of Black History Foundation & other orgs)
Turley Associates
Turners Hill Parish Council
United Reformed Church
Vision in Youth Collective
West and Partners
West Sussex Access Forum
West Sussex Children and Family Centres
West Sussex Crossroads
West Sussex Drug and Alcohol Action Team
West Sussex Youth Support and Development Service
White and Sons
Woodland Trust
Woolf Bond Planning
Worth Conservation Area Group
Worthing Borough Council
WRVS
WYG Group
Barton Willmore
Brighton & Hove City Council
Brighton and Hove Council
British Horse Society
County Mall
Drivers Jonas Deloitte
East Sussex County Council
Eastern Stream
Friends of Goffs Park
Friends, Families and Travellers
Gatwick Airport Limited
Gatwick Airport Ltd.
Gatwick Diamond
Gleeson Strategic Land
Home Builders Federation Ltd
Horsham District Council
Hunter Page Planning Ltd
Iyad Daoud
Lewis & Co Planning South East Limited

Michael Simkins LLP
Mono Consultants Limited
Pegasus Group
Savills
South East Water
Sport England
Surrey County Council
Sussex Action Traveller Group (STAG)
Sussex Wildlife Trust
Taylor Wimpey
The Clearwater Gypsies
The Gypsy Council
WYG Group
Y Bosseva
Mrs WJ Paton
Tricia Butcher
Tony Fullwood
Tom Woolner
Priscilla Lambert
Ms Lambert
Sylvia Handy
Sue Carraher
Sunita Singal
Sandra Foxton
Sharon Vygus
Sam Bateman
Pamela Ruel
Rosemarie Jerome
Rosemary Benwell
Richard Thorburn
Richard Symonds
Rebecca Holt
Peter Brooks
Peter Smith
Peter Jordan
Paul Harrison
Nadine Terry
Neil Donald
Julie Roberts

Mrs Sue Bristow
Shani Wheatley
Morag Warrack
Michelle Taylor
Matt Leese
Stuart Mason
Martin Cooper
Louise Weekes
Lorraine Pateman
Jackie Littleton
Lillian Kirby
Kim Elliott
Kaye Handman
Karen Hackwell
Janet Gilroy
John Cooban
Mrs JG Withall
Mrs J Sully
Janet Boniface
Jacquie Ballard
J Baker
John Baker
I Debruin
Charlotte Hassan
Greig van Outen
Nicola Faulkner
Gemma
Gareth Gates
Ms Frost
Jennifer Frost
Faye Bargery
Fatima Moseley
Dr Richard Phillips
David L Andreson
Derel Meakings
David Newcombe
David Covill
Chris Morris
Chris Bower

Charis Edwards
Paul Capper
Chris Maidment
Dr Bill Temple-Pediani
Brian Eastman
Brenda Burgess
Suzanne
Jean Austin
Armin Hartinger
Annette Gidman
Angie Crudgington
Andrew Summers
Andrea Roberts
Graham Berry
Owner/Occupier
Mr D Hewardine
Mrs S. Chick
Owner/Occupier
Mike Reed
Sarah Smith
Miss V Kirby
Owner/Occupier
Owner/Occupier
Claire Rigiore
Mr D Phillips
Brian McLaren
J Hopcroft
Mrs J Gould
Bill Scatterly
Ruth Ganz
Mr DR Withall
Mr MJ Steward
Colin Maughan
Susan Bradford-Smart
Tony Fullwood
L.E.Crawfo

PART 2

Representations received via questionnaire & Officer Responses

The Council can only consider comments by respondents who provide their names and contact addresses. In line with the Council's Public Sector Equality Duty, the Council will not accept representations, objections or comments that are deemed to be inappropriate, offence or racist. In general terms, a racist representation is one which includes words, phrases or comments which are likely:

- to be offensive to a particular racial or ethnic group;
- to be racially abusive, insulting or threatening;
- to apply pressure to discriminate on racial grounds;
- to stir up racial hatred or contempt.

Any objections and comments that have been deemed to be inappropriate, offensive or racist have been removed.

Contents

Questionnaire Space 1: Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.	9
Questionnaire Space 2: Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site.	73
Questionnaire Space 3:Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery.	158
Questionnaire Space 4:Q.20 Should the Local Plan seek to protect any other open public space within the town?	230
Questionnaire Space 5: Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?.....	263

Questionnaire Space 1: Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Eunice Clement	individual	I think it is essential in keeping with the original plans of New Towns to have significant green space in each neighbourhood. Inevitably the houses built in different areas will be similar in size to those already there – i.e. larger in Goffs park than in Bewbush. But it is essential to gave sufficient space for sports, dog walking and walking in every area.	<p>Design and layout comments noted. Ensuring that Crawley is developed in a way which respects its neighbourhood character and layout is fundamental to the Local Plan and associated policies.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population and in making the best use of land includes identification of open spaces better suited to another use.</p>
Clarke-Jones	individual	<p>The building on Bewbush West Playing fields is strongly opposed.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It will devalue our property substantially and I will be demand compensation; 2. We have no garden area and this is where our children play; 3. You will be destroying valuable green space; 4. You will damage wildlife, including the bat colony; 5. You will destroy the only redeeming feature of this neighbourhood; 6. Bewbush is enough of a ghetto without more urbanisation; 7. I will oppose and fight every step; 8. How about the otherside of Crawley such as Maidenbower playing fields and greenspace round Worth? Why is it always the west side of Crawley that is continually made worse? 	<p>Comments noted. The council has undertaken an assessment of the capacity of the entire borough to accommodate further housing development including playing fields and open space. The western edge of the Town has been identified following this review as having some capacity to accommodate further housing development. However, the council will continue to look for additional opportunities throughout the town including brownfield sites.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space covering the whole of Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population of each neighbourhood. In making the best use of land this includes identification of open spaces to meet development needs whilst maintaining an adequate supply of open space in that area.</p> <p>Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.</p> <p>Devaluing of property is not a planning issue and cannot be taken into account when considering future development and landuse needs within the town.</p> <p>The design and location of development within the Bewbush West area has been subject to further refinement, and there are clear design principles that suggest some development could offer improved visual and design links through from Bewbush to the Kilnwood Vale neighbourhood, particularly in the vicinity of the public transport and footpath linkages between the two areas. However, following further consideration of the open space provision and flooding issues, the retention of the playing fields is proposed and the</p>

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			supporting text to the policy would ensure the replacement of the loss of the play areas as part of the development allocation.
Peter Rolfe	individual	"As a resident of Hillside Close (off Old Horsham Road) and a member of the Buckswood Grange Residents Association, I am concerned at the impact on traffic movements and pedestrian safety, especially with St Wilfrid's school in the vicinity, if 30 new housing units are introduced onto the Council Depot site. The residents are aware that a Road Safety Audit has been conducted for the Old Horsham Road and understand that the recommendations would severely limit the number of properties that can be built at this site."	Comments noted, the Transport Assessment for this site identified that 30 additional dwellings could be provided without a significant detrimental impact on the surrounding road network.
Cantlay	individual	1) Allotments between Southgate Ave, Brewer Ave & Malthouse Road. 2) Land to the south of Gatwick bordered by Bonnetts lane in the west and County Oak in the east - Langley Green to the south.	The council has considered whether allotments could justifiably be developed for housing and concluded that existing allotments should be protected as an important form of open space within the borough. The majority of land to the south of the airport is safeguarded from development as it falls within the area of land which may be required to facilitate the operation of a second runway. This is also land which is remote from existing residential neighbourhoods, and would more practically offer opportunities as an area of search for possible employment extensions, to meet unmet economic development needs arising over the Plan period, should it be determined by central government that a second runway at Gatwick Airport will not be pursued and land released from 'safeguarding'.
Mr & Mrs J W Grange	individual	1. We are not in favour of any future housing. 2. We have been let down by Crawley Borough Council by not improving the infrastructure within its boundaries. 3. Why have not Crawley Borough Council liased with West Sussex County Council to ensure a better infrastructure. 4. Travelling time from Bewbush to town centre 30-60 minutes in peak times.	Comments noted. Crawley Borough Council is required to prepare a positive Local Plan which seeks to meet as much of its development needs over a 15 year period as it is able to, and support the needs of economic growth. Guidance from central government is very clear that not planning for future housing development is not an option. Crawley's housing need comes substantially from the needs of its existing population creating new households over the Plan period. Crawley's Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Plan and evidence base which considers the strategic implications placed on the town's infrastructure capacity by the new developments proposed and anticipated to come forward over the Plan period to 2030. In addition, all development would remain subject to planning applications which would set out the detailed issues relating to infrastructure mitigation local to the proposed development scheme. Approved schemes will be subject to providing financial contributions in the form of either s106 agreements or Community Infrastructure Levy, where it is appropriate, to address some of the town's identified capacity constraints.

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Edward Punsin	individual	All above areas close to or within residential areas, this providing services (walking) should not entail large expense. Dense city is better and sprawling city, its easier and less expensive to provide for essential services and also for policing etc.	Comments noted. Crawley's Local Plan continues the town's established 'Neighbourhood Principle' to ensure new development is located in close proximity to existing services.
Mrs Whitehead	individual	All the time the Government & medical profession are saying we are getting fatter & obese and at the same time more and more school playing field[s] & recreation land are being carved up for development. Children should be playing out in the fresh air, not stuck in front of the television or electronic games & computers. Teenagers should be encouraged to do athletics & old people who maybe don't have transport to walk to exercise moderately, perhaps with their dogs.	The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population and in making the best use of land includes identification of open spaces better suited to another use. This may involve enhancing the quality of existing open spaces and facilities to encourage greater use of them.
Mary Gillies	individual	All the vacant sites on the old industrial park in Manor Royal and Fleming way instead of all the green belt areas!	Comments noted, however, it is likely that the majority of existing employment sites will be needed to provide for the employment needs of the borough over the Plan period.
Mike Addley	individual	All three sites are in areas of housing with parks or open spaces next to them.	Comment noted.
Paul Lewis	individual	Already housing is being built nearby at Kilnwood Vale and extending the housing in Bewbush would to me seem more appropriate than some of the others being suggested. I understand that developers have to undertake to provide a percentage of so called "affordable housing"I believe the percentage of these houses should be higher, although I was shocked to learn that "affordable housing" is not for 1st time buyers to try and obtain a home... as there is no possibility of them going on the housing list...but affordable housing is for the council to house people on the housing list! Burleys Wood could be used for housing.	Comments with regard to extension of Bewbush and affordable housing noted. The new Local Plan sets a requirement for 30% Affordable Housing – of which 70% would be provided in the form of Affordable or Social Rent to meet the needs of those on the council's housing waiting list; the remaining 30% of the Affordable Housing element would be in the form of Intermediate Housing – which could include shared ownership properties, to help those in need of support in first time purchase. In addition to the 30% Affordable Housing requirement, a further 10% of the whole number of properties to be built within a development is to be provided in the form of Low Cost housing – these are market purchase properties which include a one-off discount for first time buyers who are Crawley residents. In this way, it is hoped that the Local Plan policies are able to help a wider range of people on the housing spectrum than just those in very substantial housing need and those who are able to afford market prices. The council has undertaken an assessment of the capacity of the entire borough to accommodate further housing development. Burleys Wood has been assessed for its historic value, and whilst it has been determined that due to it being cut off from the remainder of the Crabbet Park estate it no longer contains any historic assets for protection, it does contain a

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			number of protected trees and is a valuable amenity open space located outside the Built-Up Area Boundary. It is therefore, not considered appropriate for housing allocation through the Local Plan.
Mr Wilsdon	individual	Any housing development in proposed sites should be in keeping with its surroundings and be of affordable rents to meet the needs of the local people's housing requirements over that of newcomers.	Commented noted. Character of the surrounding area is a key feature which needs to be considered in promoting and developing new housing development.
Kelly	individual	Areas around the outskirts should be utilised as we don't want to lose the open feeling to the middle of the town. There are already a lot of blocks of flats that have been built which is starting to make the town feel cluttered.	<p>Comment noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space covering the whole of Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population of each neighbourhood. In making the best use of land this includes identification of open spaces to meet development needs whilst maintaining an adequate supply of open space in that area.</p> <p>In addition the character of the town is also recognised and policies within the Plan seek to protect and enhance the positive elements of the urban and rural areas.</p> <p>However, it is a requirement for the council to ensure development of the town can continue and plan positively support the economic growth of the town and its role in the sub-region.</p>
Sarah Barnes	individual	Areas such as Bewbush already have a high concentration of housing which results in traffic issues. To build additional large volumes of homes will only increase these issues. If smaller numbers were suggested I might have said Yes. Southgate is less populated and the Goffs Park depot is an ideal site for some homes.	<p>Support for Goffs Park Depot as a housing site is noted.</p> <p>The development proposals within Bewbush are being progressed on a more limited scale, which will see two smaller areas adjacent to the playing fields area at Bewbush West developed for 24 dwellings on each site, and only half of the Breezehurst Drive/Skelmersdale Walk site developed for new housing, with the retention of over 2ha for improved playing field and formal recreation provision. Crawley's Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Plan and evidence base which considers the strategic implications placed on the town's infrastructure capacity by the new developments proposed and anticipated to come forward over the Plan period to 2030 (including a borough-wide and site specific transport study which identifies the expected junction and flow capacity constraints caused by the proposed Local Plan development allocations, and mitigation measures needed to address these). In addition, all development would remain subject to planning applications which would set out the detailed issues relating to infrastructure mitigation local to the proposed development scheme. Approved schemes will be subject to providing financial contributions in the form of either s106 agreements or</p>

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			Community Infrastructure Levy, where it is appropriate, to address some of the town's identified capacity constraints.
D C STEphenson	individual	As Crawley has little land available for housing, which will become worse in the future, then perhaps land should be acquired from adjacent Councils who have more available land than us. Also, they do not have an airport, which may seek to expand, creating an even greater shortage. Could the government allocate more land the Crawley by changing the boundaries?	Comment noted. Crawley's unmet housing needs will need to be accommodated across the wider Housing Market Area which extends into Mid Sussex, Horsham District and Reigate and Banstead. This has been considered in long term discussions and joint working, and is already being put into practice with the development of Kilnwood Vale, which although immediately adjacent to Crawley, lies within Horsham district's administrative boundaries and has been planned for by both local authorities jointly. Future working is agreed between the authorities in the Housing Market Area and beyond, to look at the most sustainable way of meeting the overall housing needs arising from within the area and considering the implications from housing needs in the immediately adjoining areas (such as London and the South Coast).
D McDermid	individual	As I cannot inspect these sites it is impossible to give a definitive reply. In general I would want the Council to protect parks, playing fields and woodland for the future.	Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This includes identifying parks, playing fields and woodland that is needed for the current and future population of Crawley.
Allan Stevenson	individual	As per comments already provided I strongly oppose the proposed development of the green area of the Breezehurst Drive playing fields. One of the main reasons I purchased my home was the fact it bordered directly onto the fields and my family has made regular use of the fields. Especially my children growing up it has been an area where they have played with friends and family.	The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population and in making the best use of land includes identification of open spaces better suited to another use. The site is identified in the Local Plan as a site for mixed open space and housing development; with only half of the Breezehurst Drive/Skelmersdale Walk site developed for new housing, with the retention of over 2ha for improved playing field and formal recreation provision. Detailed schemes would be subject to planning permission, and community involvement in the layout and location of these elements would form a necessary and important part of this.
Jennifer Preston	individual	As there are many empty offices in the town centre these could be used for housing. Manor Royal was intended as the "work place" for Crawley keeping housing, recreation, shopping separate from work. Perhaps all office space should be on the industrial area. Crawley has none of the old	Comments noted. Office space can now be converted to residential accommodation without requiring planning permission and this will provide an important source of additional housing supply in the next few years. However, existing employment space (land and buildings) is likely to be required for

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		industries only support industries so all offices could go there opening up many new sites for housing	employment uses to provide for the employment needs of the borough in the next 15 years.
Sharon Oakshott	individual	At present Crawley has some really lovely neighbourhoods with enough green space for children to play and communities to meet - by taking these away and building more houses it will be like living in a city where green space is a premium.	The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population and in making the best use of land includes identification of open spaces better suited to other uses.
Susan Wain	individual	Bewbush does not have the facilities to cope with further houses being built. If more houses need to be built within Crawley's borders then we should look at other parts of the town rather than just adding them to an already overpopulated estate!	Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) ensure that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population.
H Patel	individual	Bewbush has enough has. A large amount of open Space for development. And Transport service etc. are much better then in there in some other neighbourhoods. The community centre and shops have been developed and this will further support the new housing.	Comments noted.
Robert Jurgielewicz	individual	Bewbush has nothing to offer apart from green spaces. Three shops, post office, chemist and two schools are bare minimum. If you choose Goffs Park, you will claim only part of green spaces. It will not have as much impact as in Bewbush. Perhaps to answer space shortage, Crawley could reclaim some of ex industrial estates, ie space where Ikea meant to be build, etc.	Comments noted, Office space can now be converted to residential accommodation without requiring planning permission and this will provide an important source of additional housing supply in the next few years. However, existing employment space (land and buildings) is likely to be required for employment uses to provide for the employment needs of the borough in the next 15 years. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population and in making the best use of land includes identification of open spaces better suited to another use. The development proposals within Bewbush are being progressed on a more limited scale, which will see two smaller areas adjacent to the playing fields area at Bewbush West developed for 24 dwellings on each site and the reprovision of the play areas, and only half of the Breezehurst Drive/Skelmersdale Walk site developed for new housing, with the retention of over 2ha for improved playing field and formal recreation provision.
FRANCESCA	individual	BEWBUSH HAS TWO PRIMARY SCHOOLS SO THEY NEED THIS RECREATION SPACE. CHILDREN NEED SOMEWHERE TO GO AFTER	The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
LEE		SCHOOL AND LOCAL CLUBS/SPORTS NEED SOMEWHERE TO PLAY.	<p>assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population including space specifically for children and teenagers.</p> <p>The development proposals within Bewbush are being progressed on a more limited scale, which will see two smaller areas adjacent to the playing fields area at Bewbush West developed for 24 dwellings on each site and the re-provision of the play areas, and only half of the Breezehurst Drive/Skelmersdale Walk site developed for new housing, with the retention of over 2ha for improved playing field and formal recreation provision.</p>
Samantha Bouglas	individual	Bewbush is already overpopulated with flats and maisonettes and houses with tiny gardens please don't take away any more green space it's much needed	The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. In identifying these sites the amount of green space including the size of gardens in the area is taken into consideration.
Mike	individual	Bewbush is highly populated - many living there on lower income - to build on either or both suggested area in Bewbush would increase disturbances and anti-social behaviour taking place. A long term solution may be to build on part of the 2 mentioned areas above at the same time pulling down some of the earlier builds in Bewbush where properties are close to each other. This however would not create extra housing just spread the current housing with 'green/leisure' space in between. As for extra housing as Crawley is a New Town unless space is found on the boundary on the outskirts it has to be accepted that Crawley either has or will reach a limit. Though there is the option of building on 'brown' sites such as Manor Royal if there is 'unused' space. Here a solution opposite to the one I suggested for Bewbush would be to bring businesses' / buildings close together to create a large unused space for housing.	Comments noted.
Ben Gould	individual	Bewbush is too full. Too many people per hectare than any other neighbourhood.	Comment noted.
Mr P Furniss	individual	Bewbush needs at least the playing field area to be left for the community to enjoy. Housing on the Goffs Park Depot area would not adversely affect the area as a lot of other development has occurred here in the previous years	The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			<p>space for the current and future population and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.</p>
Rachel Montgomery	individual	Bewbush playing fields should be left so that Crawley can still retain a green border. It will devalue houses backing onto these areas and they are used by families for recreation. They are important areas for wildlife.	<p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development proposals within Bewbush are being progressed on a more limited scale, which will see two smaller areas adjacent to the playing fields area at Bewbush West developed for 24 dwellings on each site and the reprovision of the play areas, and only half of the Breezehurst Drive/Skelmersdale Walk site developed for new housing, with the retention of over 2ha for improved playing field and formal recreation provision. Structural landscaping designation along the edge of the Breezehurst Drive/Skelmersdale Walk playing fields recognises the importance of Crawley retaining its green border, and particularly its role as a town in the country. At Bewbush West the playing fields will be adjoined to the west by a new park set within the new neighbourhood of Kilnwood Vale.</p> <p>Devaluing of property is not a planning issue and cannot be taken into account when considering future development and landuse needs within the town.</p> <p>Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible, and the Green Infrastructure work will ensure the linkages are provided, retained and enhanced as corridors for wildlife along with the other benefits of open spaces.</p>
Charlotte Latimer	individual	Bewbush West and Breezehurst Drive playing fields are used by the local residents on a daily basis. These areas provide children and families a safe environment to be together outside playing sports and picnicking in an area where many people do not have their own gardens or very limited outside space. With the development of Kilnvale the local wildlife would also be greatly affected.	<p>Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p>

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Mrs Sharon Stamps	individual	Bewbush West fields is lovely open fields which is used by dog walkers to meet up with other dog walkers. Also the local children get to gether and play football and some local teams also play competitive football with their families and friends supporting them.I myself use the fields with my dog like to watch the wild life which enclues birds foxes and indeed dogs, it also nice to look out over the fields and see beautiful trees and green grass.	Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.
Mr Simon & Mrs Linda Gilbert	individual	Bewbush West is the only place we got to walk our dogs, play with the kids and grandkids, with all the animal life here. Plus we will have Kilnwood Vale being built 2500 homes so why do we have to build 60 more on this land now Kilnwood Vale will be Crawley's next neighbourhoud.	The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.
P Mann	individual	Bewbush West P.F. as there will be housing on the Horsham side of the open space, it will be required as a buffer zone between Bewbush and Kilnwood Vale.	Comment noted. The development of this site allows for the retention of the playing fields in the middle. The master plan for Kilnwood Vale shows a park on the Horsham side of the open space.
Tina Burgess	individual	Bewbush West Playing Fields (part of) - Subject to flooding - the run-off water will end up flooding around Gemini Close etc. if this proceeds.	The Environment Agency Flood Zone maps and the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment has identified the broad areas of flooding in this area, and planning policies will ensure any development is designed to take into account the existing and potential flooding of the site, including ensuring the any new surface water drainage does not increase the risk of flooding elsewhere.
Robin Burnham	individual	Bewbush West playing fields are used for sport (and walking the dog). My son and his friends use it often to play football. Housing is very important but so is leisure. Housing could be put in West Green (opposite the former nursing home whcih used to be a garage). No decision should be made before all of Bewbush residents in the proposed housing area site have been informed. I didn't recieve a letter from the Council, a friend told me. Please arrange for a public meeting on this so we can ask questions. Thank you.	The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. This stage of consultation has only identified the Bewbush West site as a potential housing site, for the purposes of consultation. No decision was made to allocate the site for housing. This decision will be made based on the conclusions from this consultation and the evidence base as the council progress to submission with the Local Plan; at which a further stage of consultation will be carried out and outstanding concerns will be considered by an inspector through the Plan's examination, which will include the opportunity

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			for any objector to have their concerns heard during public hearings. In addition, any formal planning application would remain subject to submission and approval of a detailed planning application.
Mr & Mrs Letton	individual	Bewbush West Playing Fields are used for sport and waling, the walk along the very edge takes you through to lfield, several deer live here. STOP building office space!!! That stays empty for YEARS!!!	The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible. The need for office space has been identified in the Employment Growth Assessment. However, it is acknowledged that there are a number of existing office buildings within the town which remain empty or underused. Current government policy allows for these buildings to convert to housing development.
Clive Thompson	individual	Bewbush West Playing Fields I consider to be unsuitable for development due to the ecological factors of being in proximity of Bewbush Brook, Spruce Hill Brook and an ancient Moat.	Comments noted. The Environment Agency Flood Zone maps and the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment has identified the broad areas of flooding in this area. However, the detailed design and layout of any development will ensure that the existing and potential flooding of the site, including ensuring the any new surface water drainage does not increase the risk of flooding elsewhere. Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.
Julie Overall	individual	Bewbush West playing fields is the only green space available for the children in our location to play safely all space within the courts is paved and is taken up with residents parking and not a safe environment. We feel closed in enough with no green areas in front of our houses which is why the children and residents of the surrounding courts make good use of this area. The main road is a busy bus route which is made worse by heavy traffic from the Horsham bypass as a cut through by drivers trying to avoid the main roads more housing will only add to this congestion. With the new estate being just across the existing playing field consisting of 2,500 homes we will be living in a sea of bricks and concrete, packed in like sardines.	The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Mrs P Haworth	individual	Bewbush West playing fields should be kept for the children.	<p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development proposals for Bewbush West are being progressed on a more limited scale, which will see two smaller areas adjacent to the playing fields area at Bewbush West developed for 24 dwellings on each site, the retention of the playing fields themselves and the reprovision of the play areas.</p>
Dean Ongey	individual	Bewbush West playing fields should not be used for development because it is the only green space separating Bewbush from the massive Kilnwood Vale development which is already close enough to the properties that back on to these fields. Many of the properties that face or back on to Bewbush West playing fields do not have gardens and the fields are the only place that the local children have to go and play. They provide a safe environment for the children to enjoy a healthy and active lifestyle. The fields are also used by local football teams, joggers and dog walkers.	<p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development proposals for Bewbush West are being progressed on a more limited scale, which will see two smaller areas adjacent to the playing fields area at Bewbush West developed for 24 dwellings on each site, the retention of the playing fields themselves and the reprovision of the play areas.</p>
MR L BROWN	representative	Bewbush West playing fields should NOT BE USED TO BUILD ON. It is a lovely beautiful green area where children and adults play and walk thier dogs etc. also as a resident of Nesbit Court we ONLY purchased our maisonette because it had the lovely GREEN VIEW of the green park and little stream opposite. We had other houses to look at but chose to live here because of the lovely view and green space opposite with the little bridge and stream. WHEN WE BOUGHT our house 2 years ago and the new Kilnwood development was in planning WE WENT TO THE COUNCIL AND WERE PROMISED THAT THEY WOULD NOT BUILD ON THE BEWBUSH WEST PLAYING FIELDS ARE AS CHILDREN NEEDED THAT GREEN SPACE. IF YOU DO THIS NOW THE COUNCIL HAS LIED YET AGAIN TO ITS RESIDENTS. IN FACT we were told they couldnt build on it because of the little stream that runs here on the edge, WE DO NOT SUDDENLY WANT OUR LOUNGE VIEW BLOCKED BY BUILDING HOUSES RIGHT ON OUR WINDOW AND DOORSTEP IT IS DISGUSTING TO EVEN THINK OF IT IN THE BEWBUSH WEST PLAYING FIELDS Where children play safely and adults play football etc. where else willl the children play safely around here and I thought Britain wanted to stay green these days	<p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development proposals for Bewbush West are being progressed on a more limited scale, which will see two smaller areas adjacent to the playing fields area at Bewbush West developed for 24 dwellings on each site, the retention of the playing fields themselves and the reprovision of the play areas.</p>

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		and protect the environment and trees. It is disgusting to even plan this. Mr I Brown.	
Susan Ayres	individual	Bewbush West Playing fields will be the valuable green corridor before Kilnwood Vale. The fields and tree-lined public footpath are a peaceful haven for wildlife and people alike. I've even seen deer there during the night. These and Breezehurst playing fields are the only we have here as the field on Gasson Wood Road is often used for cricket.	<p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.</p> <p>The development proposals for Bewbush West are being progressed on a more limited scale, which will see two smaller areas adjacent to the playing fields area at Bewbush West developed for 24 dwellings on each site, the retention of the playing fields themselves and the reprovision of the play areas.</p>
Jacqueline Champion	individual	Bewbush West playing fields, these fields are being used continuously by local and other residents of Crawley if you build houses on this site it will ruin this part of Bewbush.	<p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The design and location of development within the Bewbush West area has been subject to further refinement, and there are clear design principles that suggest some development could offer improved visual and design links through from Bewbush to the Kilnwood Vale neighbourhood, particularly in the vicinity of the public transport and footpath linkages between the two areas. However, on the basis of the consultation responses, further consideration of the open space provision and flooding issues, the retention of the playing fields is proposed and the supporting text to the policy would ensure the replacement of the loss of the play areas as part of the development allocation.</p>
R Smith	individual	Bewbush West This land was designated by Town Planning to be used by Bewbush and Gossops Green as open space. Gossops Green has never had enough open space as was hoped. This land was to make up this this deficiency.	<p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p>

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Kay Lewis	individual	Both the site at Bewbush and Goffs Park are already being developed and more houses could be built here.	Comment noted.
Kevin Walker	individual	Breezehurst and Bewbush development continue to close the gap to Horsham and put the population in an area likely to make Horsham the go to place. With the other developments ongoing and planned to the south and west of Horsham, I fear the nice market town will become overrun and crowded leading to further developments for super store sites.	Comments noted.
Mr Beale	individual	Breezehurst Dr, development: These community open sites have been used for over 25 years therefore I believe they are exempt from planning. Bewbush has little open space as required in the New Town Planning Policy. The country planning officer Mr Jefferson said in April 1962 at the Town Map Inquiry "the choice of the site for a recreational open space was made because it formed a very prominent feature in the landscape. It was conspicuous for the Horsham Crawley Rd (A264). The development of the site for residential proposes would have a very ill effect on the area". It was therefore allocated to a public open space. The area given over to open space would be too low without it. The town standard I understand is 4.12 acres per 1000 people. The removal of the two proposed sites in Bewbush, which have been used by the public for 25 years would be a very ill effect for the population and crawley landscape which we through woul remain green and pleasant. A buffer zone between the Horsham Kingswood Vale development and Bewbush needs to be kept, for life and for green lung between the two.	The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.
Christine St Claire	individual	Breezehurst Drive development would make the Horsham to Crawley road much busier so shouldn't be used. Goffs Park Depot should not be used as this will impinge upon the rural ambience of Goffs Park. The Depot should be put to some use, but not housing.	Comments noted. The traffic impact of additional housing development will be carefully considered in liaison with West Sussex County Council, as the highway authority.
Nick Jones	individual	Breezehurst Drive playing fields is used a lot for families to play with there kids.... where will they go now? Goffs Park is unused so don't see the problem with that.	The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. These studies also ensure that there is reasonable access to open space for residents by protecting sites whose loss would lead to residents having to travel further than should be reasonably expected to open space.

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			Support for Goffs Park Depot noted.
Maria Callaway	representative	<p>Breezehurst Drive seems ok for 100 houses as long as the football teams are happy to relocate as Buchan Park is close enough for the local community to enjoy.</p> <p>Using Goffs Park Depot seems ok as there is already a building so nice land isnt being taken away from the local community and the land will be more useful as a housing estate than an empty building.</p> <p>Other land that could be used for housing- garage blocks all over Crawley- they tend not to be used by tenants in flats that they neighbour and were built for, they just seem to be a crime hotspot with so many garage break ins or attemps. These blocks are in residential areas and so it seems logical to build on these plots of land. They do bring in a good income for the council but it seems silly to keep all of them if the council is struggling for land.</p>	<p>Support for 100 houses at Breezehurst Drive noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>Support for Goffs Park Depot noted.</p> <p>Suggestion of Garage Blocks noted.</p>
Jim Grant	individual	<p>Breezehurst Drive- the area where Crawley FC have their training ground has never been used and could be developed. Leave the area to the West as football fields and play area.</p> <p>Bewbush West playing fields - You have already developed Kilnwood Vale. The land between should be left as a barrier and play area for the community. Is it the council's intention to turn estates into overcrowded areas as they did with the development of Broadfield. These areas turned into "muggers alley" with their design of walks and closes.</p> <p>Local kids already use Bewbush West to vent their energy and this must remain. To build on this site would force them to make their play areas as into the houses and car parks in the area, no doubt with vandalism to follow.</p> <p>Goffs Park - this site is aptly suitable for housing of the right quality. As long as Goffs Park remains there is ample greenery and leisure areas available to the locals.</p>	<p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>Crawley Town Football Club no longer use the Breezehurst Drive as their training ground. Comments in relation to location of development at Breezehurst and retention of Skelmersdale Walk playing fields are noted: the site is identified in the Local Plan as a site for mixed open space and housing development; with only half of the Breezehurst Drive/Skelmersdale Walk site developed for new housing, with the retention of over 2ha for improved playing field and formal recreation provision. Detailed schemes would be subject to planning permission, and community involvement in the layout and location of these elements would form a necessary and important part of this.</p> <p>The design and location of development within the Bewbush West area has been subject to further refinement, and there are clear design principles that suggest some development could offer improved visual and design links through from Bewbush to the Kilnwood Vale neighbourhood, particularly in the vicinity of the public transport and footpath linkages between the two areas. However, on the basis of the consultation responses, further consideration of the open space provision and flooding issues, the retention of the playing fields is proposed and the supporting text to the policy would ensure the replacement of the loss of the play areas as part of the development</p>

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			allocation. Support for development at Goffs Park Depot is noted.
Rachael Sander	individual	Breezehurst Drive would have easy access to all main roads without affecting the community.	Support for development at Breezehurst Drive noted.
Mrs V Smith	individual	Broadfield Kennels site could be used, any houses build even if only a few is a few more people off the housing list.	Support for housing development at the Broadfield Kennels is noted.
Jen	individual	Broadfields, County Oak Retail Park empty site for Flats or homes. Worth/Maidenbower/Pound Hill big area.	Comments noted.
Rebekah	individual	Brownfield sites should be used to build houses not playing fields and parks. Crawley has lovely parks and open spaces let the people use them!	Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.
Mrs J Stephenson	individual	Buy some land from adjacent Council. They have more land than us - we need our playing fields.	Comments noted. Crawley's unmet housing needs will need to be accommodated across the wider Housing Market Area which extends into Mid Sussex, Horsham District and Reigate and Banstead. This has been considered in long term discussions and joint working, and is already being put into practice with the development of Kilnwood Vale, which although immediately adjacent to Crawley, lies within Horsham district's administrative boundaries and has been planned for by both local authorities jointly. Future working is agreed between the authorities in the Housing Market Area and beyond, to look at the most sustainable way of meeting the overall housing needs arising from within the area and considering the implications from housing needs in the immediately adjoining areas (such as London and the South Coast). However, Crawley Borough Council is required to prepare a positive Local Plan which seeks to meet as much of its development needs over a 15 year period as it is able to, and support the needs of economic growth. Guidance from central government is very clear that not planning for future housing development is not an option. Crawley's housing need comes substantially from the needs of its existing population creating new households over the Plan period. Therefore, to ensure Crawley is meeting its own responsibilities by protecting

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			land that should be protected and supporting development where it is appropriate Crawley Borough Council commissioned an Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) which assessed the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.
Jilly thompson	individual	By taking our precious green spaces and hemming us in with more houses you simply create worse problems. Vandalism and antisocial behaviour because people have even less opportunity and space. We struggle with resources now more hosing needs more support with schools, doctors emergency services etc. etc. the council needs to support the community it has not make the town bigger or more overcrowded. What about healthy living, open spaces, fresh air and wellbeing for us and our families? Is the council obliged to bring more people to Crawley? Is it really necessary to fill every available space with housing?	The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. Crawley's housing need primarily arises from the existing population living within the borough; due to the age profile of its residents, through new household formations and births outnumbering deaths. Crawley is responsible for showing how it is addressing all objectively assessed needs arising from the town over the Plan period.
Mrs Karen Smith	individual	By the sounds of things" there is unlikely to be enough playing fields in the future" if housing takes them over. We need to look after ourselves and our children s well being now, you can't just presume our children (the next generation) will want to set up home in Crawley, we need to do whats best for them now! And that means keep our lovely parks for them to use and enjoy now, and not have them hanging around the streets (that's what will be left) possibly causing future problems. Learning from a young age, for example: Football in the park is better than bored on street corners. We think the council's forward thinking should be more about shaping the here and now of the current residents of Crawley.	The Local Plan forms the council's primary planning policy document against which all new development within the town will be considered over the next 15 years from its adoption. It needs to be based upon the population and economic predictions arising from the town and support its sustainable economic growth. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.
Chris Morris	individual	CFC should move to a new out of town location to more extensive grounds with adequate parking for their future development and league promotion prospects - as a private business this should be at their own cost. The land could then be used for low-density housing. The move out of the area by CFC would relieve the local population from the regular influx of visiting fans and traffic that currently is a blight on the residential areas and road verges surrounding the stadium.	Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Barry Taylor	individual	Community open spaces are very important to the well being of local people.	Comment welcomed. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.
Mrs Janet Boniface	individual	Concerns regarding flood zone at Bewbush West. Also would expect plans for upgrading of recreational areas to be agreed in advance of any building work.	The Environment Agency Flood Zone maps and the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment identified the broad areas of flooding in this area. However, the detailed design and layout of any development will ensure that the existing and potential flooding of the site, including ensuring the any new surface water drainage does not increase the risk of flooding elsewhere. The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study. This would be agreed in advance of building work.
Margaret Baker	individual	Crawley already has enough housing. The majority of new builds are bought by out of town people. We had better allocation. Our infrastructure cannot cope!	Comments noted.
Ward	individual	Crawley does not need any more housing. The council has failed on infrastructure i.e. traffic jams within borough boundaries. For example Pound Hill to Three Bridges 20-30 mins, Pound Hill to town centre 40-60mins, Bewbush to town centre 40-60 mins. These are at Peak times. Horse buses were quicker 100 years ago. Both planning officers and elected councillors have failed the people of Crawley by not improving roads and other cut-backs in council services.	Comments noted.
Andy Tolfrey	individual	Crawley has no employment to cater for any more houses to be built. Free green spaces should be used to develop play areas for children to play, i.e. = ASTRO PITCHES/ swings/ climbing frames.	The demand for new housing in Crawley over the next 15 years comes largely from the existing population, particularly those of working age who are already in employment or will become part of the working age population during the Plan period (i.e. to 2030). The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.
Tristan Collins	individual	Crawley town centre! We will need a lot less shops in the future and there needs to be a clear and integrated strategy how area's in the town centre	Support for housing in the town centre noted. The town centre is identified as a 'broad location' for housing development in addition to the specific sites

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		can be turned into residential districts. This needs to be tied into the Stanhope/TCN development if shops move to the north of the town and County Mall remains to the North there will be a swathe of empty shops that could be turned in reasonably high density housing. However please note the quality of this development needs to be far higher the the development at the old sports centre site. A sense of community and destination still needs to be maintained.	allocated within Policy H2. However, viability and deliverability are known constraints to bringing sites forward, and the council is working closely with its partners, developers and landowners to maximise the potential of the town centre to address some of the housing needs of the borough.
Nicole	individual	Crawley town train on breezehurst field and cfc are a big part of out community and neighbourhood so it wouldn't have a good impact on the town to force them to move.	Comment noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.
David Christensen	individual	Demolish Stevenson Way industrial site and relocate to Manor Royal, replace with 100's of homes/flats, utilise an entry/exit onto the "square-about".	Comments noted. Office space can now be converted to residential accommodation without requiring planning permission and this will provide an important source of additional housing supply in the next few years. However, existing employment space (land and buildings) is likely to be required for employment uses to provide for the employment needs of the borough in the next 15 years.
ALAN HEAD	individual	DESMOND ANDERSON OLD SCHOOL SIGHT (TWO) FENCED OFF AND UNUSED	Comment noted. This land is identified as a Key Housing Site and forms part of the Council's identified Housing Land Supply for the Plan period. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.
M Hollington	individual	Development of the old bowling alley and council offices site should have been all housing; apartments /flats. Crawley does not need another hotel.	Comment noted.
Danielle Mockler	individual	Do not build on Bewbush West as this area is used for sport and childrenand dog walkers and walkers	Comment noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			to other uses.
Ms Page	individual	Do not take away park lands or football grounds to build shoddy poorly constructed new builds. As a new build owner they are poorly constructed and have untold problems due to the speed they are thrown together. Leave parklands and football training grounds as they are, where do you expect children, families and youths to play/train/keep fit etc?	Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.
Stephen Bluck	individual	Don't build and increase Crawley's population any further. Find run-down buildings and convert into flats. Or any empty houses, re-vamp and modernise. The spare land is needed for dog walkers, children etc etc.	<p>Comment noted. Crawley's housing need arises primarily from new household formations over the Plan period from the existing population within Crawley currently.</p> <p>Office space can now be converted to residential accommodation without requiring planning permission and this will provide an important source of additional housing supply in the next few years. However, existing employment space (land and buildings) is likely to be required for employment uses to provide for the employment needs of the borough in the next 15 years. The re-use of empty dwellings would be supported providing the resultant scheme is acceptable in terms of layout and design.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p>
Richard Brandon	individual	Don't build any more housing within the already over populated areas. The open areas are ment for health and well-being of the general public. Areas from county oak towards lowfield heath are not used.	<p>Comments noted. The area between County Oak and Lowfield Heath falls within the area of safeguarding for a second runway and cannot therefore be considered suitable for housing development.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p>
Carole Avery	individual	Don't know.	
Laura	representative	Don't need more houses!!	Crawley's housing need primarily arises from the existing population living

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			within the borough; due to the age profile of its residents, through new household formations and births outnumbering deaths. Crawley is responsible for showing how it is addressing all objectively assessed needs arising from the town over the Plan period.
Alison Given	individual	Empty shops in town centre?	Comment noted.
Florence Bowman	individual	Ensuring that empty homes are brought back into occupancy. Making use of brownfield sites including empty or under-occupied retail and office space in the town centre.	Comments noted.
Mr PG Wall	individual	Flats would be better than houses and possibly place for retirement homes.	Comments noted.
Kate Sellers	individual	For those living by Bewbush playing fields the land provides the only real garden for many families as most have just small yards. The playing field in front of Skelmersdale walk is in constant daily use for dog walkers and children playing. I believe it would be a great loss to the community for it all to go. The land by the old pavillion is used much less so it could be that a compromise would be to put housing on that land and leave some of the open green space in front of Skelmersdale Walk. Furthermore for those of us living with the field in front any additional housing would severely compromise both the value of our houses and the amount of light let into our houses. When we moved into the house the environmental report showed that the field is a run off area for excess water from Buchan and local streams. It concerns me that building on this may leave the houses in Skelmersdale at greater risk of surface flooding.	<p>The Environment Agency Flood Zone maps and the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment identified the broad areas of flooding in this area. However, the detailed design and layout of any development will ensure that the existing and potential flooding of the site, including ensuring the any new surface water drainage does not increase the risk of flooding elsewhere.</p> <p>Comments in relation to location of development at Breezehurst and retention of Skelmersdale Walk playing fields are noted: the site is identified in the Local Plan as a site for mixed open space and housing development; with only half of the Breezehurst Drive/Skelmersdale Walk site developed for new housing, with the retention of over 2ha for improved playing field and formal recreation provision. Detailed schemes would be subject to planning permission, and community involvement in the layout and location of these elements would form a necessary and important part of this.</p> <p>The development of this site would, therefore, allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study. This would be agreed in advance of building work.</p>
Alison Shackell	individual	Goffs Park Depot could be considered for the gypsy traveller site it already has existing good road access and would have little impact on the surrounding community, as it is located a distance away from the local houses unlike the Langley green option.	Comment noted. The Goffs Park Depot site is a proposed Key Housing Site (Policy H3) for 30 dwellings. Owing to land values at this location, a Gypsy and Traveller site at this location would be considered economically unviable, and therefore, undeliverable.
Christopher Shackell	individual	Goffs Park Depot could be considered for traveller site as already has good infrastructure and is away from local residence.	Comment noted. The Goffs Park Depot site is a proposed Key Housing Site (Policy H2) for 30 dwellings. Owing to land values at this location, a Gypsy and Traveller site at this location would be considered economically unviable, and therefore, undeliverable.

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
John and Eileen Milsom	individual	Goffs Park Depot could be used for housing development as the site is unused at the moment. Providing the new development is sympathetic to the existing residential area.	Support for Goffs Park Depot noted. Design policies will require any development proposals to consider the existing character of the area.
Sarah Parsons	individual	Goffs Park is an area of natural beauty and a haven for children and families alike. I've been a friend of Goffs Park for many years and support the projects and events that it organises (probably with a limited budget). It must be maintained for future generations, especially as it is so near to the town centre and easy for people to get to.	Support for Goffs Park noted – the Park itself is not subject to housing development; the depot site lies adjacent to the Park. The Park is, however, being proposed as a Historic Park and Garden, reflecting its importance as part of the new town development.
Roy Wood	individual	Have the council considered including at least some of their requirements into the NE sector development?	The North East Sector provides a significant contribution to the council's Housing Land Supply over the next 10 years and will contribute, in part, to meeting the council's identified Housing Needs.
Lyn Jackson	individual	Having lived in Bewbush for 32 years, I feel very strongly against the playing fields being developed into houses. These fields are an integral part of the Bewbush community. They are used everyday by different groups, adults and children football training, families having a general kickabout or playing cricket. Many families responsibly walk their dogs around these playing fields. I have walked on these fields everyday, come rain or shine, for 32 years. I have met many different people and become firm friends with them. There are very few areas like this. The allotments where Meridian Close now stands, were not used, which was why the land was developed, but the fields are part and parcel of Bewbush.	The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.
T. Horncastle	individual	Hedgerow House, Rusper Road, Ifield, has 4 acres which they may consider selling.	Comment noted. The land at Hedgerow House is located within an Area of Special Local Character and within the West of Ifield Rural Fringe.
Derek Portsmouth	individual	How about using empty shops or empty commercial premises?	Comment noted. Office space can now be converted to residential accommodation without requiring planning permission and this will provide an important source of additional housing supply in the next few years. However, existing employment space (land and buildings) is likely to be required for employment uses to provide for the employment needs of the borough in the next 15 years. The re-use of empty shops.
Sharon Dean	individual	How about using some of the land on the Industrial estate? There always seems to be a large number of empty buildings and it seems such a waste of the space. There are other empty offices/warehouses around like opposite paymaster.	Comment noted. Office space can now be converted to residential accommodation without requiring planning permission and this will provide an important source of additional housing supply in the next few years. However, existing employment space (land and buildings) is likely to be required for employment uses to provide for the employment needs of the borough in the

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			next 15 years.
Angelina Davey	individual	How about where the old hospital accommodation was. It's been vacant for a long time now. There is an awful lot of empty commercial property in Crawley. Look at compulsory purchase for conversion to residential.	This site (Fairfield House) is identified as a Housing Site in the Local Plan and the council is working proactively with the landowner to bring the site forward for housing development. Office space can now be converted to residential accommodation without requiring planning permission and this will provide an important source of additional housing supply in the next few years. However, existing employment space (land and buildings) is likely to be required for employment uses to provide for the employment needs of the borough in the next 15 years.
JOEL BUCKETT	individual	Huge areas on the industrial estate lay empty, it is pointless the council petitioning the government to save Manor Royal for industry when we are sacrificing green space for housing. Radford Farm, Gatwick Road, Edge of Denvale Trade Park all used to be housing which you gave away to industry, so balance it out now by using parts of the industrial estate for housing.	Comments noted. Office space can now be converted to residential accommodation without requiring planning permission and this will provide an important source of additional housing supply in the next few years. However, existing employment space (land and buildings) is likely to be required for employment uses to provide for the employment needs of the borough in the next 15 years.
Mr G Foley	individual	I am certainly against building houses on Bewbush West playing fields, the reasons being are, with Kilnvalle estate being built, this strip of land will act as a barrier for us residents who overlook this estate and give us some breathing space. For you to build more houses on this land it will take away habitat for all the wildlife that lives there, ie Badgers, Foxes, Deer, Snakes, Fieldmice and all the wild birds. You say this open space is under used, visit it at weekends and during the week when the evenings are light, you will find a lot of people using this open space from children flying kites and playing football and adults playing football and walking dogs.	<p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The design and location of development within the Bewbush West area has been subject to further refinement, and there are clear design principles that suggest some development could offer improved visual and design links through from Bewbush to the Kilnwood Vale neighbourhood, particularly in the vicinity of the public transport and footpath linkages between the two areas. However, on the basis of the consultation responses, further consideration of the open space provision and flooding issues, the retention of the playing fields is proposed and the supporting text to the policy would ensure the replacement of the loss of the play areas as part of the development allocation.</p>
Sarah Williams	individual	I am not familiar with the west side of town and its needs. A lot depends on open space created by kilnwood Vale as to whether the land should be built on but taking any public space or playinfg fields for building is never a good. Goffs park depot could be suitable for housing or perhaps a 'cemetery'?	The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Lynette Satti	individual	I am not sure about the first two as they will be taking away green spaces from the communities.	Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.
Alice Crane	individual	I am opposed to the building of new houses ANYWHERE within the town, particularly those being proposed on green areas, such as playing fields or woodland. People need to understand that not everyone can live in the South East of England; there is simply neither the space nor the resources.	<p>Comments noted. Crawley Borough Council is required to prepare a positive Local Plan which seeks to meet as much of its development needs over a 15 year period as it is able to, and support the needs of economic growth. Guidance from central government is very clear that not planning for future housing development is not an option. Crawley's housing need comes substantially from the needs of its existing population creating new households over the Plan period.</p> <p>Therefore, to ensure Crawley is meeting its own responsibilities by protecting land that should be protected and supporting development where it is appropriate Crawley Borough Council commissioned an Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) which assessed the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>Crawley's unmet housing needs will need to be accommodated across the wider Housing Market Area which extends into Mid Sussex, Horsham District and Reigate and Banstead. This has been considered in long term discussions and joint working, and is already being put into practice with the development of Kilnwood Vale, which although immediately adjacent to Crawley, lies within Horsham district's administrative boundaries and has been planned for by both local authorities jointly. Future working is agreed between the authorities in the Housing Market Area and beyond, to look at the most sustainable way of meeting the overall housing needs arising from within the area and considering the implications from housing needs in the immediately adjoining areas (such as London and the South Coast).</p>
Graham Petschel	individual	I am passionately against the development of the West Bewbush Playing Fields. This is a fantastic community with a large number of children and dog owners. This beautiful green space provides a safe a secure area for children to play sports and ride their bicycles, as well as an area for dog walkers to meet and socialise. Both of these activities create the ideal	Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		opportunity for the creation of a tight-knot and firendly community. There are few places left with this opportunity and would be a great shame and a criminal mistake to replace greenery and life with concrete and desolation.	clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.
Simon Hussey	individual	I am saying yes to more home as long my daughter get one as you made her private rent.	Comment noted.
Jenny Heywood	individual	I believe if the Breezehurst Drive development is considered, it should be on a smaller scale than proposed - the abundance of trees and green spaces is one of the nicest things about living in Crawley, I'd hate to lose that. In terms of vacant space, I believe there are empty offices on Russell Way off the Paymaster General's roundabout. This could be used for housing. Can we not repurpose some of the empty offices and homes in Crawley before building on new green spaces?	Comment with regard to loss of open space noted. Office space can now be converted to residential accommodation without requiring planning permission and this will provide an important source of additional housing supply in the next few years. However, existing employment space (land and buildings) is likely to be required for employment uses to provide for the employment needs of the borough in the next 15 years. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study. This would be agreed in advance of building work.
Mikchael W. Pickett	individual	I can't understand the need to continue the expansion of the town. The CBC boundry is geographically an artificial construct. We should be looking at the whole of West Sussex and beyond with other local authorities and central government.	Crawley's unmet housing needs will need to be accommodated across the wider Housing Market Area which extends into Mid Sussex, Horsham District and Reigate and Banstead. This has been considered in long term discussions and joint working, and is already being put into practice with the development of Kilnwood Vale, which although immediately adjacent to Crawley, lies within Horsham district's administrative boundaries and has been planned for by both local authorities jointly. Future working is agreed between the authorities in the Housing Market Area and beyond, to look at the most sustainable way of meeting the overall housing needs arising from within the area and considering the implications from housing needs in the immediately adjoining areas (such as London and the South Coast). However, Crawley Borough Council is required to prepare a positive Local Plan which seeks to meet as much of its development needs over a 15 year period as it is able to, and support the needs of economic growth. Guidance from central government is very clear that not planning for future housing development is not an option. Crawley's housing need comes substantially

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			<p>from the needs of its existing population creating new households over the Plan period.</p> <p>Therefore, to ensure Crawley is meeting its own responsibilities by protecting land that should be protected and supporting development where it is appropriate Crawley Borough Council has undertaken detailed technical evidence assessment of its objectively assessed development and infrastructure needs and the urban and rural capacity to meet these needs.</p>
David Woodfall	individual	I do not believe that building on park land is the way to find space for future development. If you have problems finding suitable land then you should solve these problems and not use land that affects people living nearby that use the parks for recreation. This is not the solution is it? At some point these problems will need to be tackled as you run out of parks to build on, so why not solve these problems now?	<p>Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>
Doris Tozen	individual	I do not think houses should keep being built. I think the town's population is large enough.	Crawley's housing need primarily arises from the existing population living within the borough; due to the age profile of its residents, through new household formations and births outnumbering deaths. Crawley is responsible for showing how it is addressing all objectively assessed needs arising from the town over the Plan period.
Claire Tomsett	individual	I do not want any houses built on the greenbelt/green land in and around the Crawley area!	<p>Comment noted. Crawley Borough Council is required to prepare a positive Local Plan which seeks to meet as much of its development needs over a 15 year period as it is able to, and support the needs of economic growth. Guidance from central government is very clear that not planning for future housing development is not an option. Crawley's housing need comes substantially from the needs of its existing population creating new households over the Plan period.</p> <p>Therefore, to ensure Crawley is meeting its own responsibilities by protecting land that should be protected and supporting development where it is appropriate Crawley Borough Council has undertaken detailed technical evidence assessment of its objectively assessed development and infrastructure needs and the urban and rural capacity to meet these needs.</p> <p>Crawley's unmet housing needs will need to be accommodated across the wider Housing Market Area which extends into Mid Sussex, Horsham District and Reigate and Banstead. This has been considered in long term discussions</p>

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			and joint working, and is already being put into practice with the development of Kilnwood Vale, which although immediately adjacent to Crawley, lies within Horsham district's administrative boundaries and has been planned for by both local authorities jointly. Future working is agreed between the authorities in the Housing Market Area and beyond, to look at the most sustainable way of meeting the overall housing needs arising from within the area and considering the implications from housing needs in the immediately adjoining areas (such as London and the South Coast).
Mrs Weatherill	individual	I don't know the Goffs Park area so can't comment!	Comment noted.
Abigail Newton	individual	I don't live near these so don't feel I can vote on these.	Comment noted.
Tina Wort	individual	I don't think playing fields should be taken away as these are places young people can exercise, play football etc. We must be careful not to put down too much concrete which takes away natural drainage, thus the possibility of flooding occurs.	<p>Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>
K G North	individual	I don't want to see valuable playing fields lostonce they are built on they are gone forever. In the long term the Council must look at changes to boundaries or meginging with other LA's.	<p>Comments noted. Crawley's unmet housing needs will need to be accommodated across the wider Housing Market Area which extends into Mid Sussex, Horsham District and Reigate and Banstead. This has been considered in long term discussions and joint working, and is already being put into practice with the development of Kilnwood Vale, which although immediately adjacent to Crawley, lies within Horsham district's administrative boundaries and has been planned for by both local authorities jointly. Future working is agreed between the authorities in the Housing Market Area and beyond, to look at the most sustainable way of meeting the overall housing needs arising from within the area and considering the implications from housing needs in the immediately adjoining areas (such as London and the South Coast).</p> <p>However, Crawley Borough Council is required to prepare a positive Local Plan which seeks to meet as much of its development needs over a 15 year period as it is able to, and support the needs of economic growth. Guidance from central government is very clear that not planning for future housing</p>

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			<p>development is not an option. Crawley's housing need comes substantially from the needs of its existing population creating new households over the Plan period.</p> <p>Therefore, to ensure Crawley is meeting its own responsibilities by protecting land that should be protected and supporting development where it is appropriate Crawley Borough Council commissioned an Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) which assessed the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p>
Wendy	individual	I feel very strongly the boundary of Crawley needs to be re-drawn. The best thing about Crawley is its Green spaces. There are large building sites being constructed which will use Crawley facilities, and which are essentially part of Crawley and should be included in Crawley but are officially Horsham despite being some distance from Horsham. If there is not room for the extra houses in Crawley's borders then Crawley cannot expand except to the detriment of all who live there and may live there in the future.	Comments noted.
Karen Brown	individual	I have lived in Crawley for 34 years, and seen more and more houses built. But hardly any new schools, shops, doctors etc. Its all well and good building new house for more people to live in Crawley, but there is a lack of essentials support e.g. schools, parks, shops to supply the every growing town.	Comments noted.
Miss J Reeves	individual	I have lived in Crawley for 56 years and enjoyed the green and open spaces. During the last 10 years I have seen the rapid loss of many of these open spaces to development of tightly packed and ill considered areas. I understand the requirement for more homes in the area however, traveling around Crawley, there are many empty properties that could be redeveloped and brought back into use.	<p>Comment noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>
Deborah Easton	individual	I have put I don't know on the Broadfield and Bewbush because both use of playing fields. Are they used? Would we lose the look for the town which is	Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		open, airy and room to breathe feeling???	<p>Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>
IAN salvage	individual	I live at 2 Pegasus Court, Bewbush. We are a family with children who use the park behind our house every day as do lots of other children who live in the area. There is no other decent park in this little area as well the playing fields which are used buy dog walkers And football teams. Where would all these children play if you built more houses on these playing fields with more children living in this area we could not. Disagree with your plans to build any houses on the Bewbush West playing fields.	<p>Comment noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>
Mr P A Webber	individual	I live in Bewbush near the new Kilnwood Vale site and it would be a travesty of justice to remove the open fields where young and old play football, walk their pets and use the area for general recreational activities. Where else would the youth of today be able to play? I understand new housing is required, just not here!	<p>Comment noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>
Roger Berry	individual	I need parks and open spaces to relax and get away from built up and congested areas. These are a must for modern society.	<p>Comment noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the</p>

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.
H Berry	individual	I need spaces to run and train for marathon.	<p>Comment noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>
Connor Gould	individual	I play on those fields with balls and my kite. Where would I go to do this with my Nanny and Grandad?	<p>Comment noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>
Grant Saunders	individual	I strongly disagree that Bewbush should have any development more housing will equal an uproar and crime will rise once again the field is a place where kids can play and enjoy themselves why take it away from them and convert them to roaming the streets and getting up to bad behaviour!	<p>Comment noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>
JOHN ADLINGTON	individual	I strongly suggest not expanding Crawley but using some of the villages and small towns around. They do have a lot of space compared with Crawley which already feels overcrowded and overshadowed.	Comment noted.
Miss Setford	individual	I think both the bewbush sites would be good for a few home but not as many as proposed as with more houses comes more children and pets i.e	Comments noted. Office space can now be converted to residential accommodation without requiring planning permission and this will provide an

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>dogs and they all need somewhere to run free and be together as a community.</p> <p>Here is a little out of the box idea you have had so many office buildings go up that we all see half empty why not convert some of the ones in town into sort after apartments i.e as they would come with high ceilings and be really close to town for the london and gatwick workers.</p>	<p>important source of additional housing supply in the next few years. However, existing employment space (land and buildings) is likely to be required for employment uses to provide for the employment needs of the Borough in the next 15 years.</p>
Charlotte Louise Knights	individual	<p>I think housing should remain as it is! Why do we need more homes???? We have little green spaces left in this town, and the ones we have we are passionate about keeping. I do not understand why you need more housing... Maybe more restrictions on WHO is allocated brand new housing needs to be looked at rather than taking away our green spaces because teenagers can't use birth control properly!!</p>	<p>Crawley's housing need primarily arises from the existing population living within the borough; due to the age profile of its residents, through new household formations and births outnumbering deaths. Crawley is responsible for showing how it is addressing all objectively assessed needs arising from the town over the Plan period.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>
Patricia Chesham	individual	<p>I think the playing fields should be preserved, especially Bewbush West Playing fields that run along the Horsham Road. It must be remembered that 3,500 houses are already being built a few hundred yards from this site which will incur heavier traffic together with pollution. (I live very close to the Horsham Road and have had to live with this disruption for months& that will continue furtherbut I except that). These green spaces are needed to help counteract the effects of pollution from the traffic, besides the need for children to have green spaces to play. What about the infrastructure of further houses bearing in mind the 3,500 houses that are being built just down the road. Currently we have problems with hosepipe bans if we get a dry spell of more than 2 weeks. What is going to happen when these houses are built together with the addition of the proposed dwellings? Is a new reservoir going to be built? May be the Government should consider another New Town with the infrastructures put in place rather than a further drain on Crawley. I thought the Government was concerned about child obesity taking their playing fields away is not going to help!</p>	<p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p> <p>The water supply companies for the town take into account the amount of planned development in Crawley in their future five year plans. The fixing of water pipe leaks, metering and water efficient design are impact factors in their strategy as well as transferring supplies around the region.</p>
Kirsty	individual	<p>I think we need more housing for them poor couples/families that have no</p>	<p>Comment noted. The Local Plan supports the delivery of affordable housing in</p>

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		hope of housing and have to use there income on private rent!!!	all new housing developments as identified in Policy H4 of the Local Plan.
Lynne McDonald	individual	I was born in Crawley and have lived here all my life, I understand the need for more affordable housing so our children can continue to live in Crawley. At the same time you need to take on board the issue that there will soon be no room left to develop on. Also the very important issue that we have had the same Fire and Ambulance Stations for as long as I have been alive and that is 48 years. Considering how large Crawley has become, it is extremely urgent and important that they are increased in line with the population and the growth area of Crawley, before you increase the population further.	Comments noted. The provision of affordable housing must be carefully balanced with the need to protect key areas of open spaces within the Borough. The infrastructure providers included the emergency services are advised of the planned development in Crawley. There are currently no immediate proposals to provide new facilities.
Sandra Mockler	individual	I was born in Crawley and I've seen it change so much. There are not many open spaces left in Crawley especially for kids to play. This is why so many children stay in doors watching television and playing computer games. My kids love playing outside as did I when I was young. I live on Skelmersdale Walk. When I bought my home I was told that the playing field could never be built on. The gardens in Skelmersdale Walk are very small but the field makes up for it. This is the only reason why I bought this house.	The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.
Mrs Henderson	individual	I wasn't given the option of choosing the reduced playing field site at Breezehurst Drive which I may have said yes to but, I think Bewbush is so vast already that it could put local services such as schools and GP surgeries under further pressure. Additionally, Bewbush is known to have areas of social deprivation that I think additional housing could only exacerbate. If developments have to go anywhere then nearby to Kilnwood Vale is more contained and makes more sense as the green space going is not quite so vast and there is additional greenspace in the centre on the green. But, I do think that a balance should be struck between retaining green areas and meeting additional housing requirements and I think developing the whole area at Breezehurst would disrupt this balance. I don't think we should continue to add new builds in increasingly smaller and/or inappropriate areas in Crawley ad infinitum. Eventually we will completely run out of space so we need to be thinking more innovatively to solving our housing problems and explore use of turning existing excess office space in the town into city style housing instead. One thing I would say is that I would like to say that where we do build, can developments be built so they do not add to Crawley's already	Comment regarding consideration of smaller scale housing development at Breezehurst Drive noted. Broad support for additional housing development near Kilnwood Vale noted. The Local Plan seeks to provide an appropriate balance in terms of meeting the housing needs of the Borough with the protection of key areas of open space. Comment regarding potential housing that could be accommodated in commercial buildings noted, however, much of this floorspace is required to meet the economic needs of the Borough over the next 15 years in terms of providing employment. Comment regarding innovative design of new buildings welcomed The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		'institutionalised' feel by not building flats/apartment blocks that look like prisons/institutions. I don't think we should be plotting developments so close to historic buildings and public open spaces, particularly when they are so aesthetically appealing that a development on the site would stick out like a sore thumb unless they're so sympathetically built as to not impede the overall look but as already mentioned, there seems to be a lack of attention to this among developers. What that area does need is a nice cafe for public use.	
Terence Green	individual	I would like to see more high-rise buildings in Crawley.	Comment noted
Colin Hayler	individual	I would object to any further loss of playing field land even if it's been leased to Crawley football club. Building close to Kilnwood Vale which in my opinion is Horsham's way of keeping a new housing estate as far away from Horsham as possible and also not having to worry much about schools transport and work. Can we just have the boundary commission enlarge Crawley's boundaries. Why have a very large power house of commerce when we are effectively wrapped up in a tight jacket we need to expand but not within our present boundaries.	Comments regarding constrained nature of Crawley's administrative boundaries noted, The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.
Roy Heather	individual	If a refuse depot was acceptable, then housing must be preferable.	Support for Goffs Park Depot noted.
Marc Callaway	individual	If Goffs Park Depot is unused it would be good to use this land for some new homes.	Support for Goffs Park Depot noted.
ALAN HICKS	individual	IF NO MORE LAND CAN BE FOUND THEN IT MEANS THAT CRAWLEY IS FULL. THE ONLY LAND THAT WOULD BE SUITABLE TO EXPAND CRAWLEY BOUNDARY IS THE BALCOMBE ROAD AREA. THIS AREA IS NOT USED FOR ANYTHING (ONLY DOG WALKING). THIS COULD BE A SITE FOR A CEMETERY OR TRAVELLERS, NOISE WOULDN'T BE A PROBLEM FOR A CEMETERY.	Comments noted, a large part of the land adjacent to Balcombe Road is to be developed for a new neighbourhood (North East Sector) and the land to the North of this is located too close to the airport to be considered suitable for housing including Gypsies and Travellers. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.
Mr Barry Khan	representative	If there is a growing demand for increase in population, then the council should increase the housing needs for all to be able to live in the existing communities as the ?? has been for all the other ethnics communities who have integrated within the area as normal resident. No especial area should be allocated for the Gypsy communities. Otherwise you many have to allocate the requirement of each community according to the practices.	Comment noted. The Housing Act 2004 requires all local authorities to assess the accommodation needs of Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople, include them in their accommodation assessments and outline how their needs will be met.
M McCormack	individual	If we have to have a traveller site there is some land by the roundabout by Gatwick Airport and the small business units and a farm.	Comment noted. Allocations for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site will only be considered suitable if the land is not subject to existing or predicted aircraft noise of 57 decibels or above.
John B Cord	individual	If you keep building on green spaces, we will have none left for the growing populations of Crawley and surrounding area.	The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.
Peter Townend	individual	lfield park	Comment noted
Tyna Campbell	individual	I'm strongly against using playing field etc for building as people already have too few recreational areas to visit. It does seem odd to me that the council would consider reducing the amount of "exercising" space when the government has a nation wide initiative to get the population fitter??	The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. This may involve enhancing the quality of existing open spaces and facilities to encourage greater use of them.
CHARLES CRANE	individual	IN MY OPINION, THE TOWN IS ALREADY TOO BIG. HOWEVER, HISTORY SUGGESTS THAT IT WILL CONTINUE TO EXPAND. THIS MEANS THAT EITHER THE DENSITY WITHIN THE BOROUGH WILL INCREASE OR NEW DEVELOPMENT WILL TAKE PLACE OUTSIDE OF THE BOROUGH OR A COMBINATION OF BOTH. DEVELOPMENT IS ALREADY TAKING PLACE OUTSIDE THE BOROUGH (KILNWOOD VALE) AND THESE PROPOSALS AND OTHERS THAT HAVE COME UP RECENTLY, WILL LEAD TO US ENDING UP WITH THE WORST OF	The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		BOTH WORLDS. IN THE CASE OF BEWBUSH WEST, I UNDERSTAND THAT A CONSULTANT'S REPORT SAID IT WAS UNDER USED, AND THAT BEWBUSH IS SAID TO HAVE TOO MANY PARKS. HAS CRAWLEY AS A WHOLE GOT TOO MANY PARKS? I HAVE SAT ALONE IN RUPSER ROAD PLAYING FIELDS, AND VERY NICE IT IS TOO. THIS IS BEING 'PROTECTED' YET THE BEWBUSH PARKS ARE NOT! IS THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS UNDERUSED? THE NEW TOWN HAD ITS OPPONENTS, BUT I THINK IT WAS NICELY LAID OUT WITH A GOOD AMOUNT OF OPEN SPACES - PLEASE LEAVE THEM ALONE. SINCE I READ ABOUT THESE PROPOSALS I HAVE GLANCE ACROSS AT BEWBUSH WEST (A SMALL PART OF IT IS VISIBLE FROM MY HOUSE) AND ALL BAR ONE EVENING (IN ABOUT THE LAST TWO WEEKS) HAS SEEN TENAGERS PLAYING FOOTBALL THERE. THE DEVELOPMENT OF KILNWOOD VALE WILL LEAD TO INCREASED USE AT BEWBUSH WEST. BREEZEHURST DRIVE SHOULD BE KEPT AS A PARK, BUT IS UNSUITABLE FOR HOUSING DUE TO TRAFFIC NOISE. I DON'T WANT THE TOWN TO EXPAND, BUT ANY EXPANSION SHOULD TAKE PLACE OUTSIDE THE BOROUGH. IF THIS IS NOT POSSIBLE, THEN IT IS NOT POSSIBLE.	Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.
Robert Flinn	individual	In my view any recreational spaces should remain for the use of the public.	Comment noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.
Dennis Pope of Nathaniel Lichfield & Partners on behalf of British Airways	representative	In the allocation of any additional housing development sites it is important to have regard to avoiding those areas which are focused on meeting the needs of airport related activities and any areas of potential future airport expansion.	Comment noted. None of the proposed housing sites are located within the safeguarded area of land required for the operation of a second runway (close parallel or widspace)
C	individual	Industrial estate - where there are so many empty properties, some have even been demolished. Redevelop.	Comment noted. The Council will continue to support the redevelopment of vacant and derelict land and buildings throughout the borough
Mrs Lesley Cave	individual	Industrial Estate, Thales and Edwards has been laid bare this is a good area to build new houses, this is a massive area, and would be put to good use rather than take all our green areas and playing fields which I feel is	Vacant and derelict land within industrial areas is required for employment uses to meet the needs of the local economy. Furthermore, many of the existing vacant sites are not suitable for housing development in terms of their

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		enjoyed by many and should be kept for generations to come. If we destroy these green areas, we will never be able to have them back, creating a generation of concrete jungles, where no space is green for children families etc to enjoy for all.	proximity to employment uses which would cause an unacceptable living environment for future residents. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.
Neal Fielden	individual	Is it possible that neighbouring council land could be used to build on e.g. Horsham land around Ifield? Crawley should keep green areas inside existing neighbourhoods for people to use and keeping the general green feel of Crawley.	Comment noted, however, the Council is unable to identify land outside of Crawley's administrative boundaries for development. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.
James Chase	individual	It seems that where isolated areas of the town or areas of interest or of an historic nature or open areas are proposed for development. Where infills in already build up areas are ignored. I would also look for more brownfield options: office blocks, warehouse, industrial areas.	Comments noted, the Council will consider all possible opportunities for residential development providing these are considered suitable for housing.
Angela	individual	It's not about new homes-stop building office blocks that are going to remain empty like those in the town centre. Fill the houses that are empty due to standard of accommodation. And build for families. 2 bed flats are plenty. 3/4 bed affordable homes are not!!!	Comments noted. The redevelopment/refurbishment of vacant commercial buildings is a key priority for the Council The need for three bedroom affordable properties is fully recognised by the Council.
Luke Warren	individual	Keep Bewbush playing fields, we have a high population of children, that need playing areas!!	Comment noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.
Patricia Walker	individual	Keep playing fields as playing fields. Use eye-sore of Stevenson Way for development.	Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			to other uses.
Kasia Ce	individual	Killing the nature.	Comment noted. Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.
Joanne Stroeykens	individual	Knock down old buildings, rebuild on those sites. Re-vamp old buildings that have been boarded up.	Comments noted
Mrs A Halliday	individual	Land that might be suitable for housing. South of Stephenson Way, adjacent to the footpath from Haslett Avenue to Tilgate - Tilgate Drive cycle track. Near block of flats in Dedisham Close, access from Greenacres.	Comments noted
Miss Burtenshaw	individual	Leave green spaces alone, in particular Bewbush playing fields, my daughter and her friends play there regularly, and it remains important for our children to enjoy some greenery during their childhoods. LEAVE OUR PLAYING FIELDS GREEN!!	The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study. This would be agreed in advance of building work.
Ron Pegler	individual	Look to use up sites where unoccupied offices stand constantly empty. Ensure future commercial developments have compulsory provision for housing. Many areas of Manor Royal are derelict unoccupied. Do not take much needed recreational space away before exhausting brownfield sites.	Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study. This would be agreed in advance of building work.
Morris	individual	Lots of houses in Crawley especially broadfield need replacing, they are looking old out of date run down and dirty. I'm sure with new technologies and improved knolage of living and lifestyle you could rebuild these areas and houses. Get rid of the rotten out of date houses and replace them,,, thats the answer, you will improve lifestyle living cleanliness and be able to build	Comments regarding redevelopment and regeneration of existing residential areas noted.

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		more homes. Improve what you do have.	
John Smith	individual	Make sure that land such as that at the back of K2 and adjacent to Desmond Anderson school which has already been acquired by private business for housing and has good access is used rather than the ad-hoc infill of smaller sites – which it appears the council is keener to do.	Comment noted, The land adjacent to K2/Desmond Anderson is identified for housing as a Key Housing Sites in the Local Plan.
Roger Duddell		Manor Royal now that the Government have allowed residential development in this area.	Comment noted
S Patel	individual	Maybe the answer is we do not have and capacity for more housing especially social housing. Bewbush has large open space or. Development unlike Langley Green where you have small pockets of land and the council at every opportunity seem to be building flats e.g. space near LG pub now flats.	Comments noted
Kirsty	individual	More houses should be built so the people of Crawley (born and bred and worked the whole life) should be able to have a council home instead of making single parents go into private rented accommodation that they can't afford what with agency fees/holding fees and 1st months rent upfront. Too expensive and unfair!	Comments noted
Mr W M Deacon		More use of Brownfield sites should be used rather than green spaces.	Comment noted, brownfield sites remain the focus for housing development
J.J Deacon	individual	More use of Brownfield sites should be used rather than green spaces.	Comment noted, brownfield sites remain the focus for housing development
Matt Leese	individual	Need to use already developed sites that are unoccupied office blocks, wasteland or demolished buildings. Stop building offices. Use the nurses' flats, opposite Crawley library, sites at squareabout, opp Crawley train station for housing. Make the most of already developed areas and don't use land that families use for leisure e.g. Breezehurst playing fields.	<p>Comments noted, brownfield sites remain the focus for housing development including Fairfield House and Telford Place. These are identified as key housing sites in the Local Plan.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study. This would be agreed in advance of building work.</p>

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Louise Harris	individual	New housing is obviously required but I strongly feel that this should not be at the expense of losing our 'green' spaces which are a very important and valuable land use for towns as well.	<p>Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study. This would be agreed in advance of building work.</p>
Richard Nixon	individual	No housing development on green space as existing resources (water, flooding, schools) are already under threat. If people need housing they should move to the North of England,	<p>Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study. This would be agreed in advance of building work.</p>
Carlie Gould	individual	No more building in Bewbush. It is full. Too many people and not enough facilities.	Comments noted
Georgina Scutt	individual	No more building on fields the town is big enough I don't want to live in a concrete England stop the immigration and we wouldn't have a problem.	<p>Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study. This would be agreed in advance of building work.</p>
Terence Barnes	individual	No more playing fields should be built on. The Depot site could be utilised for an urban farm or other community project. Brown field sites should be developed such as the now derelict nurses'	Comments noted, brownfield sites remain the focus for housing development including Fairfield House and Goffs Park Depot. These are identified as key housing sites in the Local Plan.

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		accommodation close to Crawley Hospital. It's criminal that this building has stood empty for so long.	The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study. This would be agreed in advance of building work.
Sam	individual	Old Desmond Anderson primary school site because it is an unused site.	Comment noted, brownfield sites remain the focus for housing development including the land adjacent to Desmond Anderson. This is identified as a key housing site in the Local Plan
Mrs Terry	individual	One or more of the playing fields behind Cherry Lane, Langley Green.	Comment noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study. This would be agreed in advance of building work.
David Smith	individual	Open space should not be used for further development as this not in keeping with the original garden city philosophy.	Comment noted, the significance of open space as a key element of the new town layout is fully recognised in the plan and supporting policies. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study. This would be agreed in advance of building work.
John Gilfillan	individual	Open spaces should for the benefit of local residence and those of the wider Crawley community.	Comments noted. Brownfield sites remain the focus for housing development.

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		Housing Developers should be using brown sites first, before building on green fields.	
Ann	individual	Opposite Paymaster Generals Office. The developers were greedy hence all the objections. A smaller development would be ok. The old police station and library site seems a waste of land, that could be flats. Lots of empty units at the old Tilagte Pallets site up Pease Pottage Hill, this would make ideal housing land.	Comments noted, brownfield sites remain the focus for housing development and the land opposite the Paymasters Office is identified as being suitable for residential development at a lower density to that previously approved.
Gwendaline Berry	individual	Parks need to be kept for future generations for activities. I like walking in parks, games with children and friends. We need these spaces otherwise what and where can children go to play. When bringing my children up parks and open spaces were very important, also in my children's development. What are we leaving future generations?	Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study. This would be agreed in advance of building work.
Daniel Taylor	individual	Per Crawley council planning documents Bewbush is going to be below the minimum green space as it is very shortly so removing more green space is obviously not a very sensible way of moving forwards!!!!	Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study. This would be agreed in advance of building work.
Harold Baker	individual	Perhaps better sites could be found down towards Bolney or Horsham. Crawley has taken its share of housing development since i moved here in 1954. I feel it's full to capacity.	Comments noted,
Gareth Flemington	individual	Playing fields should not be used for housing.	Comments noted, the redevelopment of existing sites remains a key priority for the Council, however, this needs to be balanced with meeting the housing needs of the borough over the next 15 years and in doing so, the Council may need to consider the development of limited areas of open space providing this can be fully justified in terms of the existing and future open space needs

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			<p>of the borough.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p>
David Gates	individual	<p>Playing fields should not be wiped out to build house. Provision or maintaining Recreation facilities should be a high priority for the development plan.</p>	<p>Comments noted, the redevelopment of existing sites remains a key priority for the Council, however, this needs to be balanced with meeting the housing needs of the borough over the next 15 years and in doing so, the Council may need to consider the development of limited areas of open space providing this can be fully justified in terms of the existing and future open space needs of the Borough.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>This may involve enhancing the quality of existing open spaces and facilities to encourage greater use of them.</p>
Mr David John Moon	individual	<p>Q1 Maintain as an open space for recreation and as a green buffer between the present housing and the beauty of Buchan Park.</p> <p>Q2 Limited housing only. Keep as a pleasant green space between Bewbush housing and potential estates on nearby Horsham D C Land.</p> <p>Q3 This area seems to be entirely suitable for housing development.</p>	<p>Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p>
Nicole Addley	individual	<p>Q1: The community do have Buchan Park.</p> <p>Q4: Why not space the houses out a little - Bewbush is very squashed.</p>	<p>Comments noted</p>
Mr Peter Blake	individual	<p>Q1: This area is in constant use by locals as a recreational area.</p> <p>Q4: This area is a valuable recreational resource & will be a visual and audible 'buffer' zone between us & the Horsham Road development.</p> <p>Q5: Access onto Horsham Rd is already congested at school times.</p> <p>Q1, 2 & 3: All of us who have bought our houses bordering on this proposed area did so because we enjoyed the view towards Faygate. Brown Bros business ruined this and now you wish to take the last vestiges of peace & quiet away as well!</p>	<p>A transport assessment of the impact of additional housing development on Horsham Road indicates that there is capacity within the existing road network to accommodate additional housing within this location.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open</p>

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		I will fight this proposal every inch of the way!! Added to this, the area has for some years been populated with all sorts of birds, bats (protected species) & other wildlife which should not be changed or reduced in any way.	space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study. This would be agreed in advance of building work. Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.
Rees Hopcraft	individual	Q2: Only if the football club won't use it ie. if the area is not fenced off to stop it being soiled by dogs, used by motorcycles etc. Q3: Buchan Park is across the road.	Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.
JANET ROSKILY	individual	Q4. BEWBUSH PLAYING FIELDS SITE FORMS A USEFUL GREEN CORRIDOR LINKING FROM THE BUCHAN PARK AREA (IF HORSHAM RETAIN A GREEN AREA ON ITS SIDE OF THE BOUNDARY) TOWARDS THE IFIELD MILL POMD MEADOW. Q5. WE HAD ALWAYS BEEN TOLD THAT THE DEPOT WOULD BE USED FOR HOUSING AFTER IT HAD BEEN CLOSED, SO DEVELOPMENT HERE SHOULDN'T CAUSE UPSET. I WRITE AS A NEIGHBOUR OF THE PARK AND AS A 'FRIEND OF GOFFS PARK'.	Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.
MR D R Thomas	individual	RE: Q5 The 30 homes would be ideal for that SPACE taking into account private cars of 30 / 40 would be sufficient for ROAD AREA.	Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.
Charlotte Franco	individual	Regarding Bewbush West playing fields. There is an abundance of wildlife that uses the field as well as many children. Also I think there may be a risk of flooding. Please do not build here.	The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The Environment Agency Flood Zone maps and the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment has identified the broad areas of flooding in this area, and

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			<p>planning policies will ensure any development is designed to take into account the existing and potential flooding of the site, including ensuring the any new surface water drainage does not increase the risk of flooding elsewhere.</p> <p>Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.</p>
David Knevett	individual	Releasing land currently protected for any 2nd runway at Gatwick would provide space for many more homes. Specifically the area north of Cherry Lane and County Oak.	Comments noted, the Council is currently unable to identify any land within the area of land safeguarded for a second runway, however, much of this land would be constrained from housing development because of its proximity to the airport and associated aircraft noise.
Stella Berrisford	individual	Retaining open spaces for future generations is most important, we do not want a complete concrete cover for our town.	Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.
Jackie Littleton	individual	Rusper Road playing fields, lfield. I believe there is no pavilion or changing facilities. This area does not overlook any existing residents. AND All unoccupied office space within the town and Industrial areas. Some office space has remained unused, for many years, since being built and quite easily be converted in to flats/bedsits.	Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.
Gary Murphy	individual	Save our parks and open space....we do not want to live in a concrete jungle. There is plenty of space in other parts of Sussex. The open spaces is what makes it enjoyable living in this town. Also do not build on greenfield site, when there are plenty of brownfield sites to build on.	Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.
Debra Spurgeon	individual	Sites used for recreation and sporting activities need to be kept for those purposes.	Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.
Jill Garwood	individual	Start using your reserves to buy land in adjoining areas that are suitable for housing. There are areas towards Gatwick that we earmarked for commerce, change the use, we have enough empty office blocks that could be utilised and modernised plus land already empty in Manor Royal itself.	Comments noted, the Council is unable to allocate land outside its administrative boundaries for housing development. Comments regarding use of commercial floorspace also noted, however, much of this land is required for the future commercial needs of the Borough.
Richard Kail	individual	Starting with the Breezehurst drive idea, the field designated for american football is possibly Crawley's only one, therefore this should be kept for this purpose, mainly to sustain a more diverse range of sports on offer for the town. Not only that, but the field opposite, being Crawley Town's training ground should be left until the football club finally decide whether they want to improve it as a training ground. Next, Bewbush West playing field. With already having Kilnwood Vale being developed, these small playing fields are prime recreation areas for children and adults alike to enjoy, things such as football, dog walking, tree climbing and just enjoying a bit of peace and quiet. It's a corridor from Buchan Park to Waterfield gardens and the Mill Pond for wildlife i.e: bats, hawks, owls among many others. Football and many recreation activities is a huge hit around that area, helping younger people keep fit and enjoy Bewbush, it would crowd the area and make it more boring for everyone living in the area if the council were to build. It should be kept for conservation and recreational purposes. As for Goffs Park depot, I believe it is an ideal place to build as it is now disused and is already man made, therefore it may serve no other real use other than development.	Support for Goffs Park Depot noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study. This would be agreed in advance of building work. Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.
Charlotte	individual	Stop finding land to build new homes, Crawley is already unpleasant enough without you putting more inconsiderate and non tax paying people in it	Comments noted, the Council has a duty to meet the housing needs of the Borough over the plan period and this must be balanced with other priorities including the protection of the Council's most valued areas of open space.
Simon Hibberd	individual	Stop immigration	This comment does not raise any planning issues.

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Dan	individual	Stop letting people in to our country and we will not have this problem	This comment does not raise any planning issues.
Ryan Richardson	individual	Stop looking at playing fields. These areas are used by dog walkers and children, we do not need housing on every green part of our town.	<p>Comments noted, the Council has a duty to meet the housing needs of the Borough over the plan period and this must be balanced with other priorities including the protection of the Council's most valued areas of open space.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study. This would be agreed in advance of building work.</p>
Dorothy Madel	individual	Stop using playing fields.	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study. This would be agreed in advance of building work.</p>
D STOVELL	individual	SUITABLE FOR HOUSING OLD EDWARDS SOCIAL CLUB SITE OLD DURACELL SOCIAL CLUB SITE OLD RADIFFSION SOCIAL CLUB SITE	Comments noted, the Old Edwards Social Club is located outside the Built Up Area Boundary within the Ifield Village Conservation Area and is considered unsuitable for housing development. Commercial land and premises (occupied and vacant) requires to be reserved for commercial use to meet the employment needs of the Borough over the next 15 years.
Mrs Linda Burchett=Vass	individual	Taking what green areas we have left is unacceptable, but using redundant sites previously occupied and built on i.e closed down factory sites, is acceptable.	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes</p>

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			<p>the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study. This would be agreed in advance of building work.</p> <p>Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.</p>
Michael Wade	individual	The area of Breezehurst playing fields should be retained as an open space. These are the only playing fields for the area.	<p>Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study. This would be agreed in advance of building work.</p> <p>Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.</p>
Nisa	individual	The area that is where Buchan Kennels used to be?	Comments noted, this site is currently being promoted as a site to meet the needs of Gypsies and Travellers.
Simone Aou-Adjei	individual	The areas that I have yes to, I believe should be use to build houses in Crawley as there is a huge shortage which is affecting young families.	Comments noted
David Browne	individual	<p>The Bewbush sites should not be built on as they offer valuable space for the many young families that occupy the area.ie giving children the space to breathe.The houses immediately adjacent the fields will suffer from noise and dust pollution, however I believe that the old leisure centre site on Breezehurst Drive is an adequate compromise to be built on.</p> <p>I see no reason why the Goffs Park site should not be built on as Goffs Park itself is still there for people to exercise and breathe and the local residents never had that space anyway.</p>	<p>Comments noted. Support for Goffs Park Depot noted.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study. This would be agreed in advance of building work.</p> <p>Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.</p>

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Stephen Dryburgh	individual	<p>The Breezehurst Drive Playing Fields and Bewbush West Playing Fields developments seem incredibly short sighted. While there is a genuine concern for the future housing need, this seems to be at the expense of future generations needs for green open spaces. Both developments are on playing fields, will future generations not need to play outdoors and exercise? Or has the countries obesity problem been solved?</p> <p>The Goffs Park Depot proposal seems valid due to the regeneration aspect. There should be a focus to identify underdeveloped or derelict sites and re-purpose them.</p> <p>From looking at your Additional Sites Allocation Consultation Map, which doesn't appear to be up to date as it doesn't show the vast development near Three Bridges square-about, gaps can be seen in the Ifield and Langley areas that aren't marked as playing fields. There is a strip of land between Broadfield Brook and the A23, Furnace Green could be expanded up to the railway line and the commercial offices next th Three Bridges square-about (which seem derelict when viewed from the railway) could receive a change of use to a quite sizeable residential development.</p> <p>Or there is the option of using the area proposed as a Travellers' site along the A264 as a residential development considering your own GYPSY, TRAVELLER AND TRAVELLING SHOWPEOPLE ACCOMMODATION NEEDS ASSESSMENT document states that there is 'no current need'.</p>	<p>Comments noted, particularly for the redevelopment of Goffs Park Depot. The Council has a duty to meet the housing needs of the Borough over the plan period and this must be balanced with other priorities including the protection of the Council's most valued areas of open space. Comments regarding use of commercial floorspace also noted, however, much of this land is required for the future commercial needs of the Borough. The proposed allocation of a Gypsy and Traveller site is as a reserve site to meet the future needs of the existing Gypsy and Traveller population within Crawley. Commercial land and premises (occupied and vacant) requires to be reserved for commercial use to meet the employment needs of the Borough over the next 15 years including commercial offices in Three Bridges.</p> <p>Much of the land adjacent to the A23 is identified as Structural Landscaping which must be retained in order to provide a sufficiently landscaped buffer to the highway.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study. This would be agreed in advance of building work.</p> <p>Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.</p>
Barry Moody	individual	<p>The construction industry needs helps & building new house is part of the solution. Also with so many people desperate for housing it is essential this development should go ahead.</p>	<p>Comments noted</p>
Mrs J Frost, on behalf of ifield Village Conservation Area Advisory Committee (IVCAAC)	representative	<p>The consultation shows how short CBC is of land. Breezehurst Drive and Bewbush West - both are recreational spaces and we would be reluctant to see them go, especially if they are used by the community as a whole. Breezehurst Drive site also acts as a barrier between housing and the traffic noise on the main road.</p> <p>Goffs Park – the park could be extended to increase open space, but as there is open space here anyway, the use for housing would be acceptable.</p>	<p>Comments noted</p> <p>Support for Goffs Park Depot noted.</p>

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Berry	individual	The council is wanting to take away public amenities. You should not take away playing and parks.	Comments noted
Kaye	individual	The empty site facing Sutherland House - formerly occupied by Seeboard which could take a development similar in character to that built on the old leisure centre site. Empty office blocks in and around the town centre such as Overline House which has always had significant unused space. Parking is obviously a major concern but town centre properties have other appeal and are unlikely to attract multi car owning families, additionally most cities and towns are in the same situation in regard to parking.	Comments regarding use of commercial floorspace also noted, however, much of this land is required for the future commercial needs of the Borough.
Stephen Baynes	individual	The farm on the Worth Way the other side of the M23. It is large enough for a development the size of Maidenbower.	This land is located outside of the administrative boundary of Crawley Borough Council within Mid Sussex District Council and the Council is unable to allocate land outside of its current boundaries for housing.
Shaila	representative	The field is our place to relax and share with our children and family, it's used for outdoor activities, and my childhood was a big part there I have a lot of memories, it should be kept an open area, we like the nature and view, seeing the local team play football and rugby and keeping fit, it's also very peaceful, picnics, sports, walking, playing in the snow, going for run, relaxing, sunbathing etc.	Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study. This would be agreed in advance of building work.
Mrs. Barbara Gillett	individual	The football team should practise on their own ground. NOT PUBLIC PLAYING FIELDS	Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study. This would be agreed in advance of building work.

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Graham Rowe	individual	The Goffs Depot site should take more than 30 homes	Any development at Goffs Park Depot should be designed to be sympathetic to the character of the surrounding area, and the relationship of the site with Goffs Park Historic Park and Garden, the setting of Goffs Park Manor Listed Building and Goffs Park House locally listed building. It is considered that 30 dwellings should be as a minimum possible to achieve within these constraints, however a higher number would not be ruled out should an acceptable scheme be submitted.
Carl Howard	individual	The Goffs Park depot is making use of land that has already been built on and is not reducing the amount of green space available.	Support for Goffs Park Depot noted.
Michelle Holmes	individual	The Goffs Park social club car park at the back could be used for more houses	Comments noted
Rod Horton	individual	The Goff Park site is adjacent to the park and shouldn't be considered.	Comments noted
Mrs krelle	individual	The green on Bewbush west is used by children in the two parks all the year round the football fields are used constantly. The government wants people to exercise more with there children as so many overweight ????. Why take the playing areas away. You do know this is also classed as a flood plain. ???	<p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study. This would be agreed in advance of building work.</p> <p>The Environment Agency Flood Zone maps and the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment has identified the broad areas of flooding in this area, and planning policies will ensure any development is designed to take into account the existing and potential flooding of the site, including ensuring the any new surface water drainage does not increase the risk of flooding elsewhere.</p>
Garry Blunt	individual	The green space in bewbush is all ready too small and no more housing should be allowed on an estate with little green space for the residents as it is. The children of Bewbush will have no green spaces to play in and this is an infringement of their basic human rights. Let children be children and play like children should, get them off computers etc and out in the open spaces if you decide to leave any	<p>Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement</p>

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study. This would be agreed in advance of building work.
Nicole Eden	individual	The green spaces in Bewbush are disappearing -children need somewhere to play - adults too! What a boring grey place Crawley will become if all this development goes ahead. Are there no sites towards the airport?	<p>Comments noted. The Council is currently unable to identify any land within the area of land safeguarded for a second runway, however, much of this land would be constrained from housing development because of its proximity to the airport and associated aircraft noise.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study. This would be agreed in advance of building work.</p>
Mrs Shirley Dormer	individual	The Horsham can not take any more traffic.	Comment noted
S Baker	individual	The infrastructure of Crawley can not cope with any more housing	Comment noted
Stephan Welch	individual	The land at Thomas Bennett School which has not been developed for years, West Sussex County Council are waiting for the price of land to increase. Crawley Council should put pressure in then to build. The old comet site it appears parking is more important than homes. There are a number of office premises that have remained empty for a vast amount of years, laws need to be changed to turn these into flats, this will then decrease the amount of green/open spaces that require building upon. The old Fairfield House site and old Southern Counties garage site in West Green, what is happening here!	Comments noted, land at Desmond Anderson, Fairfield House, Telford Place (old comet site) and Southern Counties are all identified as key housing sites in the Local Plan. Comments regarding use of commercial floorspace also noted, however, much of this land is required for the future commercial needs of the Borough.
Mrs N Brandon	individual	The land between Astral towers and Lowfield Heath roundabout. The land to the right of the footbridge into Goffs Park from West Green to Gossops Green. I agree with building homes where developments already exist but Crawley's green spaces must be preserved and protected.	Comments noted. The land between Astral Towers and Lowfield Heath is located outside of the Built-Up Area Boundary within the area of land safeguarded for a second runway at Gatwick Airport. This prevents the land being considered suitable for housing development, its location in such close proximity to the airport, would mean that it would be too noisy for residential development.

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Adam Jasko	individual	The land in Goffs Park is very near the school and would be useful for prospective parents of St. Wilfrids. However, I do not feel there is an urgent need to build homes in Crawley.	Comments noted
Ann Lawrence	individual	The old Beechams site for a new development for many houses for years to come. Private land that farmers want to sell or any one else that has land to sell. The London Road Lowfield Heath opposite Gatwick Manor land there they could build housing on. The old first choice building knock it down and build houses. The old Edwards community building in Ifield flats housed on there.	Comments regarding proposed sites noted. Any vacant or derelict employment sites such as the former GSK site are required for commercial purposes to meet the future commercial needs of the Borough. The land between Astral Towers and Lowfield Heath is located outside of the Built-Up Area Boundary within the area of land safeguarded for a second runway at Gatwick Airport. This prevents the land being considered suitable for housing development, its location in such close proximity to the airport, means that it would also be too noisy for residential development due to aircraft noise. The Old Edwards Social Club is located outside the Built Up Area Boundary within the Ifield Village Conservation Area and is considered unsuitable for housing development.
Carol	individual	The old Broadfield Kennels site would be a preferable site for housing rather than a Travellers site which would not generate any income unlike traditional housing where people would actually be contributing to the local economy, I fail to see why the council should provide a specific site for travellers who choose not to either buy or rent a place to live. Lessons should be learned from the Essex Travellers sites who were a blot on the landscape.	Comment noted. The Housing Act 2004 requires all local authorities to assess the accommodation needs of Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople, include them in their accommodation assessments and outline how their needs will be met. In addition, in much the same way as individual homebuyers or rent payers, the Gypsy and Traveller community will need to pay rent for each pitch for the land and also pay council tax.
Chris Oakley	individual	The Old Depot in Southgate is prime estate and would fetch good money & be lovely next to Goff Park. The field(s) off Breezehurst in Bewbush are totally unused and would make perfect sense to build on since the new area is being developed around the corner there is no reason not to. Due to the lack of homes possible at Kilnwood Vale it's not worth disturbing the local residents & wildlife or ruining the landscape/views from the golf course.	Support for Goffs Park Depot noted. Support for Breezehurst Drive site noted.
Peter James Beckley	individual	The old EDF site near the Squareabout (Russell Way) which is derelict and a complete eyesore	This site is identified as being suitable and deliverable for housing development within the next 5 years.
MR ROGER BOLDING	individual	The Old Horsham Road already has too many residential units requiring access from this dead end road. When the St Wilfrids development was approved by WSCC Highways it was on the understanding that no more residential development would be permitted that required vehicular access from the Old Horsham Road.	Comments noted. A transport assessment for the site has concluded that the site is capable of delivering 30 dwellings without significant detriment to the surrounding road network.

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
David Hart		The open space parks and playing fields should not be further developed. If that means not building within Crawley, so be it - build outside on less used sites that will not remove open space for town dwellers.	<p>Comments noted, the Council is unable to allocate land outside its administrative boundaries for housing development.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study. This would be agreed in advance of building work.</p>
Francesc Scott	individual	<p>The proposal to develop Breezehurst Drive playing fields and Bewbush West playing fields would drastically reduce the availability of parks and recreation grounds in the area as well as impair access to Buchan Country Park.</p> <p>The access roads in the area will be strained by the Kilnwood Vale development so further development in the area will exacerbate this problem.</p> <p>It would have a materially negative impact on the quality of life of Bewbush residents.</p>	<p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>
Gary Shepherd	individual	The site at Breezehurst drive is ideally located for the local shops and the playing fields being partly retained would maintain an even balance. The site at Bewbush West playing fields is not ideal as the fields are in regular use by junior football teams, dog walkers, wildlife enthusiasts such as bird spotters, and the local children from the top end of Gasson wood road, as they are regularly unable to use the playing field down the road, The Green, due to its use as a cricket pitch during the summer months, and not to forget the fact that being closer to their homes the parents can easily keep an eye on them.	<p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study. This would be agreed in advance of building work.</p>
Moustapha	individual	<p>The town car park next to the registry office?</p> <p>Northgate playing fields</p> <p>Park next to Tesco's petrol station, Pound Hill</p>	This land may be required for the redevelopment of the Town Centre as part of Town Centre North as shown on the Local Plan Map. Northgate playing fields are required for open space purposes given that Northgate ward has limited open space provision for the number of existing residents. Grattons Park is identified as a Local Nature Reserve and would not be suitable for housing development.

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Vikki Webb	individual	The town does not have sufficient infrastructure in place to support further development – the nearest hospitals to admit A&E patients are in different counties, there are no maternity units in Crawley, and the park spaces are the only areas that you can escape the ill-conceived urban mess that this once nice town has become.	The Borough Council liaises with the infrastructure providers to ensure that they are aware of the amount of development planned in Crawley. The location of specific medical services is a matter for the health authorities.
Carl Rickwood	individual	The two areas in Bewbush detailed above; Breezehuest Drive playing fields and Bewbush West playing fields are areas that are constantly used on a daily basis by the local community. If these areas were to be developed for housing it would result in a strain on resources and a lack of outside space available for the community to enjoy. It will also cause a huge amount of disruption to the community if this were to go ahead. These areas should be protected, not developed on. They are bailey able assets to the community and they should remain that way. The area in Goffs Park has already been used as a development in the past and due to this and the fact that it is already in a residential area; would in my opinion be suitable for a housing development.	Support for development of Goffs Park Depot noted The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study. This would be agreed in advance of building work.
David McMahon	representative	The West Sussex Fire and Rescue Service have a responsibility under the Fire Services Act to accommodate any future development and the current and future strategies will be designed to manage the risk appropriately	Comments noted
Andrew Towner	individual	There are a number of previously commercially used sites in the Manor Royal area that are no longer used for commercial purposes & some for a considerable amount of time. In the present (and continuing) economic climate, it would appear sensible to change the use of these sites from business to residential / traveller site.	Comments regarding use of commercial floorspace also noted, however, all of this land is required for the future commercial needs of the Borough.
Jo	individual	There are already enough houses being built in Bewbush, it is nice to see some fields left green, there are already houses being built in Dorsten and also loads more on the Horsham Road. Let us have some areas that are house free please!!!!!!!!!!!!	Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study. This would be agreed in advance of building work.

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Tim Simmans	individual	There are buildings in Crawley that are currently abandoned that have not been used for a long time and seem to have no intention of being used. Rather than looking at Green Spaces maybe its worthwhile looking at spaces already built on but unused. Or stop building. Crawley cannot continue to expand indefinitely	Comments noted The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study. This would be agreed in advance of building work.
Grace Williamson	individual	There are enough houses already in Crawley. There is not the facilities for people already living in Crawley	Comments noted
K Howe	individual	There is a Large amount of land in front of Waterlea playground, I am not sure if Waterlea use the land or perhaps the scout hut there but there is a large area there.	Waterlea Meadows are identified as a Local Nature Reserve and would therefore be unsuitable for housing development as identified on the Local Plan Map.
Mrs Samantha Shelford	individual	There is already an issue of anti-social behaviour due to lack of things to entertain the young. Develop these sites to suit the needs and reduce anti-social behaviour rather than bring more numbers to joint the issue!	Comments noted
Pretti Amin	individual	There is enough housing developed but just not given to people who have lived here their whole lives.	Comments noted
Sharon Harris	individual	There is no doubt, not just in crawley but the whole country has a need for more housing - we will never meet the demand unless the government change course & why should we lose all our lovely green spaces to accommodate the government mistakes which they inflicting on us, changing our lovely environment into a concrete jungle. What will happen when every piece of land is used?	Comments noted, the Council has a duty to meet the housing needs of the Borough over the plan period and this must be balanced with other priorities including the protection of the Council's most valued areas of open space.
Alan Scott	individual	There is no need to constantly look for more high density housing in Crawley. It is already overdeveloped and this affects the quality of living here. There is plenty of space for housing elsewhere in the UK. What we need is to enhance the centre of Crawley to bring it up from its down market image. We need to get those empty premises let.	Comments noted
Patricia Rogers	individual	There is too much construction work going on in Crawley as it is.	Comments noted

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Carolyn Thompson	individual	There will soon be no green areas left around Crawley if you keep building in these areas.	Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study. This would be agreed in advance of building work.
B Patel	individual	This part of town. Was development in first instance of new homes and has capacity local transport links very good compared to other parts of town this is very noticeable when trying to get home on a bus, more buses ping to BB then LG	Comments noted
Helen Burton	individual	This town doesn't need new housing it needs better housing management.	Comments noted
Samantha Jacobs	individual	Thomas Bennett playing fields. Garage sites.	The land adjacent to Desmond Anderson is identified as a key housing site in the Local Plan. Garage sites may be suitable for housing development providing their loss in terms of replacement parking provision can be justified. This is often difficult given the extent of on-street parking in many neighbourhoods.
Margaret Thompson	individual	Too much development this side of town (west).	Comments noted
Carole Brown	individual	TtHERE ARE PLACES ON THE EDGE OF TOWN WHICH COULD BE DEVELOPED. WE SHOULDN'T BE BUILDING ON INNER TOWN GREEN AREAS.	Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study. This would be agreed in advance of building work.
Mr Richard A	individual	Unfortunately I have no serious contenders to suggest other than dis-used	Comments noted, the council has a duty to meet the housing needs of the

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Page		industrial sites. There are already too many people in Crawley for the existing services etc. I don't see the council rushing to build the new hospital we so desperately need!	borough over the plan period and this must be balanced with other priorities including the protection of the council's most valued areas of open space. Comments regarding use of commercial floorspace also noted, however, much of this land is required for the future commercial needs of the borough.
Jane Leese	individual	Use all the empty offices and demolished sites around the town to build homes - make sure you plan enough parking.	Comments regarding use of commercial floorspace also noted, however, much of this land is required for the future commercial needs of the borough.
Jeanette Turner	individual	Use brown sites - a better option. Do not build on small patches that are tucked up behind established land / property.	Comments noted
Paul Ballard	individual	Use some of the empty office blocks that are polluting the town centre.	Comments regarding use of commercial floorspace also noted, however, much of this land is required for the future commercial needs of the borough.
Paul Ballard	individual	Use the empty spaces on Manor Royal.	Comments regarding use of commercial land noted, however, much of this land is required for the future commercial needs of the borough.
Richard Thorburn	individual	Use the land to the east of the M23 near Maidenbower Audi Garage.	The council is unable to allocate land outside its administrative boundaries for housing development. This land is located outside of Crawley Borough within Mid Sussex District Council.
Hurley	individual	Using playing fields should never be an option for new housing developments. Only land, such as the council depot in Southgate, where a housing development would be an improvement, should be considered. Housing should never have a negative affect on the existing residents in the area being considered.	Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study. This would be agreed in advance of building work.
Peter Foulkes	individual	We are concerned about the loss of green space and whether local amenities i.e. NHS/utilities etc can cope with additional housing.	Comments noted. The council will ensure that sufficient infrastructure is provided to accommodate the level of additional housing including education and health facilities.
John Harris	individual	We are losing too much open green space. If additional housing is required why can't the developments be built in other parts of the county or the country?	Comments noted, the council has a duty to meet the housing needs of the Borough over the plan period and this must be balanced with other priorities including the protection of the council's most valued areas of open space., The council is unable to allocate land outside its administrative boundaries for

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			housing development.
Sandra Coughlan	individual	We can not continue to develop on our green spaces. On the whole Crawley has a lot to offer and we are lucky to give our families this open space. We do not have the infrastructure to constantly support expensive housing. Let's keep out green spaces for the future children.	<p>Comments noted, the council has a duty to meet the housing needs of the borough over the plan period and this must be balanced with other priorities including the protection of the council's most valued areas of open space.,</p> <p>The council will ensure that sufficient infrastructure is provided to accommodate the level of additional housing including education and health facilities.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study. This would be agreed in advance of building work.</p>
Sarah Fortnam	individual	We can't keep building on fields and football pitches where will our children play this is pushing more on to the streets bored.	<p>Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study. This would be agreed in advance of building work.</p>
Rosemary and Kenneth Charles Benn	individual	We consider any further housing should be on the outskirts of Crawley even if it means taking up some parkland. Crawley is already too built up and to have any quality of life we must have space, green areas for those without gardens and small attractive places to sit and small the roses. Dont spoil what was once an attractive and pleasant town.	<p>Comments noted, the council has a duty to meet the housing needs of the borough over the plan period and this must be balanced with other priorities including the protection of the council's most valued areas of open space including parkland on the periphery of Crawley, The council fully recognises the value of small areas of open space situated within existing neighbourhoods and the Local Plan seeks to protect these areas from development.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open</p>

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			<p>space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study. This would be agreed in advance of building work.</p>
Neil Perrotten	individual	We do not need more houses with the new kilnwood developement being built, community greens are very important to protect we cannot just build on every greenland!!	<p>Comments noted, the council has a duty to meet the housing needs of the Borough over the Plan period and this must be balanced with other priorities including the protection of the council's most valued areas of open space. The development of Kilnwood Vale whilst adjacent to Crawley, serves to directly meet the housing needs of Horsham, however, this does not prevent residents of Crawley from moving to this development.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study. This would be agreed in advance of building work.</p>
Mr Ayling	individual	We don't need any more houses in Crawley look further afield.	Comment noted. The council is unable to allocate land outside its administrative boundaries for housing development.
S Broomfield	individual	We have an ever decreasing number of green spaces in the area which also includes the devastating impact on wildlife. Bewbush playing fields is an area of beauty and is used by many people. Also the traffic would be a danger to children playing in the ears and our amenities would be under a huge strain is doctors etc.	<p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study. This would be agreed in advance of building work.</p> <p>Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.</p> <p>The council has assessed the impact of development on the highway network</p>

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			to ensure that is acceptable and any mitigation measures are identified. The council liaises with the infrastructure providers to ensure that they are aware of the development planned in Crawley.
Russell Crosbie	individual	<p>We have an under supply of allotments, and I believe some of the Bewbush West site should be retained to provide additional allotments. I recently considered applying for an allotment in Bewbush but I was discouraged and disheartened to see the length of the waiting lists, so I have not bothered. Also I believe the woodland at Bewbush Brook should be wholly preserved due to its natural beauty and as a haven for wildlife. Any developments in Bewbush West and Breezehurst Drive should leave space for recreation, given that both parks and recreation grounds and play space in Bewbush appear to be under-supplied.</p> <p>As a general observation, there appear to be many unoccupied office blocks. I know that in East Grinstead, an office block has been converted to residential flats successfully, and we should place consideration for the re-use of office blocks (and car parks such as at Dorsten Square) above the development of green spaces.</p>	<p>Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study. This would be agreed in advance of building work.</p> <p>Comments regarding use of commercial floorspace also noted, however, much of this land is required for the future commercial needs of the borough.</p>
Ian Thurloway	individual	We have precious little true park land and woods in Crawley, this area should not be used for housing because of its uniqueness.	Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses
Elizabeth Ongley	individual	<p>We live in a maisonette that faces onto Bewbush West playing fields. None of the properties in Nesbit Court have gardens and so all of the children use the playing fields instead. It is the main area that they go to play after school and during the day, to take this away would prevent them from enjoying and active and healthy childhood - surely something that the council should be trying to protect and encourage.</p> <p>It is also used regularly by dog walkers, and enjoyed by all the local cats. This space is also used for football at the weekends.</p> <p>The location of Bewbush West playing fields was one of the main reasons why we as a family moved to Crawley as it made us feel that we were not living in the middle of a built up housing estate and we felt that it was the perfect environment to raise our family in.</p>	The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses
Mavis Harris and Ron	individual	We need all the open spaces for football and leisure for youth of the town.	Comments noted, the council has a duty to meet the housing needs of the borough over the plan period and this must be balanced with other priorities

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Harris		Building houses is essential but must leave some for leisure I feel.	including the protection of the Council's most valued areas of open space. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses
Elwood	individual	We need more space not every nook and cranny filled with ugly cheap housing, kick out the Tory's... and build some proper social housing, expand the town, give people homes they can be proud of so people want to live here. There isn't even the infrastructure in place to handle this constant sardine packing building works; you imbeciles are making this dive of a town even worse.	Noted. The Borough Council liaises with the infrastructure providers to ensure that they are aware of the development planned in Crawley.
Graham Smith	individual	We need our green spaces, how about looking at brown field site. The old Glaxo site off Crawley Avenue.	Comment with regard to use of commercial land noted, however, much of this land is required for the future commercial needs of the Borough. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses
Smith	individual	We need parks and fields to educate our children.	Comment noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses
Mr P Kozel	individual	We need to keep as many open space as possible once they have been developed they have been lost forever. Crawley is full to bursting point we need to stop bringing in more people to area.	Comments noted
Yuonne Gander	individual	We need to keep our green land.	Comment noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses
M Abbott	individual	We need to protect our green spaces; this town is getting too big too many hotels etc. we need these little spaces within communities they provide wildlife areas etc. consider building houses on sites like the old gsk etc. before commercial there's not room now for both	Comments noted, the council has a duty to meet the housing needs of the Borough over the plan period and this must be balanced with other priorities including the protection of the council's most valued areas of open space including wildlife areas. Comment with regard to use of commercial land noted, however, much of this land is required for the future commercial needs of the borough.
David Roskilly - for Friends of Goffs Park	representative	We thought Crawley had a green area strategy that preserved green corridors (when John Palmer was the relevant council officer). Bewbush houses have little personal space in back or front for play and recreation. With Horsham building against the Bewbush border it is vital to keep Bewbush playing fields for recreation. 19.06.05 we were told that open space along the A264 was necessary for the approach to the town - to prevent ribbon development - later when Bewbush was developed it was said that Bewbush would provide play and recreation space for Gossops Green which already was short on such space. How can the Council ignore its earlier policies in this way?	The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses
Jamie Cardy	representative	West Green Park, Ewhurst Road seems to be very run down and unused.	Comment noted
Miss J Howick	individual	What about land [at] Thomas Bennet [illegible] & what [about] Ifield, Lady Margaret have been demolished.	The land adjacent to Thomas Bennett and Ifield Community College are both identified as key housing sites in the local plan capable of being delivered within the next 5 years.
Karen Barrett	individual	What about the land where the nurses' home used to be in West Green - nothing has been done with the land since the building was knocked down and also the site where Southern Counties garage used to be in West Green opposite Asda???	Both Fairfield House and Southern Counties are identified as key housing sites within the Local Plan capable of being delivered within the next 5 years.
Sharon	individual	What is happening to the site in Ifield which used to house Ifield Community College? That is a perfect housing site and has been left derelict for many years now.	The land at Ifield Community College is identified as a key housing site in the Local Plan to be delivered within the next 5 years.
Margaret Martin	individual	While I appreciate the need for new houses, it would seem better to have a number of small developments, rather than larger numbers of houses in overcrowded areas.	Comments noted

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Keith Wright	individual	Whilst I appreciate the need for more housing in the borough, I chose to live in Bewbush because of the open space that is near my home. The development of Bewbush West playing fields would in my opinion merge Bewbush with the new Kilnfield development and eventually the creation of "CRAWSHAM"	Comment noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses
Tracy Squires	individual	Why build more homes in a neighbourhood like Bewbush that is already overcrowded with properties. By building on the two proposed sites takes away the whole of West Bewbush's green playing space. Children have little places to go as there is only 1 park near the Sullivan Drive end which has to be accessed by a main road!!! Building on this land would mean there is no where for local children to play and playing in the road would be their only option! The Government are always going on about exercise and losing weight and someone in their infinitely wisdom decides to take away the only other 2 places in Bewbush with playing fields to build more houses. Where are the kids going to play that will be living in these houses? On the A264? You've already taken away the leisure centre, basket ball courts, paddling pool and replaced it with a little park. Does someone there really believe that this tiny park can cater for the whole of Bewbush? It can't cater for it now!	The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses
M Clark	individual	Why devastate the west fields in Bewbush when there is new whole estate being built just the otherside of the hill down the A264? Why destroy a greenspace that is used and loved by the local residence, where will we have when it is all concreted over and just another part of the ghetto that is Bewbush.	The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses
B Phillips	individual	Why do Crawley Council need to build 200 homes on the Breezehurst Drive playing fields when there is a development for 2500 homes less than a mile away towards Horsham is the council determined to create more gridlock and pollution around Bewbush or is it just greed.	Comments noted, the Council has a duty to meet the housing needs of the Borough over the plan period and this must be balanced with other priorities including the protection of the Council's most valued areas of open space. The development of Kilnwood Vale whilst adjacent to Crawley, serves to directly meet the housing needs of Horsham, however, this does not prevent residents of Crawley from moving to this development. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			<p>the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study. This would be agreed in advance of building work.</p>
Tracy	individual	<p>Why doesn't the council now do as some other local councils have now done and refuse to build further in the area. Crawley was a new town and a lot of thought went into the design of it. The town was developed for the employees of the companies to live closer to their work but the planners recognised that all employees have families and need green open spaces in which to enjoy any leisure time they have. This ideal is now being constantly eroded. The town now has too little green space that can be accessed freely for leisure. Given the amount of high rise flats that are now being built the green areas are increasingly necessary for working families to access. Other council are saying no enough is enough why don't Crawley.</p>	<p>Comments noted, the Council has a duty to meet the housing needs of the borough over the plan period and this must be balanced with other priorities including the protection of the Council's most valued areas of open space.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>
Neil	individual	<p>Why is the old Ifield Community College site still undeveloped after 8 years? This site could potentially house hundreds of homes.</p>	<p>The land at Ifield Community College is identified as a key housing site in the Local Plan to be delivered within the next 5 years.</p>
Joy Lloyd	individual	<p>With the option of reducing the number of houses to retain a larger open, communal space.</p>	<p>Comments noted</p>
Graham Piper	individual	<p>With the problems of obesity in society it seems irresponsible to drastically reduce the area currently designated for playing fields in Breezehurst Drive and Bewbush West for further housing development.</p> <p>As a resident of Hillside Close (off Old Horsham Road) and a member of the Buckswood Grange Residents Association, I am concerned at the impact on traffic movements and pedestrian safety, especially with St Wilfrid's school in the vicinity, if 30 new housing units are introduced onto the Council Depot site. The residents are aware that a Road Safety Audit has been conducted for the Old Horsham Road and understand that the recommendations would severely limit the number of properties that can be built at this site.</p>	<p>Comments regarding open space noted. A transport assessment for the Depot site has concluded that the site is capable of delivering 30 dwellings without significant detriment to the surrounding road network.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>
Alison Burke	individual	<p>Work with neighbouring local authorities to build shared homes on adjoining land. A town still needs green space or we will become like New York where</p>	<p>Comments noted. The Council continues to work with adjoining local authorities to ascertain how the areas wider housing needs can be</p>

Q.6 Please use the space below to explain any of your answers or identify any other land that might be suitable for housing.			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		there is only 1 park and no gardens - and a town of blocks of flats.	<p>accommodated over the next 15 years.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>
Sue	individual	Yes you should build homes but Council Homes not private.	Comments noted. In addition to council-led schemes, any private residential development will be required to provide a proportion of the properties to be available as Affordable housing – this will include those for affordable/social rental and intermediate properties (such as shared ownership).
P J Machell	individual	You cannot get a quarter into a pint jug! What type of housing? Flats - if so, how high?	Comments noted. Crawley's limited land capacity and constraints are recognised, limiting the amount of development possible within the borough boundaries. However, whilst it is important that the finite land supply available within Crawley is not wasted, development schemes will still need to be appropriate to the character of the local area in which they are situated. In some cases, flatted schemes will be suitable, and in other locations a more low-rise, low density scheme would be appropriate. Aerodrome safeguarding places maximum height and locational restrictions on tall buildings within Crawley.
Ward	individual	Young children and families need these places to play They are important to keep.	<p>Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>

Questionnaire Space 2: Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site.

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Eileen Thompson	individual	Travellers/Gypsies should live in houses like everyone else rather than be a source of continual problems. I do not believe all Travellers/Gypsies should be regarded as 'bad' people, but their lifestyle (including their vandalism) is not one that sits happily with the rest of the town. They should not have sites in either Broadfield or Langley Green as these neighbourhoods already have a low image, reflected in house prices, and it would only make them worse.	Comment noted. No planning issues have been raised.
M Clark	individual	We have enough problems with Travellers, we even have an Irish festival for some reason!? Do we really need to invite more?	Comment noted. No planning issues have been raised.
Sarah Williams	individual	"An absolutely definite no" to even consider a traveller site in Langley Walk. The access is my main concern. This is a small lane with parked cars at all times on one side of the road and lorry and caravan access will almost be impossible. And the land floods terrible there and has done every year for the past 40 years that I recall. This is also a very unattractive free lined lane and the land is full of wildlife. The only consideration should be Broadfield Kennels.	Support for the Broadfield Kennels Site has been noted. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Robert	individual	"Remaining in one place for a period of time allows people from this group to access education and health services, which is often not the case if they are traveling around the country." Then they should buy a house like the rest of us.	Comment noted. No planning issues have been raised.
CLLR STEPHEN JOYCE	representative	1. LANGLEY WALK IS NOT SUITABLE FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS: NOISE POLLUTION FROM AIRPORT, NARROW ROAD, EDGE OF A NEIGHBOURHOOD WHICH COULD MEAN A HOSTILE ENVIRONMENT FOR TRAVELLERS AND POSSIBLE FLOODING. 2. RE-VISIT SITES PREVIOUSLY LOOKED AT BY THE CROSS PARTY SCRUTINY PANEL IN 2004/5.	For a number of reasons, the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. In addition, the Forward Planning Team have searched extensively throughout the borough for a suitable and achievable Gypsy and Traveller site.

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
David Lloyd	individual	<p>1. Why not leave the Gypsy people that we have in the houses that they have at the moment, and the children will move into the community like all other children.</p> <p>2. As Britain is expecting 50,000 Rumanians and Bulgarians (per year for 5 years)...</p> <p>3. This is a quiet neighbourhood but the people around here are up in arms at your proposal. The Broadfield site would be less trouble to manage.</p> <p>4. This must be an EU directive on the eve of these people coming. There will be a large swing to UKIP in this area should this hapen.</p>	Comment noted. No planning issues have been raised.
Felicia Hewitt	individual	10 fixed pitches will soon become 100! plus could be a security risk for the airport	Comment noted. No planning issues have been raised.
Kathleen Baker	individual	A much better option would be to use one of the many sites on the Manor Royal Industrial Estate which have been unused for years. There is good road access and although in an industrial area, noise levels are low. Positioning the site away from housing areas would make for more harmonious relations with the existing residents of Crawley	For a number of reasons, potential sites on the Manor Royal Industrial Estate would be considered inappropriate, since residential uses on the Manor Royal would be contrary to local planning policy. In addition, the high land values associated with such sites would likely mean that a Gypsy and Traveller Site would not be financially acheivable.
Michael Mecrow	individual	A permanent site is not a good idea and personally don't think it will benefit Crawley at all. There is one in Brighton close to where I work and it is forever being repaired because ...	Comment noted. No planning issues have been raised.
Rob Upton	individual	A site should not be located next to a nature park which many people use and enjoy.	Comment noted.
Michael Decker	individual	A total of 20 families doesn't sound unreasonable, wouldn't overburden the area's facilities, and goes some way towards satisfying the Council's duties in this regard.	Comment noted.
Alyna Trotman	individual	<p>Infrastructure.</p> <p>The area identified for the site in Langley Walk is approximately half the size of that at Broadfield Kennels for the same 10 x fixed pitches. The will be a community issue.</p> <p>Concerns regarding access to the site.</p> <p>Concerns regarding rubbish accumulation.</p> <p>The Broadfield site is more conducive as it not directly beside an existing community but on the edge of the town.</p>	<p>Support for the Broadfield Kennels Site has been noted.</p> <p>For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p>

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Alexander Ritchie	individual	Langley Walk, and surrounding roads. The road infrastructure is not capable of taking any more traffic. Currently parking is on one side of each road and vehicles make their way in and out to proceed down the road. The passing in the street ensure that the road is single lane. The area identified for the site in Langley Walk is approximately half the size of that at Broadfield Kennels for the same 10 x fixed pitches. The will be a community issue. Concerns regarding access to the site. Concerns regarding rubbish accumulation. The Broadfield site is more conducive as it not directly beside an existing community but on the edge of the town.	Support for the Broadfield Kennels Site has been noted. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Lauren Ritchie	individual	Langley Walk, and surrounding roads. The road infrastructure is not capable of taking any more traffic. Currently parking is on one side of each road and vehicles make their way in and out to proceed down the road. The passing in the street ensure that the road is single lane. The area identified for the site in Langley Walk is approximately half the size of that at Broadfield Kennels for the same 10 x fixed pitches. The will be a community issue. Concerns regarding access to the site. Concerns regarding rubbish accumulation. The Broadfield site is more conducive as it not directly beside an existing community but on the edge of the town.	Support for the Broadfield Kennels Site has been noted. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Cisley Adams	individual	The area identified for the site in Langley Walk is approximately half the size of that at Broadfield Kennels for the same 10 x fixed pitches. The will be a community issue. Concerns regarding access to the site. Concerns regarding rubbish accumulation. The Broadfield site is more conducive as it not directly beside an existing community but on the edge of the town. The local community is across the small road the road is too small to turn in the infrastructure can not support.	Support for the Broadfield Kennels Site has been noted. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Alison Hooper	individual	Access from Langley Walk and surrounding areas - the road infrastructure is not capable of taking any more traffic. Langley Walk is very narrow without pavement on one side. It is totally unsuitable for any heavy traffic to undertake any construction work. The area identified at Langley Walk is only half the size of that at Broadfield Kennels for the same number of pitches. The site at Langley Walk is within an existing community, that at Broadfield Kennels is not, we believe that Travellers themselves	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>prefer to be further away from residential properties.</p> <p>Environment - the area is ancient historic woodland and the surrounding area is also designated as one of outstanding natural beauty.</p> <p>Flooding - there is a high risk of constant flooding in the area. Any new properties or travellers site will increase the run off for the area and increase the risk of flooding.</p> <p>Infrastructure - an intolerable burden will be placed on the existing community.</p> <p>Gatwick Airport - if a second runway is given the go ahead, there will be a dramatic increase in noise pollution and public health issues due to additional kerosene discharge from increased aircraft movements.</p>	
Paul Lewis	individual	<p>Access in Langley Walk is limited, including emergency vehicles. If the housing goes ahead I do not believe the houses will sell so readily. They might sell more cheaply but their insurance costs will be higher.</p> <p>Access to the Kennels is better and they are further away from housing.</p> <p>The old gas holder site ref 14 would be the best place to put the Gypsy site.</p>	<p>For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p> <p>The Gas Holder site lies within an area of flood risk, which is unsuitable for use as a Gypsy and Traveller pitch site.</p>
Barbara Bower	individual	<p>Access problems.</p> <p>Too near the children's playing field and play ground.</p> <p>Hygiene - Will there be a toilet block and will they use it?</p>	<p>For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p>
Mark Brown	individual	<p>Access to Langley Walk is already very congested</p>	<p>For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p>
Bill Askham	individual	<p>Access to this site is restricted due to width of the Langley Walk, also local resident parking takes up some of the road width, if a Traveller arrives at the site is there room to turn vehicle and caravan around if the site is full?</p>	<p>For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p>
Sally Mitchelson	individual	<p>Access via Langley Walk is limited with the road being narrow. The area is prone to flooding.</p>	<p>For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p>

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Mavis Harris and Ron Harris	individual	Adamantly against this site where kennels were. This is much too close to the amazing Buchan Park where the dogs are walked, children from schools visit in large groups with teachers. The park rangers have done an exemplary work and take great pride in all they do... This is a free park for families and treasured by us all. The wild life and lakes are incredible... Sorry it has always been like this and we pay for the clearing up... Please please leave this park for us it is used so much. They are too close to it and will encroach on it and the safety of all of us will be spoilt!	The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. The acceptable development of this site will include adequate highway access being achieved, along with appropriate design, layout and landscaping to ensure the requirements of the AONB Management Plan are satisfied and the impacts of development adjacent to the country park are mitigated.
Mr Barry Khan	representative	Again DO NOT encourage these group to break up the co-existing of the other communities in the area. What have they contributed to the area?	Comment noted. No planning issues have been raised.
Mrs P Harwood	individual	All Crawley facilities should be [at the] disposal of people who live here and pay local council tax.	Comment noted. No planning issues have been raised.
P Merrilees	individual	Allowing a permanent site in Langley Green would invite serious problems for the surrounding area & devalue properties on the area, affecting the local shops, schools etc!	Comment noted. No planning issues have been raised.
Gareth Flemington	individual	Although this Government want all Councils to have a site, having put up with yearly influx of Travellers on Cherry Lane Playing Fields	Comment noted. No planning issues have been raised.
Stella Berrisford	individual	Any Gypsy/Traveller site would need to be near schools etc.	Comment noted.
Anne Parker	individual	Area too near homes I've seen the mess that travellers leave behind, my husband is a groundsman for a local football club, and the sand and topsoil used on the ground was used as a toilet by Travellers. They seem to have no idea about correct behaviour. The road is too small to carry their lorries and caravans. Will they pay council tax and income tax like the good residents of Langley Green?	Comment noted.
B J Smith	individual	Areas around Gatwick - there are plenty of open fields.	Comment noted. Owing to the considerable noise constraints of Gatwick Airport, and the lower acoustic attenuation levels of caravans, a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site would be considered unsuitable for long term habitation.
Graham Petschel	individual	... whether or not individuals feel that this comment is morally correct or not, history shows it to be absolutely true. It would be unfair, dishonest and completely immoral to impose problems on current resident who have made their home in the area.	Comment noted. No planning issues have been raised.

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Mrs Stephens	individual	As a resident of Langley Green/Ifield I am getting fed up with everything being put onto our doorstep we are already a very diverse neighbourhood and have our share of facilities i.e. new Mosque, Psychiatric hospital, new Temple. The house prices in my area have already fallen and looking online since the travellers site proposal has fallen another 5% are we to be compensated for this? Also if the new expansion for Gatwick goes ahead won't the money spent building this/ putting in amenities, widening roads be for nothing? This area proposed is in the middle of a residential area that will have a huge impact.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Tina Wort	individual	As a resident of Langley Walk this site is almost opposite my house. Firstly vehicle access must be considered as the road is too narrow to accommodate large vans, caravans, lorries etc (more traffic would make the road more dangerous as it is a quiet road due to being a cul-de-sac at one end and Stafford Road at the other with restricted turning into Langley Walk). Secondly the area is one of natural beauty with a wealth of wildlife including deer, owls, bats, horses, shetland ponies, woodpeckers, nuthatches, gold and green finches. Thirdly the possibility of a second runway at Gatwick would make this site very unsuitable. If Travellers settle then surely they are not travellers and should pay rent, council tax and all other expenses that residents have to pay to local government. Children would be taking places in local schools and maybe even taking places which other pupils living permanently in the area might be denied as a first choice school. There are some sites in Manor Royal which are vacant, have all access roads and services which would be much more suitable. With reference to the planned housing estate in Langley Walk I am opposed to this development for the same reasons as above. Flooding has happened at this end of the road, the road is narrow, wildlife is abundant and increase in traffic would make the road more dangerous.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. In addition, potential sites on the Manor Royal Industrial Estate have been considered inappropriate, since residential uses on the Manor Royal would be contrary to local planning policy. In addition, the high land values associated with such sites would likely mean that a Gypsy and Traveller Site would not be financially achievable.
Sharon Oakshott	individual	As a resident who lives in Broadfield and enjoys walking in Buchan Park and the surrounding areas, i feel having a traveller site here would compromise the area, along with safety, house prices would fall (Dale Farm has shown this). I agree they need somewhere but maybe an option where it wouldn't affect the local community already established there. When they have been on illegal sites, safety in the area has been compromised, the rubbish they leave is disgraceful. In France they have allocated sites for Travellers and these are always located away from residential areas but within easy access of shops	Comment noted. Planning Policy for Travellers Site (2012, Para 11) on the subject of Gypsies and Travellers advises that the Local Planning Authorities should promote peaceful and integrated co-existence between a site and the wider community.

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		etc and these sites are always very clean.	
Griggs	individual	As a young Crawley resident I would feel threatened seeing the travellers around the area, I am also concerned about housing for myself over the next few years, we should not provide for them but think of us young (from birth) Crawley residents.	Comment noted. No planning issues have been raised.
MR D R Thomas	individual	...	No planning issues have been raised.
Juliet McCaffery Secretary Sussex Traveller Action Group	representative	<p>As Secretary of the Sussex Traveller Action Group I welcome the arguments put forward for the need for Gypsy and Traveller sites. They are well put and convincing on the importance of identifying sites in order to get the Local Plan accepted. We have a few comments as below.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. We accept that the assessment identifies 9 sites, but are not entirely clear if those are sites with multiple pitches or single pitches. Council owned sites presume have multiple pitches but with privately owned sites, it is not as clear. Gypsy and Traveller families tend to be intergenerational and need to extend the accommodation on their site to accommodate family members. These extensions are often refused. 2. The Plan states there is not enough accommodation to cater for projected future needs, but here is not enough accommodation to cater for current needs as the recent unauthorised incursions testify. 3. The explanation for the shortage should be more specific about the 'bundling' (blocking access to) common land such as Ditchling Common, Henfield Common, Devils Dyke and other previously accessible common land, whether in the Crawley district or in nearby locations. 4. The financial benefits of providing sites are stated but could be emphasised with the approximate annual cost for evicting unauthorised encampments as against the cost of providing sites. STAG urges private planning applications from Gypsies and Travellers be dealt with much more quickly and effectively, and notes that two applications are awaiting decisions. We trust these will be resolved quickly as many applicants wait years and are repeatedly asked to provide additional, sometimes irrelevant, information. Many are then only granted temporary permission. It should be made clear that increasing acceptance of private Gypsy and Traveller planning applications would relieve pressure on public sites and also reduce costs to the council. <p>Given the difficulty when two sites were proposed several years ago, plans must be put in place to inform local residents that such sites are</p>	<p>Support welcomed and need acknowledged.</p> <p>Policy H5 includes confirmation that acceptable development of the site will include detailed scheme being acceptable including appropriate design. The layout would be clarified through detailed scheme proposals as the need for the site is triggered. This will be subject to consultation and considerations which would include the involvement of the Traveller community to ensure a site meets the requirements of the need it is intending to address.</p>

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		in place throughout the country and cause local people no disturbance. If the Sussex Traveller Action Group can assist in any way, please contact me.	
Mrs Karen Smith	individual	As we live on the road to the said land we know the area very well and there foresee a definite problem with access and travel. Langley Walk at present has a lovely countryside appeal (the reason many of us residents set up home here) to it. Horse riders, dog walkers, joggers, ramblers and cyclists use our walk daily. With this proposal and the ridiculous housing proposal (why no room to add our thoughts and concerns on your previous page?) our road will become unsightly and unbearable with noise, disturbance & safety concerns regarding the amount of traffic.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Kerry Valerio	individual	As you have pointed out above Langley Walk is on the edge of the neighbourhood, therefore Broadfield Kennels would be a much more appropriate and fair place to put the plot.	Support for the Broadfield Kennels Site has been noted.
Margaret Britton	individual	Bad access and only through housing estates.	Comment noted.
Jill Britton	individual	Bad access. Middle of housing estate. Do any of the people involved in planning actually live in Langley Green. I can guess the answer to that one. Is Horsham, Copthorne or Crawley Down being considered for Traveller sites.	Comment noted. Each local authority has to demonstrate how it is able to meet the needs arising from within its boundaries. Horsham and Mid Sussex District Councils will both be identifying sites to accommodate any unmet Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople accommodation needs within their own administrative boundaries.
Carl Horne	individual	Because Broadfield Kennels has a wealth of woodland & scouts amenity [illegible], this would most probably be decimated if the site was located here.	The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. The acceptable development of this site will include adequate highway access being achieved, along with appropriate design, layout and landscaping to ensure the requirements of the AONB Management Plan are satisfied and the impacts of development adjacent to the country park are mitigated.
Denise	individual Any neighbouring houses will lose value and this is totally unfair for the people who have worked hard and respectfully all their lives. A large number of residents in Crawley also live in fear... and will not be able to feel at ease in their own homes. In view of these comments I cannot suggest any alternative site.	No planning issues have been raised.
D McDermid	individual	Being on the edge of Buchan Park/Forest - possibility of rubbish being dumped taking in to account gypsy's occupations(s) local residents could also be uneasy	No planning issues have been raised.

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		Local residents may be uneasy about disappearance of produce from allotments. My thoughts are that if Gypsies are so concerned about the education and health of their children, why not pay towards these like everyone else!	
Mrs Jackie Kensett	individual	Besides the lack of services to the site (sewage etc.) the threat to wildlife will be hugely affected as will the cost of replacing valuable and protected oak trees. The site will also cost a huge amount of tax payers' money to build and as travellers don't pay taxes this seems to be a little unfair. I am also concerned about the money it will cost in clearing up after they leave and the damage to Buchan Park. Statistics show from other sites that house prices drop, ...goes up as do insurances.	The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. The acceptable development of this site will include adequate highway access being achieved, along with appropriate design, layout and landscaping to ensure the requirements of the AONB Management Plan are satisfied and the impacts of development adjacent to the country park are mitigated.
James Chase	individual	Better infrastructure at Broadfield Kennels.	Comment noted.
Claire Mills	individual	Both areas are too near residential areas. It would be better to provide a fixed site away from the general public and or areas enjoyed by the general public like Buchan Park. The Langley Green site has very limited access and is half the size of the Broadfield site but both are unsuitable.	Comment noted. Planning Policy for Travellers Site (2012, Para 11) on the subject of Gypsies and Travellers advises that the Local Planning Authorities should promote peaceful and intergrated co-existence between a site and the wider community.
Graham Smith	individual	Both sites are too close to existing houses, whenever travelers move in crime goes up. If the money from my council tax has to be spent on people that contribute nothing to the local community then look for a suitable site on the industrial sites.	Comment noted. Planning Policy for Travellers Site (2012, Para 11) on the subject of Gypsies and Travellers advises that the Local Planning Authorities should promote peaceful and intergrated co-existence between a site and the wider community.
Wendy Jennings	individual	Both Sites I feel, are far too close to residential properties and places of natural habitat which will be a source of illegal rubbish tipping by the Gypsy Travellers.	The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. The acceptable development of this site will include appropriate design, layout and landscaping to ensure the requirements of the AONB Management Plan are satisfied and the impacts of development adjacent to the country park are mitigated.
Samantha Bouglas	individual	Both these sites will cause too much animosity in an already tense area. And there would be no way of integrating the traveller people effectively.	Comment noted. Planning Policy for Travellers Site (2012, Para 11) on the subject of Gypsies and Travellers advises that the Local Planning Authorities should promote peaceful and intergrated co-existence between a site and the wider community.
Jane Leese	individual	Broadfield already has a high population of deprived families with a reputation to go with it. Buchanan Park is a positive area for broad field and is regularly used by families I and my family have been resident in broad field for ten years we live near Rathlin Road playing field where Travellers have set up illegal sites, they have broken into	Comment noted. No planning issues have been raised.

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		our garage and stolen on three occasions, so whilst I agree they need a permanent site it needs to be away from a residential area, as they have shown little respect for hard working people who want to keep their properties in good condition and to have nice things.	
Mark Ford	individual	Broadfield Kennels should not be used for a Gypsy site as the area it surrounds is of natural beauty and a sanctuary for wildlife and fauna. Our precious green spaces are being destroyed and a Travellers' site with the rubbish and destruction that it brings will ruin the immediate and surrounding area. It will encourage more travellers to pitch illegally in the area and cause even more damage to this area. This area should never be a travellers site it is completely unsuitable.	The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. The acceptable development of this site will include appropriate design, layout and landscaping to ensure the requirements of the AONB Management Plan are satisfied and the impacts of development adjacent to the country park are mitigated.
John Richards	individual	Broadfield already has a poor reputation (unfounded in my opinion) however to continue with the plan for Broadfield Kennels will only cause this opinion to become lower still. Property value will also decrease as no one will want to live here. If a site has to be in Crawley then why not Pound Hill on the green area by the Tavern on the green?	The Tavern site lies within an area of flood risk, which is unsuitable for use as a Gypsy and Traveller site.
Gary Shepherd	individual	Broadfield already has enough problems, the last thing needed there is to invite any more.	No planning issues have been raised.
Lindsay Elliott	individual	Broadfield has a bad reputation as it is adding a traveller site will just make it worse.	No planning issues have been raised.
Mrs Samantha Shelford	individual	Broadfield has been working hard to improve its reputation and a Travellers' site will undo all the work so far. Having lived in Edenbridge, Kent for many years, I have seen the problems caused by a permanent Gypsy site and therefore feel strongly against this idea for Crawley.	Comment noted. No planning issues have been raised.
Mrs Milborrow	individual	Broadfield has enough poverty... which is a very bad thing on an area which is trying to better itself.	No planning issues have been raised.
Mrs Fiona Lashwood	individual	Broadfield is a larger area and access is easier. Near Shops and town. Road is very narrow in Langley Walk and so building would be very difficult. Also in order to keep the ambience of the area there is a need to keep it the way it is. There has also been a big news issue just recently how British wildlife is becoming extinct and so this area should be preserved for this reason.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Marion Holman	individual	Broadfield is a much larger site and would be able to house more people.	Comment noted.
Margaret Baker	individual	Broadfield Kennels are already derelict. Langley Land is used to give exercise and pleasure to many. It also floods in winter.	Comments noted.
Sharon Basogul	individual	Broadfield Kennels area should not have Gypsies or Travellers living permanently there. The pedestrian links into Broadfields are through the area of broadwood rise a quiet area with residents that take pride in their homes and their community and is the only direct access to Buchan Park Cottersmoore School and golf course. This a peaceful area of natural beauty full with wildlife. We do not want this in this area, the safety of our homes and property, the welfare of our children and also the value of our properties are at stake.	The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. The acceptable development of this site will include adequate highway access being achieved, along with appropriate design, layout and landscaping to ensure the requirements of the AONB Management Plan are satisfied and the impacts of development adjacent to the country park are mitigated.
Kay Lewis	individual	Broadfield Kennels has good access and is further away from existing residents. Langley Walk does not have good access. Fire engines have trouble getting along the road to fires and trapped animals. There is also a lot of wildlife, flora and fauna. The abundance of trees shield residents from aircraft noise. An alternative suggestion for the Traveller site is Poles Lane or site ref. 5 Stephenson Way or even site ref 14 - Gas holder site.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. The Poles Lane site is subject to Gatwick Safeguarding policy and any new permanent developments would be unsuitable in this location. The Stephenson Way sites lie within an area of flood risk which is unsuitable for use as a Gypsy and Traveller site. The Gas Holder site lies within an area of flood risk which is unsuitable for use as a Gypsy and Traveller site.
Nina Ware	individual	Broadfield Kennels has greater access for vehicles but Langley Walk is already congested with current residents' vehicles.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Tracy	individual	Broadfield Kennels is a beautiful site that is part of Bucham Park. The site of a Gypsy and Travellers are would be damaging to the area and could cause significant distress to anyone walking in the area, especially alone if they accidentally wander onto the site.	The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. The acceptable development of this site will include adequate highway access being achieved, along with appropriate design, layout and landscaping to ensure the requirements of the AONB Management Plan are satisfied and the impacts of development adjacent to the country park are mitigated.
John Fletcher	individual	Broadfield Kennels is a better site as there would be a lot of noise and pollution from the airport. Also as far as I am aware Travellers do	Support for Broadfield Kennels site is noted.

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		not want to live in residential areas. Somewhere out of town would be more suitable.	
Mr S Griffiths	individual	Broadfield Kennels is a bigger site. Langley Walk already has access problems with the road turning into single lane traffic. Any further developments would only add to this current issue. Langley Walk is already prone to flooding and water run off which would make it unsuitable for developments. Broadfield Kennels is more removed from residents than the Langley Walk site, which is likely to suit Gypsy/Travellers as well as local residents.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Margaret Winning	individual	Broadfield Kennels is a large space that would be ideal for the Gypsy & Traveller site.	Support for Broadfield Kennels site is noted.
Roy Heather	individual	Broadfield Kennels is better as not as close to existing residents. No site at all is preferable.	Comment noted. The Housing Act 2004 requires all councils to assess the accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers. Crawley Borough Council conducted a Gypsy and Traveller Needs Assessment in 2012/13, which showed the town needs nine pitches from 2014 to 2029. The council must now identify potential sites to meet these identified needs.
Richard Kail	individual	Broadfield Kennels is ideal, however access may be a problem. The site now looks desolate and empty with nothing there. I think it is a good idea to make it a space for Travellers.	Support for Broadfield Kennels site noted. The acceptable development of this site will include adequate highway access being achieved.
Mrs K Morar	individual	Broadfield Kennels is more conducive, as it is not directly beside an existing residential area. Additionally, road infrastructure is not capable of taking any more traffic as currently parking is on one side of each road. The area is also known to be affected by flooding, which potentially has its own risks to consider.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Mr S Chauhan	individual	Broadfield Kennels is more conducive, as it is not directly beside an existing residential area. Why should Travellers who choose to live in this manner be permitted to have easy access to the city amenities, when they pay so little towards its keep?	Comment noted. No planning issues have been raised.
Mr R Morar	individual	Broadfield Kennels is more conducive, as it is not directly beside an existing residential areas. Why should Travellers who choose to live in this manner be permitted to have easy access to the city amenities, when they pay so little towards its keep?	Comment noted. No planning issues have been raised.
Michael Woodings	individual	Broadfield Kennels is much nearer to the main road, where Langley Walk would be too congested. Young girls would be at risk when	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		riding/walking their horses/ponies. Not to mention the noise and pollution from the airport. If a second runway goes ahead they would be right on the edge of it.	is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Bronia Lennon	individual	Broadfield Kennels is not an appropriate site as it is too close to broadfield estate and there are not enough amenities for them, the schools are full up to capacity, The NHS are full to breaking point, the sewage etc are under strain as is the water pressure. The site is too close to the A264 road which is a very busy road, the land they would settle on has no lighting also it has a deep slope almost like a cliff edge, there is no public transport, no pedestrian paths (only a sloped dirt track with no access for wheelchairs or prams, The site proposed would be on the lap of Buchan park where the whole community go to enjoy, plus people as far away as Cornwall and Scotland and further afield visit.. Everybody is worried of the parks future as most people will no longer come to the park (I for one won't visit) because of intimidation and the mess of gypsies. Broadfield Kennel houses lots of wildlife and wild plants and flowers and also has a protected community of Nightjar birds which are protected by the RSPB. Buchan park and this side of town will soon be bombarded by a new housing estate Kilnmead Vale which will create more activity around this side of town e.g. traffic schooling etc, the gypsy site proposed is also too close to the Cottismore golf club and Cottismore school plus one or two small businesses in the area and also the Scout huts at Pease Pottage. Also will mention that more houses are going to be built on the site of the old leisure centre land in Bewbush which is also very close to Buchan Park. I am told that permission was turned down in the past for building houses on Broadfield Kennel land as it was a "Beauty spot!!!) Surely about 40 caravans are going to blight the area's beauty anyway? more so? I think it would be a wonderful idea to give or sell this land to Buchan park as it is practically "Buchan Park" so that this community of Crawley and Sussex and far afield can go on into future generations being able to enjoy a little bit of escapism in a little bit of wild and natural countryside. Also protecting the wildlife that is gradually dying out.	Any development would need to consider the capacity of local services and contribute towards addressing any additional burdens where there is insufficient capacity. The site would be provided with utility services and the Highway Authority would need to be satisfied regarding any vehicular access issues. Access for residents to the subway would need to be improved. A planning application would be supported by an ecological assessment with mitigation measures if required. The proposed site would be permanent with waste collection and other facilities. Any visual impact would be screened by grassed bunds and new planting.
Anthony Brown	individual	Broadfield Kennels seems a much better plot as it's more out of the way and will not affect local communities. The land in Langley walk is often used by locals.	Support for the Broadfield Kennels Site has been noted.
Sharon	individual	Broadfield Kennels should not be considered because Broadfield already has a bad reputation and ongoing problems. Also, the	Comment noted. The proposal for the addition of nine new pitches to

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		schools need to be considered as it could have a detrimental effect on them.	Broadfield is likely to make only a minor impact in terms education provision.
Alan Quirk	individual	Broadfield Kennels site. I do not think that it is suitable for the following reasons 1) It is isolated from the rest of Crawley by a major road. 2) Access is difficult for both pedestrians and wheeled transport. 3) The small site could preclude the provision of permanent supervision of the site. 4) High cost of making the site suitable for occupation.	The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. The acceptable development of this site will include adequate highway access being achieved, along with appropriate design, layout and landscaping to ensure the requirements of the AONB Management Plan are satisfied and the impacts of development adjacent to the country park are mitigated.
John Sander		Broadfield Kennels would be a much better choice. It has much better access, Langley Walk is very narrow, damage has been done to parked cars by large truck & vans! We have horse going up & down at various time of the day to stables located at the end of our road. The public footpath via Langley Walk to Willoughby Fields is used continually by dog walkers, crossing back to footpath in Langley Walk has resulted in near accidents, due to already high traffic volume.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
L Woodings	individual	Broadfield Kennels would be far more suitable as it is on the edge of town. Langley Walk is used by a lot of people to access Cherry Lane playing fields and the playing fields at the back of Burlands, a lot of young girls walk down Langley Walk to reach their horses that are kept nearby and sometimes they ride or walk them down the lane.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Keith Wright	individual	Broadfield Kennels would have little impact on local area but Langley Lane which is narrow and often only one lane available due to parked cars, would suffer with large 4x4s and double axle caravans plus the pick-ups and lorries that these folk use.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Paul Brown	individual	Broadfield should not be considered for the following reasons:- 1) Broadfield is already an area plagued by crime with minimal police presence. Creating a Traveller site will only increase this problem. Statistically areas containing Traveller sites have high crime rates. 2) Buchan Park is one of very few nice areas of Broadfield... 3) Council tax will increase to maintain their park, which I will end up paying along with other tax payers in the area. 4) Many other areas of Crawley have land available for travellers (Ifield, Copthorne, Pound Hill) why are these areas not being considered? It strikes me that you are trying to put this problem on already deprived areas because the residents of nicer areas of Crawley will be more likely to complain. Otherwise it's a bit of a coincidence that you have chosen Broadfield and Langley Green as	Comment noted. No planning issues have been raised.

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		choices!	
Mr B Patel	individual	Broadfield would be better than Langley Green for a Traveller site due to road access. Langley Walk access for cars is awful and this would make it worse. If you try and drive a caravan down Walnut Lane, Langley Walk, Juniper Road or even Stafford Road you will see how tight the roads are. There will be issues with cars being parked and caravans trying to get passed. Would it be better to try and have a site nearer the motorway.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Miss Wall	individual	Broadfield would be most suitable for their needs close to good size main roads, shops schools. Also not right on top of residential area causing problems with the in and out of their vehicles. As they all mostly have 4x4's lorries and vans. The gradient of the ground is the least of your problems to sort out for them.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Jacqueline Champion	individual	Broafield Kennels - This is not a suitable site as it is to near to Tollgate Hill.	Comment noted.
Carolyn Thompson	individual	Buchan Park is a place of natural beauty + peace.... It is an area for nature + conservation. If a site must be built how about the industrial estate? There are plenty of empty sites there. But why are they called travellers? If they want to settle why don't they buy houses like everyone else if they don't want to TRAVEL??	The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. The acceptable development of this site will include adequate highway access being achieved, along with appropriate design, layout and landscaping to ensure the requirements of the AONB Management Plan are satisfied and the impacts of development adjacent to the country park are mitigated.
Alan Sands	individual	Buchan Park is too close to this area, and there is a risk that one of Crawley's finest and beautiful parks will be jeopardised. If this site is picked I will sell up and leave the town of Crawley, never to return.	The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. The acceptable development of this site will include adequate highway access being achieved, along with appropriate design, layout and landscaping to ensure the requirements of the AONB Management Plan are satisfied and the impacts of development adjacent to the country park are mitigated.
FRANCESCA LEE	individual	CHILDREN WILL BE ALLOCATED SPACES IN LOCAL SCHOOLS AND OFTEN DO NOT TURN UP. THEY HAVE TO STAY ON ROLL, TAKING UP SPACES SO OTHER CHILDREN ON WAITING LISTS CAN'T GET IN.	No planning issues have been raised.
Derek Hill	individual	Choices: 1. Get a mortgage 2. Rent a council house 3. Rent a private house.	No planning issues have been raised.

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		4. Keep travelling	
Mr D Garrett	individual	Concerned Buchan Park could be polluted for fishing and conservation area wild life not to mention the number of families on nature walks and dog walking this is a lovely area would be a shame if the area was not looked after.	The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. The acceptable development of this site will include the appropriate design, layout and landscaping to ensure the requirements of the AONB Management Plan are satisfied and the impacts of development adjacent to the country park are mitigated.
Terence Green	individual	Consulting with the Travellers on sites they would be happy with, and somewhere that could be expanded. Perhaps putting the sites near existing neighbourhoods would cause conflict.	Comment noted.
Kate Towner	individual	Contrary to the council's own site assessment, I believe, that this proposal is NOT sufficiently separate from a main residential area, unlike the Broadfield Kennels' site. The Langley Walk site would be accessed via narrow residential roads which would put an even greater strain on the current road infrastructure. Of the two sites, Broadfield Kennels would be best suited to this development as it is a spacious site, with access from main roads and is also in close proximity of schools and shops etc.	Comment noted. Planning Policy for Travellers Site (2012, Para 11) on the subject of Gypsies and Travellers advises that the Local Planning Authorities should promote peaceful and intergrated co-exstence between a site and the wider community. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Nicole Eden	individual	Could alternative sites be provided on the areas in Stephenson Way and on the Industrial Estate?	The Stephenson Way sites lie within an area of flood risk which is unsuitable for use as a Gypsy and Traveller site. For a number of reasons, potential sites on the Manor Royal Industrial Estate would be considered inappropriate, since residential uses on the Manor Royal would be contrary to local planning policy. In addition, the high land values associated with such sites would likely mean that a Gypsy and Traveller Site would not be financially acheivable.
Casey Griffiths	individual	Could these sites not be included in a less populated area. Traveller communities and indiginous populations don't mix well and no site near housing areas will be condusive for either communities	Comment noted. Planning Policy for Travellers Site (2012, Para 11) on the subject of Gypsies and Travellers advises that the Local Planning Authorities should promote peaceful and intergrated co-exstence between a site and the wider community.
Barry Moody	individual	Crawley does not want these people; if Brenda Smith wants them back in Langley Green why doesn't she let them park up in her back garden. Remember when, a few years ago, they were given a site near Cherry Lane and within months they wrecked it and disappeared with the fittings.	No planning issues have been raised.
Rhona	individual	Crawley doesnt have enough housing for people with links to the town let alone for travelers that are not from the area and who often	No planning issues have been raised.

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		bring a whole lot of antisocial behaviour with them. Police have enough issues to deal with in Crawley without adding the trouble that a permanent travelers site would bring	
P Mann	individual	Crawley is an urban area and as such should not be required to house Travellers who purport to be countryside dwellers.	No planning issues have been raised.
Pete Rigsby	individual	...	No planning issues have been raised.
Patricia Walker	individual	Deprecation of property value close to traveller's site. ... alternate sites Manor Royal / Stephens Way.	The alternative sites are identified to boost employment and do not have appropriate facilities for residents.
D Stocker	individual	Difficulty accessing site/ Camp could encroach on farmland/ river - rubbish could cause pollution and devastating effect on wildlife/countryside too near residential housing/noise levels/ too close to noise from aeroplanes taking off and landing at Gatwick	Any development would need to consider the capacity of local services and contribute towards addressing any additional burdens where there is insufficient capacity. The site would be provided with utility services and the Highway Authority would need to be satisfied regarding any vehicular access issues. Access for residents to the subway would need to be improved. A planning application would be supported by an ecological assessment with mitigation measures if required. The proposed site would be permanent with waste collection and other facilities. Any visual impact would be screened by grassed bunds and new planting. For a number of reasons, including aircraft noise, the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Ann Porter	individual	Do not agree that Gypsies and Travellers be allocated sites to set up.	No planning issues raised.
MRS V LAW	individual	Do not understand why the need to spend money for the travellers children to have a site when my children who have lived in Crawley all their lives cannot get a council house. Perhaps money should be spent on housing life long Crawley residents and not Travellers.	The Local Plan seeks to identify sites to meet a wide range of housing needs.
Mrs D Thomas	individual	Dont think we should have Gypsy and Traveller site in Crawley ..., also they should have to pay rates like everyone else and there water.	No planning issues raised.
Sharon Ottley	individual	Don't agree that Gypsies/Travellers should get priority land for their families when my children won't stand any chance of obtaining council housing even though they are unlikely to be in a position to be able to afford private rents or buying. My mum and myself are	The Local Plan seeks to identify sites to meet a wide range of housing needs.

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		Crawley born and bred but my children's needs are not being met.	
MR L BROWN	representative	...	No planning issues raised.
Carole Avery	individual	Don't know of any suitable sites in Crawley.	Comment noted.
Jane Leese	individual	Don't make BF and Langley Green any more undesirable than they already are...	No planning issues raised.
Ann	individual	Dont think we should give them land... I am particularly worried about Buchan Park.	Comment noted.
Peter Mockler	individual	...	No planning issues raised
CHARLES CRANE	individual	EVERYONE HAS A RIGHT TO LIVE THEIR LIFE IN THEIR OWN WAY, BUT I CAN NOT THINK OF A SUITABLE SITE WITHIN THE BOROUGH. IT IS STATED THAT THE LANGLEY GREEN SITE WILL BE EXPOSED TO AIRPORT NOISE. THERE ARE A NUMBER OF ISSUES CONCERNING HOW THESE SITES OPERATE INCLUDING THE NOISE AND POLUTION CAUSED BY GENERATORS. I DO NOT THINK IT IS SUITABLE TO HAVE A SITE NEAR EXISTING HOUSING OR A COUNTRY PARK.	Comments noted. Any development would need to consider the capacity of local services and contribute towards addressing any additional burdens where there is insufficient capacity. The site would be provided with utility services. A planning application would be supported by an ecological assessment with mitigation measures if required. The proposed site would be permanent with waste collection and other facilities. Any visual impact would be screened by grassed bunds and new planting. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Joanna Pashley	individual	Evidence shows that there is an increase in both crime and antisocial behaviour when a Traveller/Gypsy park is created in an area. Given the already overstretched budgets of the local police and council, these developments are an unnecessary expense and will cause untold disruption to locals. There is just no need for these developments.	This would be a permanent site for residential use, rather than a transit site for temporary use, or an illegal encampment/encroachment. It would be provided for existing residents of Crawley should a need for a traveller site arise, and would be well-designed and purpose-built, which would have been subject to detailed planning approval. As it is a council-owned site, it will be managed and maintained by, or on behalf of, the council, and as with social housing, restrictions would be imposed to ensure 'good neighbour' behaviour is enforced.
Peter Cole	individual	Extra housing and Traveller site both accessed via Langley Walk would be too much, one or the other could be ok but would need improvement to Langley Walk (wider), Stafford Road (speed humps or similar) Rushetts Road (widening &/or one side parking).	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Samantha	individual	... Faced with constant youth crime in Broadfield already... Look at South Norward in 2007 for examples.	No planning issues raised.
Danny Girling	individual	Far to close to local residents it is a very small and tight area	Comments noted.
Marc Burton	individual	Far too close to existing well built up area and housing. Will impact negatively on existing infrastructure and housing prices in nearby vicinity. Security implications are also highlighted.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. Any development would need to consider the capacity of local services and contribute towards addressing any additional burdens where there is insufficient capacity.
Jamie Cardy	representative	Gas Holder Site, North East Sector.	The Gas Holder site lies within an area of flood risk which is unsuitable for use as a Gypsy and Traveller pitch site.
Brenda Smith	individual	Gasholder site, and land on Balcombe Road??	The Gas Holder site lies within an area of flood risk which is unsuitable for use as a Gypsy and Traveller pitch site. The land on Balcombe Road lies within the area currently subject to safeguarding for a second runway, and would in any case be within unacceptable noise limits for permanent caravan accommodation.
Angela	individual	... Are the council so stupid that they think "10 fixed sites" will mean anything other than 100 crammed in caravans.	Any scheme would be designed to limit capacity.
Harold Baker	individual	Gypsies and Travellers are by definition on the move. Why do they want houses to live in when they happen to be in the area? When there are people on waiting list for years.	The Local Plan seeks to identify sites to meet a wide range of housing needs. The proposal would be for a permanent, serviced and managed site.
family Carvajal Hickmet	individual	Gypsies and Travellers would be accommodated on land liable to flooding. Road infrastructure is not good. Plot too close to houses. Totally unsuitable area	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Sam	individual	...	No planning issues raised.
Ron Pegler	individual	Gypsies housing needs should be dealt with the same as any other persons i.e. through housing lists and qualifying to be on them. There are many private caravan sites available for those that wish to use caravans I do not see why any section of the community needs special privileges at ratepayers expense.	The Local Plan seeks to identify sites to meet a wide range of housing needs.

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
B E Champion	individual	Gypsies want it 3 ways, temporary sites for the ???, permanent van sites and housing. They are not travellers if they stay in one site. Gypsies are often accused of anti-social behaviour. To protect them for this any site should be remote from all standard housing. They all have transport so they have access to the services required. Airport noise should not be a consideration for temporary site.	The proposal would be for a permanent, serviced and managed site. Airport noise is therefore a consideration. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
P J Machell	individual	Gypsy & Traveller site should be on the outskirts of Crawley.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Carol Heather	individual	Gypsy & Travellers sites should not be in close proximity to existing housing.	Comment noted.
David Smith	individual	Gypsy (genuine) should be given every opportunity to have a stopping off point during their travels providing they pay for the amenities provided.	The proposal would be for a permanent, serviced and managed site.
PATRICIA TAME	individual	Gypsy / travellers are just that and I have always understood this their lifestyle choice. If they have a fixed site they will not be 'travellers' anymore, and should therefore be liable for council tax and to adhere to any rules and regulations set out by Crawley Borough Council. I therefore have no suggestions for alternative sites.	Comments noted.
John Cooban	individual	Gypsy and Traveller sites have a detrimental impact wherever they are.	No planning issues raised.
Louise Harris	individual	Gypsy and Traveller sites should be away from housing estates.	No planning issues raised.
Shelley Cootes	individual	Gypsy and Traveller sites should not be anyway near residential areas.	No planning issues raised.
Jilly Thompson	individual	Gypsy sites are not generally popular with residents (hence all the grass banks and yellow gates) so any site should be as far away as possible so as to avoid conflict.	No planning issues raised.
Mark Libby	individual	Gypsy site already tried in Langley Green a few years ago near to recycling centre, the adventure playground was burnt down, horses released from farm stables and various other anti-social acts. We already have to put up with a noisy airport on our doorstep and a strong possibility of a second runway being built close by. It would not	The proposal would be for a permanent, serviced and managed site. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		stay 10 fixed pitches as over time more will be squeezed in illegally. Remember the Dale Farm experience. An alternative site would be next door to councillors or anyone in government who sympathize with the gypsies plight.	
Michelle Holmes	individual	Gypsies and Travellers should have to buy there own land for development. Anyone that wants to buy there own land has to pay for it, so why shouldnt they. Also they should have to pay council tax as well.	No planning issues raised.
Mr Robin Anton	individual	Gypsies are not meant to be permanent. They travel so why a permanent site?	A permanent site would enable the residents to integrate into the community and to travel for some months of the year.
JOEL BUCKETT	individual	Have you considered theft from the Council Depot adjacent?	No planning issue raised.
Svetlana Malkova	individual	Having Gypsy site in the immediate neighbourhood will compromise the houses value and increase house owners home insurance cost.	No planning issues raised.
Mikchael W. Pickett	individual	Having permanent sites for Gypsy and Traveller is a contradiction in terms. However, overall I have no objections to sites being established around Crawley so long as the gypsy and traveller community pay council tax.	Comments noted.
Rebekah	individual	Having previously lived in Brighton and witnessed the damage that travellers have made to various parks and open land in the area. I do not want to have a traveller site near to Buchan Country Park. Inevitably the travellers would not used the site anyway as in Brighton as they do not want to pay for the facilities and much prefer tax payers to pay for the mess they leave behind to be cleared up.	The proposal would be for a permanent, serviced and managed site.
Mrs O'Neill	individual	Horsham or Haywards Heath	Each local authority has to demonstrate how it is able to meet the needs arising from within its boundaries. Horsham and Mid Sussex District Councils will both be identifying sites to accommodate any unmet Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople accommodation needs within their own administrative boundaries.
Mr Day	individual	House them away from residential areas... how about having it on your doorstep!!	No planning issues raised.
Alexander Robertson Jnr	individual	How come there is no proposal for Worth or Pound Hill for these sites? Have they even been considered? I do not think you should be picking these two parts of town it is very discriminating.	There are a limited number of suitable sites in the borough as land is often constrained, for example by noise or flooding.

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Kevin Walker	individual	I am a member at Cottesmore and we have already suffered several vandalism acts on fairways and greens on the Broadfield boundary. My fears are that the incident rate will increase with the siting of a Traveller park. I also believe that since many new housing estates are placed on flight paths and suffer noise issues then the Travellers should not be protected from having to suffer similar nuisance issue. They cannot demand land and then pick the best, that is having the best of everything.	The proposal would be for a permanent, serviced and managed site. Airport noise is therefore a consideration.
Kaye	individual	I am not aware of any potential alternative sites but Crawley desperately needs to fulfil its responsibility to provide legal and dignified sites. Not only is this an ethical issue, but it would also give greater force to eviction of illegal sites and help to end the devastation and cost of cleaning up the detritus from such encampments.	Support for providing a suitable Traveller site noted.
Mrs P Haworth	individual	I am not familiar with these sites.	No planning issues raised.
Claire Summers	individual	I am worried if they are to have site Broadfield with mess and rubbish. Being left. Also Bachman Park is loved by every one in the area would they respect and keep these area clean as I walk dogs there.	The proposal would be for a permanent, serviced and managed site. The site would be provided with utility services. A planning application would be supported by an ecological assessment with mitigation measures if required. The proposed site would be permanent with waste collection and other facilities. Any visual impact would be screened by grassed bunds and new planting.
B. Hopkins	individual	I appreciate they need housing somewhere. However.. they do not respect it when they have it.. it turns into a mess.. the crime rate does go up.. that is in the statistics.. not just an opinion.. and already we pay a higher premium in broadfield as it is considered a higher risk area for crime already!!!!	The proposal would be for a permanent, serviced and managed site.
BADIHA KADA	individual	I believe that the site in Langley Green is not suitable as it is close to the airport and could pose a security risk. The area is very much green land so the proposal is not environmentally friendly.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Janet Fox	individual	I believe that this site at Broadfield Kennels will be unsuitable due to the steep access to this site on a very busy bypass. Also as a local resident near this proposed site I am concern as to how this may effect the value of my property. We already have problems with rally bikes riding on the bridle path and it has been identified by the police who we have to keep calling out, that some of this is due to local	Any development would need to consider the capacity of local services and contribute towards addressing any additional burdens where there is insufficient capacity. The site would be provided with utility services and the Highway Authority would need to be satisfied regarding any vehicular access issues. Access for residents to the subway would need to be improved. A planning application would be supported by an ecological assessment with

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		Gypsies who are living locally. I also feel that placing this site so near to Buchan Park could cause problems within this area.	mitigation measures if required. The proposed site would be permanent with waste collection and other facilities. Any visual impact would be screened by grassed bunds and new planting.
D C Stephenson	individual	I cannot understand the need for a site for children of Travellers of the parents are settled in the community. The children, like the parents, should be integrated into the community for stability. Many people have the urge to travel but do not have sites provided for them around the country. It is called taking a holiday. If these people can afford expensive cars and caravans then they can afford permanent housing, for the sake of the children.	A permanent site would enable the residents to integrate into the community and to travel for some months of the year.
Clive Thompson	individual	...	This comment does not raise any planning issues.
Margaret Thompson	individual	i disagree strongly...	This comment does not raise any planning issues
Carol	individual	I disagree with the whole concept of providing for people who choose not to pay for traditional homes	This comment does not raise any planning issues.
Ben	individual	I do not agree with any land being given to them. No matter how much they say they won't cause trouble... Crime rates in these areas will increase and I feel families currently living in Langley Green and Broadfield will feel threatened. Buchan Park is a beautiful area and many people will refrain from using this area for safety fears.	No planning issues raised.
Chris Morris	individual	I do not agree with providing traveller sites as it is an unacceptable form positive discrimination the provision and upkeep burden of which falls negatively to those who will never use its facilities.	No planning issues raised.
Sarah Barnes	individual	I do not believe that Crawley should designate a permanent site.	Comment noted.
Claire Tomsett	individual	I do not see why there should be any reason to encourage Gypsy travellers in and around the Crawley area!!!!	The need for a site arises from the members of the Travelling community already residents within Crawley.
David Hart		I do not think that land next to open space such as golf course or forest is suitable for either Traveller site or other housing development.	Comment noted.
Alen Gorringe	individual	I do not think this Will Be A Suitable Site As To Close To Residential Area. Think Broadfield Kennels Would Be Far More Suitable.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			Local Plan.
Sandra Trotman	individual	I do not wish to have a Travellers site on the North end of Langley Walk because: The area identified for the site in Langley Walk is approximately half the size of that at Broadfield Kennels for the same 10 x fixed pitches The will be a community issue Concerns regarding access to the site Concerns regarding rubbish accumulation concerns re flooding concerns re the historic trees The Broadfield site is more conducive as it not directly beside an existing community but on the edge of the town.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Daren Harvey	individual	I do not!! want travellers within the area of Broadfield.	This comment does not raise any planning issues.
Colin Snook	individual	I don't care what anyone says we do not need any more Gypsy sites in Crawley, the surrounding areas already provide enough for the Gypsy community. Surely if they are travellers should they not be travelling or do they just want to travel out of gatwick. ...Why should the normal habitants of Crawley have to suffer while the councillors in their ivory towers get paid and rewarded for pushing this through.	The need for a site arises from the members of the Travelling community already residents within Crawley.
D STOVELL	individual	I DON'T AGREE THAT ANY SITES SHOULD BE USED FOR A PERMANANT GYPSY AND TRAVELLER SITE.	No planning issues raised.
Mrs M Addison	individual	I don't agree with any permanent sites being allowed.	Comment noted.
Sharon Dean	individual	I don't agree with providing permanent traveller sites unless they are going to make a contribution to the town, e.g. work and pay taxes inc council tax	No planning issues raised.
Christine Baker	individual	I don't feel that people who choose to travel and class themselves as Gypies and Traveller should be given houses which they will leave when they choose to travel when there are people in the area waiting for houses as a permanent point to live. This applies be sites as well.	The Local Plan seeks to identify sites to meet a wide range of housing needs.
James Rampersad	individual	...	This comment does not raise any planning issues.
A Fairbank	individual	I don't see why there should be a permanent site for Gypsies. I don't believe they get this privilage in Eire.	The need for a site arises from the members of the Travelling community already residents within Crawley.

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Hannah Duffy	individual	I don't think travellers should be given sites at all.	No planning issues raised.
Paul Field	individual	I don't want my tax money spent on people who do not pay taxes and introduce crime to the area. The Gypsy and Travellers should buy their own land and live there!	No planning issues raised.
CBC	Representative of Traveller Community	I feel that a permanent Gypsy site should be built in Crawley area because communities clash and beliefs get in view of people. (i.e. open family cooking complaint help because of noise and people feel it's wrong but it's our way).	Support for a permanent site noted.
Robert Jacques	individual	I feel that although Langley Green may suffer some airport noise it would be a lot safer for the travellers to use than a site which exists onto a busy "A" road.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. The Highway Authority would need to be satisfied that a site could be safely accessed.
Lyn Jackson	individual	I find it difficult to understand why the future generation of Travellers living in Crawley must have a permanent site when many children who were born in Crawley and whose parents have lived here all their lives, have no chance of getting council accommodation in Crawley. My eldest child is 25 years old and as she has worked hard in her chosen career, she is unable to get on the council house waiting list as she is earning. Why should Gypsy/Travellers get priority??	The Local Plan seeks to identify sites to meet a wide range of housing needs.
Johnny Porter	representative	I find the Broadfield Kennels site to be particularly unsuitable due to the potential damage the site would cause the golf club. There are currently enough problems with children from Broadfield roaming around the golf course and on occasion causing serious and long lasting damage. A site of travellers in close proximity will surely only increase our current problems. As an aside, if they have settled in Crawley for more than one generation are they still considered Travellers?	No planning issues raised. The need for a site arises from the members of the Travelling community already residents within Crawley.
Marian Allen	individual	I grew up in Langley walk, my parents live there, it's a narrow road, Broadfield Kennels would be much better space for the Gypsy and Traveller site.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Mrs J Read	individual	I just received an information about the Broadfield Kennel sites last night 30/06/2013. Just wondering why the council forward planning did not disseminate the information earlier than last minute. I am opposed to this land to be set aside for the Travellers. It is unfair for us who pays a very expensive council tax yet I do not get much value of it. There is enough troubles being caused by the youngsters along the Broadfield forest which is hard for the police force and the council to handle it effectively and you would like to put some more problems? I understand the concern of the planning department, but I would ask you this question, What will be your reaction if the development will be just a mile from your doorstep? Hope you make a reasonable consideration on this situation. You all know in the council the troubles that we endure in Broadfield? All maybe you do not care as long as you all in the council can sleep tight? Thank you. Mrs. J. Read, Highwood Park.	<p>The Local Plan additional sites consultation was widely publicised through a range of council media and through coverage by the local press. Consultation posters were put up in neighbourhood centres. Site notices were installed at entrance points of the site. For the Broadfield Kennels site, there were 6 site notices in total, 2 of which were within the existing residential neighbourhood – one at the footpath by the underpass to the site, and one on Broadfield Rise.</p> <p>The Local Plan seeks to identify sites to meet a wide range of housing needs. The Housing Act 2004 requires all councils to assess the accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers. Crawley Borough Council conducted a Gypsy and Traveller Needs Assessment in 2012/13, which showed the town needs to provide nine pitches to meet future needs of travellers currently living within Crawley, should they arise from new household formation during the next 15 years. A site for accommodating this use must be identified in this Local Plan, and a detailed site assessment has been carried out to consider all potential sites across the borough – most of these are unsuitable due to physical constraints which mitigation is not possible, including flooding and unacceptable noise levels.</p>
Mrs Janet Boniface	individual	I know very little about the Gypsy and Traveller community and would prefer to have some idea of what their requirements would be before making a decision on either of these sites.	The proposal would be for a permanent, managed and serviced site providing space and facilities to meet general domestic needs and space for mobile homes to park. The site should also have access to services such as education and health care.
Jacqueline Maria Russo	individual	I live in Langley Walk, have worked very hard all my life to buy my house and I am not prepared to have all of that taken away from me. If we have a traveller site across our road we will never feel safe and will live in constant fear of our houses being burgled and our property being vandalised. We will never feel safe to leave our homes unattended. The children here like to play outside, but we will never be able to let them do this for fear of them getting hurt. It will be a living nightmare and we will never be able to sell our properties as no one in their right minds would buy a house opposite a traveller site, our houses would lose value and we would end up in negative equity. We only moved in to our house in July 2012. I am devastated to think that you are considering using this lovely area to accommodate travellers. This is a wonderful place to live. The fields opposite are full of wildlife, foxes, deer, rabbits and many people walk their dogs there. I would be too afraid to walk my dog for fear of her being stolen, I won't even be able to leave her in my own back garden unattended. You are going to be putting hardworking, honest people under undue pressure and already the thought of this happening is making me feel stressed and unwell. I bet not one of you that have been involved in	<p>No planning issues raised.</p> <p>For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p>

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		this proposal live anywhere near this area and would not in a million years want a traveller site built at the end of your road! If you go ahead with this proposal you are compromising our safety, our health and our financial status. Think how you would feel if you we're to be put in this position. It's not fair; we would all be living in constant fear.	
Mrs G Walker	individual	I live right on the end of Langley Walk where the site ha been suggested which is far too close to my back garden access would be a major problem here and would spoil the residential area, traffic, noise, rubbish would increase, Broadfield Kennels is a much better site and not right on top of residents homes access could be accomodated better than Langley Walk	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. Support for the Broadfield site noted. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
John Lowe	individual	I object very strongly to the notion of building a traveller site at Langley Walk. This area of Langley Green is a particularly affluent and quite pretty part of Langley Green. It is common knowledge that Gypsy sites have a detrimental effect on people's perceptions of an area, property prices will be reduced and amenities (that are already stretched) will be further impacted. That side of Langley area has already being overdeveloped with the addition of new flats opposite Squirrel Close and those on Rushetts Road near the parade. Besides, the roads in that area are quite narrow with heavy amounts of on the road parking. There is too much congestion and not enough parking as it is without adding the potential of 20 extra vehicles - probably vans. Also, on a personal note, I have had dealings with travellers before - they are noisy and messy, they have little regard for the law and little or no respect for those non-travelling folk. I take my toddler down to the playing fields and play area down on Langley Walk. I can imagine that play park becoming an undesirable place to take your children. Why the hell would you want to do this? Surely there must be better sites. How about Stephenson's Way for a traveller site? Nobody lives next door to that site. This suggestion is absolutely wrong, what about those people living directly opposite the site? I will be leafleting the local area to ensure others are fully aware of the situation and make their feelings known, which I am sure will be similar.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. The proposals would be for a permanent, managed and serviced site.
Kevin Berry	individual	I oppose the proposed plans for the change of Bradfield Kennels. I have quoted Crawley District council, WSCC and Buchan Park website details to highlight the environmental effects/ concerns such	Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible. Policy H5 acknowledges the need for detailed planning proposals to take into account the impacts on

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>a proposal would have a detrimental effect on the local environment and nature and wildlife. Add to this the social economic impact on the local community</p> <p>http://www.westsussex.gov.uk/leisure/enjoy_west_sussex/wildlife_and_d_landscape/countryside_and_wildlife/places_to_visit/buchan_country_park/about_buchan_country_park.aspx</p> <p>2.4 Land tenure/Grant Aid/Agreements</p> <p>About Buchan Country Park</p> <p>Lesser spotted woodpecker Buchan Country Park is owned and managed by West Sussex County Council. It is designated a Site of Nature Conservation Importance (SNCI) and is of national importance for its dragonfly populations. In 1985 the lakes and surrounds were designated a Site of Special Scientific Interest West Sussex County Council purchased the park on 31 July 1969.</p> <p>Educational initiatives like Buchan Bushcraft, show the educational aspects of the park, local land and nature reserves National BBC news earlier this year, events planned all through the summer for families etc.</p> <p>http://www2.westsussex.gov.uk/leisureandtourism/prow/pdfs/Buchan_Park_Background-Objectives.pdf</p> <p>The education service has undertaken school visits, holiday clubs, very able pupil courses, adult education courses and out of school group visits.</p> <p>The park is increasingly popular with cub and beaver groups</p> <p>Such activities would experience safeguarding issues as the exact nature of people close to school, club and beaver groups could result in the educational aspect of Buchan park being lost to schools and cub and beaver groups.</p> <p>http://www2.westsussex.gov.uk/leisureandtourism/prow/pdfs/Buchan_Park_Background-Objectives.pdf</p> <p>West Sussex County Council holds the freehold for the Country Park. Numbers 1 and 2 Laundry Cottages are also owned by WSCC and are rented by the Senior Ranger and one Countryside Ranger. WSCC also holds the freehold for 2.5 hectares of unimproved grassland at the entrance to the park. This area does not currently form part of the country park. Since 2004 it has been threatened twice with development. In 2004, in a Local Development Framework consultation document, Horsham District Council identified the site as a location for housing. Following local opposition and representations from park staff and County Council specialists the site was withdrawn. In October 2005 the field was the preferred site option to re-locate the Broadbridge Heath Highways Depot. WSCC property department carried out survey work as part of a feasibility study. This proposal is</p>	<p>the AONB and the country park, including ensuring the landscape character and ecological value of the site would be assessed and any harmful impacts adequately mitigated if required. This would ensure the site is planned and designed to ensure protection of the surrounding uses.</p> <p>This would be a permanent site for residential use, rather than a transit site for temporary use, or an illegal encampment/encroachment. It would be provided for existing residents of Crawley should a need for a traveller site arise, and would be well-designed and purpose-built, which would have been subject to detailed planning approval. As it is a council-owned site, it will be managed and maintained by, or on behalf of, the council, and as with social housing, restrictions would be imposed to ensure 'good neighbour' behaviour is enforced.</p>

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>currently shelved. Any development of this green field site will impact on the rural nature of the park entrance. If the site was to form part of the country park, there is considerable potential for use by groups for educational purposes. Improved access would also allow an additional circular route to Target Hill Local Nature Reserve.</p> <p>There are several Easements and Wayleaves applying to the park most notably regarding the installation of a water main over Target Hill. A small plot of land is leased to Cottesmore Golf Course. An area of 5.3 hectares of Target Hill is under a 10 year Defra Countryside Stewardship Scheme for lowland heath restoration (appendix 8.4). This agreement expires in 2012. From 1996 to 2007 two Forestry Commission Woodland Grant Schemes covered the whole park.</p> <p>In October 2000 WSCC entered into a 10-year management agreement with Crawley Borough Council to manage 3.36 hectares of land under a Countryside Stewardship Scheme for lowland heath restoration.</p> <p>Bio-diversity - The diversity of habitats and landscapes provide suitable interest for both amenity and educational use. The ecological diversity of some of the habitats is low, due to unfavourable management in the past, particularly felling of most of the woodland during World War 2. All the habitats present have been created by human management of the site over a long period and thus cannot be described as natural. However they have all been on the site for a great length of time and have a natural 'feel'. The presence of a site that has such high value for both amenity and nature conservation is rare in the context of the urban fringe of Crawley.</p> <p>Management Objective 1 Maintain a high standard of habitat management to ensure the site retains its Site of Special Scientific Interest and Site of Nature Conservation Importance designations.</p> <p>Management Objective 2 Enable people of all abilities to safely visit, enjoy and understand the park and the wider AONB countryside, and involve the local community and volunteers in its development</p> <p>Management Objective 4 Promote sustainability issues such as re-cycling, green energy, food miles and wildlife friendly gardening and the health benefits of an active lifestyle</p> <p>Point 3 Producing a programme of graded health walks in partnership with Crawley Borough Council and Gatwick Greenspace Partnership.</p> <p>Management Objective 5 Liaise with local landowners to encourage landscape and bio-diversity</p>	

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>improvements, as specified in WSCC Land Management Guidance Sheet HW2, to complement work at Buchan Country Park. We aim to achieve this by</p> <p>Managing 3.36 hectares of restored heathland leased from Crawley Borough Council on Target Hill.</p> <p>Liaising with WSCC Property and Trading Standards Department and grazing tenant to promote appropriate management of grazing land at park entrance.</p> <p>Working with partners such as the Holmbush estate, Sussex Probation Service and Gatwick Greenspace Partnership to remove invasive Rhododendron ponticum from the park's immediate borders.</p> <p>Developing a partnership with Cottessmore Golf Course to identify and promote habitat management to improve bio-diversity.</p> <p>Working with Crawley Borough Council and Gatwick Greenspace Partnership to carry out the aims of the management plan for Target Hill Local Nature Reserve.</p> <p>Management Objective 7</p> <p>To meet all legal and other obligations</p> <p>We aim to achieve this by -</p> <p>Ensuring that the site is safe for the general public through regular risk assessments.</p> <p>Nightjar details RSPB- red Status</p> <p>Red list criteria</p> <p>Globally threatened</p> <p>Historical population decline in UK during 1800-1995</p> <p>Severe (at least 50%) decline in UK breeding population over last 25 years, or longer-term period (the entire period used for assessments since the first BoCC review, starting in 1969).</p> <p>Severe (at least 50%) contraction of UK breeding range over last 25 years, or the longer-term period</p> <p>The particular habitat requirements of the nightjar (described below) means that its distribution and numbers are limited by the availability of suitable habitat. One component of that habitat - mature lowland heathland - has been decimated in southern England over the last century, and whilst today the remaining fragments of heaths are largely protected from further losses (and initiatives are underway to restore large areas of former heathland) the habitat remains a scarce one.</p> <p>Largely as a result of the losses of heathland area, the nightjar has declined dramatically over the past century, and by 50% nationally between surveys carried out in 1968-72 and 1988-91. Within the last ten years or so, the species has showed signs of recovering, and this is in part due to increases in habitat provided by clear-felling of</p>	

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>conifer plantations.</p> <p>Basic management requirements for the nightjar: Site size: At least 10 hectares are normally required to attract nightjar. Heather management: Cyclical heather management to maintain the long term vigour of the heath, on a rotation between 20 and 40 years and/or controlled burning. Scrub control: Periodically remove invading tree scrub to prevent succession; the density of retained trees should ideally be kept to less than 10 per ha; above this the value of the heath for other species can become compromised, depending on local circumstances. Prevent bracken invasion of heath by herbicide treatment (N.B. mowing or rolling is unsuitable as a method of bracken control as it would have to be done during the nesting season of nightjar and other ground nesting birds). Grazing: Extensive grazing enhances the vegetation structure, restricts invasion and incidentally increases the number of dung insects, some of which are important elements of the nightjar's diet. Bare ground: All the above help to create and maintain bare patches of 3-5 m² within the heather-dominated vegetation and at the base of small trees (1-3 m tall). This provides nightjars with suitable nesting habitat in areas where the heather cover is otherwise too dense for sufficient natural sites to be available. Conifer plantations: Clearings (over 10 hectares) restocked within 10-15 years are preferred by nightjar. Continuity of suitable nest sites may be extended for two to three years by inter-row ploughing or rotovating. Diversification of the age structure within the more even-aged plantations will ensure a continuity of suitable open space through the production cycle. Ideally the proportion of newly-created open space should then be maintained throughout the cycle. The inclusion of unplanted bays (20-50 m wide) in the form of wide rides can provide nest sites in undisturbed areas, which also provide heathland corridors through large woodland areas, providing sheltered feeding sites. Buchan Park management Plan 2006 to 2013 http://www2.westsussex.gov.uk/leisureandtourism/prow/pdfs/BuchanParkMP_LowRes.pdf ref DEFRA map distance 50 to 100m of the following protected areas SSSI Citation WSSC Site of nature Conservation Importance Status: Site of Special Scientific Interest notified under Section 28 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 It would be a shame to lose all the investment and the natural beauty</p>	

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		of the park and area if this proposed idea goes ahead.	
Natalie Bingham	individual	<p>I oppose the proposed plans for the change of Bradfield Kennels. I have quoted Crawley District council, WSCC and Buchan Park website details to highlight the environmental effects/ concerns such a proposal would have a detrimental effect on the local environment and nature and wildlife. Add to this the social economic impact on the local community</p> <p>http://www.westsussex.gov.uk/leisure/enjoy_west_sussex/wildlife_and_d_landscape/countryside_and_wildlife/places_to_visit/buchan_country_park/about_buchan_country_park.aspx</p> <p>2.4 Land tenure/Grant Aid/Agreements About Buchan Country Park</p> <p>Lesser spotted woodpecker Buchan Country Park is owned and managed by West Sussex County Council. It is designated a Site of Nature Conservation Importance (SNCI) and is of national importance for its dragonfly populations. In 1985 the lakes and surrounds were designated a Site of Special Scientific Interest West Sussex County Council purchased the park on 31 July 1969.</p> <p>Educational initiatives like Buchan Bushcraft, show the educational aspects of the park, local land and nature reserves. National BBC news earlier this year, events planned all through the summer for families etc.</p> <p>http://www2.westsussex.gov.uk/leisureandtourism/prow/pdfs/Buchan_Park_Background-Objectives.pdf</p> <p>The education service has undertaken school visits, holiday clubs, very able pupil courses, adult education courses and out of school group visits.</p> <p>The park is increasingly popular with cub and beaver groups Such activities would experience safeguarding issues as the exact nature of people close to school, club and beaver groups could result in the educational aspect of Buchan park being lost to schools and cub and beaver groups.</p> <p>http://www2.westsussex.gov.uk/leisureandtourism/prow/pdfs/Buchan_Park_Background-Objectives.pdf</p> <p>West Sussex County Council holds the freehold for the Country Park. Numbers 1 and 2 Laundry Cottages are also owned by WSCC and are rented by the Senior Ranger and one Countryside Ranger. WSCC also holds the freehold for 2.5 hectares of unimproved grassland at the entrance to the park. This area does not currently form part of the country park. Since 2004 it has been threatened twice with development. In 2004, in a Local Development Framework consultation document, Horsham District Council identified the site as</p>	<p>Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible. Policy H5 acknowledges the need for detailed planning proposals to take into account the impacts on the AONB and the country park, including ensuring the landscape character and ecological value of the site would be assessed and any harmful impacts adequately mitigated if required. This would ensure the site is planned and designed to ensure protection of the surrounding uses.</p> <p>This would be a permanent site for residential use, rather than a transit site for temporary use, or an illegal encampment/encroachment. It would be provided for existing residents of Crawley should a need for a traveller site arise, and would be well-designed and purpose-built, which would have been subject to detailed planning approval. As it is a council-owned site, it will be managed and maintained by, or on behalf of, the council, and as with social housing, restrictions would be imposed to ensure 'good neighbour' behaviour is enforced.</p>

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>a location for housing. Following local opposition and representations from park staff and County Council specialists the site was withdrawn. In October 2005 the field was the preferred site option to re-locate the Broadbridge Heath Highways Depot. WSCC property department carried out survey work as part of a feasibility study. This proposal is currently shelved. Any development of this green field site will impact on the rural nature of the park entrance. If the site was to form part of the country park, there is considerable potential for use by groups for educational purposes. Improved access would also allow an additional circular route to Target Hill Local Nature Reserve.</p> <p>There are several Easements and Wayleaves applying to the park most notably regarding the installation of a water main over Target Hill. A small plot of land is leased to Cottesmore Golf Course. An area of 5.3 hectares of Target Hill is under a 10 year Defra Countryside Stewardship Scheme for lowland heath restoration (appendix 8.4). This agreement expires in 2012. From 1996 to 2007 two Forestry Commission Woodland Grant Schemes covered the whole park.</p> <p>In October 2000 WSCC entered into a 10-year management agreement with Crawley Borough Council to manage 3.36 hectares of land under a Countryside Stewardship Scheme for lowland heath restoration.</p> <p>Bio-diversity - The diversity of habitats and landscapes provide suitable interest for both amenity and educational use. The ecological diversity of some of the habitats is low, due to unfavourable management in the past, particularly felling of most of the woodland during World War 2. All the habitats present have been created by human management of the site over a long period and thus cannot be described as natural. However they have all been on the site for a great length of time and have a natural 'feel'. The presence of a site that has such high value for both amenity and nature conservation is rare in the context of the urban fringe of Crawley.</p> <p>Management Objective 1 Maintain a high standard of habitat management to ensure the site retains its Site of Special Scientific Interest and Site of Nature Conservation Importance designations.</p> <p>Management Objective 2 Enable people of all abilities to safely visit, enjoy and understand the park and the wider AONB countryside, and involve the local community and volunteers in its development</p> <p>Management Objective 4 Promote sustainability issues such as re-cycling, green energy, food miles and wildlife friendly gardening and the health benefits of an</p>	

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>active lifestyle</p> <p>Point 3 Producing a programme of graded health walks in partnership with Crawley Borough Council and Gatwick Greenspace Partnership. Management Objective 5</p> <p>Liaise with local landowners to encourage landscape and bio-diversity improvements, as specified in WSCC Land Management Guidance Sheet HW2, to complement work at Buchan Country Park. We aim to achieve this by</p> <p>Managing 3.36 hectares of restored heathland leased from Crawley Borough Council on Target Hill.</p> <p>Liaising with WSCC Property and Trading Standards Department and grazing tenant to promote appropriate management of grazing land at park entrance.</p> <p>Working with partners such as the Holmbush estate, Sussex Probation Service and Gatwick Greenspace Partnership to remove invasive Rhododendron ponticum from the park's immediate borders.</p> <p>Developing a partnership with Cottesmore Golf Course to identify and promote habitat management to improve bio-diversity.</p> <p>Working with Crawley Borough Council and Gatwick Greenspace Partnership to carry out the aims of the management plan for Target Hill Local Nature Reserve.</p> <p>Management Objective 7</p> <p>To meet all legal and other obligations</p> <p>We aim to achieve this by -</p> <p>Ensuring that the site is safe for the general public through regular risk assessments.</p> <p>Nightjar details RSPB- red Status</p> <p>Red list criteria</p> <p>Globally threatened</p> <p>Historical population decline in UK during 1800-1995</p> <p>Severe (at least 50%) decline in UK breeding population over last 25 years, or longer-term period (the entire period used for assessments since the first BoCC review, starting in 1969).</p> <p>Severe (at least 50%) contraction of UK breeding range over last 25 years, or the longer-term period</p> <p>The particular habitat requirements of the nightjar (described below) means that its distribution and numbers are limited by the availability of suitable habitat. One component of that habitat - mature lowland heathland - has been decimated in southern England over the last century, and whilst today the remaining fragments of heaths are largely protected from further losses (and initiatives are underway to restore large areas of former heathland) the habitat remains a scarce one.</p>	

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>Largely as a result of the losses of heathland area, the nightjar has declined dramatically over the past century, and by 50% nationally between surveys carried out in 1968-72 and 1988-91. Within the last ten years or so, the species has showed signs of recovering, and this is in part due to increases in habitat provided by clear-felling of conifer plantations.</p> <p>Basic management requirements for the nightjar: Site size: At least 10 hectares are normally required to attract nightjar. Heather management: Cyclical heather management to maintain the long term vigour of the heath, on a rotation between 20 and 40 years and/or controlled burning. Scrub control: Periodically remove invading tree scrub to prevent succession; the density of retained trees should ideally be kept to less than 10 per ha; above this the value of the heath for other species can become compromised, depending on local circumstances. Prevent bracken invasion of heath by herbicide treatment (N.B. mowing or rolling is unsuitable as a method of bracken control as it would have to be done during the nesting season of nightjar and other ground nesting birds). Grazing: Extensive grazing enhances the vegetation structure, restricts invasion and incidentally increases the number of dung insects, some of which are important elements of the nightjar's diet. Bare ground: All the above help to create and maintain bare patches of 3-5 m2 within the heather-dominated vegetation and at the base of small trees (1-3 m tall). This provides nightjars with suitable nesting habitat in areas where the heather cover is otherwise too dense for sufficient natural sites to be available. Conifer plantations: Clearings (over 10 hectares) restocked within 10-15 years are preferred by nightjar. Continuity of suitable nest sites may be extended for two to three years by inter-row ploughing or rotovating. Diversification of the age structure within the more even-aged plantations will ensure a continuity of suitable open space through the production cycle. Ideally the proportion of newly-created open space should then be maintained throughout the cycle. The inclusion of unplanted bays (20-50 m wide) in the form of wide rides can provide nest sites in undisturbed areas, which also provide heathland corridors through large woodland areas, providing sheltered feeding sites. Buchan Park management Plan 2006 to 2013 http://www2.westsussex.gov.uk/leisureandtourism/prow/pdfs/BuchanParkMP_LowRes.pdf ref DEFRA map distance 50 to 100m of the following protected areas</p>	

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>SNCI Citation WSCC Site of nature Conservation Importance Status: Site of Special Scientific Interest notified under Section 28 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 It would be a shame to lose all the investment and the natural beauty of the park and area if this proposed idea goes ahead.</p>	
Stephanie Neale	individual	I previously thought that the Broadfield site would be a good option, especially as it quite far from residential areas	Support for Broadfield Kennels site noted.
Carol Andrews	individual	I realise that councils are obliged to house Gypsies but don't agree with it, as I understand it they are not obliged to pay council tax. With regard to Langley Walk it is very near Cherry Lane where children play football etc parking could be a huge problem, plus dumping of rubbish, there are also horses kept nearby. There is also lots of housing around here therefore not fair on residents as the housing prices would drop hugely. There is so little greenery around this area it would be unfair to take away what little there is.	<p>For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p> <p>The proposal would be for a permanent, managed and serviced site.</p>
Darren Hitt	individual	I strongly against the Gypsy and Traveller site being in this location. This is because it will dramatically reduce house prices in the area, as I have a young family I am not in a position to move financially. We have made many improvements to our house and before this became apparent we had no desire to move. However should this be forthcoming we would have a serious re think for our own safety as 2 years ago we got burgled. Even though we have made many improvements of the security of our house, by having the Gypsy and Traveller site introduced in this location we feel our security would be compromised. We would have no alternative but to consider moving out of Crawley and selling the house at a reduced price.	No planning issues raised. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Angela & David Hughes	individual	I strongly believe Langley Walk is unsuitable, it has a lot of flooding on the site. I live next to it and I feel the stress and the fact that it is right in the middle of us who keep horses etc.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Emma Hitt	individual	I strongly disagree to this site being used. By having it so close to my home, the price of my home would drop significantly. As we have a young family we are not in the position financially to move. We have recently improved our home significantly and were hoping to be living here for the foreseeable future. 2 years ago we got burgled and although significant security improvements have been made to our	No planning issues raised. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		home, if this site was to be introduced we feel are safety and security would be compromised living here. With the possibility of this site being introduced i feel my family would have no alternative but to consider moving from the area, although this would be at a considerable loss due to the reduced house price.	
Sarah Fortnam	individual	I strongly disagree with any sites for travellers they won't be paying a pitch rent or council tax road tax NI so clearly don't wish to be a part of our society! ...and house prices will fall we are the only people who won't benefit what will they bring to our society? Not only will you be giving them land to abuse we will be paying for it through our hard earned taxes!!! I suppose they will be entitled to basic sanitary needs so we will be paying the bill for toilet blocks! If it is their culture to travel let them travel! Personally I think this country needs to make a stand like Southern Ireland and make it illegal! I assume if you go ahead with this your be compensating those living near the sites with the amount they will lose off their house prices!!!	No planning issues raised.
Mrs Linda Burchett=Vass	individual	I strongly disagree with the site planned for Travellers at Broadfield Kennels. Not only is the site marked on the map not the site previously used by the kennels, but the field area beside it. There is only a rough path leading to the local shops, which is not suitable. I live local to this site and we have on going problems with illegal motor bikes using the paths and site proposed and feel it will only escalate. This is unfair on the permanant residents.	A larger site has been identified as more detailed work would need to be undertaken and there could be constraints such as noise to address.
Rod Horton	individual	I struggle with this concept and understand the argument that is often put regarding this community, that they need to retain the ability to roam, however this does feel like having your cake and eating it	No planning issues raised.
Lynette Satti	individual	I think there should be a space but don't know which would be best as both have issues (access and noise)	Comments noted. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. The Highway Authority would need to be satisfied that the access arrangements would be safe.
Mr Dean Fowler	individual	I think this is not a good idea due the wildlife in the area Buchan Park. Extra traffic it will cause Crawley on the whole is not an acceptable place for a traveller site.	Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible. Comments noted. Policy H5 acknowledges the need for detailed planning proposals to take into account the impacts on the AONB and the country park, including ensuring the landscape character and ecological value of the site would be assessed and any harmful impacts adequately mitigated if required. The additional traffic from 9 traveller pitches would be assessed as part of a detailed planning

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			application, however as part of the Transport Modelling part 2 study it has been determined that the quantity of transport created by this scale of development in this location would not have a severe impact on the transport infrastructure.
MR HODGMAN		I would hate to see Gypsy sites in the Crawley area, if this happens Crawley will never be the same again and it will be regretted in the future. These people put nothing into society but expect much out of it, they have no respect and I bet a pound to a penny that the crime rate will soar. They use our roads and facilities and pay no taxes etc. No no no.	No planning issues raised.
West Sussex County Councillor Susan Mullins and Borough Councillor Chris Mullins	individual	I would like to object to the proposal to site a permanent Gypsy and Traveller Site here for the following reasons: Langley Walk is a very narrow road, lined with large mature trees and all the approach roads serving this area are basically down to a single track, the majority of the time, due to the high volume of residents cars parked on the roads, throughout the area. There are already major problems experienced at peak travelling times and when service vehicles, repair vans, delivery lorries, etc. need to access the area. To then have to experience the noise, disruption and pollution from the major construction works, as well as the high volume of constructors HG vehicles and plant, necessary to develop the site, over many months, will make life quite intolerable for all the residents in the local area. There will be a high impact on the local infrastructure due to the increased need and demand for electricity, gas, water, sewage, communications and waste management required to provide for this site. This locality already suffers to a certain extent from noise and air pollution from the adjacent runway at Gatwick Airport. There are proposals, current at the moment, to extend the airport and to provide a further runway in the near future. Given the specific controls on noise pollution, deemed acceptable for caravan dwellers in particular, why is it even being considered appropriate to develop this site for this purpose? This is a quiet, semi-rural area on the edge of Crawley, one of the few remaining 'green' parts of Crawley, it is also undeveloped land outside the building area. We can ill afford to lose our last remaining natural resources, with regard to trees, plant, animal and insect life and other natural habitats, from this town. The majority of the local residents have lived here for very many years and chose to move into this area because of its peaceful green	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. A number of possible alternative sites are constrained, for example by flooding and/or noise, including the previous site in the North East Sector. Members of the Gypsy and Traveller community were informed of the consultation along with the local representative groups. Responses have been received from these as representative and as individuals in support of the positive approach of the Local Plan and approach of the Gypsy and Traveller Needs Assessment and in relation to the identification of a site in close proximity to Crawley for a site for permanent caravan pitches. Prior to the consultation on the site options – a further detailed site assessment was carried out to consider all potential sites across the borough, this included a reassessment of all sites considered during previous assessments. However, most of these are unsuitable due to physical constraints which mitigation is not possible, including flooding and unacceptable noise levels.

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		nature. It is not acceptable to completely change the ambience of this area for such a small gain. I would also like to pose the question 'Have the local Gypsy and Traveller Community been consulted as to the size, location, suitability and accessibility of this site, for their future needs?' We understand that other sites in the town, some in the North East sector have been proposed, in the past, for this purpose, which were eminently more suitable and were selected with the participation of the Gypsy and Traveller community. These sites should be considered again.	
Hemal Vaidya	individual	I would not be in favour of having a permanent Gypsy or Traveller site in Crawley.	No planning issues raised.
Julie mustart	individual	I would not feel comfortable going to Buchan Park as I do on a daily basis if the Kennels site is approved. I also live local and this site concerns me. I would like to know if you will publish if these sites are going to be monitored. As in the past Traveller sites have left the place as a tip and very dirty etc	The proposal would be for a permanent, serviced and managed site.
Andy Tolfrey	individual	I would not like a site in Crawley full stop. I do not wish to have my Council tax spent on Gypsies or Travellers.	This comment does not raise any planning issues.
Jo Mulville	individual	It has happened here before when travellers were parked nearby for a short time. Our house and car insurance will go up and our houses will decrease in value - this may not be a concern for the council but when you have worked hard all your life to buy your own home it is beyond the pale to have the value slashed by a development such as this. Rest assured that the residents of this area will not let this happen without a fight.	The proposal would be for a permanent, serviced and managed site. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Maria Callaway	representative	If an integration of the Gypsy and Traveller communities is one of the aims for 2029 more needs to be done about the locals' attitudes and awareness of these communities before utilising such nice open spaces. Also more needs to be done to address the Gypsy and Travellers cultural attitudes towards waste etc before permanent pitches are made on such nice areas of land and in such close proximity to local communities.	The proposal would be for a permanent, serviced and managed site.
JANET ROSKILY	individual	IF I WERE A TRAVELLER I WOULD PREFER THE LANGLEY GREEN SITE. NOISE FROM THE A264 AND SPEED OF TRAFFIC,	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. The issues of noise and safe access would need to be carefully

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			addressed.
Jackie Littleton	individual	<p>If it is a case of the Town HAVING to provide a site by new government law, then the best area of Crawley I believe, to be at the old Broadfield Kennels site. This site is the farthest area away from the majority of residents, where they cannot disturb or interfere with anyone.</p> <p>However, I am of the opinion that we should not provide any permanent facilities for travellers, as they are TRAVELLERS, clue in the title(!) and they do not contribute anything to the settled communities in which they want to integrate, nor do they pay any taxes. If they are prepared to settle, they should be prepared to pay taxes.</p>	<p>For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p> <p>The proposal would be for a permanent, serviced and managed site with access to local facilities, such as schools. The development would need to accommodate the mobile homes of the residents to enable them to roam for some months of the year.</p>
John B Cord	individual	if the G's & T's are in houses why give them sites, and why give them sites in the first place, as their title means that they are travellers.	The proposal would be for a permanent, serviced and managed site with access to local facilities, such as schools. The development would need to accommodate the mobile homes of the residents to enable them to roam for some months of the year.
Jacqueline Sander	individual	IF the Gypsy and Traveller community are requiring a site then Broadfield Kennels would be a better choice as there is better access. I strongly disagree with land North of Langley Walk being considered as it is a narrow road too small to be used by large vans & trucks, it is a lane used by horses & dog walkers. It is also used for walkers from the disabled community. The wildlife such as deer, foxes, rabbits, owls, bats would be detrimentally affected. The vegetation is vital for wildlife such as bees and butterflies.	<p>For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p> <p>Support for the Broadfield site noted.</p>
Patricia Chalk	individual	If these travellers want to be travellers then let them travel.	This comment does not raise any planning issues.
Ms Page	individual	If they are already living in houses keep them where they are.	The need for a site arises from the members of the Travelling community already residents within Crawley. No planning issues raised.
Graham Rowe	individual	If they are happily settled then why would land need to be set aside. The land would be better used for housing for the many families that need houses now.	The site would be required to meet a local need arising from the members of the Travelling community already residents within Crawley. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. The site is not well-suited to permanent housing.
Mrs J Stephenson	individual	If they are in housing let them stay in housing and contribute to the Crawley economy. This land could be used for permanent housing. All children want to leave home and travel, but we do not supply a site	The site would be required to meet a local need arising from the members of the Travelling community already residents within Crawley. A permanent site would enable the residents to access services such as education and health

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		for them to do so. If they do not contribute to the economy of Crawley, we owe them nothing. So do not blight the area.	care. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. The site is not well-suited to permanent housing.
Baker	individual	If they are travellers then they will not make it a permanent home. If families settle then it will not be big enough to accommodate them.	The proposal would be for a permanent, serviced and managed site with access to local facilities, such as schools. The development would need to accommodate the mobile homes of the residents to enable them to roam for some months of the year.
Mrs Alexandra C Page	individual	If Traveller's have to have a council site at tax payers expense, consider the old areas of the town. Industrial estates and further out of town towards the airport - NOT CLOSE TO THE TAX PAYING, LAW ABIDING population - and certainly not at the expense of my or my neighbours property prices!	The industrial sites are required to provide new employment and most sites towards the airport are or could be severely affected by noise, making them unsuitable for a permanent site.
V. Foster	individual	In recent years Crawley's reputation has gone down hill and is now rated in the 50 worst towns to live in the country. This I believe to be mainly due to the bad reputations of various areas i.e. Broadfield, Bewbush & Langley Green. This i know because I work across the country & these areas are mentioned. Langley Green has recently spent approx £6+ million trying to improve the shopping precinct and surrounding area in order to enhance the area. If a travellers' site is approved then that would be the nail in the coffin. The recent article in the Crawley News states that "the travelling community don't particularly like living close to residential areas as its hassle for them". I have witnessed travellers driving around Langley Green and witnessed their attempts to steel a caravan from a neighbour's driveway. Housing travellers in Langley Green WILL cause problems and conflict and WILL have a very big impact on the housing market not only in Langley Green but also in the surrounding areas. My suggestion is to house them in an area that has still to be developed with Crawley's expansion i.e. between Crawley & Horsham and not integrate into an established community which would be asking for problems.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. The land between Horsham and Crawley lies within Horsham District so a site in that location would not meet the need in the borough
John Sullivan	individual	In your FAQ relating to the proposed Gypsy & Traveller sites you mention a figure of 77. From previous dealings with the Local Council I am led to believe that there are several thousand waiting on the Council waiting list many have been on the "list" (I use the term loosely) many years. I know of many who were born and bred in	The Local Plan seeks to meet a range of housing needs and the council is required to do this if local need is identified.

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		Crawley but still have no idea or any likelihood of ever being housed.....Yet the Local Authority (surprise.. surprise) are going out of their way to accomodate these 77 individuals at a cost to the local tax payers against a100% of the "local"residents being resolutely against it.....What is the POINT of local elections? And whats the POINT of local officials voted into "office" who ignore local opinion and just "cow tow" to the "big wigs" they REALLY SERVE.	
Simon Farrow	individual	Increase in traffic on minor narrow roads, close to Retirement homes, destruction/damage of historic woodland and area of outstanding natural beauty. Possible extra flooding risk. If Gatwick expands area will be blighted by noise which would be particularly bad for people in caravans.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. A scheme would need to take account of the AONB and be carefully designed.
C	individual	Industrial estate where there is no one to upset!	These sites are allocated for employment generation. Many would not have access to local facilities and be seriously affected by aircraft noise.
Mrs N Brandon	individual	Industrial estate. Off A23 Lowfield Heath. Land from old British Legion Club. Same side as Gatwick Manor between car wash and roundabout going towards Gatwick.	The land is this location is seriously affected by aircraft noise and is unsuitable for a permanent site.
Maria Lula-Harris	individual	Industrial estate.....	These sites are allocated for employment generation. Many would not have access to local facilities and be seriously affected by aircraft noise.
Grace	individual	Is they are 'travellers' then they should travel, if they're not travelling then they are not travellers. ... these sites should not even be considered.	The proposal would be for a permanent, serviced and managed site with access to local facilities, such as schools. The development would need to accommodate the mobile homes of the residents to enable them to roam for some months of the year.
B Patel	individual	Issues around access. Noise pollution already there has been and unease of traffic noise due to housing next to temple, can not leave windows open at night as traffic noise continuous well into after midnight. Open space for us to carry out our recreation activities such as walks.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. Any issues regarding noise would need to be carefully addressed.
Jim Grant	individual	It is common knowledge that that theft in surrounding neighbourhood and the the town centre escalate when a settlement arrives. They appear to become dumping grounds and very little respect is given to the appearance of the surroundings.	The proposal would be for a permanent, managed and serviced site.
Yousaf	individual	It is my view that this possibly more contentious issue will distract from the need to make a robust response to the whole Planning Strategy itself. CBC forward planning department (FPD) also needs	Consultation comment noted. CBC seeks to involve all members of the community in consultation on the Local Plan, and has ensured at every stage to maximise the various media formats available to ensure the various

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		to encourage residents to view documents and make their responses, complaints and views known directly to CBC, their MPs and their CBC Councillors and engage fully in consultations. FPD must ensure that all information needed to do this is made available to the residents through the websites, notice boards and from Councillors and the Office.	individuals and groups within the town are notified and engaged. This will continue for the final, statutory formal consultation stage: publication of the submission draft Local Plan.
Mrs Simmonds	individual	It is unlikely only 10 pitches will be on site. The Broadfield Kennels does not have suitable access for caravans and it is too close to Buchan Park and the wildlife.	The site would accommodate the number of pitches identified as this is the local need. The Highway Authority would need to be satisfied that the access would be safe and this may require alterations to the existing arrangements. Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible. Comments noted.
Eurice Clement	individual	It seems surprising that Gypsy families' children might want to go back to caravans but very reasonable of the council to make the offer.	Comment noted.
R Sharpe	individual	It should be abundantly CLEAR to the Gypsy and Travellers that THEY are responsible for keeping the sites clean and tidy, and under no circumstances should the cost be borne by the Crawley tax payers.	The proposal would be for a permanent, managed and serviced site.
Rhys Owen	individual	It too small in Broadfield.	The site would meet the identified the local need and would not reduce the size of the country park.
Mr M Platt	individual	It would be an environmental hazard, and with the proposition of a new runway coming for Gatwick, this will be in very close proximity to any new development in this area.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Mrs Julie Sullivan	individual	It would ruin one of the only quiet neighborhoods in Crawley, also just generally having a Gypsy site here could cause a lot of problems for local residents. It will be an eye sore and ruin one of the last bits of greenery in the area. There must be other places between Horsham and Crawley where a site could be found that wouldn't cause as much of a problem. Unfortunately there is often issues with Gypsy sites involving police that could be a hazard to residents and their children, which would also cause a drain on public funds. Also noise levels as mentioned are an issue.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. Any development would need to be carefully designed due to the location within the AONB. The proposal would be for a permanent, managed and serviced site.
Rebekah Abbott	individual	If they pay no council tax income tax etc why should they be given free land?	No planning comments raised.

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Colin Hayler	individual	It's a difficult one we do need to provide suitable sites and if we do so it might help to break the nomadic existence and bring some of the Travellers to realise they are part of our society and should conform to those standards.	Support in principle for a permanent site noted.
Val Foster	individual	It's a well known fact that there are always problems and issues with the travelling community. I understand that a site needs to be found but Langley Green is not the location as it is too close to a residential area. A site outside of Crawley would be the answer.	No planning issues raised.
Teresa	individual	It's not a suitable site for travellers + gypsies accommodation as the motorway is close by and could be dangerous. I've also heard lost of complaints and disagreements that could lead to protest and fights. Unfortunately I do not know any alternative sites.	The issues of noise and safe access would need careful consideration. At this stage it is anticipated that the access issue could be improved through changes to the existing arrangements.
James Wood	individual	...	No planning issue raised.
Joan Jones	individual	I've been a resident in Crawley for the past 55 years when Crawley was a New Town. It's always been clean and tidy. One of the issues with travellers is that they DONT PAY COUNCIL TAX, their rubbish builds up and I question sewage, water rates and schooling. My fear is we will end up with more than the stated 10 pitches and should certainly NOT be any where near a residential area. Final comment - I thought travellers travelled.	The proposal would be for a permanent, serviced and managed site with access to local facilities, such as schools. The development would need to accommodate the mobile homes of the residents to enable them to roam for some months of the year.
Mr & Mrs J. Mamo	individual	...	This comment does not raise any planning issues.
Andrew Baldwin	individual	Kennels site is far too isolated from the community. Access on foot is very poor. The Langley Walk site is more included in the community with much better access to facilities.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. The pedestrian access from the site to local facilities would need to be improved.
Claire McDonald	individual	Land at Broadfield Kennels has previously been rejected for development on the grounds that the only access is via the fast lane of the A264, a busy, unlit dual carriageway. The development of the large Kilnwood Vale estate nearby will result in an increase in traffic along this stretch of road. The only pedestrian access is via an unlit, unpaved underpass and a steep, high bank with badly maintained steps. There is no disabled access and nowhere to provide a bus stop. The land is also a designated AONB and is home to a large	The issues of noise and safe access would need careful consideration. At this stage it is anticipated that the access issue could be improved through changes to the existing arrangements. The pedestrian access from the site to local facilities would need to be improved. Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible. Comments noted. The proposal would need to be adequately screened to avoid a negative impact on the AONB and possibly to protect residents from road noise.

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		variety of wildlife. Crawley's Green Spaces Strategy quotes that the council is committed to "reconise the wealth of wildlife in Crawley and try to ensure that these areas are protected and enhanced." The steep drop off to the south of the land also makes it an unsuitable choice for a residential plot.	
Raymond Webb	individual	Land is liable to flooding. Access is limited. Close to housing and childrens play centre.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
June Kite	individual	Land north of Langley Walk not suitable because of aircraft noise, I live in Langley Walk and we suffer. How about off the Spur Road leading to the crematorium; noise would be less and there are trees and near access to open road, as they are Travellers?	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. The spur road is located in an area of Ancient Woodland.
Mrs Whitfield	individual	Land North of Langley Walk, floods every year and overflows on to road. Strongly againts works to be done on this land, since more houses have been built on land in Walnut Lane road floods more to the point footpath and road can not be used.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Stephanie Healey	individual	Land should be used to build houses for the residents of crawley, those who cannot afford private rent or mortgages	The Local Plan seeks to identify sites for a range of housing needs. The Broadfield Kennels site would not be well-suited to permanent housing.
Kayleigh Nash	individual	Langley Green acces road is too small, and is a very quiet residential area. Would you like these people living in your back garden? I really feel for these poor residents. Not only that building this near other people's homes will decrease the value of houses. This is a FACT as I know people who have had their house values and have been told with just the consideration of the area they will never get what there house is worth.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Mr Mrs and Mr Mark Beeden	individual	Langley Green already has a bad reputation putting Gypsies on site will create more problems, it will extend when they bring in all their families our homes will be in threat of burglaries possessions being stolen, rubbish threat of rats and our house prices will drop.	No planning issues raised.
FRANK HUMPHREYS	individual	Langley Green has in recent years been over developed with new housing within the area with no thought for the infrastructure and roads to sustain it. Access to the areas within Langley Green is via very narrow roads. The standard of the new housing on for example Apple Tree farm site is very poor and has devalued the area considerably. A Gypsy site in Langley Walk would be undesirable for	New development is required to address the additional burden it would place on local facilities usually through a financial contribution to improve capacity. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		all local residents. The access to this area through Langley Green is very limited. The great possibility of the disruption and trouble that these sites cause to the local community should not be underestimated, reference the Dale Farm site in Essex. If these sites are needed and I do not see that they are as you say they are already living in houses then they should be away from residential areas.	Local Plan.
ALAN WINNING	individual	Langley Green has new flats by the parade also new houses at the end of Langley Walk I think putting more people in Langley Walk will over populate it. I think a site where smithkline beecham was would be more suitable as it is more accesible from the main road also Langley Green being one of the oldest estates many people have lived here for years and are very nervous of this happening.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. Sites in Manor Royal are needed to generate additional employment and are likely to lack access to facilities and be unduly affected by noise.
Peter Lashwood	individual	Langley Green should not be built on due to conservation of the wildlife and green area that has been raised in the press only just recently by Prince Charles. Also the road access is very narrow and would be very disruptive for the residents and at the moment with only a few cars going down the road it has a great deal of pot holes.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Rosemary Woods	individual	Langley Green site is most (.....) as it is in a residential area etc. Broadfield Kennels would be better. Plenty of road and wont affect many people. Main road on their doorstep.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. Support for the Broadfield site noted.
H Patel	individual	Langley green space is compact issues with access to the site. It is also used by public for access, I personally take my walks through that area, it is already used by tenant as stables. Since new housing build in infield as well as well as temple the traffic as increased tenfolds that now you can not leave your windows open at night due car noise, pollution, access to site is restricted and issues already around road safety on lane walk.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Lesley Duncan	individual	Langley Walk has equestrian links, people will be less likely to use the stables near there. Residential area very nearby as well. Langley Green is already overcrowded with lots of new homes being built there recently.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Remo Lula	individual	Langley Walk with the nearby access road are already not safe for vehicle there are permanent obstruction on the road (too many cars parked irresponsible on the verge which the council doesn't care about the constant complain) Rushetts Road has been taken as a	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		short cut by too many driver in the morning is impossible to come out of the driveway just waiting for a fatal accident to happen; you have already authorised a bed & breakfast house on the corner without due reason to parking congestion it causes.	
Tracy Leachman	individual	Langley Walk already has parking issues. More housing, etc. would add to this congestion.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
David Janz	individual	Langley Walk has a very small and busy lane now with limited parking, increased traffic would be of great concern to the safety of cars and children.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Rachel Price	individual	Langley Walk has houses right next to the fence of the planned area. Their garden would back onto the Gypsy site. The road way is narrow and it is a quiet residential area.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Jai Patel	individual	Langley Walk I'd half the size of Broadfield Kennels. Broadfield would be the better option. Increase traffic and access to the site is a concern. Too close to residents travellers would prefer more space. Infrastructure would be too costly in Langley Walk. High risk of flooding and there is nice woodlands.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. Support for Broadfield site noted.
Lisa Hammer	individual	Langley Walk is a flood area, wild deer roam, access is limited & roads too narrow due to residential proximity.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Raymond Mitchelson	individual	Langley Walk is a narrow road and should not be developed further. The area is prone to flooding.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Mr Mike Way	individual	Langley Walk is a quiet area with small, tree lines roads. It is used by horse riders and adding to the traffic and removing trees will dramatically increase the noise levels from increased traffic and from the airport, which is currently blocked by the trees.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Sarah Williams	individual	Langley walk is a small road that wouldn't cope with the additional traffic. The location is currently in use for livestock whereas Broadfield	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		kennels has been access and wouldn't impact on the surrounding area as much	is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. Support for Broadfield site noted.
Adam Jasko	individual	Langley Walk is essentially a one lane road because of all the parked cars and caravans would be too large to go down it. Both areas have good wildlife and it is not clear there even is a need for a Gypsy park.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Mr P Kozel	individual	Langley Walk is in the middle of a residential area. This would certainly produce a lot of friction between communities and problems of the future need to be avoided. Why not consider one of the brown sites in or around manor royal, away from residential areas. Access to the Langley Green site will be very congested and inadequate.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. Sites in Manor Royal are required to generate additional employment and are likely to lack access to facilities and be affected by noise.
Brian Wharton	individual	Langley Walk is right next to existing housing with not even a twenty metre gap of open space. In addition it is a lovely area of Langley Green and residents are against the site. Broadfield Kennels is a site of last resort. What would happen to the existing kennels? How would Buchan Park be affected - which is not even meant to be in Crawley's borders and is well used by people in Crawley and Horsham.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.
Neal Fielden	individual	Langley Walk is too narrow for added traffic and caravans. With airport expansion in the future this would only be a temporary solution. With airport expansion this would just be an additional burden on the road. Would Poles Lane be suitable? It has good public transport links into town. The dual carriage could handle the extra traffic, and type of traffic.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. The Poles Lane would be severely affected by noise and lies within the land safeguarded for a possible second runway.
Gillain Joyce	individual	Langley Walk is unsuitable because the site would be built in an established neighbourhood where the 'comings & goings' of travellers people would be as difficult for the residents, noise etc. it's as it would be for the traveller people. The traveller children would suffer because of this restriction of their life style and freedom.	No planning issues raised.
Robert More	individual	Langley Walk should not be considered as it is too close to existing properties. Access roads are narrow and residential, with blind corners and many junctions, where cars parked day and night. This area is part of the Crawley 'Greenway' and as such should not be used for any additional housing/caravan use. This site is also approx half the size of the Broadfield Kennels site.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Mrs G More	individual	Langley Walk should not be considered as it is too close to existing properties. Access roads are narrow and residential, with blind corners and many junctions, where cars parked day and night. This area is part of the Crawley 'Greenway' and as such should not be used for any additional housing/caravan use. This site is also approx half the size of the Broadfield Kennels site. The Consultation Questionnaire is unfairly biased towards the Langley Walk site because it doesn't point out that there are access problems to the site and that it is approx half the size of the Broadfield Kennels area as the maps used are to two different scales.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. The site would have been large enough to accommodate the need identified.
Tim More	individual	Langley Walk should not be considered as it is too close to existing properties. Access roads are narrow and residential, with blind corners and many junctions, where cars parked day and night. This area is part of the Crawley 'Greenway' and as such should not be used for any additional housing/caravan use. This site is also approx half the size of the Broadfield Kennels site. The Consultation Questionnaire is unfairly biased towards the Langley Walk site because it doesn't point out that there are access problems to the site and that it is approx half the size of the Broadfield Kennels area as the maps used are to two different scales.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. The site would have been large enough to accommodate the need identified.
Chris More	individual	Langley Walk should not be considered as it is too close to existing properties. Access roads are narrow and residential, with blind corners and many junctions, where cars parked day and night. This area is part of the Crawley 'Greenway' and as such should not be used for any additional housing/caravan use. This site is also approx half the size of the Broadfield Kennels site. The Consultation Questionnaire is unfairly biased towards the Langley Walk site because it doesn't point out that there are access problems to the site and that it is approx half the size of the Broadfield Kennels area as the maps used are to two different scales.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. The site would have been large enough to accommodate the need identified.
Mrs J Frost, on behalf of ifield Village Conservation Area Advisory Committee (IVCAAC)	representative	Langley Walk site might be more suitable for housing - has this been considered as a possibility? Broadfield site could make the travellers feel more isolated from the rest of the community. Is this something they prefer? To what extent are they a travelling community that want stopping off points for a few days at a time? Or are they looking for a fixed site where they stay for long periods - or permanently? If what is needed is a stopping off point, then perhaps Broadfield site is more suitable, providing the access can be organised.	Comments regarding Langley Walk site noted. The site is located outside of the Built-Up Area Boundary within the Countryside and is not considered suitable for comprehensive housing development. The site was identified to meet a specific need in terms of the size of site required for a Gypsy and Travellers site. The requirement is for a permanent site where the residents would stay for long periods. There is an existing pedestrian link into Broadfield from the Kennels site and improvements would be made to this to ensure it is lit and forms a 'safe route' to access services such as health, education and

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			neighbourhood shops. Improvements would be necessary to the vehicular access.
Ralph Burton	individual	Living in close proximity to this proposed site, I strongly feel a fixed Gypsy and Traveller site here would negatively impact upon my existing quality of life. During any spare time, my family and I enjoy walks in and around this area which is the only green belt in close proximity to where I live where I can enjoy a little peace and quiet. Parking and infrastructure are already stretched to the maximum in this area	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Charlotte	individual	Lots and lots of people use Broadfield Kennels and Buchan Park as part of their daily walk its not only a beautiful country park but offers a place for dog walkers to meet and people to walk freely and safely. Having a Traveller site will dramatically change the area and the way people feel about Buchan and Broadfield there is no need to ruin such a lovely and important part to the local community.	Any proposals would need to demonstrate that development would not significantly adversely affect the AONB, nature conservation sites or rights of way. The proposal would be for a permanent, managed and serviced site.
Mrs Margaret Bonner	individual	Manor Royal.	Land in Manor Royal is required for new employment and often lacks access to local facilities. A number of sites may be noise affected.
Mike Addley	individual	My company used to have storage at these premises and they are very secluded and away from other people who may right or wrong be intimidated by them (Broadfield Kennels Q10). It looks okay but I don't know the area enough to make a proper comment.	Comment noted.
Glyn Kenvin	individual	My name is Mr. Kenvin and I strongly object to the planning and development of a Gypsy site in Crawley. My family are amongst the original settlers and have been here for four generations and we have all seen Crawley progress and develop over the years, of which we did not agree with all the changes. The development of this so called gypsy site will be a strain on our resources, and	Any development would need to mitigate its impact on services and facilities that are at capacity.
Roger Duddell		Neither site is suitable. A criteria that appears not to have been taken in to account is the possibility of illegal extensions to these sites. This has happened at a number of sites during the past, most notably at Dale Farm in Basildon. This possibility should be designed out at the planning stage; fences and banks can easily be removed overnight behind both proposed sites to facilitate illegal occupation. The removal of such trailers sited illegally can be exceedingly expensive to local authorities with legal & policing costs rising to millions of pounds. The only way to prevent this would be to enclose the site with other buildings. Neither the travelling community nor local	Comments noted. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. Any proposal would need to be carefully designed to address a number of issues, including preventing certain types of access into adjoining land though the use of robust physical obstructions. Land in Manor Royal and Stephenson Way is required for new employment and often lacks access to local facilities. A number of sites may be noise affected. The improving economic situation means that a number of

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		residents would wish the site to be in the middle of a residential area so the obvious site would be at Manor Royal or Stephenson Way where the site could be bordered by commercial premises. This may however create constraints on possible housing developments on such sites because a commercial builder may not find development profitable.	employment sites would be brought back into use.
G Bailey	individual	Next to delta force paint ball site.	The site is mostly outside of the borough and so would not contribute to meeting local need.
Catherine Chamberlin	individual	No alternative sites to be in the Crawley area.	No planning issues raised.
Grace Williamson	individual	No because i have experienced Gypsy/Travellers property near my home and they left a disgusting mess and their sontology hosts were truely disgusting.	This comment does not raise any planning issues. The proposal would be for a permanent, managed and serviced site.
Gary Murphy	individual	No gypsy/Traveller site in Crawley.....we are inviting trouble into the town.	This comment does not raise any planning issues.
Ian Thurloway	individual	No one wants the travellers living near them or being close to any schools, clubs or industry. Statitically crime rates rise and antisocial behaviour increases. I don't know but have a pretty good idea, do the "fixed pitches" attract council tax? Both of the suggested sites are green fields, we are encouraging our youth to take more exercise and use our green spaces, I don't think many parents would endorse their child playing next to one of these sites?	No planning issues raised.
Paul	individual	No site should be allocated from tax payers money	This comment does not raise any planning issues.
Terry Stanley	individual	No sites should be considered for permanent Gypsy/Traveller sites. Who came up with this outrageous idea? Crawley people have supported the Council over the last 11 years in vigorously maintaining it an encampment free zone. We know well the problems they bring; increased crime/disorder, illegal working practices often preying on vulnerable people including the elderly, increased welfare claimant count, children that don't attend school, damage, refuse & social unease. A permanent site of this nature would send out a message that is contrary to the wishes of people living & working in Crawley and if adopted would be just the catalyst need for the major to be heavily punished at the ballot box! Councillors will have taken leave of the senses if they even consider approving such a demented and	The council is required to meet local housing needs. The proposal would be for a permanent, managed and serviced site. No planning issues raised.

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		unwelcome proposal.	
Mr Beale	individual	No sites should be offered. They need to be brought into normal housing so they can pay council tax etc. I do not want tax money to support their way of life. The council should not be promoting a travelling lifestyle. This town has already spent enough money over the recent years trying to keep them from parking on Crawley land. I totally reject any money spent on cleaning up their dirt and mess. Put them in council housing so they can eventually live like the rest of us. Do not encourage their way of life.	No planning issues raised.
J STEWART	individual	No to Traveller and Gypsy sites there must be plenty of green space away from residential areas	Appropriate greenfield sites are limited within the borough and often constrained by noise and flooding and poor access to local services.
Neil Perrotten	individual	No Travellers/Gypsies should be provided with any land for fixed pitches and if they must it should be as far out of the main town as possible!	Appropriate greenfield sites are limited within the borough and often constrained by noise and flooding and poor access to local services.
Patricia Ann Mortimer	individual	No planning issues raised.
Charlie Weir	individual	No way at Broadfield Kennels!! You shouldnt be spending money on them when they dont contribute!! Broadfield is already considered the worst neighborhood in crawley! Dont drag it down more!!	No planning issues raised.
Richard Gould	individual	No way, residents would be gutted.	This comment does not raise any planning issues.
Larissa Wicks	individual	Nowhere in Crawley should have a permanent site for Gypsy Traveller sites unless it is well out of the way of housing and buisness areas. Like in field out of the way of anything that could be surrounding it.	Appropriate greenfield sites are limited within the borough and often constrained by noise and flooding and poor access to local services.
Tony Soper	individual	No where, put them in another town. We have enough problems already!	The council is required to meet local housing needs. The proposal would be for a permanent, managed and serviced site. No planning issues raised.
Lisa newby	individual	None	No planning issues raised.
Will	individual	None	No planning issues raised.
Mr Wilsdon	individual	None at this time.	No planning issues raised.
Emily Harding	individual	None do not think we should have to accommodate for them.	The council is required to meet local housing needs. The proposal would be

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			for a permanent, managed and serviced site. No planning issues raised.
Moustapha	individual	None of the above. How about other open land in other parts of the town? How about pound hill area that's was mentioned a few years ago?	Many sites in the town are affected by noise or flooding, for example the gas holder site in Pound Hill.
Andy Cottee	individual	None, why are we paying to accommodate them in out town?!	The council is required to meet local housing needs. The proposal would be for a permanent, managed and serviced site. No planning issues raised.
Jeanette Turner	individual	No-one wants them anywhere	The council is required to meet local housing needs. The proposal would be for a permanent, managed and serviced site. No planning issues raised.
Andrew Towner	individual	<p>North of Langley Walk, despite the incorrect comments on the assessment, is directly attached to the existing settled community - there is no gap as stated. The adjacent land is too close to the water course so additional development will add to the risk of flooding. A recent development has already exacerbated the risk. In view of the likely development of Gatwick Airport, the site would suffer from severe noise pollution and the existing tree/natural coverage would be disturbed or removed which would also affect the noise pollution for the existing settled community too. Vehicle access currently down Langley Walk is extremely difficult with existing resident's parking and a maze of narrow, winding local roads that lead to this area would not take any additional vehicle / traffic movements and certainly not the size of vehicles regularly associated with travellers' living accommodation and employment (vans/lorries/caravans).</p> <p>Personally I feel that the assessment previously carried out is inconsistent when comparing attributes/drawbacks of these 2 sites within the report. This should be reviewed for consistency!</p> <p>Although I believe that neither site is ideal, the Broadfield option would make greater sense once the entrance issues are sorted out as it will have a suitable distance between communities, allowing the travelling community to retain their own identity with suitable access to local amenities.</p> <p>As for alternative sites, I believe that some of the chronically underused areas on the Manor Royal estate are assessed as they would have sufficient size, access to all of the town's amenities and transportation. A change of use would be needed but for the foreseeable future, it is likely that a significant area in that vicinity will be undeveloped.</p> <p>Please be aware that this is a significant imposition for the local residents (particularly in Langley Green) and quality of life for all should be a paramount consideration for our elected officials and their</p>	<p>Comments noted. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p> <p>Land in Manor Royal and Stephenson Way is required for new employment and often lacks access to local facilities. A number of sites may be noise affected. The improving economic situation means that a number of employment sites would be brought back into use.</p>

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		officers, this is not just a pin-sticking exercise in a local map, looking for gaps to fill ! Please reconsider carefully.	
Kasia Ce	individual	Not enough space for accommodation.	The sites would have the capacity to meet the local need identified.
J Thorning	individual	Not in Crawley at all!	No planning issued raised.
Maeve Weller	individual	Not only will a travellers fixed site at Broadfield Kennels create untold misery for neighbouring areas, and the community but also congestion on the by-pass that runs alongside the proposed site. As travellers have been know to keep to their own rules and not abide by local community (country people's) rules, then I am sure that there will be more homes (caravans) than allowed. The surrounding common areas, such as St. Leonard's Forest and the Golf Course will be affected too. I am totally against this proposal, as I have been in the past.	The council is required to meet local housing needs. The proposal would be for a permanent, managed and serviced site. Any proposal would be considered by the Highway Authority but is unlikely to cause congestion.
Christopher Shackell	individual	Of the two sites under consideration the Broadfield site has better facilities. The road network is already in place, it is located slightly away from the local residents and it is high up which would mean no flooding. The Langley Green site has poor access, the land floods as do the drains and sewage as they are already running at capacity. local residence have had planning applications refused by the council as they wish to preserve the aesthetic look of the street scene surely a site of this nature is going against there own policy! The wildlife habitat would be destroyed and this is right next to the fields which were recently protected as part of the Queens jubilee! sites 9 and 14 could be considered for the traveller site as they have been rejected for housing.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. Support for Broadfield site noted.
Marie	individual	One in a different town, your not going to help the reputation of our town or discourage any crime by introducing gypsies and travellers. an area on the outskirts of town should be used, not meaning to sound like we should segregate them, but and able person who pays no taxes towards our town shouldn't be part of our town	The council is required to meet local housing needs. The proposal would be for a permanent, managed and serviced site. Appropriate greenfield sites are limited within the borough and often constrained by noise and flooding and poor access to local services.
Kevin Baker	individual	One of the many unused sites on the Manor Royal Industrial Estate would be far more suitable.	Land in Manor Royal and Stephenson Way is required for new employment and often lacks access to local facilities. A number of sites may be noise affected. The improving economic situation means that a number of employment sites would be brought back into use.
Carol Chinn	individual	One of the ones you don't consider suitable for housing. Gypsies have their own transport, don't need normal access to work etc, and	Appropriate greenfield sites are limited within the borough and often constrained by noise and flooding and poor access to local services. Noise is

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		aren't bothered by noise/pollution as they stick their caravans anywhere. They couldn't care less about dumping and littering (Basildon a fine example) so just put them as far away from normal housing and beauty spots as possible.	an issue for a permanent site.
Steve	individual	Only trouble can occur if sites are put in these areas like most of the other areas they occupy legally or illegally	No planning issues raised.
Mrs Henderson	individual	Out of principle, I cannot agree to providing any land for such use and any money being spent on providing for gypsy and traveller sites. I know there are problems with the existing non-arrangements but site provision will not solve the problems, they will just change the type of problems and raise costs.	No planning issues raised.
Alison Shackell	individual	out of the two sites under consideration broadfield kennels is better suited as it has better access. The steep ramp could easily be regraded. The other infrastructure is already in place. And there would be minimal impact on the local residence. Whereas the Langley green option has poor road access the infrastructure is too small. The land is known to flood and the drainage system currently needs regular works as it is currently working over capacity. Also the local residents are much closer and therefore the impact of the site would be greater. Local wildlife habitat would be destroyed in an area that is known to have a great variety of animal and birds within it also their habitat has already been reduced by some local social housing when walnut road was extended. Many local residents have had planning turned down due to the council wanting to keep the aesthetic look of the area and the street scene. This would obviously have a large impact. Sites 9 and 14 that have previously been dismissed for housing could be considered as possible Gypsy/traveller site.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. Support for Broadfield site noted.
Jill Garwood	individual	Outreach 3 way - vacant land	The site is outside the borough boundary, so could not meet local need, and is affected by noise and the potential expansion of the airport. The site is unsuitable for permanent pitches.
Mr Simon & Mrs Linda Gilbert	individual	Outside Crawley altogether.	Appropriate greenfield sites are limited within the borough and often constrained by noise and flooding and poor access to local services.
P Fisher	individual	Outside of Crawley	Appropriate greenfield sites are limited within the borough and often constrained by noise and flooding and poor access to local services.
Lucy	individual	Parks/woods are not safe for children as they are these days. You claim to want open space for the community yet consider Gypsy and	No planning issues raised.

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		Traveller sites to be made on what we have left!! That's a bad choice. Kennels/ dog walkers alongside Gypsies that have been known to steal peoples' dogs??!!	
Frank Halliday	individual	Past experience indicates there is too often friction between travellers and the immediate community. The kennels site would meet their needs, give access to the town but avoid, or at least minimise any friction. If travellers wish to settle then, like the residents they must rent, purchase or seek social housing just like everyone else.	No planning issues raised.
Lynne McDonald	individual	Personally I don't think Crawley should have to provide a site for travellers. We are currently struggling to provide space for the people already living in Crawley. If they want to move permanently to Crawley they can await housing like everyone else. When Travellers have parked up in certain areas they have left lots of rubbish, messed up the area and in some cases have caused damage, which the people of Crawley have had to pay for. So unfortunately they have given themselves a bad name.	The council is required to meet local housing needs. The proposal would be for a permanent, managed and serviced site.
Hurley	individual	Place gypsy and traveller sites away from residential areas - there has never been an instance where these sites have a favourable impact. Make some land available in Manor Royal.	Land in Manor Royal and Stephenson Way is required for new employment and often lacks access to local facilities. A number of sites may be noise affected. The improving economic situation means that a number of employment sites would be brought back into use.
Claire Robinson	individual	Please do not consider travellers in Buchan kennels, they will be too near a heavily populated neighbourhood. Noise, rubbish and potential trouble in a peaceful area.	The council is required to meet local housing needs. The proposal would be for a permanent, managed and serviced site. No planning issues raised.
Mr & Mrs J W Grange	individual	Please explain why the gypsy and travellers cannot be housed at Pole Lane site.	The land is safeguarded for the potential expansion of the airport and cannot meet the need for a permanent site.
Neil	individual	Please take a look at the position of the permanent traveller site just off the A12; between Kessingland Village and Lowestoft, Suffolk. It is separate from any other residential areas. Enabling privacy and security to both travellers and residents. I think the residents of Crawley should always be the first and main concern of the council.	The council is required to meet local housing needs. No planning issues raised.
Mrs C Henriques	individual	Poles Lane should be considered as it away from many people who are likely to reject Buchan and Cherry Lane	The land is safeguarded for the potential expansion of the airport and cannot meet the need for a permanent site.
Neil Tyler	individual	Potentially, this could cause an increase in traffic on narrow roads which already suffer at busy times. Also, there is the risk of an increase in noise in a quiet residential area. Increase, potentially, of	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		crime and public disorder in the area.	Local Plan.
N Stocker		Problem parking and accessing the site / too near existing housing/ land should be used by people to walk dogs and enjoy the countryside / too near to airport noise	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Jeannette Allen	individual	Problems at Langley Walk with flooding - this year has been particularly bad. Capacity at local school. Children from the local area are already being sent to schools across the town. Utilities will have to be provided at Langley Walk. Access to the side would be difficult. Broadfield - no knowledge of the local area but there are utilities already available at the kennels. There may still be a problem with the provision of school places. School capacity will	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. Support for Broadfield site noted. Any development would need to mitigate additional burdens placed on services and facilities that are at capacity.
Ward	individual	Put them near the airport	The existing noise and potential for expansion would make these sites unsuitable for permanent pitches.
Michael Maxwell	individual	Putting the site on the edge of a well built up neighbourhood and community is unrealistic. Its also unfair on people who have worked hard, payed their taxes and council tax, and are buying their property in this area. This will no doubt lower the value of their home when they come to sell. The land in broad field kennels will not disrupt any community or neighbourhood and we can all get on with living .	No planning issues raised.
Mr Peter Blake	individual	Q9: Although this at first seems tailor-made for the job, isolating the travellers who wish to put down roots is probably not the best or most imaginative solution.	The requirement is for a permanent site where the residents would stay for long periods. There is an existing pedestrian link into Broadfield from the Kennels site and improvements would be made to this to ensure it is lit and forms a 'safe route' to access services such as health, education and neighbourhood shops. It would, however, also offer the opportunity for the residents to undertake activities which reflect traditional lifestyles – such as possibilities to live and work in the same location.
S patel	individual	Question is why is there a requirement for a permanent site. My understanding was that there did not want permanent site. As also. The new flat who are there for!! Not for me as I have to support my self to get on the housing ladder The site in LG is very small used by the public as a recreation area. As it is in Langley green we have no large green spaces for people to carry out recreation activities the other alternative is cherry lane but that has some anti social issues associated with it	The site would be required to meet a local need arising from the members of the Travelling community already residents within Crawley. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. The site is not well-suited to permanent housing.

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>I my self and have difficulty in walking and use this space to undertake my daily excise, The land is also used by tenants as stabling and consideration should be given to them or there. Use rather then a new community moving in and getting preferential treatment.</p> <p>Transport another issues. The area is a quite road and not suitable for caravans Noise pollution, already noise levels have increased since the new housing next to temple Transport links not good in LG better transport to south of town. Easily noticeable with the amount of buses going into to Bewbush and Broafleid Especially from airport</p>	
Robert Jurgielewicz	individual	Reclaimed industrial sites, ie around Three Bridges Station, etc.	Land in Manor Royal and Stephenson Way is required for new employment and often lacks access to local facilities. A number of sites may be noise affected. The improving economic situation means that a number of employment sites would be brought back into use.
Divyesh	individual	Road access is a nightmare in the Langley Walk area, having a traveller site there would cause major issues. The issues would not only be isolated in Langley Walk but also the surrounding small roads and lanes	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
G Corsini	individual	Road access for large vehicals in Langley Green Walk, Mulberry Road, Walnut Lane very difficult because of parking in narrow raods, especially at weekend and evenings. Airport noise very excessive and can only get worse with possible additional runway at Gatwick. Drainage of site very poor making it very wet and boggy and regularly floods.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Caren Murray	individual	Road is to narrow to deal with extra traffic and large vehicles. The land often gets boggy when it's rains. If travellers want to settle in one place they should be housed straight away and not put on a temporary site. With the possible expansion of gatwick airport I think the area has enough to deal with.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Chelsie Mead	individual	Security issues	No planning issues raised.
L Wakeman	individual	See covering letter.	Response considered separately.
Mrs Whitehead	individual	See enclosed letter with details of protest at proposed site.	Response considered separately.

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Mr PG Wall	individual	see letter sent in	Response considered separately.
Cantlay	individual	Send them all back to Ireland.	No planning issues raised.
Linda Ogden	individual	Since finding out about the proposed site in Langley Green on Monday I have spoken to many local residents, many of whom had not even been informed, and we are all in agreement that we are opposed very strongly to the site off Langley Walk. The site itself is situated on a narrow road, very close to neighbouring houses. The road struggles as it is with traffic, especially larger vehicles and an increase in both of these is unacceptable. We have a number of small children that play on the green and obviously the chances of an accident happening could greatly increase. The impact on the value of our homes will most certainly be impacted and who is going to compensate us for that? The community has been working very hard to improve itself and we do not need to add additional influences that could cause more issues. The possible expansion of the airport is another factor, higher noise levels etc moving closer to the site. Will it be paid for by the council or are the travellers going to buy the field? Will fixed permanent sites be paying the same council taxes etc as the rest of the community and who is going to foot the bill for making the area habitable! So many problems have arisen from traveller sites being placed so close to private residential homes, we understand that places have to be found for gypsies and travellers but as a community we feel that there are better suited areas to consider.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Susan Howard	individual	Site should be provided by Horsham DC as it has a far larger land area to choose from	The council is required to meet the identified need and the council needs to find a site within the borough boundary.
Layi Johnson	individual	Site too close to existing properties. Broadfield have a bigger site, more conducive and on the edge of town. Gypsy sites are better erected away from residential areas. Conflicts of interest with existing residence.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
James Warden	individual	Site unsuitable. Poor access also	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. The Highway Authority would consider any access proposals.
Dennis Pope of Nathaniel	representative	Sites such as Langley Walk which may be subject to noise issues associated with Gatwick Airport should be avoided.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Lichfield & Partnes on behalf of British Airways			is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Amanda Madel	individual	Somewhere not near residential areas. From my experience they cause nothing but aggravation. Leave mess everywhere & are generally not welcomed. I know its mean to say it but i know i wouldnt want to leave my property unattended if they lived nearby.	The proposal would be for a permanent, managed and serviced site.
Peter Townend	individual	Stephenson Way.	Land in Manor Royal and Stephenson Way is required for new employment and often lacks access to local facilities. A number of sites may be noise affected. The improving economic situation means that a number of employment sites would be brought back into use.
Charlotte	individual	Stop finding land to build new homes, Crawley is already unpleasant enough without you putting more inconsiderate and non tax paying people in it.	The council is required to identify land to meet housing needs.
Paul Dixon	individual	Strongly object to this proposed site adjacent to Cottessmore Golf course as previously have experienced both theft of property and malicious damage to the course when travellers have been located in the vicinity.	The proposal would be for a permanent, managed and serviced site.
MRS DAYMAN	individual	The access to this site is very restricted and recently this area has extra housing built which has added to this problem. The addition of any more traffic will make this extremely dangerous for existing households.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Jennifer Preston	individual	The area to the south of k2 where it was muted that a new hospital could go. It seems unlikely that we will get a new hospital	The area is designated as Ancient Woodland.
Valerie Darnley	individual	The area around Langley Walk is totally unsuitable for any traveller site or indeed any extra housing. The road infrastructure could not accommodate any more vehicles in particular travellers caravans, trailers etc. It is already congested with vehicles. This site would be suitable for the additional cemetery as it is quite quiet with lots of birds and wildlife, lovely old trees which must be preserved. I think this would be a lovely site for a cemetery.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. The site would be too small for a cemetery.
M McCormack	individual	The area by business units by roundabout near Gatwick by farm. If we have to have one.	The northern part of the borough is severly constrained by noise.

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Christopher Pearmund	individual	<p>The area off of Langley Walk is not suitable due to access. The roads around Langley Green are already difficult to navigate due to the number of parked cars. Any increase in the level of traffic would make this harder still.</p> <p>The northern side of town is already affected by noise from the airport. The proposed site is very close to the airport and if the second runway is built, will be even closer. Airport noise is already intrusive living in a house with double glazing and insulation. I would suggest that it would be much more intrusive through the thin walls of a caravan.</p>	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Andrew Sander	individual	The Broadfield Kennels location seems for more suitable. Travellers often move in large vehicles (vans, trucks etc). These would not be easily accommodated in the Langley Walk site, whereas, Broadfield Kennels are located next to a much more suitable road for large vehicles. Broadfield Kennels locations is also better equipped to deal with additional traffic, which would otherwise swamp the single lane road on Langley walk,	<p>For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p> <p>Support for Broadfield site noted.</p>
Kevin Burchett-Vass	individual	The Broadfield Kennels site idea is appalling... The house values in the area would drop considerably, are you going to compensate the home owners? Why are these people given anything, they don't pay any taxes & they are aggressive to members of the public. They should be made to wait on a housing list like the rest of us and forced to pay their way.	No planning issues raised.
Linda Duffin	individual	The Broadfield kennels site would be better as Langley green is already a built up area with many cars and limit access to move up and down roads. A travellers' site would add to this. Also from what I understand travellers would not want to live so close to a residential area so Broadfield kennels would be a better site.	<p>For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p> <p>Support for Broadfield site noted.</p>
Ian Irvine	individual	The Broadfield site is not easily accessible & the pedestrian access from Broadfield is unlit & little more than a muddy path. The proposed site is also in an AONB.	The Highway Authority would need to be satisfied that a safe vehicular access could be provided and this may require modifications to the existing arrangement. Consideration would need to be given to improving the pedestrian/cyclist access. At this stage it is anticipated that the site is large enough for any impacts on the AONB to be mitigated, for example by grassed bunds and planting that would also help address any noise issues.
Mr Richard A Page	individual	The Broadfield site is the lesser of the two evils, however this is not ideal. Why not use the old industrial areas e.g. the old Glaxo site or	Land in Manor Royal and Stephenson Way is required for new employment and often lacks access to local facilities. A number of sites may be noise affected. The improving economic situation means that a number of

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		elsewhere away from the TAX PAYING majority.	employment sites would be brought back into use.
Sharon Harris	individual	The Broadfield site is the most suitable site - I think this site will be less disruptive and unsettling for existing residents. Whilst I believe newcomers to the town have rights / needs this should not be at the expense of residents who have rights and needs as well.	Support for Broadfield sites but no planning issues raised.
Charlotte Louise Knights	individual	Why on earth are these people allowed our education and health services?!?! are they working?!?! are they paying tax?!?! i think it is absolutely DISGUSTING that our town council is even proposing this.... travelers should be doing just that - travelling around... Believe me when I say if you allow ANY travelling sites to be built on green areas where children play and people walk their dogs, you will have more protests than this town has ever seen!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	The Local Plan is required to address a wide range of housing needs. No planning issues raised.
Chloe Taylor	individual	The dogs will not be distracted, the tenants of Langley green will. How anyone even thought up this idea is beyond me!	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. The Local Plan is required to address a wide range of housing needs. No planning issues raised.
Pretti Amin	individual	The Gypsy pay nothing and their kids get a free education in schools that the local kids will not be able to go to because there will be too many kids and the council will not be able to cater the demand. Gypsy should not be allowed to settle anywhere in Crawley. If they do they should be paying for everything as a normal person living in a house/flat.	The Local Plan is required to address a wide range of housing needs. Any development would need to address services that are at capacity. A permanent site owned by the council would require both rent to be paid and council tax. However, this is not a planning matter.
Jason Adams	individual	The infrastructure of the area can not support The area identified for the site in Langley Walk is approximately half the size of that at Broadfield Kennels for the same 10 x fixed pitches The will be a community issue Concerns regarding access to the site Concerns regarding rubbish accumulation The Broadfield site is more conducive as it not directly beside an existing community but on the edge of the town	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. The Local Plan is required to address a wide range of housing needs. Any development would need to address services that are at capacity.
Wayne Hopkins	individual	The land at broadfield kennels is an area of natural beauty and used by many dog walkers, this area will not be enhanced by a permanent travellers' site. Broadfield itself already has enough social problems,	At this stage it is anticipated that the site is large enough for any impacts on the AONB to be mitigated, for example by grassed bunds and planting that would also help address any noise issues. Any development would need to

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		these will not be helped by the proposed travellers' site and... The proposal is for only ten units but as we all saw from what happened at Dales Farm, this is almost impossible to police.	ensure that encroachment or access onto adjoining land is physically prevented.
Shani Wheatley	individual	The land at Broadfield Kennels would be ok, but the access on to the A264 needs to be sorted, we are in need of a Gypsy/ Traveller site, however, what must be assured is that you cannot put both parties on the same site !! I have absolutely no problem with where the sites will be, it is not going to be easy to get these sites included as the racist element in town will be out in force, personally I also expect more racism for those Gypsies / Travellers already living within the settled community from the ill informed. as already happens .	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. The Highway Authority would need to be satisfied that a safe vehicular access could be provided and this may require modifications to the existing arrangement.
Fay McCausland	individual	The land at Langley Walk is know to flood and is surrounded by oak trees which would possibly have the root systems disturbed if the site was developed. I believe the noise from the airport would be an issue for people in a caravan. The road access is very limited and would not be able to cope, making the area unsafe for children and pedestrians. If the area were to be used for more families there would not be adequate spaces within the local schools for the traveller children as local children who already live in the area are being refused spaces. This plot of lands will back onto an area of land that is known to be a place of natural interest and is an established home to a family of Deer, Foxes and Woodpeckers which i feel would be greatly disturbed by the introduction of more residence. It is also my opinion that the current community spirit would be compromised and the possibility that the current residence properties may be devalued. I feel that this particular proposal to house travellers in this small pocket of land and the costs involved in developing this site would be a misuse of our money.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Keir Fuller	individual	The Langley Walk site would have better access to local amenities than the other site.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
MISS N BURGE	individual	THE LOCATION OF BROADFIELD KENNELS IS A MUCH BETTER OPTION FOR TRAVELLERS SUITE AS IT WOULD NOT IMPACT ON ANY NEIGHBOURING COMMUNITY AND IT WOULD BE IN LANGEY GREEN	No planning issues raised.
Mrs Lynn Corsini	individual	The noise from the airport would not be acceptable to caravan dwellers - the field floods regularly and access from the surrounding	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		roads very difficult as they are narrow and parking causes extra problems.	is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Rachael Sander	individual	The noise level from Gatwick is a problem in land north of Langley Walk. This would be more of a problem for travellers in caravans. Broadfield Kennels would be better - less noise. The land north of Langley Walk has a lot of wildlife that should not be disturbed, this is also a wetland.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Chris Oakley	individual	The 'old kennel' site would be perfect if we are required to give them anything at all personally they should have to pay all taxes first however from a local point of view this is the least interfering with the residents of Crawley. I would not have them anywhere near my home and most people will agree you will face huge resistance where ever its decided to put them...	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Thomas Dew	individual	<p>The permanent travellers' site will have an irreversible, negative impact to Buchan park and should not be built for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Buchan is a Site of Nature Conservation Importance (SNCI) * Buchan is a Site of Special Scientific Interest. (SSSI) * Buchan Country Park has won the Green Flag Award which recognises the best green spaces in the UK. * Buchan park is a key part of the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. * The park is on the urban fringe so is already under pressure from the growing town's population. *The land bordering the park proposed as the traveller's site, is an important buffer zone to the nature within the park. * Crawley has several areas of derelict land sites (other alternatives), so why use risk an important SNCI/SSSI site. <p>Buchan park is recognised as a site of National & scientific importance. It has been entrusted under the care & stewardship of our current Crawley councillors, to preserve this local treasure for future generations. With growing development pressures all over the south east, this site will be of growing importance for future generations. For the current councillors of Crawley to even propose endangering such a site, is an exemplary example of extremely poor, reckless planning at the expense of future generations.</p>	<p>The proposed site is not within the country park or designated nature conservation sites. The site is large enough to allow there to be on site mitigation and even enhancement.</p> <p>Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible. Policy H5 acknowledges the need for detailed planning proposals to take into account the impacts on the AONB and the country park, including ensuring the landscape character and ecological value of the site would be assessed and any harmful impacts adequately mitigated if required. This would ensure the site is planned and designed to ensure protection of the surrounding uses.</p> <p>This would be a permanent site for residential use, rather than a transit site for temporary use, or an illegal encampment/encroachment. It would be provided for existing residents of Crawley should a need for a traveller site arise, and would be well-designed and purpose-built, which would have been subject to detailed planning approval. As it is a council-owned site, it will be managed and maintained by, or on behalf of, the council, and as with social housing, restrictions would be imposed to ensure 'good neighbour' behaviour is enforced.</p> <p>Vacant land in Manor Royal and Stephenson Way is required for new employment and often lacks access to local facilities. A number of sites may be noise affected. The improving economic situation means that a number of employment sites would be brought back into use.</p>
Mr FW Hofmann	individual	The proposed Langley Green site is too close to permanent residential accommodation where as Broadfield kennels is not.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		Which will elevate any problems associated with these sites. Whilst a site has already been provided for travellers at Cherry Lane in the past and this was abandoned due to numerous problems caused by the travellers in a residential area	is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. The proposal would be for a permanent, managed and serviced site.
Brian Thomas Trotman	individual	The reason why i do not wish to have the land north of Langley Wik was as a permanent fixed site for travellers The area identified for the site in Langley Walk is approximately half the size of that at Broadfield Kennels for the same 10 x fixed pitches The will be a community issue Concerns regarding access to the site Concerns regarding rubbish accumulation The Broadfield site is more conducive as it not directly beside an existing community but on the edge of the town Ifrastructure Historic trees flooding	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Mike Hooper	individual	The road infrastructure is not capable of taking more traffic. Access to the site whilst under construction is unsuitable for heavy vehicles. The area is ancient woodland and designated as one of outstanding natural beauty and a nature conservation area. There is a high risk of flooding. Water runoff will be an issue, clean water drains and sewerage would need to be increased. If the Gatwick Airport 2nd runway goes ahead this site will be blighted by noise pollution. Health issues due to kerosene discharge from Aircraft. The Langley Green site is half the size of the Broadfield Kennels site for the same 10 pitches. Concerns regarding access to the site, Langley Walk is a cul-de-sac. There have already been electrical power problems in Langley Green due to underground cable burning out, this will only exacerbate the problem.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Alison Hunt	individual	The site considered and rejected for housing in Stephenson Way (5) might work better for a traveller site.	Vacant land in Manor Royal and Stephenson Way is required for new employment and often lacks access to local facilities. A number of sites may be noise affected. The improving economic situation means that a number of employment sites would be brought back into use.
Edward Lewis	individual	The site labelled '5' at Stephenson Way Industrial site, as a housing site, should be considered just as much as these above sites. Gas holder site could also be considered.	Vacant land in Manor Royal and Stephenson Way is required for new employment and often lacks access to local facilities. A number of sites may be noise affected. The improving economic situation means that a number of employment sites would be brought back into use. The gas holder site is affected by flooding

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Peter Harrison	individual	The site near Broadfield should not be used as a new site for Gypsy & travellers because the wild life that live there will be affected and the travellers will take over our lovely Buchan park and destroy more of our country side as more travellers will use this location not just 10 fixed pitches as their families are very large.	The proposed site is not within the country park or designated nature conservation sites. The site is large enough to allow there to be on site mitigation and even enhancement.
Mike Slade	individual	The site off Langley Walk is not suitable for fixed pitches for several reasons; this area of land has poor drainage so is very wet in the winter. The access down Langley Walk or through the current housing is poor and so extra traffic is likely to cause issues. The local school is already under pressure and so may not be able to cope with the extra children. The area is close to the flightpath from Gatwick airport and therefore can be noisy at all hours of the day.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Mary Fisher	individual	The surround road is very narrow, the area floods and became very boggy	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
David McMahon	representative	The West Sussex Fire and Rescue Service have a responsibility under the Fire Services Act to accommodate any future development and the current and future strategies will be designed to manage the risk appropriately	Comment noted.
Peter Foulkes	individual	There are enough problems in Broadfield without the addition of a fixed traveller site. We do not understand why travellers would want a fixed site if they are by nature travellers. Wherever they choose as a temporary base they will be given whatever care they require.	The site would be required to meet a local need arising from the members of the Travelling community already residents within Crawley. The Local Plan is required to identify land to accommodate a wide range of housing needs.
Laura	representative	There are horses on the land in langley green and they should not be kicked of the land just for gypsys!!	No planning issues raised.
Charmain Cane	individual	There are no save roads/paths/street lightening at Buchan kennels. Apart from that Broadfield already has a bad name and I'm sure it would only get worse.	The Highway Authority would need to be satisfied that a safe vehicular access could be provided and this may require modifications to the existing arrangement. Consideration would need to be given to improving the pedestrian/cyclist access.
Alice Crane	individual	There aren't any the point of 'travellers' is that they do not stay on one fixed location, so why do they need as site? No existing residents would want such a site near then, and rightly so.	The site would be required to meet a local need arising from the members of the Travelling community already residents within Crawley. The Local Plan is required to identify land to accommodate a wide range of housing needs.
Francesca	individual	There has already been an attempted to set up a permanent area for	The proposal would be for a permanent, managed and serviced site.

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Verbeeten		travelers but they broke their agreement and caused damage and trouble to the surrounding area. I would move out of Crawley if a permanent site was given to travelers, especially if it was Buchan Park which is very close to my home.	
David Knevelt	individual	There is a legal obligation to provide such sites, so identifying locations that have minimal impact on the rest of the neighbourhood makes good sense.	Comments noted.
Lorraine Clipperton	individual	There is a better site by the Mercedes garage on the industrial estate	Vacant land in Manor Royal and Stephenson Way is required for new employment and often lacks access to local facilities. A number of sites may be noise affected. The improving economic situation means that a number of employment sites would be brought back into use.
Peter Bower	individual	There is limited road access to the proposed site in Langley Green with quite narrow roads and considerable road parking which makes access to the site very difficult with large caravans and vehicles. The location is extremely close to a dense residential area and will have an adverse impact on property resale. what impact does the plans for additional runways at Gatwick have on this site. There would be concern over noise, mess and anti social behaviour - How will the council control this and monitor it to stop the site being expanded by the travellers There are large parts of manor Royal Business park unoccupied - is there any space that could be used there for a small site?	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. Vacant land in Manor Royal and Stephenson Way is required for new employment and often lacks access to local facilities. A number of sites may be noise affected. The improving economic situation means that a number of employment sites would be brought back into use.
Jen	individual	There is more fields nearer to Horsham just before the roundabout have plenty of room for them. Billinghamurst has more land than us. Also Henfield has plenty of land for them? Broadbridge Heath has a field. (There is a good park for them near the Football Stadium in Broadfields!)	The borough council is required to meet the locally identified need and the council needs to accommodate the need within the borough boundary.
Peter Newell	individual	There is no need for a Gypsy site in Crawley. They can purchase or rent like anybody else. If space has to be provided by law, then I suggest Travellers should be put next door to the Labour Counsellors' own houses.	The site would be required to meet a local need arising from the members of the Travelling community already residents within Crawley. The Local Plan is required to identify land to accommodate a wide range of housing needs.
Carl Rickwood	individual	There should be no permanent fixed gypsy and traveller site located on either of these two areas. Land should not be used in this way and such a development in any area will have an adversely detrimental affect on the local areas and town as a whole.	The site would be required to meet a local need arising from the members of the Travelling community already residents within Crawley. The Local Plan is required to identify land to accommodate a wide range of housing needs.

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Matt Leese	individual	These are travelling people and do not need fixed sites. Unless the Council is willing to buy houses from residents at market value and relocate people who do not want a site near them none of these should be considered.	The site would be required to meet a local need arising from the members of the Travelling community already residents within Crawley. The Local Plan is required to identify land to accommodate a wide range of housing needs.
Thomas McAleney	individual	There should not be a permanent gypsy or traveller site. They only ever bring trouble to the local community and terrorise residents. They segregate themselves from ordinary people and	No planning issues raised.
Michael	individual	There should not be any allocated site for travellers in Crawley!	No planning issues raised.
Michael Parker	individual	There shouldnt be a site atall full stop all they do is cause trouble and crawleys is bad enough these days!	No planning issues raised.
Mrs Thomas	individual	These are areas of countryside that should not have people living there. Buchan already has a problem with young men from this community driving unlicensed motor vehicles through it, while Langley Green already has its own issues without adding more to the mix. How about a pitch nearer to the new housing development between Bewbush and Horsham or maybe up near the old gas works near Tinsley Green or even in Faygate on the land opposite the Holmbush Pub?	The site would be required to meet a local need arising from the members of the Travelling community already residents within Crawley. The Local Plan is required to identify land to accommodate a wide range of housing needs The borough council is required to meet the locally identified need and the council needs to accommodate the need within the borough boundary. A number of sites are affected by noise or flooding.
Adam Hathaway	individual	These areas are already used as recreational ground and dog walking land by crawley residence. Placing a Traveller site on either location will permanently take these areas away from us, yes we have Buchan and Tilgate Park but sometimes they are not suitable, I regularly walk my dog on the kennel land due to people walking aggressive dogs off the lead in buchan park and not being able to control someone else's dog is an issue. I don't have that issue on the kennel land as everyone I come into contact with are great dog owners. I shouldn't also have to mention the fact that the recent Travellers to be given housing at the bottom of Broadfield are already causing issues in the area and the Barton in particular, moving more in I feel will make it worse and we as a town don't want or need that.	No planning issues raised.
Georgina Scutt	individual	... This will de value any property nearby of hardworking citizens.	No planning issues raised.
Stephan Welch	individual	These people do not pay rates and do not respect what they are given, all council owners have a duty to ensure their property is kept to a respectable level, this will not happen with the travellers. Why is it that the Broadfield site can be developed for gypsies but not	The Broadfield site would not be appropriate for permanent housing.

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		for development of homes?	
Kelly	individual	These sites have to be located away from the centre of town as the noise and rubbish these sites produce would reflect badly on the town. Crime increased 100% when they arrived near our home.	The proposal would be for a permanent, managed and serviced site.
S Broomfield	individual	These sites will be polluted by rubbish as they have no respect for any property or land.	The borough council is required to meet the locally identified need and the council needs to accommodate the need within the borough boundary.
Sue	individual	They are Travellers so they should keep travelling. It's what they chose to do	The site would be required to meet a local need arising from the members of the Travelling community already residents within Crawley. The Local Plan is required to identify land to accommodate a wide range of housing needs
Samantha Jacobs	individual	They deserve quality of life too. The Buchan site would be perfect.	Support for Broadfield site noted.
JOHN ADLINGTON	individual	They need somewhere to live and I can't think of any other sites.	Comment noted.
Laura	individual	they steal out of our homes and gardens you will be putting innocent people at risk ...	No planning issues raised
Michaela maxwell	individual	Thinking of placing this site in Langley walk is absurd. This is right in the middle of a community, the land is often used for dog walkers and is right next to cherry lane adventure play ground whe children are playing. This would be a disaster for the community.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Gatwick Airport Limited		This area at Langley Walk is a potential site which may be significantly adversely affected by noise levels from Gatwick Airports operations. Gatwick Airport therefore does not consider the site to be an appropriate location for a housing allocation.	Comments noted, The Council considers that the upper level for noise sensitive development is 66dBA and it is on this basis that the Council will seek to identify appropriate sites for housing development.
Ashley Butcher	individual	This area has nice fields and is not suitable for a gypsy camp. Keep the green areas and develop brownfield sites.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Mrs D Day	individual	This area is not suitable in my opinion because it is directly beside an existing community. Where as the Broadfield suggested site is on the edge of the town. The Langley Walk site is also half the size of the site in Broadfield. Would the Langley Walk site not be on greenbelt land??	There is no Green Belt land in the borough. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Margaret Martin	individual	This area north of Langley Walk would not be good for Gypsy & Travellers, they need better access and more space	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Kym Hofmann	individual	This is an area of great use. It is safe for the residents to walk around and admire the nature. We have deer foxs rabbits all sorts of birds. There is also the fact that a travellers' site will cause our property prices to decline. Travelers have been in Langley green before and it caused all sorts of issues including crime and dirt. Langley Green is on the up and this will drag it down. We do not need a travellers' site in Crawley.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. The proposal would be for a permanent, managed and serviced site.
Mr L Correa-Mendez	individual	This land is NOT suitable, it floods, will spoils the wild life, road is difficult as it is, and when we had travelers in Cherry lane they completely spoiled our fields so I did not want to walk around there with my family.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Lauren Milan Ritchie	individual	This should not happen, one because when the Gypsies take refuge at schools or near child friendly areas we are advised to not go near. So why allocate this land to Gypsies in a local residency. Total unacceptable.	No planning issues raised.
John Watts	individual	This site is adjacent to established residential housing, the occupants are all too familiar with way of life of the Travellers. To have to endure a Travellers site so close permanently would cause tension and distress. There are several large vacant sites on the Manor Royal estate that have been unoccupied for a number of years.	Vacant land in Manor Royal and Stephenson Way is required for new employment and often lacks access to local facilities. A number of sites may be noise affected. The improving economic situation means that a number of employment sites would be brought back into use.
MRS. PAMELA HARRIS	individual	This site is not very far from Cherry Lane Playing Fields when in the past there has been serious assaults etc. To build a site for 10 pitches (which I don't doubt will gradually get bigger in the future) should not even to be considered. This idea is completely ridiculous and has not been thought out by people who have any idea of the trouble in the past and probably couldn't care less where they build as long as they don't live anywhere near!!	No planning issues raised but the proposal would be for a permanent, managed and serviced site. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Mrs Alison Platt	individual	This site is too close to homes. Junction of mulberry road and Langley walk already busy as used as a cut through. House prices will fall considerably meaning we will be in negative equity making it virtually impossible to ever be able to move. Surely there must be a	No planning issues raised but the proposal would be for a permanent, For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		better site that is more suited away from close communities. I'm afraid these people will not be welcomed here.	Plan. managed and serviced site.
LIAM BURTON	individual	This site should only go head if they are going to pay towards the site (council tax), rubbish collecting etc.	No planning issues raised.
Alan Kenward	individual	This space is totally unsuitable due to the size and access. Langley Walk has parking on one side making it very difficult for two cars to pass.. Traveller families need space for their horses, vans and lorries they will need grazing and stabling space which I feel this space cannot provide.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Griggs	individual	This will be a very bad move to have the sites anywhere in Crawley as we have enough housing problems in the town we should be concentrating on providing living space for young Crawley residents before we talk about providing for outsiders who do not even contribute to the tax system.	The Local Plan is required to meet a wide range of housing needs.
Miss Setford	individual	<p>to be truthful I believe if you allow the site to go at the old Broadfield kennels it will detract families from going to Buchan park and people getting intimidated on going on normal family walks , I think it will cause havoc with the local wildlife as there are always wild deer living up in that area and these travellers will be running there motor bikes across the area and maybe even down into Buchan park, and im not saying they all have motor bikes but the travellers that have settled and live in broadfield all ready have no care for who they upset with there motor bikes and the constant burning of plastic from the left over rubbish that they collect to sell</p> <p>It's hard enough when they pitch up on fields they are not allowed on and then intimidate our local children on their way to school, that site would be much better used as a family eatery/ public house like what Tilgate park has which would bring in business to the area, plus this could have a plus side like Bewbush got rid of the Dorsten and it improved the area get rid of the imperial and turn this into flats and hopefully that would help improve that shopping parade</p> <p>I think a better site would be off the A23 near the Lowfield Heath roundabout the best way i can describe where is if looking from google earth it would be the field inbetween amk gatwick and the long hall parking as this would have easier access for the caravans to entre be far enough away from residences but still with in the crawley boundary to accommodate the requirement for a site, it also has a bus stop very close buy</p>	<p>Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible. Policy H5 acknowledges the need for detailed planning proposals to take into account the impacts on the AONB and the country park, including ensuring the landscape character and ecological value of the site would be assessed and any harmful impacts adequately mitigated if required. This would ensure the site is planned and designed to ensure protection of the surrounding uses.</p> <p>This would be a permanent site for residential use, rather than a transit site for temporary use, or an illegal encampment/encroachment. It would be provided for existing residents of Crawley should a need for a traveller site arise, and would be well-designed and purpose-built, which would have been subject to detailed planning approval. As it is a council-owned site, it will be managed and maintained by, or on behalf of, the council, and as with social housing, restrictions would be imposed to ensure 'good neighbour' behaviour is enforced.</p> <p>The land close to Gatwick is adversely affected by noise.</p>

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
vicky rowe	individual	Too close to other housing areas	No planning issues raised.
aurora iula	individual	Too near gatwick airport and built up area too congested as it is already not good road access anyway over used road	Comments noted.
Mr A Martial	individual	Too built up around the area. Too residential.	Comments noted.
Carolyn Burton	individual	Too close to already well built up area. Existing amenities and infrastructure already under pressure. Any further building and incorporation of identified fixed Gypsy and Traveller site on only green belt still locally available for neighbourhood recreational and countryside exposure between housing and airport will negatively impact on prices of existing housing in the area and quality of life.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Keith Burton	individual	Too close to existing housing and community that consists of a large number of elderly residents who already find noise and loud youngsters playing in small areas around housing sites stressful.	No planning issues raised.
John and Eileen Milsom	individual	Too close to residential area. Should be at Pease Pottage well away from the town.	Pease Pottage is outside the borough and would not meet local needs.
Jim Smith	individual	Too close to residential properties, Cul de Sac not good road for additional traffic. Travellers do not wish to live too close to settled community	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Berry	individual	Too much is being provided. Give them a smaller space as law allows located at or near the airport.	The council is required to meet the local need. Land near the airport is adversely affected by noise.
Carlie Gould	individual	Too much mess.	The proposal would be for a permanent, managed and serviced site.
Frank Britton	individual	Too near airport. Bad access. will spoil surrounding countryside and wildlife. caravans will be passing through housing estates at all hours. Try putting this site elsewhere, Langley Green seems to be the dumping ground for anything out of the ordinary, Surely these sites would be better placed nearer to the main highways.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Ronald Harris	individual	Totally unsuitable for Gypsy and Traveller site as this would be right behind our garden as we have been stressed by their presence before we are 76 and 78 years old and have enough problems without them. Also that lane is used by very young children on ponies and Gypsies always have aggressive dogs and if they chase the ponies young children or any rider could be thrown and seriously	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. Sites at Lowfield Heath would be too noisy and are safeguarded for possible

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		injured or worse. We would feel threaten by their presence as will all our neighbours. Further to this all our properties we have fought to buy would become valueless overnight. We suggest that you look at the large open field next to Virgin's building by Lowfield Heath roundabout as this would be ideal being well away from residential property.	airport expansion.
John Garwood	individual	Travellers pay nothing into the system. So why should we pay to put up with them. When they are in the town crime allegedly goes up.	No planning issues raised.
Paul Ballard	individual	Traveller sites are by their nature "short stay" for Travellers so they wouldn't want to settle there, I'm assuming this is more a rest stop?	The site would be required to meet a local need arising from the members of the Travelling community already residents within Crawley. The Local Plan is required to identify land to accommodate a wide range of housing needs. The proposal would be for a permanent, managed and serviced site.
Mrs H Chauhan	individual	Traveller sites should not be located within residential areas. Living as a traveller is a choice and whilst their choice is respected, travellers must accept their living spaces on the outskirts of built up cities or pay the normal grading of Council Tax as those residents in the residential areas. Additionally, road infrastructure is not capable of taking any more traffic as currently parking is on one side of each road. The area is also known to be affected by flooding.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Rebecca Foster	individual	Travellers are messy and create alot of problems and due to their attitude isolate themselves from any community and that is fact. This would cause alot of problems in Langley and cost the local council money.	The proposal would be for a permanent, managed and serviced site. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage.
Tyna Campbell	individual	Travellers but definition are people who do not want to stay in one place. Therefore if they do decide to stay in one place they should have to rent/buy a property and pay council tax and other utilities just like everyone else. The council should not be responsible (using tax payers money) for producing these sites. In both locations it would also have a big impact on the infrastructure of the area.	The site would be required to meet a local need arising from the members of the Travelling community already residents within Crawley. The Local Plan is required to identify land to accommodate a wide range of housing needs. The proposal would be for a permanent, managed and serviced site.
Nicole Addley	individual	Travellers can be difficult neighbours. If necessary Broadfield Kennels has no neighbours.	No planning issues raised.
Griggs	individual	Travellers do not integrate with the community, they leave a mess behind them that us tax payers have to foot the bill for, they are also not law abiding and will cause upset and crime in the area, not to mention the fact that all properties in the area will be devalued., this is fact, and why should the tax payers pay to provide this for them when	Local Plan is required to identify land to accommodate a wide range of housing needs. The proposal would be for a permanent, managed and serviced site.

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		we have to make our own way in life.	
Carole Brown	individual	Travellers regularly appear on TV and openly admit/brag that they do not pay their way, i.e. taxes, utility bills etc and rip off local people. The only way they should be allowed a permanent site is if they pay council rates up front in advance and with regards to utilities they should be issued with a top up key.	No planning issues raised.
Ryan Richardson	individual	Travellers should be just that travellers. if they wish to have permanent land entitlement they should integrate into society and get on waiting lists like the rest of the TAXPAYERS HAVE TO DO. what on earth way will this benefit long term residents of Crawley and their families.	Local Plan is required to identify land to accommodate a wide range of housing needs. The proposal would be for a permanent, managed and serviced site.
Richard Nixon	individual	Travellers should either get a fixed house to live in or become members of the caravan club and use commercial site such as one next to flight taven, Gatwick airport and pay to use the site instead of having a site provided by the local council.	Local Plan is required to identify land to accommodate a wide range of housing needs. The proposal would be for a permanent, managed and serviced site.
Carol Ann Hill	individual	Travellers should keep on travelling	No planning issues raised.
A Baverstock	individual	Tricky, nobody wants travellers near them. Suggest more suitable alternatives in Crawley are (http://www.crawley.gov.uk/pw/web/PUB194160) map areas ref #9 #3 #4 #5 #6	#9 Poles Lane #3, 4, 5 Stephenson Way Sites 1, 2 and 3. #6 Three Bridges Station. Land in Stephenson Way and Three Bridges Station is required for new employment and often lacks access to local facilities. A number of sites may be noise affected. The improving economic situation means that a number of employment sites would be brought back into use. Land at Poles Lane lies within the safeguarded area for a potential second runway at Gatwick Airport and is in an area of unacceptable noise for permanent caravan accommodation.
Marion Haim	individual	Turners Hill	Turners Hill lies outside of the remit of Crawley's Local Plan, due to its location within Mid Sussex District.
Lindsey Tamone	individual	Unfortunately, I have had to deal with travellers illegally setting up site near to my home on Broadfield playing fields in the past, and would not support the council in any plans for a permanent travellers site in Crawley due to the mess, noise & anti-social behaviour that comes with the travellers.	The proposal would be for a permanent, managed and serviced site.

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Richard Thorburn	individual	Unused land near Manor Royal and around Gatwick Airport, giving privacy to the traveller community.	Vacant land in Manor Royal and Stephenson Way is required for new employment and often lacks access to local facilities. A number of sites may be noise affected. The improving economic situation means that a number of employment sites would be brought back into use.
Sandra Coughlan	individual	Using the Broadfield site may encourage overspill into the park. Langley Green would be better as within community so better restriction in place.	The potential for encroachment would need to be addressed. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Lauren O'Sullivan	individual	Very unlikely that a gypsy site would contain only 10 dwellings. This will de-value the properties in the area greatly.	The potential for encroachment would need to be addressed.
TONY MINIHANE	individual	...	No planning issues raised.
Elwood	individual	We don't want them here, why on earth should a council spend our tax money on housing people that have never and will never pay a penny of tax in their lives, grow a brain cell.	No planning issues raised.
Patricia Rogers	individual	We had the travellers at the rugby club for a long time they were not pleasant couldn't walk the dogs and children couldn't play they left a terrible mess don't want them in Crawley	The proposal would be for a permanent, managed and serviced site.
MRS FOSTER	individual	We have in the past lived near a Gypsy camp site and found that the crime wave went up and the property value went right down.	The proposal would be for a permanent, managed and serviced site.
Barbara Watson	individual	We need to keep some 'green' areas for: wildlife habitats, flood protection, areas for recreation for children & families, dog walkers etc. etc. Crawley has already had considerable 'green' areas consumed by new builds and is gradually becoming a concrete jungle. Langley Green itself has already had four major builds within the last four years. If you pack residents in too tightly you create problems, social tensions, vandalism and crime rates rise. There is land just opposite the M23 Moto service station at Pease Pottage (sometimes used as a car-boot sale area) which could be developed as a Traveller site. There would be little foreseeable access difficulties and disruption to existing residential areas.	Comments noted. The Plan seeks to ensure that there would be sufficient open space to meet residents' needs and that designated ecological areas and sites would be protected. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. The Pease Pottage site is outside the borough and development would not meet local needs.
J Humphrey	individual	We really do not want Gypsy sites in the Crawley area. they are known for having no respect for themselves let alone society. Look around at other Counties some have fought long and hard to get rid of	No planning issues raised.

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		them. They pay no taxes and put nothing into the system, the everyday person would not get away with what they do. They are also not the honest of people, I know this from experience in the past.	
Gino Russo	individual	We recently moved to Langley Walk in July last year and one of the main reasons for doing so was because of the green land close by. This is not only a lovely place to take a walk with the dog it is also home for an abundance of wild life including deer. I've spent my life savings buying this house with the intention of never moving again in my lifetime. Whether we agree with it or not moving a traveller site to this location will without doubt affect the price of the property in the area and furthermore will force residents to sell. I have held off airing my view on this matter in order to give me time to get opinions from other residents of Langley walk and surrounding areas and it would appear no one I have spoken to wants this proposal to go ahead.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Mrs Hunter	individual	We should not have permanent Gypsy & traveller sites	The site would be required to meet a local need arising from the members of the Travelling community already residents within Crawley. The Local Plan is required to identify land to accommodate a wide range of housing needs. The proposal would be for a permanent, managed and serviced site.
MR TERENCE LAW	individual	WE SHOULD NOT USE COUNCIL FUNDS TO BUILD SITES FOR TRAVELER FAMILIES CHILDREN WHEN LOCAL FAMILIES CANNOT GET HOUSING ALSO IF THEY ARE LIVING IN HOUSES THEY ARE NOT TRAVELERS	The site would be required to meet a local need arising from the members of the Travelling community already residents within Crawley. The Local Plan is required to identify land to accommodate a wide range of housing needs. The proposal would be for a permanent, managed and serviced site.
Andy Collins	individual	We shouldn't be providing any fixed gypsy sites in Crawley, this would be tantamount to just giving away council land as a bribery to prevent them camping where they shouldn't	The site would be required to meet a local need arising from the members of the Travelling community already residents within Crawley. The Local Plan is required to identify land to accommodate a wide range of housing needs. The proposal would be for a permanent, managed and serviced site.
Lee	individual	Well they wouldn't be travellers if they had permanent fixed sites	The site would be required to meet a local need arising from the members of the Travelling community already residents within Crawley. The Local Plan is required to identify land to accommodate a wide range of housing needs. The proposal would be for a permanent, managed and serviced site.
C.F.Farrow	individual	what about sites onthe industrial estate, good road links, no local residents to disturb.	Land in Manor Royal and Stephenson Way is required for new employment and often lacks access to local facilities. A number of sites may be noise affected. The improving economic situation means that a number of employment sites would be brought back into use.
T Johansson	individual	What about the Forge Wood area?	The land already has planning permission for a new neighbourhood.

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			Undeveloped land is constrained by noise, flooding and Ancient Woodland.
Jacqueline Gould	individual	Whatever site is chosen it should be secure and kept tidy and clean - as residents we would not want to worry about any unsavoury behaviour.	The proposal would be for a permanent, managed and serviced site.
Paul Ballard	individual	when Gypsies [your phrase, not mine] contribute to the economy, by paying taxes, Council Tax, water rates, then they are eligible, like everyone else. to apply for council housing. Why are we providing spaces that could be used as homes, for all?	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield site would not be suitable for general housing.
James West	individual	Where ever they are put these people should be made to pay rent and Council tax.	No planning issues raised.
Linda Black	individual	Wherever you put these sites they will disrupt the neighbourhood. Broadfield already has problems with Gypsies & Travellers. They would ruin Buchan Park.	The proposal would be for a permanent, managed and serviced site. The Broadfield site is large enough to enable any significant impacts on the park to be mitigated.
M Hollington	individual	Whilst there is an appreciation of the legal requirement to provide a site, due to the historical issues with travellers in Crawley it is unfair to consider utilising space immediately adjacent to established residential areas or green areas used and enjoyed by the local community. The peripheral business/industrial area would afford good vehicle access and suitable transport links into the town centre to utilise local amenities. By definition Travellers would not stay long term so it is unlikely they would be disadvantaged regarding local community ties. Should they chose to stay in Crawley permanently they might consider settling in a house.	The proposal would be for a permanent, managed and serviced site. Land in Manor Royal and Stephenson Way is required for new employment and often lacks access to local facilities. A number of sites may be noise affected. The improving economic situation means that a number of employment sites would be brought back into use. The site would be required to meet a local need arising from the members of the Travelling community already residents within Crawley.
Smith	individual	Why can't they go near airport?	The land would be too noisy or safeguarded for the potential expansion of the airport.
Christine Watts (a resident for 60 years)	individual	Why do families of Gypsies & Travellers already in Crawley Housing qualify for a site when the offspring of other resident families do not get a chance of housing or mobile sites? Traffic is another issue and the last time we had Travellers parked, okay unofficially, in Langley Walk we personally had our garden shrubbery used as a toilet and the vegetable garden considered free food.	The Local Plan is required to meet a wide range of housing needs. The site would be required to meet a local need arising from the members of the Travelling community already residents within Crawley. The proposal would be for a permanent, managed and serviced site.
Mrs White	individual	Why do we have to accomodate Gypsies & Travellers in this area! I live in Langley Green and have already had to endure a Hindu Temple opposite my House which the residents were against it! it still	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. Land within the borough boundary is limited and often constrained by noise, flooding and the potential

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		went through. We all know what Gypsy sites are about and the trouble that follows them. Stop being do gooders as I am sure none of the councillors will be having them next to them. Find a large field somewhere away from residential areas if you are determined to accomodate them. Will they be paying council tax etc.....VERY UNHAPPY RESIDENT!	expansion of the airport.
Bielun	individual	Why do we need to provide a fixed site for 'travellers'. Either they are travellers or they are not. Why should we provide the best of both worlds for a way of life they chosen. We object most strongly to a fixed travellers site anywhere in the uk.	The Local Plan is required to meet a wide range of housing needs. The site would be required to meet a local need arising from the members of the Travelling community already residents within Crawley.
Gwendaline Berry	individual	Why do we need two gypsy and traveller camps? They cost a great deal of money. I am very concerned about Buchan Park. I walk on the Crawley Health walk and do not want to be intimidated. We are all older residents.	The Local Plan is required to meet a wide range of housing needs. The site would be required to meet a local need arising from the members of the Travelling community already residents within Crawley. The proposal would be for a permanent, managed and serviced site. The Broadfield Kennels site would not obstruct existing rights of way and is large enough to enable any significant impacts to be mitigated.
Roger Berry	individual	Why do we need two sites?	The Needs Assessment identified a need for a site to cater for a potential 9 pitches. Two sites were identified as possible options for consultation, not for both sites to be allocated. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Nasima Kada	individual	Why has this moved from the pound hill area that was mentioned a few years ago to the above sites? Why are CBC always looking at LG and BF? Is it because you feel the people in them areas don't mind? Well we do, it's our homes and lives that will be affected. You have loads of other land that you can put this site at, e.g. In town centre , park area near tescos three bridges ..	The gas holder site is affected by flooding. Significant areas within the borough boundary are constrained by issues such as noise, flooding, airport expansion, open space requirements and ecological designations.
Robert Vygus	individual	Why not situate traveller's sight in the new Forge Wood development? People who move into the new neighbourhood would know from the outset that a traveller's sight was there, which is better than imposing it on people who never expected it. Also the new roads could be designed in such a way to make access easier for the travellers' often large and heavy vehicles.	The land in the North East Sector already has planning permission and remaining areas are affected by flooding, Ancient Woodland, noise and the potential expansion of the airport.
Ward	individual	Why not use land at Poles Lane for gypsies	The land is affected by noise and the potential expansion of the airport.

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Jane Davies	individual	Why should CBC worry about the travellers who thieves and leave a trail of mess and destruction wherever they go - send them back to where they belong - IRELAND	No planning issues raised.
Mrs Cottee	individual	Why should we pay for travellers to have a fixed place to live when they pay no money into the government/council.	No planning issues raised.
Jo	individual	Why should we supply an area for Gypsy and travellers !! they don't pay taxes...	The Local Plan is required to meet a wide range of housing needs. The site would be required to meet a local need arising from the members of the Travelling community already residents within Crawley. The proposal would be for a permanent, managed and serviced site. A permanent site owned by the council would require both rent to be paid and council tax. However, this is not a planning matter.
Sarah Parsons	individual	Will be ok as long as not impacting too much on being able to access Buchan park or cherry lane fields	Comment noted.
Danielle Mockler	individual	Will they pay tax or council tax to provide for the site	This is not a planning issue. A permanent site owned by the council would require both rent to be paid and council tax. However, this is not a planning matter.
Edward Punsin	individual	With gypsy and Travellers the biggest challenge is to integrate them and create opportunities for them to genuinely participate in the city. Its about urbanizing people not urbanizing land. Also petty crime could be on the increase with their presence.	Comments noted.
Mr Foster	individual	Without a doubt No.	No planning issues raised.
Sally Trigwell	individual	Worried about the connection between them and the park and may be the misuse of the park.	The proposal would be for a permanent, managed and serviced site. The Broadfield site is large enough to enable any significant impacts on the park to be mitigated.
Mr W M Deacon		Would not the use of a Brownfield site with existing infrastructure be more suitable?	There are limited brownfield sites available that are not affected by noise. Land in Manor Royal and Stephenson Way is required for new employment and often lacks access to local facilities. A number of sites may be noise affected. The improving economic situation means that a number of employment sites would be brought back into use.
J.J Deacon	individual	Would not the use of a Brownfield site with existing infrastructure be more suitable?	See comment above.
Jackie Mitchell	individual	you can get a caravan wherever they want to, buchan park hill	Comment noted.

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		proposes no difficulty in this.	
M Abbott	individual	you state there is little space for more housing then build council housing in these spaces why give to people who dont pay their taxes or rent why should they have a free area evey one else has to pay so should they be given it for nothing cos if they dont pay who will make them?	The Local Plan is required to meet a wide range of housing needs. The site would be required to meet a local need arising from the members of the Travelling community already residents within Crawley. The proposal would be for a permanent, managed and serviced site.
P Hinks	individual	You will deter people from walking in Buchan Park, I will not feel safe to walk there if there is a traveller site next door. I also think it will have a detrimental effect on the new housing being built around the corner. Non-one will want to buy a house next to a gypsy site. It will probably have a negative effect on the value of houses in Broadfield.	The proposal would be for a permanent, managed and serviced site. The Broadfield site is large enough to enable any significant impacts on the park to be mitigated and any development would not obstruct rights of way.
Stephen Dryburgh	individual	Your GYPSY, TRAVELLER AND TRAVELLING SHOWPEOPLE ACCOMMODATION NEEDS ASSESSMENT document states that there is no current perceived need, and the graph within that document shows a negative trend of gypsy and travellers setting up illegal camps, therefore there should be no current allocation of land that could be used for bricks and mortar homes. I think it interesting that for the Langley Walk site you consider that it may be unsuitable due to noise levels from Gatwick, does that not apply to homes? Isn't there also not a consideration to be made for putting travellers pitches in out of the way areas but adjacent to large residential areas, this may be an unfounded fear but it seems like it could be a combination for crime?	The Local Plan is required to meet a wide range of housing needs. The site would be required to meet a local need arising from the members of the Travelling community already residents within Crawley. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. Noise affects caravans to a greater extent than housing. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. This suit would not be suitable for general housing. The proposal would be for a permanent, managed and serviced site.
R Smith	individual	Any plan to accomodat the travelling community is wrong. We should not encourage them. Incorporate them into council housing so that they can pay their fair tax, like the rest of us.	No planning issues raised.
Edwards, Spurgeon, George Spurgeon, Sue Spurgeon, Stockbridge, Chris Spurgeon, Friar, Ian Grice, Claire Regnaud, Anonymous, B Lennon, Bev Hopkins, Miss K Creed, SR Window, Daniella Mew, C Duncan, Claire McDonald, William Yates, Sarah De Sousa, Daniel Weir, Annette Norris, Lesley Sutherland, Simpson, Toni Bailey, Mohammed Qureshi, Annette Parson, Michael Brown, Nick Cork, RA Soundy, B Forster, Kellie Hilton, Peter King, Hannah Heasman, Can not read, Peter Atkin, P		Crawley is not a suitable town to accommodate a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site given it central location; fast access to motorway A23 and A264, and close proximity to Gatwick Airport. This would mean that more Gypsy and Travellers would be attracted to the town than the allocated provision which would result in illegal placements and increased littering and anti-social behaviour and traffic. The proposal is for 10 fixed pitches (not caravans) this would go up as families expand. The town is already under heavy pressure from housing developments and added demands on its schools, health and other services, which are already, outreached their capacity against demand. CBC's finances have seen some far reaching cuts	Any development would need to consider the capacity of local services and contribute towards addressing any additional burdens where there is insufficient capacity. The site would be provided with utility services and the Highway Authority would need to be satisfied regarding any vehicular access issues. Access for residents to the subway would need to be improved. A planning application would be supported by an ecological assessment with mitigation measures if required. The proposed site would be permanent with waste collection and other facilities. Any visual impact would be screened by grassed bunds and new planting. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Mungrah, Brian Elliott, Joanne Atkin, Odette, K Dew, Luljina, Gavin Fowler, Maryann, Miss Hunter, Colin Spiers, Miguel Gouveia, Dean, Paul Miles, Cheyne Newman, R Howlett, Michelle Harrison, M Allen, James, Mrs A Williams, G Cooper, Derrick, Kate Harrison, Karn, B Pike, Ford, Tracy Mackie, Brian Culverwell, Ann McWaters, Surrudge, Miss N Penfold, J Whitcroft, S Lenharth, Nehad, M Webber, Doreen Dunn, S Groves, L Richardson, T Gadd, Anneka Bignell, Kirsty Saunders, Mr RA Creed, Rebecca, Sharon, Serkan, M Lashmar, Carminn, Josh, B Taylor, MA Bhatt, Samantha Harris, Chris Sinclair, Serena Stevens, Natalie Langridge, Dery Knapp, Anita OConnell, Stephen Staples, Niall Roe, S Masters, Kasef Riadat, Bernard Kilcoyne, Helena Horcickova, Malcolm Turner, Gemma O'Shea, S Coombes, Andrew, Chris Bason, Lorraine Bond, Anon, Imran Brahim, C Gainsford, Kellie Young, A Proven, Joanne Watson, Davin Fowler, Liam, Philip Foreman, Michael Bunday, Margaret Brown, Lisa Driver, Daniel Armstrong, Katherine Dew, T Lampport, Lilian Biggame, Michael Harker, Shirley Harker, Abbie Fraike, Neil Fraice, Tracy Fraice, Joanna James-Cabrita, Lucas James Cabrita, Jamie Weller, Ms C Brown, Mark Hayward, Alex Harris, Mrs C J Creed, Karen Floume, Kay, Bharti, Dorcas, Matt, Bhavile, Amar, Bhavik, Mr S Creed, A Holmes, Arif Syed, Kimberley Phillips, Aidkas Poslavecz, Andrea Rodger, Mrs S Raikes, I Masuccio, Gary Attwater, M Williams, D Smith, J A Courtenay-Smith, Michaela Lymson, Mr C E H Mautan, Carol Carter, Dot Buckland, Joyce Nduka, Mark Lumsdon, Vanessa Lumsdon, Julie M Gyasiwa, Cindy Jean, C GOODMAN, RHYS BURCHETT-VASS, D Reed, LISA HAMMER, C Reed, J DYER, J Reed, PETER GAUNHELL, SOPHIE WOODMAN, R Elkington, RYAN BECK, MRS CELIA BEDDINGFIELD, Chris		in the last few years. How this extra cost will be met where existing services to the residents are marginalised. Both proposed sites are on green fields, Broadfield kennels would have adverse effect on Wildlife especially endangered species such as Knightjar, Biodiversity, Waterways and wider implications on access to and from the site. The Broadfield Kennels site is surrounded by forest and parks of outstanding beauty, the intended redevelopment would also involve cutting several protective trees and loss of amenities. It is my view that this possibly more contentious issue will distract from the need to make a robust response to the whole of the Planning strategy itself. CBC Forward Planning department also need to encourage residents to view documents and make their responses, complaints and views known directly to CBC, their MPs and their CBC councillors and engage fully in consultation. Forward Planning must ensure that all information needed to do this is made available to the residents through the websites, notice boards and from councillors and the office.	is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
<p>Digan, M. BEDDINGFIELD, J. HAMMER, Louise McMeramin, DAVINA DAVEY, Nigel Court, D.MURRAY, CRAIG McGURK, Stuart, SALLY BALOSE, ANDREW BAUSE, ANDREW CHADWICK, M Green, LEN NEWMAN, K.THOMPSON, C Kay-McQue, S.ARNOLD, Dave McMaster, LEE WIGGINS, G.HULMAN, Jo-Ann Silk, V.ARNOLD, NEWMAN, NEWMAN, Terri, NEWMAN, Mohamed, DANIEL SHEARWOOD, RACHEL SHEARWOOD, M Simpson, LINDA BURCHETTROSS, KEVIN BURCHETT-VASS, Mrs Diane Bamsey, GEORGE BURLING, Linda Saville, Alan Reed, RICHARD DAVEY, A.ARNOLD, Roger Hughes, CAIN KING, SARAH KING, Louis Barrow, GARY MUMFORD, Phil Barrow, FOX JAN, HAWKINS, L Koller, SAM DAVIS, KATIE, Samantha Shire, DONNIE, J.SUDRA, Joy Ellis, MRS KHAN, DONNA CHAPMAN, MARTYN STUART, Aaron Ellis, L BAYER, Shannon Harman, MRS SIMMONDS, EZME HAYWOOD, Sophia, Jack Cousins, Toni Taylor, Debbie Holland, Stuart Sherline, Brenda Ballard, Jessica Covey, Martin Jones, A Price, SHABS, SYED, TARMOOR, Z.TAMOR, J.GREEN, Julie Ausling, Paul Ausling, MR CHRIS GINMAN, JACOB BELL, Sarah Covey, MRS BEVERARY TAYLOR-GUNN, JUDITH ALDRIDGE, NIGEL ALDRIDGE, D G BELL, Paul Covey, Andrew Robinson, Nigel Gibb, D Nouble, Clive Holland, R March, Tom Cousins, George Peters, B Lennon, E Goody, A Barber, Steve Woods, Brenda Grayson, Tia Wilson, D Oakley, L Simpson, Neil Waller, Tomasz Gnitka, Tom Rose, John Mears, Donna Woods, Steven Hubbard, Carole Martin, Alex Brinkhurst, N Hughes, S Newham, Bartlonyez Kubisz, Andy Cox, Marjorie Gibb, Charlie Bonce, M Novakova, S Nighthall, Lorraine Pollard, Chelsea Driver, B. TEI, Danielle Martin, Kelly Martin, Brian Butler, SHEARAZ, H. SANAAR, Step Boxell, JESSICA GRACE,</p>			

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
<p>Donna Almond, LORENA G.S, Cliff Boxell, Helen, M.ANDERTON, SONIA, Rhianne Gibson, SHAYAN KHAN, GILLIAN REVEEL, Thomas James, M.CAMPI, Hayden Waghorn, STEVE JAMES, Stacey, CHLOE BELL, Rhys, Alex Butler, Ben Butler, Sian Butler, Julie Butler, Tyler Stepien, Julie Waghorn, Sarah James, Carol Mansfield, Lucynon Brejina, Marek, Tomesz Teryowski, M Singleton, Jay, John Johnston, Will, Joshua, Tom, ELLA BELL, JOSHUA BELL, S Goodfellow, DENNIS BELL, LEAH BELL, GEORGE PENFOLD, B Clarke, Vicky Middleton, Belton, Sarah Belton, Hayley Belton, SARAFRAZ HUSSAIN, Z.HUSSAIN, SMITH, D.SMITH, LUISA NICHOLS, MRS ABIGAIL HUNT, FRANCISCO, R.ALDRIDGE, D.C.CRITCHLEY, K.V.MEASURES, JOAN TURNER, G Hearn, Jamie Keats, R Thakker, GEORGE WALSH, MRS MCARENA, RIZWAN KHAN, LAURA, AZRA GANDHI, KHAN, REEVES, JESSICA WATTS, JASMINE, L.WOOD, J.L.FARMER, R.FARMER, MRS. A BRYANT, J.YUSUF, JANE DOE, MEL, SIMON BOTTING, CHARLIE, LEAN, L.GEERE, A.GEERE, NEJAT OZKESKIN, R.ARWSTORM, ABDUL WAHED HAJI IBRAHIM, ANNE SERELL, K. NEWMAN, CHRIS POMROY, MARIA HARDETT, CHRIS WEST, MEL FEIL, STEVE LANGRIDGE, RICHARD KING, D.J.ANDREWS, Laura Owen, Alysha, Grace, Mr Manhar Vaidya, Teresa DeSa, Craig, Mrs Naana Davis, Kojo Davis, Janet Fox, Tony Freeman, Claire Robinson, R A Flint, Flora Mega, Brand, Fouzia, Mr Oshea, G Ingram, G Washell, Mrs J Kensett, Shelby Mills, Tracie Newark, Amanda Slark, Kim Webb, Mrs S Gilbert, Joelle, Ian Carey, Lynn Werby, D Cook, A Bown, Jackie Knipe, Tina Pudney, Simone Johnston, P J Floyd, Mrs F Jackson, Peter Atkin, David Marr, Jean Elliot, S Kensett, Sandra Webber, Tylerx, Paul Allen, Ray Cook, Paul Devlin, Gemma Harvey, Barrie Algate, Stuart</p>			

Q.11 Please use the space below to explain your thoughts and suggest any alternative sites you are aware of which may be suitable for a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
<p>Webber, Rosco, Rebecca Marr, Brian Stewart, Mrs J Teasdale, Karen Pirrie, Steve Gilbert, Susan Hitchings, Mrs L PAGE, hANNAH WEBB, Rob Gilbert, Amanda Rawcliffe, Lesley Mills, James Kensett, T Walker, Alex Page, Christopher Halls, Shannon Cook, Jean Hammond, Richard Pudney, Wendy Owen, L Tomassi, Gary Morris, Damielle Mcomb, Marc Hitchcock, Andy Bi, Scott Clack, Tyler Webber, Niki Minns, John, Joanne Atkin, Mr Rupra, Ross Elliott, John Marr, Joanne McCulloch, John Dunn, Gary Leonard, Jon, Tom, Tom, C Golding, Mrs Mallet, Mr Wilkinson, Ronnie Fowler, Josie Fowler, Lynda Wakeman, Joanne Streeter, J Nayee, Alec, Mrs Hunter, Edward Monk, Mrs J Morgan, Mrs E Burrows, Bateup, Mrs J Ball, Derreck Maslen, Donna Brooker, S Austin, M Austin, Karen, Lyn, Anthony Chapman, Ailish Doyle, Josephine Brain, Louise Hall, V Bailey, T Huckstepp, K Haworth, K Douglas, Lisa Keeley, David Keeley, J Nayee, H Nayee, W Walenuan, James Clayton, Not filled in, Young, Berry, Bingham, K Hedges, Steve Hedges, Mrs C Kennedy, Mr P Kennedy, Chris, Helen Li, Fernando, Allan, Tara Little, Gardner, Kenneth Gray, Tyna Campbell, Radley, James Campbell, Wright, Daniel Ilowe, Graham Clark, n IAMBERT, A B Lambert, Ms S Ford, Emma Miller, Joe Pellen, SF, Tony Fleyn, a mCkAY, Graham Pearson, W Stapleton, David Groves, G Fergie, Cora Coglan, Wesley Brown, Goodey, Mrs Jeanmarie Tulet, Denise, Pete, Hilary, Cheryl Bourne, Kevin Bourne, R Higson, Mohammad, Maheboob, Sahib Khan, Dawod, Zeshan, Casim, Sadique Miah, K Khan, Z M Satti, Mohamed Mirian, Sajid Mahmood, Abrahi, Waseem Gulzar, Jacquie Petty, W.Petty, M H Khan, M Hussain, Asif Act, B A Awan, Imran Habeeedulla, Adil, M Ashraf, Naveed, M I Fathima, M Z M Ivram, Jaan Khan, Shahelma Khan</p>			

Questionnaire Space 3:Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery.

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Mrs Lesley Cave	individual	I feel very strongly that the land should be used for the living, they are called playing fields for a reason!! playing. The dead are dead, and see no reason why a cemetery cannot be found outside of the town in the future. The playing fields will be enjoyed by many people for generations to come, it is important to keep these green areas in the town, we do not want a town where familys and people playing sports, picnic, dog walking etc have no where to go and we are a complete concrete jungle. The dead cannot play football or enjoy these functions and am sure would not be bothered where the cemetery is located.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs T Pudney	individual	As a lifetime resident of West Green and Ifield I was appalled to learn that a new Cemetery would be situated in Ewhurst Park, I agree with Michelle Collins that a Cemetery should be a quiet restful area to say goodbye to loved ones, and being sandwiched between the A23 and Ifield Drive is certainly not the right area, the Council state that the field has good drainage, then why does the field develop a large pond near to the playground everytime it rains, and anyone driving along Ifield Drive when it rains will see the water flooding out of the field, the Council also states that it is a good site as it is near to Snell Hatch Cemetery, I think is totally irrevelant as Crawley is not a large town, and anywhere in Crawley can be reached within 15 minutes. It is also mentioned by the Council that this an ideal site as there is already a car park there, which may I add is also used by famililes dropping and picking their children up from St Margaret's School, and would cause chaos if this couldn't be used during certain times of the day. But most of all loosing another green area is totally unacceptable, especially with all the new flats being built in Crawley which do not have access to a garden. This park is used by Dog Walkers and Adult/ Children's Football Clubs, and if the Councillors cared to come and look in the park during the week and especially on a Saturday and Sunday morning they will see that this is well used and loved area of Ifield. Another suitable area must be found, why not in the North East Sector near to the Crematorium?	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Mrs V Stride	individual	1. Road Access.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs M Addison	individual	50 years doesn't seem very long for a growing town	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Matt Leese	individual	50 years is a very short term view. This is valuable leisure land and would cause traffic problems. Why not consider a larger cemetery outside the town boundary in conjunction with an adjoining council that could last both councils a long time into the future and build decent transport links and facilities eg over towards Crawley Crematorium or under Gatwick flight path where noise is not an issue.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr Richard A Page	individual	50 years only? Snell Hatch has done 90 years. We are being told the population is exceeding housing, therefore more houses are required, then surely we will require a much larger cemetery in the future. Find a larger site first and prevent yet another recreational area from being developed.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
David Christensen	individual	A small percentage should be considered for more housing, but with the majority left as playing fields. Use the pitch and putt area in Goffs Park for continuation of Snell Hatch Cemetery	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Max Perry	individual	A cemetery is a luxury. 50 years is not a very long time.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Wendy	individual	A green field in the countryside should be used with restrictions on size of memorials and the amount of concrete in any plot to maintain the essential green nature of the field. Cemeteries can be very green if planned appropriately. There is no need for an in town cemetery.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Sue	individual	A lot of football and other sports are played there and there are a lot of houses that would have to look at it. Its not the right place. Also, it has a lot of trawffic id was turned into a cemetery it would not be a peaceful place.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Sharon	individual	A new cemetery should not be placed in Ewhurst Park because it is essential to keep the green land we have to keep kids active and to avoid turning into a concrete city like Croydon! Couldn't a section of Goffs Park be used? There is a pedestrian bridge between the sites and it is much more secluded and private (how a memorial garden should be!)	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
A Fairbank	individual	A new cemetery would be ideal near the crematorium. people who visit grave yards expect peace and quiet which you would not get at Ewhurst playing fields. Also the fields are used for football training and football matches, families and dog walkers. In winter is also a boymire	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Alan Sands	individual	A partial allocation should be considered.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Roger Duddell		A site should be found beneath the flight path of Gatwick Airport as noise constraints may not be a major issue. There is a tongue of land on the western end of Langley Green ward that if purchased would last much longer than the area selected at Ewhurst Playing Fields and allow the valuable recreational area to be retained	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Jane Grimshaw	representative	A: its flood regularly B: lots of other sites to be used C more importantly over 150 girls and boys are playing football every Saturday and Sunday as well as training during the week	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr D Garrett	individual	Activities for kids are limited and area's should remain for family sports	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Laura K Meyer	individual	Adequate sites can be found out of town. Children should not lose their playing fields to accommodate dead people and I certainly wouldn't want to be buried in a field knowing that I took space away from the most important use of the land--the children!	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Derek Hill	individual	Agree a cemetery should be inside town. Surely there must be a site other than a playing field. One end of this site has very poor drainage. What about Tinsley Lane, not sure how big it is; or east of Brighton Road, looks big enough.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs Yvonne Doran	individual	All my windows of my flat overlook Ewhurst playing fields- it is so pleasant but would not like to look out on a cemetery- so depressing. It would de-value my property - who would buy a flat overlooking a cemetery? Everyone needs not door space to relax in- it is nice to wander around a sit down on a bench and this schemem would take this away from us. In the summer peeople like to picnic- play games, walk dogs and generally enjoy open space but the scheme would end this. It is intimated that if the scheme went ahead it would beneficial to people attending funeral or visiting after vecause theve would be easy access. the crematorium is on the outside of the town (no bus service) but is used far nire tgab a cemetery - so why can not a cemetery be built on the out skirts also? Youths clubs would have to go to Wesr green evidently - are youngsters expected to cross the dual carrigeway? All the clubs thar used these playing fields love playing here and the car parking is so good. Mums doing the school run use the car park daily - where would they park if a furnarel was in progress	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Robert More	individual	All this area should remain as playing fields. It is too close to residential and retirement homes, causing distress to residents, with the cemetery in view. This is development at the expense of recreational space.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs G More	individual	All this area should remain as playing fields. It is too close to residential and retirement homes, causing distress to residents, with the cemetery in view. This is development at the expense of recreational space.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Tim More	individual	All this area should remain as playing fields. It is too close to residential and retirement homes, causing distress to residents, with the cemetery in view. This is development at the expense of recreational space, and potentially to the detriment of the local youths' health, as it is frequently used for sporting recreational activity.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Chris More	individual	All this area should remain as playing fields. It is too close to residential and retirement homes, causing distress to residents, with the cemetery in view. This is development at the expense of recreational space, and potentially to the detriment of the local youths' health, as it is frequently used for sporting recreational activity.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Andrew Baldwin	individual	Alternative, improved sports facilities should be provided in a new area to compensate for the loss of this facility. Site must have discreet screening from main road to provide barrier from the view and noise of the A23. Possibly a pedestrian link between Snell hatch and new site.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Charlotte	individual	Although I see it very important to the community that they have somewhere local to bury their loved ones I'm not sure where would be the right place	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Neal Fielden	individual	Although it is close geographically to the existing cemetery it is not a quick journey between the two by foot/car. As a new cemetery being close to existing should not be a consideration. Public open spaces should be kept. If the government wants to encourage a fit and active life style then they need to keep the space to do that. If this park is removed then there is no other local playing fields.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Carl Rickwood	individual	Although not ideal at all, I understand that this has to be done and that it has to go somewhere. It just seems a shame that it is proposed that so many open green areas and playing fields may be lost.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
David Knevett	individual	Although the playing fields are well used, it is hoped that the proposed cemetery would only be built in stages, to allow for the gradual relocation of the sports facilities.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
CLLR STEPHEN JOYCE	representative	ALTHOUGH 'YES' ALSO LOOK AT OTHER SITES WITHIN AND OUTSIDE THE BOUNDARIES OF CRAWLEY	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr Wilsdon	individual	Any cemetery should be in reach of bus route.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr Beale	individual	Any cemetery should be out of town and not on open space designated for public use. The new cemetery could be built on the Gas Holder site (map ref 14) or land east of Balcombe Rd (map ref 12).	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Susan Howard	individual	Any new cemetery should be on a peripheral location which is easily accessible yet not going to cause problems for local residents as is the case with the proposed Ewhurst fields site	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
R Smith	individual	The cemetery should be located at the edge of town. Possible site is the gas holder site.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Claire Mills	individual	Apart from the sports use, this green space is used for dogwalkers and the like. I believe there's a retirement block of flats overlooking the field so i feel this is insensitive. It maybe accessible, but there's no parking and the road and area is very busy during school times. Consider land to the rear of Rusper Road.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Keir Fuller	individual	Are there not more spaces nearer the outside of town that could accommodate this better? Losing a valuable recreation space such as this would be a real shame, as it is always so well used by the local	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		community.	Plan process.
Mrs Smith	individual	<p>As a current user of Snell Hatch Cemetery I can't see how the Ewhurst P Laying Fields is the only suitable site.</p> <p>The playing fields site is not only surrounded by busy roads it also is subject to the noise from fire engines and ambulances. The roads around this site are extremely busy is this a good place for potentially vulnerable people to be exited onto. There are already issues with accidents when people are not fully paying attention because of the quantity of cars waiting at the roundabout junction add to this emotional visitors to the cemetery and you have the potential for a massive increase in accidents and siren noise when emergency services are having to deal.</p> <p>Why can't we use Goffs park this is convenient to existing users has a car park and toilets is extremely peaceful, a great location for public transport and doesn't get used for activities anymore than the Ewhurst Playing Fields.</p>	<p>Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.</p>
Mrs Winfred M Smith	individual	<p>As a long term resident of Crawley, I am sad that more and more of our open spaces are being used for decelopment. I know it is a long time and people need homes etc but if we do not object to these plans, what will be the future for this town! I think Ewhurst playing fields are well kept and well used, i appreciated by locals, give many children, young people a good playing area. This is a very busy area, espically at school times, i therefore object to this proposal</p>	<p>Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.</p>
Patricia Ann Mortimer	individual	<p>As an existing open space for all recreational needs for the residetns of lfield, i would be appaulled if this site was turned into a cemetery.</p>	<p>Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.</p>
John Fletcher	individual	<p>As it is so expensive many people would prefer to be cremated.</p>	<p>Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.</p>
Paul Ballard	individual	<p>As space in Crawley is so precious/scarce, can we afford to use ground in this way?</p>	<p>Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local</p>

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			Plan process.
Carol Heather	individual	As the population expands, cremation should be the only option, apart from religious reasons.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs Fiona Lashwood	individual	As we should be keeping the playing fields for our children to become healthy citizens	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Isabel Baker	individual	At a time when there is an identified need to improve the health and fitness of our young people, how can you even consider removing this facility? Is it really a good idea to suggest that sports should continue alongside a cemetery? Neither party would consider that to be acceptable - the noise and bustle of football etc is hardley conducive to the (expected) peace AND quiet of a place of rest.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Margaret Thompson	individual	At least here it would stop any more housing on this plot of land.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Clive Thompson	individual	At least it wouldn't mean even more housing in already-full-to-capacity lfield.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr R Morar	individual	At least Snell Hatch is mostly nicely tucked away and not visible from the A23. The Ewhurst Playing field is such an open space and highly visible to passers by, and so very close to the residential area, where traffic concerns are already high due to the nearby school and the limited space for parking.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Robert	individual	At the end of the day, if a cemetery is needed, then one is needed. And if this is the only place to put one, then there's not much else anyone can do. I read about a home for the elderly overlooking the field, or something to that effect, but if there is no other place for a	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		cemetery, then the only other option is to move the home, of which I'm sure there are many places it can be moved to.	Plan process.
Carlie Gould	individual	Balcombe Road East - keep near crematorium.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Sarah Parsons	individual	Because of all of the above comments	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Charlotte Louise Knights	individual	Being buried is i think a selfish request. It takes up room that as you have rightly said we don't have enough of any more. As much as I sympathize about the problem, I still refuse to let another green space be taken for dead people to be buried in... when it is currently being used for children/teenagers to better their health, elderly people walk their dogs and families can enjoy it.... I think that now our town cemetery is full, it should be a choice of - be buried somewhere else, or be cremated and take up 0 space. Simple!	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
L Woodings	individual	Both lfield avenue and the A23 is very busy especially in rush hour, a slow procession of a hearse and cars going to a funeral could lead to a complete traffic standstill	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Anthony Ball	individual	Burial of bodies is not a good use of scarce green space in the town. Those who, for whatever reason, insist on this would - I hope - accept the inconvenience of having to travel outside the town. Perhaps a much smaller area for the burial of ashes would be reasonable?	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Kym Hofmann	individual	Bury out of town as the living need the open space, children need areas to play. the dead will not mind	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Gillain Joyce	individual	But I suggest to look outside the borough for burial grounds also. This is in my view as viable alternative. Land in Crawley is scarce and we need any spare land to build homes for Crawley people (affordable homes not private)	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Roy Wood	individual	Can this proposal not be incorporated into the NE sector development?	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Andy Cottee	individual	Can't believe this site is even being considered, it is an extremely popular playing field and park. Use fields on edge of town for new cemetery spaces. There are plenty of them out in Charlwood or Horley direction.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Arif Syed	individual	CBC need to ensure that there is sufficient provision is made for faith based burials e.g. Muslims and Jews.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
James Wood	individual	Cemeteries should be kept on the edge of the town, NOT in the middle of it. How are children meant to keep fit and healthy if we take away playing fields?	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Gary Shepherd	individual	Cemeteries should be located on the outskirts of any town, let alone Crawley	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
CHARLES CRANE	individual	CLEARLY THE TREATMENT OF THE DEAD IS A SENSITIVE SUBJECT. EWHURST PLAYING FIELD SHOULD REMAIN AS A PLAYING FIELD. BY YOUR OWN CALCULATIONS, IN FIFTY YEARS TIME THIS NEW CEMETERY WILL BE FULL, THEN WHAT WILL WE DO? IF EVERYONE CURRENTLY IN CRAWLEY WANTED TO BE BURIED, IN ONE HUNDRED YEARS TIME WE	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		WOULD NEED 100,000 GRAVES. I SIMPLY DO NOT KNOW WHERE THEY WOULD GO.	
Neil	individual	Community green spaces/playing fields should not be considered for the new location of a new cemetery. The Government and the Health services are always talking about the rise of childhood obesity, therefore to take away this popular playing field makes no sense at all. If we lose our green spaces we will become a concrete jungle like Croydon.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Patricia Upham - Hill	individual	Contrary to Council Planning belief, this site becomes very water logged in wet weather. Large pools of water can be viewed after very wet weather. This playing field is used by footballers, dog walkers and children at play. During the summer school holidays families picnic on the field. Why take away our playing field and cause total traffic congestion with funeral cars. The new housing is already going to create a traffic problem - perhaps doing a traffic count. The excuse of the lfield station being in close proximity is rubbish - eg there is no rail link for teh crematorium!!! No No NO	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Gary Green	individual	Could the land at the side of Goffs Park just over the railways line from Snell Hatch be utilised first?	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs Gillian Burley	individual	Crawley is a lovely place to live which is in part due to the open spaces and playing fields in the town. It would seem a shame to prohibit the younger generation from enjoying the benefits of Crawley that the older generation as enjoyed	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
B Clayden	individual	Crawley needs it green space for the well being of its residents.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Philip Nash	individual	Cremation should be encouraged even more than it already is. Memorial and plaques can be placed in the cemetery serving the same purpose as a headstone and the crematorium is a lovely place for people to visit and remember their loved ones.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			Plan process.
Peter Cole	individual	current car park needs to be mainly retained for sports use parking with a new cemetery car park at the "wet" end adjacent to lfield Avenue. Substantial tree screens need to be planted between the cemetery first stage and the sports area and later another to screen the second (existing) carpark and the retirement homes.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Jai Patel	individual	Definitely not there will be loss of the playing fields were kids currently go. Too close to resident and retirement homes and too close to a school.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Billie Jackson	individual	Definitely unsuitable. Far too close to the school. Could you imagine burying your loved ones with children shouting and playing out in their school field at breaktime and lunchtime not to mention sportsdays and after school sports clubs! Also there is a nursery in the grounds of the school. Crying toddlers etc. Nightmare! I	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
John Cooban	individual	Depends on cemetry type / design / policy / management. Attractive landscape design oriented / parkland / lawn cemetery / strict 'no junk' grave policy would be ok.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Marion Haim	individual	Depressingly close to too many houses. Traffic very busy in this area already. I dont believe that playing fields should be used in this way	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
JOEL BUCKETT	individual	Disgraceful. Take away a play area and green space and give it to the Dead. Could it not be located near lfield Church or the Crematorium.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
James West	individual	Don't really think it should be used for sports as people like peace when visiting the cemetery.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			Plan process.
Mrs I Abbott	individual	Dont want to look out on a cemetery thank you.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Crystalus Bickar	representative	Drainage is in fact poor! after heavy rain the field is like a swimming pool for days. As a resident of Millfield Court i do not want to look at a cemetery all day long! traffic congestion is a big problem with regards to the school, added celudery traffic would cause cuaos	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Connor Gould	individual	East of Balcombe Road would make a good cemetery.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Claire Tomsett	individual	Every person has the right to be burried in the town where they have spent their life. Providing a place for people to come and pay their respects is a good way to ensure that certain areas are maintained to a certain standard. A cemetery is not an offensive or disrespectful site and could potentially be used by many community members.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Jackie Littleton	individual	Ewhurst fields at least, are not overlooking any properties (there is a good distance from the field to surrounding houses) and access would be easy on and off the A23. Looking at the existing cemetery, residents are no closer than would potentially be in the new site and views could easily be obscured with sympathetic planting etc. However, I believe there to be the old CBC tree nursery on the opposite side of the A23, which is adjacent to the existing cemetery. More ideally situated and closer to the existing site, with access on and off the A23.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs N C Leach	individual	Ewhurst playing feilds are enjoyed by many young and old people, enabling them to exercise and enjoy their sport. Obesity in the young is a major problem in this country, playing fields should be preserved to help fight this serious probl;em and the Olympics legacy should be	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		encouraged.	Plan process.
Matthew Dyball	individual	Ewhurst Playing fields are well used for a number of sporting activities for children and adults. It also includes a well used play area and is used for dog walking. There is no other playing fields nearby for the residents of Ifield to use.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mark Farrell	individual	Ewhurst playing fields should not even be considered. People use them on a daily basis for leisure activities. Also traffic noise and levels too high for a cemetery to be placed there. There is plenty of space on the edge of Crawley to accommodate a cemetery where people can grieve and mourn in relative peace and quiet rather than doing it with rush hour traffic in the background.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
R Sawyer	individual	Ewhurst playing fields we very sure of flooding in bad weather. Also is a very popular and necessary walkway a playing space for children.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr P Kozel	individual	Ewhurst playing fields, were put there for the recreation of the people of Crawley and this should not be taken away. We have too few open spaces and to lose this one would be criminal. Why not develop lane near where the crematorium is.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Jill Fidgett	individual	Ewhurst playing fields: the playing field is used many days for football and children bring trained for football for the future, used a lot for dog runs - children playing which is nice to see.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs Hunter	individual	Ewhurst playing fields are necessary green space for families to use for leisure activities	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
R S Budd	individual	Existing car park would need extending as it gets very busy when parents are delivering and picking up pupils of St Margaret's school. The Mardens is full with cars at these times and congestion is	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		common.	Plan process.
Bev Morrhouse	individual	Extensively used by children for games including football. other games are family orientated- cricket, rounders, kite flying etc. Liable to flooding - on the site of a future farm pond. Used for dog walking, exercise by both young and old. Transport is horrendous between two main roads. Ifield roundabout is normally grid locked at peak times! Site is overlooked by a retirement home. this proposed shows a lack of consideration for resident and is a ptoential cause of a fall in property value	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs C Henriques	individual	First abd foremost, this green space is the second most used area for the local community to play football. Green spaces are there for the people. What about the Olympic pledge about more playing fields for communities? Not less The Ewhurst playing fields do not enjoy good drainage, in fact some paths are waterlogged for most of the winter months. At certain times of the day these would not be adequate parking space as the parents of children at St Margarets school use the car park. It is really not necessary for the new cemetery to be near Snell Hatch. Most other rlder resients in Crawley moved here from far and wide! Not only are the playing fields used for football but they are used by families with young children, dog walkers and for people washing to keep kit byt running around the perimeters. The Childrens play area is also very well used. From a purely personal point of view, the cemetery would detract from the value of all the properties, not only mine, that overlook the playing fields, which includes the mardens, ifeild drive and Ardingly close	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
FRANCESCA LEE	individual	FOOTBALL CLUBS USE THIS SPACE AT THE WEEKEND. NOT MUCH OPEN GREEN SPACE IN THIS AREA.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
John and Eileen Milsom	individual	Forge Wood near the existing Crematorium would be better. To put it in Ifield would not be fair to the existing residents and schools who already have a problem with parking.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
John Smith	individual	Further development of playing fields should be avoided if at all possible. Sanitizing land by using it for cemeteries is also not a good	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		use of a limited resource - cremation is a far better option	cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Carol Chinn	individual	Gas holder site - it's near the crematorium and will be no less peaceful than some of the large London cemeteries. It will also not impact on too many houses nearby. No one will have to overlook it as it is shielded by roads. There is a reasonable bus service in the area too and you are not robbing people of green space and play areas. Alternatively, land off Tinsley Lne considered too polluted for housing.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Carl Horne	individual	Gas holder site near existing crem[atorium] would seem more suitable.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr & Mrs Chalk	individual	Ground floods in very bad weather	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
P J Machell	individual	Hold talks with Horsham for creation of joint cemetery with Crawley - adjacent to the Horsham Road.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Sharon Ottley	individual	How about expanding the crematorium site to accommodate burials also?	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
S Webster	individual	How can the young be encouraged to partake of outside sports/activities if we keep taking away playing fields?	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Brenda Smith	individual	I accept it is urgent to provide a new cemetery site. But not to take Playing fields... can land near to the crematorium be considered?	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		Land to the West of Rusper Road, Ifield	cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Ward	individual	I agree that Crawley needs a new cemetery.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
John Sander		I agree that land has to be found for a larger population, If & only If land in Langley Walk has be considered then a cemetery would be my only choice.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Peter Wakeham	individual	I agree with other residents comments in the area about retirement homes over looking a cemetery being a depressing sight, along with the rest of the comments. I would also like to say: The proposed site is much to near a school. Parents use the car park at least twice a day. You can imagine the noise generated by these children being dropped off, not conducive to persons attending a funeral. Also you can hear playground noise morning, dinner time and afternoon during playtime, not a nice background noise for a solemn occasion so much for a calm and peaceful setting. It also has very busy roads on all three sides of the site. Apparently plans say that they are going to start burials at the far end of the site and one of the reasons for proposing this site was it already had toilet and parking facilities. Both these facilities are at the School and Retirement home end! It is a well use playing field and deserves to be left as is. The Crematorium has a peaceful setting surely people being buried have the same right.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Shani Wheatley	individual	I agree with the new siting, it is central for those people that will access the cemetery, the flooding at Snell Hatch is beyond belief, when I visit Snell Hatch after the rain, I have to wade to the plot,	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
John Watts	individual	I am appalled that the Council has ever considered the idea of using any of the town's recreation grounds for a cemetery or housing. With the projected increase in the number of young people in future it would be a criminal act to deny them the opportunity for physical	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		recreation and sport. The Council should be encouraging more people to take more physical activity. What has happened to the Olympic Legacy? Shame on you!	Plan process.
Lynette Satti	individual	I am not in favour of losing any green spaces in the town but it is also unacceptable for Crawley residents to be buried outside the town	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
B E Champion	individual	I am tired of playing fields being hi-jacked for other purposes especially when government want children to be more sport active should the dead, once buried, take precedence over the living?	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Stephen Dryburgh	individual	I am unaware of the soil conditions but the land near the crematorium near Forge wood not suitable? I can understand the argument for placing the cemetery where you have but the prospect of all the playing field being taken up is a shame, would there be a solution to only designate up to 3/4 of the space for cemetery use thus preserving some recreational use?	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Lynne McDonald	individual	I appreciate that space needs to be identified but I don't think the playing field should be used. Other Towns must be facing the same problem, have other ideas been considered?	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
John Harris	individual	I assume additional space is required for a new cemetery and ask if space might be allocated on the edge of the towns boundaries rather than in the centre.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Ryan Richardson	individual	i believe surrey and sussex crematorium could be expanded to cope instead of using recreation ground...soon recreation will die out altogether and we can just concrete everywhere which seems to be the council agenda...	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Christopher Pearmund	individual	I believe the benefit of local sports facilities, which can be used every day by the residents, out ways the inconvenience of having to travel outside of town to visit a cemetery. From my personal experience, people only visit cemeteries on significant dates- 2or 3 times per year.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mike	individual	I can not think of a solution but am unaware of other Towns building new cemeteries - have they their own solutions - share existing sites with neighbouring towns?	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Miss Setford	individual	I can totally see the need for a new cemetery but would the natural secesion not make sense just to go over the railway and use some of Goffs Park with I'm sure would be a much nicer place to be a final resting place being somewhere that once you would of come and enjoyed the place as a family as I'm sure almost everyone in Crawley has been to Goffs Park, I'm not saying use it all but maybe if we lost the pitch and putt bit it would just make sence as Snell Hatch is just the other side of it and then make the rest of Goffs Park a historic park.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Jean Manuel	individual	I can't believe this is the only site available for a new cemetery. Its location seems totally unsuitable, in full view from a new development of retirement homes and taking away the sports/play facilities that are much used. It wouldn't have any of the quiet atmosphere that makes a cemetery a peaceful, reflective place for bereaved relatives to visit. The noise from the bypass would be very intrusive.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
J.J Deacon	individual	I dispute all of your claims in your introduction that, Ewhurst Playing Fields in Ifield has been identified as the only suitable site. Firstly, the soil and drainage conditions make it a good site because of the following local knowledge: Local residents have known for years that these fields become waterlogged so much so that water is regularly seen to be pouring out of the field into Ifield Drive. On many occasions the drains along Ifield Drive only just cope with the flow of water One reason for this may be that the field used to have a pond located where the children's playground area is located. This area along with many other parts of the field regularly get water logged so much so that the secretary of the Ladies Football Team the 'Wasps' who use this field to train and play their home games informed me on 24th June 2013 that, The last game played on the top	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>pitch was Saturday 13th October 2012. The next day's match had to be postponed due to a severely waterlogged pitch (in fact the Saturday game should not have played as the water was 2 inches deep in some places, but they decided to carry on).</p> <p>The next date it was playable was 21st April 2013, it remained waterlogged until that date (¾ of the pitch with a water level above ankle depth for the majority of this period) and even then it was still wet enough for the line paint not to transfer in some places. The 11 a side pitch next to the pavilion was waterlogged at the A23 end enough to make it unplayable (water level above football boots laces) such that only 2 games could be played between 23rd October 2012 and 21st April 2103 (we had 7 postponements).</p> <p>With this amount of water logging has appropriate advice been taken on the possibility of contaminated water from the proposed cemetery leaching into the ground water?</p> <p>Secondly, Its proximity to Snell Hatch Cemetery in West Green with good links to the road network. This is a nebulous statement; everywhere in Crawley and West Sussex has good links to the road network as most people use cars. Also, why does its proximity to Snell Hatch Cemetery matter? Did these criteria apply to the other 40 sites you considered for a cemetery?</p> <p>In addition, the traffic flow along Ifield Drive does not seem to have been considered. Every morning and evening traffic is jammed up from the mini roundabout at the junction of Ifield Avenue and Ifield Drive all the way past the car park that is going to be designated for the cemetery. This traffic flow is set to increase with the building of 125 houses on the old Ifield Community College site in St Margaret's Road as West Sussex Council has refused access to the A23 their only option will be to use Ifield Drive. At present access to Ifield Drive from Ardingly Close and Findon Road is difficult and will become more problematic.</p> <p>Thirdly, you state there is already an existing car park on site. This car park is full twice a day for parents to park whilst dropping off and collecting their children from St Margaret's School. In addition it is full on 3 nights a week and most weekends by people using the football pitches together with local people who use the field.</p> <p>Fourthly, you refer that there is a toilet on site. It is ironic that the Council allowed the Wasps Football Team to keep a storage container on site for 5 years to assist them in raising funds to convert the toilets from a male only changing facility to one that could be used by both sexes. You also state that there is an option that some of the playing fields could be retained. Does this mean that there will be a sharing of the facilities by those using the sports field for changing</p>	

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>and those people visiting the cemetery? Finally, you state the site has enough space to accommodate burials for the next 50 years. This seems to me a short term solution for a long term problem. Snell Hatch Cemetery was opened in 1925 and the expected closure is in 2 years time, as burial space has been predicted to run out by then. So by 2015 Snell Hatch would be closed after being open for 90 years. This is not only a Crawley dilemma but a national one. In 2007 Harriet Harman the then Government Justice Minister said all designated burial space in England and Wales will be full in 30 years. Yet Crawley Borough Council want to close down a very active sports field and much valued green space which will only be available for 50 years as a cemetery. This then raises the future question of which Crawley green field site in 2065 will the council have to use then? The solution is clear; the only site for a new cemetery which can be used longer than 50 years is outside the borough.</p>	
Mr W M Deacon		<p>I dispute all of your claims in your introduction that, Ewhurst Playing Fields in Ifield has been identified as the only suitable site. Firstly, the soil and drainage conditions make it a good site because of the following local knowledge: Local residents have known for years that these fields become waterlogged so much so that water is regularly seen to be pouring out of the field into Ifield Drive. On many occasions the drains along Ifield Drive only just cope with the flow of water One reason for this may be that the field used to have a pond located where the children's playground area is located. This area along with many other parts of the field regularly get water logged so much so that the secretary of the Ladies Football Team the 'Wasps' who use this field to train and play their home games on reported to me on 24th June 2013 that, The last game played on the top pitch was Saturday 13th October 2012. The next day's match had to be postponed due to a severely waterlogged pitch (in fact the Saturday game should not have played as the water was 2 inches deep in some places, but they decided to carry on). The next date it was playable was 21st April 2013, it remained waterlogged until that date (¾ of the pitch with a water level above ankle depth for the majority of this period) and even then it was still wet enough for the line paint not to transfer in some places. The 11 a side pitch next to the pavilion was waterlogged at the A23 end enough to make it unplayable (water level above football boots laces) such that only 2 games could be played between 23rd October 2012</p>	<p>Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.</p>

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>and 21st April 2103 (we had 7 postponements). With this amount of water logging has appropriate advice been taken on the possibility of contaminated water from the proposed cemetery leaching into the ground water? Secondly, It's proximity to Snell Hatch Cemetery in West Green with good links to the road network. This is a nebulous statement; everywhere in Crawley and West Sussex has good links to the road network as most people use cars. Also, why does its proximity to Snell Hatch Cemetery matter? Did these criteria apply to the other 40 sites you considered for a cemetery? In addition, the traffic flow along Ifield Drive does not seem to have been considered. Every morning and evening traffic is jammed up from the mini roundabout at the junction of Ifield Avenue and Ifield Drive all the way past the car park that is going to be designated for the cemetery. This traffic flow is set to increase with the building of 125 houses on the old Ifield Community College site in St Margaret's Road as West Sussex Council has refused access to the A23 their only option will be to use Ifield Drive. At present access to Ifield Drive from Ardingly Close and Findon Road is difficult and will become more problematic. Thirdly, you state there is already an existing car park on site. This car park is full twice a day for parents to park whilst dropping off and collecting their children from St Margaret's School. In addition it is full on 3 nights a week and most weekends by people using the football pitches together with local people who use the field. Fourthly, you refer that there is a toilet on site. It is ironic that the Council allowed the Wasps Football Team to keep a storage container on site for 5 years to assist them in raising funds to convert the toilets from a male only changing facility to one that could be used by both sexes. You also state that there is an option that some of the playing fields could be retained. Does this mean that there will be a sharing of the facilities by those using the sports field for changing and those people visiting the cemetery? Finally, you state the site has enough space to accommodate burials for the next 50 years. This seems to me a short term solution for a long term problem. My reasoning for this is as follows. Snell Hatch Cemetery was opened in 1925 and the expected closure is in 2 years time, as burial space has been predicted to run out by then. So by 2015 Snell Hatch would be closed after being open for 90 years. This is not only a Crawley dilemma but a national one. In 2007 Harriet Harman the then Government Justice Minister said all designated burial space in England and Wales will be full in 30 years.</p>	

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>Yet the present day planners of Crawley Borough Council want to close down a very active sports field and much valued green space which will only be available for 50 years as a cemetery. Please tell me where the forward planning is in this decision... The planners have determined that the 'life span' of its new cemetery will be 40 years less than its old one!</p> <p>This then raises the future question of which Crawley green field site in 2065 will the council have to use then?</p> <p>With this in mind, the solution is clear; the only site for a new cemetery which can be used longer than 50 years is outside the borough.</p> <p>We need to keep all of Crawley's green spaces green for future generations. If it was right for the previous planners to designate these green places as the town's population expanded, we most certainly need to keep them for the future residents of Crawley.</p>	
Brian Bailey	individual	I do not see why a cemetery could not be located on the outside or outskirts of the town, as is the Urry and Sussex Crematorium.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Iris Young and family	individual	I do not believe we should be losing sports facilities replacing with such a use as a cemetery. 50 years also doesn't seem that long a period to accommodate burials and I feel a site edge of town is better than this short term measure.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Colin Snook	individual	I do not feel this is a good idea, do you not feel that enough playing fields are being lost already. Ewhurst playing field is by far the best soccer pitches in Crawley with fantastic drainage and just about suitable parking for the fields and the near by school. Once again something that is good in Crawley would be ruined where possibly nearer a church or the surrounding fields in Ifield would be a better idea than along Ifield Drive which is struggling to cope with the traffic already.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Louise Tidey	individual	I do not think it appropriate to have a cemetery that close to a school. The parking at the school is already considerably difficult as the school is on a dead end road. Residents are already inconvenienced during drop off and pick up times. If a service was taking place at 3pm, it would cause chaos. The car park is currently used daily. If someone monitored how much the car park is used they could see	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		that this proposal would cause a massive strain on the roads and residents around ifield. The car park is integral to the school. The chances of accidents occurring along the road would be increased as parents would simply let their children out of the car by themselves as they would have no where to park. St Margaret's school does not primarily intake via catchment. Most parents live further out and are forced to drive. Walking is not an option for many parents at this school. That is why the car park is essential.	
Doris Tozen	individual	I do not think it is a right place for a cemetery, being it will be very close to retirement housing. To me it seems not enough thought has been given to peoples feelings.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Bill Askham	individual	i do not think it is in the correct place If The Council continue on using recreation/ green space there will not be any green spaces/recreation left in Crawley for residents & Local Communities to use.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs Diana Cnudde	individual	I do not think they should use this site as it isrecreational ground for children	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Eurice Clement	individual	I don not see the difficulty in gaving a burial site on the edge of the town, just as the crematation site has been accepted. I am surprised that sufficent people are still buried - as they would come from different area surely they would not object to asite outisde the town. I feel it would be a great pity to take over the very ancient and beautiful Ewhurst field. The field is used frequently by well organised groups of children as well as by football teams and the town should be proud. I love to take a deck chair out there as we do not have a sitting space in miffield Court Gardens. Has any consideration been given to requests for green burial sites in the Crawley area? Could this not be combined with a conventional burial site on the outskirts of the town?	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Sharon Harris	individual	I dont live near this site so personally would not effect me but i believe a lot of residents maybe upset by this and believe a site should be found which does not effect local residents.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			Plan process.
Christine Baker	individual	I don't think a cemetery on a part of public play area is a very good choice. It will be close to a school which is also wrong. The playing fields are used for football matches and there is also a play area which makes this an unsuitable site.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
MS G M YOUNG	individual	I FIND IT TOTALLY INAPPROPRIATE FOR A NEW CENETERY TO BE BUILT ON EHHURST PLAYING FIELDS FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS: THE PROPOSED SITE IS NEXT TO THE NOISY A23 AND THE BYUSY IFIELD DRIVE AT PRESENT MILLFIELD CRT. WHICH IS RETIREMENT APARTMENTS, LOOK OUT ONTO THE PEACEFUL WELL USED EWHURST PLAYING FIELDS AND IF A CEMETRRY WERE TO BE BUILT TGHERE IT WOULD NOT HELP THE HEALTH AND WELLBEING OF US ELDERLY FOLK. A NEW CEMETERY SHOUD BE BUILT ON THE OUTSKIRTS OF CRAWLEY LIKE THE CREMATORIUM AND WELL AWAY FROM ANY RESIDENTIAL AREA. THE CONSULTATION PERIOD SHOULD BE EXTENDED TO AT LEAST 8 WEEKS.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs. Jill Bell	individual	I have concerns for the residents of Millfield Court, some of whose rooms overlook the playing fields.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Chris Oakley	individual	I know the area well only one set of flats overlook the site so there will be minimal disruption to locals. there is a set car park and good access routes to/from the site and the area is large enough to last a long time... I can see no reason not to have this here the field are used by dog walkers and a couple of football teams which could easily go elsewhere..	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs Lorraine Pateman	individual	I live just around the corner in Findon Road and take my granddaughter to the park regularly, so would miss it if not there. I have relatives at Snell Hatch and you can go there and it is fairly quiet. I have a bit of a problem with the fact Ewhurst is surrounded by three fairly main and noisy roads. Plus it is near St Margaret's school so there would be a problem with parking around there.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		To me the proposal to use land at Ifild Hall makes more sense even though it could end up under the flight path. However, we need some where to bury our dead and if it is the only option then it is nbetter than out of town.	
Emma Thrift	individual	I realise that you have to find somewhere to build a cemetery but it is hardly a good site, in the middle of a built up area surrounded by busy roads, close to schools and next to a retirement home. My idea of a site would be a nice quiet and peaceful place, this is certainly not. Snell Hatch was opened in 1925, prior to the new town being built; therefore houses and buildings were built around it.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
James Chase	individual	I really dont know, it would depend on the extent the park is used, perhaps the playing area could be maintained with small peace gardens and a cemetery.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs H Eves	individual	I suggest the gas holder site would make an excellent place for a new cemetery.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
John B Cord	individual	I suggest the site at the old Bewbush Leisure Centre it is larger than the Ewhurst playing fields.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Alice Crane	individual	I support the idea of removing existing graves (for example those over 75 years old) and re-using this land for new ones.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
David Woodfall	individual	I think it would be better to use land that is not recreational.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Kate Towner	individual	I think this is an unsuitable site for a cemetery as the local community will be losing a very well used public playing field and at a time when the local population is growing, there will be an even greater need for this open space so it should therefore be kept for future generations to use and enjoy. The surrounding area is totally unsuitable in terms of additional traffic and is also in very close proximity of St Margarets Primary School which already suffers parking / traffic congestion at the start and end of the school day so encouraging additional traffic to this area would be a very unwise and hazardous decision. Lastly, I do feel this is an insensitive proposal for the residents living in the newly built retirement homes which overlook these playing fields.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Terence Green	individual	I think with GPS technology we can move away from the idea of cemeteries, and marked graves, and be buried in a country park.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Miss Margaret Florey	individual	I visit friend in the Mardens that overlook Ewhurst Playing Fields. One of my friends bought her flat because "the view" was pleasant. She feeds birds and I have seen flooding on this field in wet weather, we watch families walking their dogs. Its safe for children to play football. Personally I would not like this to be the site for the much needed cemetery. I see that the community need it and it is well used. The elderly need to look out and see people.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
M Blackham	individual	I would like to oppose the Ewhurst Playing Fields being taken for use as a cemetery for the following reasons: a) The loss of valuable [space] for playing fields for the young and old b) Open green space which is lacking in this area for the general public c) The car park is not suitable and cars will be parked on Ifield Drive d) The toilets are not suitable e) The play area for swings etc regularly floods as this used to be a pond when the area was a farm f) Being near Snell Hatch is of no advantage g) This is not a suitable site as it is in the middle of a residential area, near a busy main road h) You should look for a site to the east or north of Crawley, perhaps near to the present crematorium	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Andrew Macey	individual	I would like to see head stones standing or laying down	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		(there are little drawings of the head stone on the survey)	being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Sna Zahra	individual	I would prefer it to be else where however as it is proving difficult to find another site in town then this site is better then going out of town. If another site becomes available then i would like to consider that and then give my opinion.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Khurshid		I would prefer it to be else where however if that means going out of town then I would consider this option. Although if another place does become available then i would like to consider it.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Tracy	individual	I would rather it wasn't and that people were encourage towards cremation however I would rather the site remain greenish rather then housing.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mike Addley	individual	I would say yes but I would say Site 7 Tinsley Lane equally has good bus routes to it and has enough drains on it for toilets in several locations & the dust from the industrial units next door won't worry people. and the deer living in Summersvere Wood would be safer without people living up close to them.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
ALAN HEAD	individual	IDEAL SITE FOR YOUR NEW CEMETERY WOULD BE TINSLEY LANE SIGHTA AWAY FROM BUILT UP AREA, BUS ROUTES NEARBY (10, 20, 527) ALTHOUGH DUST AND NIGHT NOISE IS A GROWING PROBLEM, WITH THE ASPHALT WORKS NEARBY THE SIGHT NEAR AN ANCIENT WOODLAND WOULD SEEM IDEAL. I CAN NOT SEE ANYONE WOULD WANT TO PURCHASE A PROPERTY AT THIS SIGHT WITH DUST POLUTION AND NOISE AS TH MAIN LONDON TO BRIGHTON RAIL LINE IS CLOSE BY. YOU WILL ALSO HAVE TO CONSIDER THE REMOVAL OF A HERD OF DEER THAT LIVE IN THE AREA	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Najmun		If another location can be found in crawley then it preferred however rather then going out of town i would then keep it here. But still not an	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		ideal place for it	cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
M. Riaz		If another location in Crawley can be considered then that is preferred however if not then I would rather the cemetery stay in town.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Aqeel Hussnain Imtiaz	individual	if another site becomes available in Crawley then I would like to consider it. I have selected yes only because I do not want it to go out of town otherwise I would have selected no as I do not really agree that it is a suitable place for a cemetery.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Colin Hayler	individual	If Crawley has no statutory duty to provide a cemetery why are we doing so. As we are so short of suitable green land and the pressure is on over the coming years for very more housing should we not fight to keep our much needed space for recreation. Why is it so important that the proposed cemetery is close to Snell Hatch. And has an in depth study of the ground water conditions been done and how much will it cost to dispose of the leach out from the site.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr Peter Blake	individual	If cremation were to be made the only future option, then this site would work well into the next century.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Peter Foulkes	individual	If it doesn't compromise on existing sporting facilities then yes.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Kirsty Browning	individual	If this goes through, it would be a disaster... this once quiet road is now a very overcrowded by the nursing home and school. This is the only area for young children can play and have fun. So many things these days cost but this one area they can have picnics, play ball, rounders ect for FREE. Structured groups like football that are keeping children healthy like government policy will all stop. It is no good preaching to children at schools if your going to take away all	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		their green space to exercise.	
Tracy Smith	individual	If this is the only area suitable I think it will be important to some to have a central cemetery for paying respects, however from a personal viewpoint I would be happy for a new cemetery to be outside of the town.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Kelly	individual	if this is the only place it could be it would be nice if it wasn't visible from the road. Seems a bit too central.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Patricia Rogers	individual	If we have travellers one end and a cemetery at the other our outlook doesn't look good does it ?	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Jilly Thompson	individual	If you build all the houses you have planned you will be looking for more burial land in less than 50years. Surely better to encourage cremation and find space for a garden of remembrance? Less space and less upkeep needed.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Lyn Jackson	individual	Ifield has a variety of parks/playing areas, so this would not mean taking all these areas away from the local residents.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Brian Wharton	individual	Ifield has Holy Cross,its large playing fields and the Orchard area to development already. The people in the area are against this site. It is important to have open spaces inside the town to break up all the housing in Crawley.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
June Kite	individual	If you take away the playing fields where will footie .be played, dogs walked children play. If you use the land just in the short term, it means you will eventually take the land in the long term does it not?	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			Plan process.
Jacqueline Maria Russo	individual	I'm not sure it's a very nice area for a cemetery. Can't see how it would look nice and fit in. I don't want to be buried there that's for sure.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Richard Thorburn	individual	Implement a rule that a note is put on each existing grave, if it is not removed within one calendar year, and the grave is claimed, dig it up, burn any remains and store the ashes within a large building with plaques on the walls and then reuse unused graves for new deaths.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
E M Dean	individual	In my opinion the playing fields are for the children and supporting activities, they are used by football teams regularly. The car park mentioned is quite full at times during school days	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Robert Flinn	individual	In my view the recreational facilities of the town should not be converted for other use.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr Barry Khan	representative	In respect, the playing field are so many crawley, yet we have not produce and International start any sport apart from Box. Therefore make a good use of the land for the cemetery good ?? with the existing cemetery.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs N Brandon	individual	Inappropriate and insensitive site. Exposed to the road noise. Has Langley Walk site been considered for cemetery? - would keep the area green - outstanding natural beauty. Playing fields off St Mary's Drive could be suitable?	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs Lynn Corsini	individual	Insensitive to the feelings of the residents in the newly constructed retirement homes. Access would be difficult.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			Plan process.
Mrs Margaret Bonner	individual	Insensitive to residents at retirement home.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Dinah Bailey	individual	Is there anyway Snell Hatch can be extended?	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr S Griffiths	individual	Issues around traffic as there are already parking and traffic problems around St Margaret's schools where any increase would add to current issues. Loss of the public playing field and playground for local residents does not seem sensible. Ground is also prone to flooding. Has Ifield Brook Meadows been considered or land to the rear of Rusper Road, or purchase of land immediately outside the borough?	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs B Coleman		It floods. No parking at peak times. Adjacent to infant/ junior school. Millfield Court- so designed that it got windows overlooking proposed site. Not pleasant/happy outlook for the residents in their retirement	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr Louis Clifford Volfin	individual	It is a good idea. People need it. To bury their family. Near their locality to go to memorialise their death.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Carol	individual	It is too close to residents' homes and parking would be a real issue. A more suitable plot would be somewhere similar to the crematorium	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Rose Nudds	individual	It is used constantly for sport, for children and adults and keeps children from hanging around and getting up to mischief out of boredom. Can not give any opinion about other parts of Crawley as	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		ive only lived here 5years and not familiar with other parts	cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Peter Lashwood	individual	It needs to be kept as playing fields for children to play on	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Yuonne Gander	individual	It needs to be outside Crawley not near residential properties or ext to a retirement complex, are you insentitice!!!	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Maria Callaway	representative	It seems slightly immoral and depressing building a cemetery opposite an old peoples home and using land that is needed for the local school and our local children to remain active and healthy. Crawley is very populated and it would be unfair to all the residents that live here to deprive them of open playing fields and areas for a vacant space such as a cemetery- perhaps it would be best to start looking for sites outside of the town and access the suitability of these before using more land within the town centre.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Michael Parker	individual	It shouldnt be used. Kids play football there.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Tracey James	individual	It will be taking up valuable land where children play.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Fay McCausland	individual	It would be a sad day to see such a frequently used piece of green land used for this purpose. It is used almost every evening for football training and dog walking and for socialising. The road that runs along side this land is noisy and very busy so would not be a quiet place for grieving families to lay their loved ones to rest. I believe if too many playing fields are built on that the youth of today are being further restricted in the places they can go which would in turn increase the	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		risk of anti-social behaviour to increase.	
Francesc Scott	individual	It would be far more preferable for people to be buried outside the town in a quiet location rather than in the middle of an existing neighbourhood and major access roads.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Richard Gould	individual	It would have to be out of sight.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Paul Lewis	individual	It would not be appropriate to place the cemetery so close to houses. Snell Hatch was built before most of the houses near it and now the space there has been exhausted the site being suggested is not large enough to accommodate the amount of graves that an expanding town will need and there will have to be another site found in about 30 years. A much bigger site would be more appropriate. Although still not large enough the land referred to in ref.2. or another large area which would provide a beautiful site if re-designated is Goffs Park.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Jane Leese	individual	It's an area where children play, there is very little play area and we want our children to be more active so somewhere out of town	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Lesley Duncan	individual	It's a play area and also it's overlooked by a main road at the moment. Not very private.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Stephanie Manning	individual	It's too close to people's houses	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Smaira Riaz	individual	It's very insensitive to built cemetery next to old age home. Also i dont like the idea of having a cemetery right in the centre of crawley.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Jill Britton	individual	Keep playing fields for playig on. Cemetary should be on edge of town.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Chris Morris	individual	Land considered unsuitable because of noise pollution could be considered for this use i.e. near Gatwick	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Cantlay	individual	Leave the playing fields alone. Site the cemetery out of town.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Jerry Ingall	individual	Like to many of the proposals, the council seem to be considering all the current Playing fields/recreation areas, it is no wonder our children have weight/fitness problems if the council take away these ares, also many other people loose areas to relax in, especially now new properties are so small, open areas are going to become more and more important, not less.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
D STOVELL	individual	LIVING NEAR TO THESE FIELDS I STRONGLY DISAGREE WITH THIS. MY SON HAS TRAINED AND PLAYED FOOTBALL FOR YEARS ON THIS PLAYING FIELD. THE CAR PARK IS FREQUENTLY USED BY FOOTBALLERS, PEOPLE USING THE PARK, USED BY PARENTS PICKING UP THEIR CHILDREN FROM SCHOOLS NEARBY. IT IS ALSO VERY CLOSE TO THE NEW RETIREMENT HOMES BUILT.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
M Hollington	individual	Losing a community recreation ground/green space is a concern.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		Perhaps part of the site could be retained permanently as a recreation ground.	being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Marion Holman	individual	Loss of playing fields such a precious commodity very close to the school which would cause lots of congestion	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Alison Hooper	individual	Loss of public playing field - and football pitches, with further housing developments in the area all open and green play areas will be required. Very close to residential accommodation Close to retirement homes, causing distress to residents, very insensitive. There is not enough parking for funerals and visitors. Close to a school which already causes parking and congestion problems.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs K Morar	individual	Loss of public playing field, which is closest to both Langley Green and Ifield. The current traffic using the road already causes much congestion, with the school and residents in the area. Outskirts of town should be considered instead.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Ben Gould	individual	Maybe alternative near crem. Balcombe Road area.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Carol Andrews	individual	Most definately not, another area where kids play football, how many more playing areas will be taken away?	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Edward Punsin	individual	Necessary because of the growing population in Crawley and the plan to build thousand of houses just makes it a priority.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			Plan process.
Phil Johnston	individual	Next to a residential home for retired people? Really? Playing fields that are massively used at weekends	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Roy Heather	individual	No additional cemetery should be considered as nowhere suitable. Keep the playing fields.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Chris Champon	individual	No concerns. Better than houses!	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
John Stanley	individual	No council should consider building on this playing field we are running out of green space across lfield & lfield West as it is.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs Anne Merrill	individual	No further room for further development, next to new retirement home! Not very sensitive.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mary Fisher	individual	No near school and retirement apartment. This playing field is used alot by the youth of Crawley were many. Football matches are played and enjoyed by children And their families	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Jennifer Preston	individual	NO RECREATION SPACE OR GREEN SPACE should be used for anything other than it was intended when Crawley was developed. This playing field is well used by the locals as well as other reisdents of Crawley for football etc. The governments policy is to make the population fitter and leaner how is the lose of open spaces	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		helping. With more and more housing the green spaces are precious. There is nothing at all wrong with having a burial site outside Crawley. Why not put the new cemetery near to the crematorium use the land beside it or on some of the land the other side of the Balcombe Rd where housing is proposed which, in my opinion isn't suitable as it's under the flight path	Plan process.
Mrs J Thrift	individual	Not suitable, floods. well used by children, dog walkers, families, people just out for walks	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Helen Burton	individual	Not sure of the area but don't think people will be happy playing sports next to a cemetery and don't think families of those buried there will like it either	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
P Wheeler	individual	Obviously we need extra burial space but not with the loss of somewhere for children to play safely. If Ewhurst Playing Fields are used then replacement recreation areas should be put in place.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Andrew Towner	individual	<p>Of the large playing fields areas in Crawley, this has a significant amount of use for the local community on an on-going basis, which should be considered as part of the sporting legacy left by the London Olympics. Ifield & Langley Green have already suffered from a number of building developments in recent years; housing developments in Ifield Green, Friston Walk, new hospital & community buildings in Langley Green, development of the previous ICC site without even mentioning the proposals for further housing & a travellers site in Langley Walk.</p> <p>Crawley has a diminishing number of green open spaces, particularly suitable for sports & leisure use and it is important to maintain these strategic gaps/"lungs" to halt the reduction of the local quality of life for the community; of which sports plays a major role.</p> <p>In recent years, the site has suffered from significant pooling in poor weather and any additional development would widen this problem to the wider, local community. As a parent of a child at St Margarets</p>	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>School, I am aware of the significant level of school traffic that uses the existing car park, that would be transferred onto the surrounding roads. There would be significant safety issues for the local school children of any additional traffic in this area as the current levels of risk are already, I would consider, too high. Finally, there is a significant amount of insensitivity by proposing this in plain view of the recently established retirement community in the Mardens. The planning Dept. should hang their heads in shame at this level of insensitivity.</p> <p>As for alternative sites, I understand that the Outreach area off Ifield Avenue / Bonnetts Lane should be considered as it fits with the crematorium's location of "edge of town" or perhaps a location near the crematorium would be a consistent approach.</p>	
Katie Turner	individual	<p>On a busy road, it DOES flood, in a residential area, very popular fields with families (one of the most popular in the area), if you take away all the green space, where are our children going to play? People need to pay their respects at a cemetery, how can they do this along such a busy road? Plans say initially fields could still be used for play etc until cemetery more full, who would want their children playing by some graves and what grieving families would want children to play there? Bad bad idea and there MUST be other options in more remote locations</p>	<p>Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.</p>
Ronald F Coleman	individual	<p>ONE FOOT IN THE GRAVE I have a flat overlooking this site which I bought for the view. I don't want to sit in my lounge looking to where I will be going next. All cemeteries should be outside of town, not on residential sites being overlooked by houses. What happened to the Government's rule about saving playing fields after the Olympics?</p>	<p>Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.</p>
Gary Murphy	individual	<p>Only 50% of this land should be used for this but we still need to keep a park here.</p>	<p>Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.</p>
Ananda Classens	individual	<p>Our kids love playing there! We walk past this park to school every morning and I would hate for them to walk past a cemetery everyday! It will definitely be an eye sore and wouldn't be a good location. We don't need it in the middle of our neighbourhood! Cemeteries should rather be on the outskirts of town! Please don't destroy our</p>	<p>Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.</p>

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		neighbourhood and the best park around.	
Richard W Symonds		Outreach Three Way is the best alliterative for a cemetery. If this site â€œfell thoughâ€™ because it might become part of the new Gatwick Runway, that would only apply if there was a â€œwide-spacedâ€™ runway. If there is a NARROW-SPACED â€œrunway, there would be no problem having the cemetery. Hopefully the power-that-be will choose an NARROW-SPACED runway. Also, Ewhust Playing Fields should remain as playing fields â€œ which are â€œlungsâ€™ of the town so that the â€œnew townâ€™ can breathe and not suffocate (see 1947 map of town hall) the playing fields are well used. ALL school playing fields in Ifield have already been built upon. No More.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mikchael W. Pickett	individual	People should be encouraged to choose cremation on their deaths. The land should be for the use of the living not the departed.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr A Nayee	individual	People should be encouraged to use cemetery's in neighbouring towns	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Edward Lewis	individual	People use the field. If we are to be proud of our greenbelt, we are to embrace it. It serves as recreation space and an aesthetically pleasing site; like many, I think it acts as nice green barrier between Ifield's neighbourhood and the A23. Maybe the land east of Brighton Road, earlier suggested as housing, would be excellent, or even the Brighton Road site should be another contender for the traveller's site, with whom some people seem to have a problem with living among. I don't know if others share my concern with the fact that this land is actually within close proximity to the McCarthy & Stone project, and may seem rather insensitive to subject elderly people, on mass, to a depressing view.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Ann Barnaby	individual	Personally I feel land used for burial at the expense of playing fields and housing is unacceptable. In this day and age we should use cremation and those who demand burial should finance it.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Margaret Britton	individual	Playing fields are essential for children, Put the cemetery on the edge of town and leave playing fields alone.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
WILLIAM DEAN		PLAYING FIELDS ARE FOR CHILDREN TO PLAY IN, SWINGS ARE PROVIDED AND PEOPLE TAKE DOGS SO THEY CAN RUN ABOUT. THE FIELDS ARE WELL USED BY FOOTBALL TEAMS FOR MATCHES AND TRAINING. MORE GIRLS ARE TAKING UP THE SPORT MY GREAT GRANDDAUGHTER AGED 9 PLAYS AND COMES TO EWHURST PLAYING FIELDS FROM TILGATE	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
David Hart		Playing fields within the town should not be developed. I do not believe this can be the "only suitable site" as you state. You should consider further and look wider afield if necessary. This is a delicate topic for many people and the consultation time scale imposed and method of consultation with this immediate development requirement hidden amongst many other development topics that require longer term plans is, in my opinion, an unacceptable consultation method. A separate consultation should be started for this topic and fully publicised.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Carol Ann Hill	individual	Please keep playing fields for people to use	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Anne Parker	individual	Please leave our playing fields alone!	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
MISS N BURGE	individual	PROPOSED SITE INSENSITIVE TO ELDERLY LOCAL RESIDENTS AND WIL ONLY LAST FOR 50 YEARS - ONCE OUR PLAYING FIELDS ARE DEVELOPED FOR ANY CONSTRUCTION WE WILL NOT BE LEFT WITH ANYWHERE FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		TO ENJOY LOCAL SPORTS AMENITIES	Plan process.
Mrs Sharmistha	individual	Protect Open Space. Playing fields should remain as it is Very bad idea of thinking cemetery in the vicinity of the town Council not thinking of the resilience near the proposed site	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
C DOWNS		protect recreational space	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Lisa Hammer	individual	Proximity to existing cemetery makes this site seem best option.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr David John Moon	individual	Q12 This is a busy recreation area for the people of Ifield East and West Green. Situated as it is between and increasingly used Ifield Drive (traffic) providing access to local primary schools and limited parking close to one these schools (St Margaret's) and the constant noise from the A23, Ewhurst playing fields should stay as it is.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Richard Nixon	individual	Re use plots at Snell Hatch Cemetery like Jewish Cemeteries do in cities accross Europe. They dig up remians after say 100 years and reintern the remain in a smaller plot allowing the existing plot to be re used or alternatively put coffins into the ground vertically allowing more burrials.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Gerry Panter	individual	Regardless of who they are, cremate them or make their relatives pay for a burial somewhere else. Keep the playing field for the people of Crawley.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Patricia Walker	individual	Removing much needed playing fields access / egress to and from site of A23 insensitive site schools / old peoples home.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			Plan process.
Dr Ivan Clout	individual	See answers to Q20 & 21.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
L Wakeman	individual	See covering letter.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Brian Clarke	individual	Seems a 'no brainer'. If smell hatch is full and we want a cemetery in the town then this has to be a good proposal.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Ann Porter	individual	Seems a sensible place, much needed and close to existing site.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Rosemary Woods	individual	Seems to me to be a good idea its go to go somewhere, toilets are there also a church, easy access to main road on the way to crematorium	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
C Wilson	individual	Should be localied outside town	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Peter Mockler	individual	Should be out of the way	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			Plan process.
Mr J Hibbert	individual	Should be Rugwich Road Playing fields as its quieter also if the council had not sold off the land adjunct to this field they would have plenty of room to expand. Also in this day & age we should not be burying people as the land is more important for growing food or building houses. What about burying people standing up you could then get 3 bodies instead of 1.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Daniel Taylor	individual	Should the living not have priority over the dead!	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
S Patel	individual	Should be out of town. Help with easing traffic congestion in field as already the traffic through that area as increased tenfolds	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Naankumar	individual	Strongly oppose site is unsuitable, Should be located out of town area. Town area is already congested approaching town is difficult. Playing fields at Ewhurst should remain as it is. You should come every day and enjoy the fresh air here All burials carried out at crematorium vicinity Leave this area open by 2029 you will realise	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
T Johansson	individual	Taking a recreational ground for this purpose does not seem sensible considering the lack of alternative areas for general outdoor activities.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Pretti Amin	individual	Taking all the free space away for kids to play or develop area to keep people active. Instead of looking for land for gypsy use the areas for this kind of need.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Linda Duffin	individual	The area as it states is a PLAYING FIELD and is used for recreation	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		purposes for the community.	being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
BRIND	individual	The area should be enclosed with fast growing/tall trees to obscure the graves from the homes overlooking the area. That would mean tree planting along the back of the houses along The Mardens and in front of Ifield Drive. This should be a serious consideration please, otherwise there is no objection for the site to be used as a cemetery in the future.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
TONY MINIHANE	individual	The bloody council are already taking away all our playing fields, and the bloody county council are selling off school fields. Leave it alone and send the bloody polish home so we will then have no need to build as much. grow a backbone david cameron and say no to more bloody foreigners	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Julie Simmons	individual	The car park is used by local schools as parking in the area is a premium. When it rains the whole field is quagmire as the drainage is not good. The fields are used by many local people for dog walking. There are football matches on these fields every weekend.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Peter Townend	individual	The cemetery needs to be in a place with peace and quiet, not next to a major road. The lower part of Goffs park would be more appropriate. Move the pitch and put. The playing field often flood and water flows across ifield drive.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Vikki Webb	individual	The cemetery should be in a peaceful location	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Frank Britton	individual	The Crematorium is on the edge of town, why not this cemetery. The government is always banging on about children and exercise. Is this the right way to keep our children healthy.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
D McDermid	individual	The crematorium of "outside of the town". Why not a cemetery? I imagine the local would hate being reminded on a daily basis of their mortality! The value of homes would fall too. Government/radio have stressed the need for more exercise and I gather this site is well used for a variety of sports (I used to play tennis there when I was younger and lived in West Green). It used to be used for Crawley Carnivals. Taking all of this in to account - a very bad idea.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs Pamela Webster	individual	The Ewhurst playing fields should be left as playing fields which is what it was designed for. It is in regular use by young and old alike for exercise and leisure pursuits. It is definitely NOT a good site for a cemetery because it floods everytime it rains causing a big drainage problem. This is because there was a natural pond there which was fed by underground springs and STILL is. The playing fields are in the middle of two VERY busy roads with a school next to it and there will be twice as much traffic in lfield Drive caused by a new housing estate being built on the site of the old schools	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
MR MALCOLM RICHARDSON	individual	The field behind St Margarets was built on, there is no public park local to the schools, and who wants to walk there children to school past a cemetery, or in fact have a junior school that close to a cemetery, cemeteries should be on the outskirts of Crawley, and on a bus route for all, to be able to visit, not in the heart of lfield. Definitely NOT!!!!!!	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Margaret Duxbury	representative	The field is used continually every saturday and sunday unsuring or hept evelys. There are various clubs who also use the field. I am strongly against the cemetery there put in the playing fields as that of the residents gave the field and they would not ente is the looking at a cemetery there. The government are always a dont getting childrent to be more active and on the field there are a be of children playing games- a cemetery throwned ruin it all	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Joan Moorhouse	individual	The field is widely used by children after school and at the weekend for football and other activites with their parents (ball games, flying kites and recreation of all kinds). There are frequently very small children walking and playing with their parents. Exercise should be encouraged to lessen obsity in our young people. Adults can be seen daily walking and others run or use the field for fitness training in the open air. lfield roundabout and ifield drive have traffic congestion in the middle of the day as well as driving rush hours. Traffic will increase when the large housing developemnt in Lady margaret Rd is	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		completed. The car park is already full during term time and for over flow from the Mardens.	
Charlie Wright	individual	The football pitches and park are in constant use.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Adrienne Hannachi	individual	The idea is outrageous. Once an agreement is made to use this wonderful green space it will be filled up in no time. The carpark is full twice a day with parents taking and collecting their children from St Margaret's School. The chaos with funeral cars in addition doesn't bear thinking about. At the weekends many children play organised games, keeping them healthy & out of trouble.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
B J Smith	individual	The land has poor drainage - floods in the winter. This field is the heart of the community and is used throughout the week by clubs. The only field currently available in the area for recreation of the community.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
K. Webster		<p>The land was once farmland, the area now containing the children's playground was a pond. The pond was eventually drained into the culvert that runs parallel to the A23, Crawley Avenue, to enable the land to be used for sport, namely football. At times of high rainfall this area remains prone to flooding and the land becomes waterlogged.</p> <p>The house of Ewhurst Place is moated, though unsure of the source of the water there is a good chance that it is fed from a natural spring that is known in the vicinity as can be confirmed by inspection of the natural well located at No. 36 lfield Drive. It seems to me that there is a distinct possibility that the course of this spring could run beneath the land suggested for use as a cemetery.</p> <p>Another issue relating to this location is the amount of traffic using the surrounding roads. The boundary at one end of this site falls at the busy junctions of lfield Avenue / lfield Drive and Crawley Avenue. There are a total of four schools and three pre-schools located in lfield Drive and every school term day sees endless queue's of traffic at least twice a day as parents deiiver and collect children. Add to this the anticipated general increase in traffic which is bound to arise from the additional housing on the site of the old senior schools in Lady Margaret Walk. It is difficult to see how the addition of slow moving</p>	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>funeral traffic will improve this situation.</p> <p>We are encouraged to take more exercise and there is general concern about the increase in obesity in our children and at a time where school playing fields are being sold off for development, housing or super markets, we should be looking to maintain these sports fields for the use for which they were developed. Ewhurst Playing fields are currently well used both by organised sport and the exercising public, it would be a great shame to see them disappear.</p> <p>It is suggested that Ewhurst Playing Fields are the only suitable site for the location of the cemetery which is questionable, For example what is wrong with the site east of the Balcombe Road, labelled 12 on the general map and close to the Crematorium. The site was rejected for housing only because of the noise? Not a matter for concern to the residents of a cemetery. Viewing the map there is also undeveloped land adjacent to the Crematorium, has this been considered? It would not seem out of place to have both a cemetery and a crematorium on adjacent land</p>	
Betty Macey	individual	The layout I agree with my Husband	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Andy Tolfrey	individual	The location is currently used by children and adults to play and exercise and walk dogs, houses overlook this site and traffic will increase, I am sure the people that overlook this site would not want to see a burial taking place daily, The Crematorium is out of town so a burial site would make no odds.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs Sharon Stamps	individual	the only concern I have that it is next to the houses on the other side of the road ,but snell hatch has always been in the middle of some houses as far as I can remember .It all depends on wether you live next to it	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Shane Hellawell	individual	The playing field and park is used extensively by the local community, youth football to seniors training and matches, plus dog walkers and fun days. Part of the ground is built over an old farm so there may be issues of what is already buried underneath. The site is in close proximity to various schools and residential. Alternative sites should be considered otherwise local residents from West Green, lfield,	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		Langley Green have to travel further to use green space and parks. Suggest the playing field at Rathlin ROad Broadfield that hasn't been used for football etc for 5 yrs or more be considered. It is close to main road and transport infrastructure would easily accomodate burials for the foreseeable future. Not in close proximately to residential estates.	
Harold Chandler	individual	The playing fields are an essential local amerinty. They are in use from 530am every day by dog walkers, runners and walkers. The fields are also used by a range of youths clubs for football, including children's teams. To replace the fields with a cemetery would be a disgrace. This is a residential area that needs local playing fields. The hgh level of usage of the fields indicate how valuable they are to the local community.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Karen Barrett	individual	the playing fields are used all the time and were would you suggest the children of that part of lfield play??? I suggest you consider part of the land North of the land you refer to as Malden around St Margaret's church which already has people buried in it's church yard or the old school site for lfield Community college (known by people who attended the schools as Lady Margaret and Sarah Robinson schools)	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Sally Adams	individual	The playing fields are vital to keep, especially in such a big & expanding community. It is much more necessary to have fields & play areas for children/adults to enjoy. So many new builds are flat/apartments or very small houses, children need space to run/play etc. A cemetery would not be of a benefit to the local residents (that are living!).	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Martin Hayward	individual	The playing fields should be retained for their current use and a new cemetery sited on the town boundary.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Louise Harris	individual	The site would probably be a good location for a new cemetery especially as it also has the bushes already surrounding the proposed area. It would be a shame though to lose the facility of sports and play over the entire area and I feel it should be considered as to whether part of this area could remain as a playing field.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Mrs Janet Boniface	individual	The siting of a cemetery is always going to be difficult - especially when 'dropped into' an existing neighbourhood. I can imagine that the retirees currently occupying Millfield Court, which overlooks the proposed site, might find its close proximity somewhat challenging. There is a difference between accepting that our lives will come to an end one day and having a constant reminder of that fact. If this site is used, it will require careful planning to make it acceptable to its neighbours. Unfortunately, I cannot think of an alternative!	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Christopher Shackell	individual	The town needs a longer term answer. Outside Crawley.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs Thomas	individual	There are few enough green spaces around and this area is very residential. Probably better to find a place outside town and improve public transport!	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Chris Lockwood	individual	There are few enough sports fields in the town without using this site. Also, I don't think a garden of remembrance should be sited next to the busy A23 - surely a more tranquil site could be found.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Stephen Hill	individual	There are not enough playing fields in Crawley so using one for a cemetery is not an option. I suggest encouraging people to be cremated rather than buried - possibly by taxing burial plots	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Ian Brown	individual	There are not enough public parks and a cemetery is not right to be placed on that site. A better location would be disused office space near the CAA building in Manor Royal.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
D C Stephenson	individual	There are not many open spaces in Ifield and to remove a popular one is not very sensible. Children and adults need open spaces which are free to use for exercise and recreation. The Government	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		is forever citing the increasing obesity of the population so to remove a facility is not a sensible option. The population of Crawley is increasing so we cannot afford to lose our open spaces. To place a cemetery in a residential area is ridiculous, surely it would be more appropriate on the outskirts of the town. It would only serve to devalue property prices and the ability to sell one's property.	cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Joanna Pashley	individual	There is already a major obesity epidemic that needs to be addressed. Taking away the few grounds which enable people to live an active life is short sighted and will lead to an increase in deaths, thus requiring a new cemetery site sooner. Burials outside towns and cities was good enough for the Romans. It should be good enough for us. We simply do not have the space in Crawley for it and it should be moved out of the centre.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mackeyftizsimons	individuals	There is no way this playing field should be used as a cemetery, it is needed by the living, we don't have enough playing fields as it is.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Bob Swanage	individual	There is not enough space in the town to bury people. Preference for the dead over the living is bonkers. If people's religious beliefs mean they have to be buried then they should make private provision for this. I thought there had been separation of church and state a long time ago.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Victoria Clare	individual	There is precious little green area in Ifield - the land at Str Margarets has in the past 25 years been massively developed removing play areas from Children. The land was designated a green area when the town had 50,000 people - now there are over 100,000 surely the last thing we need to do is lose this precious area. It is government policy to make people more active - this field is regularly used for sports by both organised teams, individuals and dog walkers. I also oppose the cemetery here as the area is in relative terms quite small - in a few years you will need to find another area. This will create such a piecemeal approach to this. The Mardens is also often impassable from traffic due to parents collecting children from school or dropping them off, there is also a thriving church which completely fills the road on weekends and family parking here to visit residents at Melville court.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Amanda Madel	individual	There is very little green space left in Crawley. Ewhurst is used by football teams to play on & children play there all the time. Such a popular area im unsure as to why this is even a consideration. Personally i believe an out of town area should be found, if for no other reason but to keep at least a small part of crawley green.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Catherine Chamberlin	individual	There must be somewhere else.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Isabel Lamper	individual	There needs to be playing fields for people to use to encourage a healthy way of living and tackle the obesity problem that seems rife everywhere. Also why should the residents of the residential flats for the retired next to the park have a cemetery to look at. A very insensitive decision by someone. There is plenty of space in Crawley without taking the playing fields. Build less offices that stand empty, What about next to the crematorium?	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Harold Baker	individual	There seems to be a vacant plot of land between the cemetery and Goffs park. Is this not suitable or available for the cemetery without using precious playing fields. I appreciate the need for a new cemetery.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
David Gates	individual	There seems to be an attack on playing fields . There must be more suitable sites for this other than losing another playing field	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs. Barbara Gillett	individual	There should be thought given for those who would prefer a GREEN burial as the nearest field is miles away and getting there defeats the whole E.C.O. ethics	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr L Correa-Mendez	individual	There will be NO areas for our children and grand children to play in, if they keep taking away our green area's	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
ALAN HICKS	individual	THESE PLAYING FIELDS WERE DESIGNATED AS PLAYING FIELDS IN THE 1950'S WHEN CRAWLEY WAS BEGINING. WE HAVE HAD ENOUGH OF OUR OPEN SPACES IN IFIELD BEING TAKEN. OUR FUTURE GENERATION NEEDS OPEN SPACES AND PLAYING FIELDS.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs P Harwood	individual	These fields are used constantly by children and football teams. By placing a cemetery here it would be the first thing you see as you come off the lfield roundabout and also this field regularly floods.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
G Corsini	individual	Think Goffs park would be a better option as it is adjacent to Snell Hatch. Also think it is very insenitive ti site a cemetery in full view of newly erected retirement homes.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs Whitehead	individual	This again [is] another playing field, so I disagree strongly. You have built old people's' apartments by the side of this & I feel this is also disrespectful. They will be able to view the plot they will be buried in!! But basically on a more serious note you can't keep taking the playing fields.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
D Cox	individual	This are is currently used by local people for a number of reasons, Exercise dog walk etc. But more importantly The fields are used by the 2013 Sussex County Girls Football Club the Crawley Wasps Ladies Football club Football is played Wed, Thursday and Sunday. The Crawley Wasps are an excellent advert for Girls Football in Crawley and they consistently achieve well in the FA League football. It would be very unfortunate for this facility to be lost. Keep it for the Living especially the Kids who are happy excising and enjoying life.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Valerie Darnley	individual	This area is too much of a residential area and whilst a cemetary is "quiet" (so to speak) people already living in the area won't want to overlook a cemetary. It is too much of an open area, that is why the area in Langley Walk would be much better, as it is much "greener".	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			Plan process.
Pauline Laver	individual	This area is used by the local community for walking their dogs etc. There is not another play area for children near by.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
GLORIA HICKS	individual	THIS AREA WAS DESIGNATED OVER 50 YEARS AGO AS RECREATION - AND FOR USE OF THE COMMUNITY AS PART OF THE CRAWLEY NEW TOWN AND SHOULD STAY PUT FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS. IF HOUSE BUILDING IN CRAWLEY CONTINUES - ESPECIALLY IFIELD - CONTINUESIT WILL NEED AS MUCH OPEN SPACES AS POSSIBLE.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
S Richards	individual	This field and park floods but most of the time it's used a lot for parents and their children, dog walkers, family picnics, football, rugby - it is in constant use. [Would] be a shame to lose it.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Margaret Baker	individual	This field is in constant use by all ages of people. Families, dog walkers, footballers, school children, shoppers. The site is totally wrong, being in a noisy traffic area. The field floods in winter, due to an ancient pond, and old wells, which used to service the farm cottages.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Samantha Bouglas	individual	This field is in the middle of a built up noisy area and those coming to mourn their loved ones should be able to do so in peace. Also the field is well used and the car park is used as a school car park. This would cause a lot of disruption to the local area twice a day at school pick up and drop off. I would also like you to consider that once are fields have gone that's it there is no coming back from that.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs Cottee	individual	This field is used for sports by all ages and the play ground is used by many families. If you continue to build on all the fields in ifield then you may aswell change its name to Nofields as that's the way it's going. How can we encourage our children to get fit and enjoy the outdoors when you taking away all the local free playing areas.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
John Nower	individual	This green space is used by a lot of young children and should be kept for their use.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs Sharmistha Patel	individual	This is a beautiful play ground and walking area “ don’t spoil the area which is used to play and walk “ we need some area for children and young mums too “ people can be buried outside area. But we need the play area for our children “ next to school you ant to create cemetery? Why? Let this happy area HAPPY!	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Victor John Ockmore	individual	This is a busy site bordered by the A23 and Ifield Road, and does not seem to be a very peaceful and restful site. Also, the residents of the nearby retirement flats will not take kindly to looking at their final resting place each day.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mike Doyle	individual	<p>This is a greenfield site that is in constant use, and serves a large population in both Ifield and West Green. The fields are used daily by local people for many different activities. At the weekend, they are fully used for sporting activities. The closest open space behind Sainsbury’s is used primarily for cricket, and does not have the capacity to take three football pitches.</p> <p>The existing car park is small and would need to be extended massively to cope with a Cemetery and the large number of cars that attend any funeral. The changing rooms which house the toilets are not sufficient for the needs of a cemetery, and the only reason that has been included as a reason is for the plumbing.</p> <p>Local people use local amenities. Crawley was planned as a town with open spaces for people to enjoy. They need to be able to access them by foot and have them situated at the centre of the community. The loss of the fields would increase the number of car journeys in the area as people travelled to other sites.</p> <p>The traffic on Ifield Drive is extremely busy at all times of the day. Introducing slower traffic will cause severe problems for all users. If the car park is not big enough for multiple funerals, the road will become clogged, impassable and dangerous for the local school, whose entrance is near the existing car park.</p> <p>Contrary to the statement in the Plan, the soil and the drainage by the</p>	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>existing Ewhurst play area by the oak trees is horrendous. Every time it rains, the area floods, and large amounts of water leak onto the road leaving every pedestrian with a choice of being sprayed by cars or crossing over the road. The eastern end of the area is most unsuitable for anything other than a football pitch.</p> <p>I believe this site has been chosen due to the limited number of houses adjoining the area in the hope the nobody will object. What you have not taken into account is the number of people who use the area. A much better site could be found closer to the present Crematorium.</p> <p>I note in the Strategy document that the Breezehurst Drive site contains the words "although there is also the option to retain a larger open space for the community to enjoy". It is interesting that the same does not apply to the residents of Ifield and West Green. By using the Ewhurst Playing Fields as a cemetery, you are planning to take away one of the only open spaces between the Town Centre and the western edge of Crawley. This type of space is essential for the well being of the population. You state that if a new site is not found, people will need to be buried outside of the town in the future. I would prefer that option rather than forcing people out of the town to find a green space to enjoy.</p>	
S. Ockmore	individual	This is a little piece of green in an otherwise built up and busy area. It is hardly the serene spot where loved ones should be buried! Definitely an inappropriate proposed siting!!	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Sarah Williams	individual	This is a playing field and i am sure anoth quiet part of town would be more suitable, perhaps the land behind the crematorium. To be that insensitive to the new elderly flats that have just been built and overlook the proposed site, beggers belief. Too close to the schools and relevant parking problems at drop off and coollection times	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Stephan Welch	individual	This is a playing field for the local people, the only one left would then be in Rusper Road which is within the plans for housing. The people of crawley require their own green spaces.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Alexander	individual	This is a playing field? Where will the child be playing when this is	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Robertson Jnr		gone?	provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Miss S		<p>This is a ridiculous proposal, how can the Council even consider this? Are residents supposed to look out of their windows/houses and constantly be reminded of 'dead people'? How is this child friendly? You state there will still be 'some' space for sports but what children want to go and play next to a cemetery? Children will be too frightened to even visit the field! This country already lacks the open playing areas and now you want to take yet more away! This is absolutely ridiculous! You also state that sports will only be able to be played for short term, where are people supposed to go then to walk their dogs, exercise, play sports, enjoy the open space and fresh air once you take over the whole area with a Cemetery which is not welcomed?</p> <p>A Cemetery is looked upon as a dark and some what scary and dull atmosphere and place, why would any resident wish this upon themselves or their families? Residents pay their council taxes not for you to bring this upon them!</p> <p>The area is already congested with traffic and the car park next to the field will only hold up to around 10 cars. What will you bring for the residents when there is a funeral and the street is congested with cars parked on the road, blocking traffic?</p> <p>Has any considered been given towards residents of the area? I believe the answer to this is no!</p>	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
David Clipperton	individual	This is a space regularly used for sports and recreation and should not be used as a cemetery. Local residents need these spaces for their current intended purpose.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Barry Taylor	individual	This is a very important recreational site for families surrounding the area in Ifield, West Green and Langley Green. I am extremely surprised to discover that you are even considering turning this pleasant green space into a Cemetery. I do not believe this can be the only suitable site. Please do not go ahead with this.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs J Stephenson	individual	This is a very popular playinf field with all agfe groups. Local football and rugby teams use this field for training and for matches. families	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>use it for recreational purposes. Walkers and joggers together with dog walkers use this field.</p> <p>Many residents living in the retirement apartments would overlook the proposed cemetery THIS IS EXTREMELY INSENSITIVE AND THE COUNCIL SHOULD HOLD ITS HEAD IN SHAME</p> <p>The suggestion that this field drains well is totally incorrect, this playing field floods badly after heavy rain.</p>	provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Adam Jasko	individual	This is a very well used public space. People walk their dogs, play football, take out their kids and just relax in the park - it is constantly being used by many people. It is also in a built up area. It would upset local residents and users of the park greatly. Finally, the very noisy A23 is right next to it and would make it unsuitable for a cemetery as people prefer silence to mourn and pray for the deceased loved ones - not noisy roads and busy neighbourhoods.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Karen Brown	individual	This is a well used playing field with aprk are, and should not be used.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Sarah Barnes	individual	This is a well used space and should not be designated for a cemetery.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Dorothy Slugocki	individual	This is an area for the living. Many children use this area which should be left for them to play safely.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Jane Leese	individual	This is important playing field and the air ambulance has landed here before. No access to this site from the other cemetery. Surely we should build a cemetery at the crematorium.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
KEVIN	individual	This is just a crazy lazy proposal .Cemeteries do not need to be in a central area but on the outskirts of towns as is our present	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
MCGINTY		<p>Crematorium. Ewhurst Playing fields have been there for the use of the living since the building of Crawley by the New Town commission and to take it away now would be a crime .As for what the local residents & Councillors think of this proposal just wait & See.</p> <p>We object strongly to this proposal and will try to fight it in what ever way we can.</p>	<p>provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.</p>
GILLIAN PITT	individual	<p>THIS IS NOT A GOOD LOCATION FOR A CEMETERY AS IT WOULD BE</p> <p>A) IN A RESIDENTIAL AREA</p> <p>B) THIS WOULD DEPRIVE THE COMMUNITY OF MUCH VALUED RECREATIONAL SPACE - MANY ORGANISATIED SPORTS GROUPS USE THESE PLAYING FIELDS AS DO INFORMAL GROUPS. ALSO USED BY DOG WALKERS</p> <p>C) THE DRAINAGE CONDITIONS ARE NOT GOOD THROUGHOUT - SEVERAL BOGGY AREAS IN WET WEATHER</p> <p>D) ROAD ACCESS IS VERY CONGESTED AT CERTAIN TIMES OF THE DAY</p>	<p>Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.</p>
C	individual	<p>This is not a quiet site, it is surrounded by noise from traffic - children and adults alike use these fields regularly for sport and recreation - surely this is important? another site should be found perhaps the area 'ear marked' in langley green for a travellers site.</p>	<p>Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.</p>
Neil Tyler	individual	<p>This is one of the only playing fields in the imediate area and would be difficult on the younger generation who have fewer and fewer places to play and play sports in a safe environment.</p>	<p>Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.</p>
Michael Simmonds	individual	<p>This is only park in that part of lfield. Also traffic is already a problem on lfield Drive.</p>	<p>Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.</p>
D Stocker	individual	<p>This is recreational ground used by people/too close to housing and limited parking/ too close to school</p>	<p>Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local</p>

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			Plan process.
Peter Bower	individual	<p>This is used as a football pitch not only by sUnday teams but also by coaching and training for both boys and girls to get them taking up a sport. The road access seems extremely dangerous. There is a recently built retireemnt home complex been built in The Mardens and so that will be for some of these elderly residents 'looking onto their final home'</p> <p>The crematorium is out of town and I see no reason why the cemetery hto be built in town. The proximity to Snell Hatch should in my view not be a consideration. Again is there not space adjacent to the Crematorium or on Manor Royal possibly</p>	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Rachel Price	individual	This is used by many dog walkers throughtout the day and lots of children use the fields for the park and football training and matches.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Michelle Holmes	individual	This land is a playing field for many sports and is close to a school. I want my children to carry on enjoying playing in the park. Why not use the buchan park kennel area for a new cemetery	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs G Allan	individual	This land is in the middle of an existing residential area and is currently used as playing fields. I strongly disagree that ANY green space that is STILL available should be LEFT for the purpose that it was indented and for the obese society that we are becoming. There are more suitable sites for a new cemetery - further out of town and maybe near the current crematorium; there is plenty of used land that I am sure could be obtained for such a purpose; NOT someone where in the middle of a residential area!!! We are loosing more and more green space in current residential areas which is NOT acceptable and should be challenged. I do not live in this area but object as this will impact everyone in the short and long term!	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Adam Laver	individual	This land is used by local sports clubs, families and dog walkers. This space should be available for these activities to continue. The closest alternatives are too far away. This park is used by not only lfield residents but as it is on the border of Langley Green and West Green as well, it is used by these residents also. We must do all we can to	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		keep our green areas available for future generations otherwise we will find ourselves with a lot of residents and no space for activities. We do not want an unhealthy town and we should encourage residents to use the fields.	
Steven Carrington	individual	This location has elderly retirement flats near it, I think it would be in bad taste to expect elderly retirees to be overlooking their possible final resting place. The site is too prominent, it would need to be landscaped to shield it from view of residents in area.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
R J Warren	individual	This open space is much valued & used. A cemetery outside of the town is acceptable in the circumstances. Crawley will expand further and a cemetery is a destination most often reached by car - Snell Hatch cannot be said to be well served by public transport.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Rhona	individual	This playing field is in constant use. It makes no sense to move a cemetery here especially since the area gets really boggy during rainy periods. Why not use field park instead? Its close to the cemetery that is there already and is use a lot less often than ewhurst playing fields	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr Peter NASH	individual	This playing field is well used by football teams of both sexes for most of the year. It is one of the few sports areas that has access to a small dressing room and access also to water. It is well positioned as far as access to the roads is concerned. One side of the park is overlooked by Ewhurst Road which has both an old peoples home as well as all of the houses being occupied by elderly people waiting to take up their places in the proposed Cemetery. This is hardly fair considering that many of them have only just moved there. I think we should be looking at other ways of disposing of dead bodies being placed in the soil i.e. Cremation for everyone	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
John Mortimer	individual	This Playing field was considered by the planners of the new town in the 50's to be an important part of Crawley's recreation and open space and has served us well for many years. It is totally unacceptable to deny future generations of young and older people the opportunity of enjoying this playing field for a whole host of recreation activities. To destroy one amenity to provide another is criminal in my view and this crazy idea should be rejected.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Mark Lawford	individual	This provides vital recreation space for sportsmen and women of all ages. It is a cemetery and never should be	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Alison Burke	individual	This should NOT be allowed to happen. The playing fields are widely used and this will displace children and adults that use both the fields and recreational facilities here. Residents will be adversely affected by what is already a very busy junction both from Langley Green and also the roundabout from Gossops, Town Centre and the Industrial Estate. Access into Ifield is already limited with a small mini roundabout. With the development of The Orchards estate and the massive Hindu temple at the other end of Ifield, I suggest that you leave Ifield alone and look at alternate possibilities.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr B Spilling	individual	This shows no consideration for elderly people living next to site	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Dennis Sharpe	individual	This site appears to be well used as a playing field/ leisure area & is too close to residential properties	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Kay Lewis	individual	This site is often used by dog walkers, joggers, football teams and for casual kickabouts. It is also near to a complex for older people and I feel it would be a little insensitive to the residents including those in Ifield Drive and Ardingly Close to have to look across at the cemetery and put up with additional traffic it would bring. Snell Hatch was built before the majority of the houses near to it so people had a choice whether they lived there or not. The fields also acts as a natural noise barrier to the A23 As an alternative East of Brighton Road might be considered for the Cemetery	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Cllr Peter Smith	individual	This site is totally unacceptable to Ifield residents. It takes away a vital green space that is highly used and the only space available to	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		people living in that area without travelling some distance. The site is subject to flooding. The new site should be out of town.	provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Peter James Beckley	individual	This site is totally unsuitable for a cemetery for numerous reasons. Too close to residential areas including retirement homes. Drainage is not good as it becomes boggy during prolonged rainfall. We are losing many green areas already. With government encouraging people to exercise more to combat obesity levels, green spaces like this must be preserved for Crawley's rapidly expanding population. A cemetery should be located outside the town	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs V Smith	individual	This site may last a few years but as it has a defined boundary, it also will run out of space. A site on the outskirts of town with room to enlarge would be a better option.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs P Haworth	individual	This site should be kept as playing fields, It is for the people living in this area, not for the dead. The car park is full every morning and mid afternoon during term times. You do not state where you intend to start an this project, the car park and toilets may not be bear the proposed cemetery. Traffic access to Ifield Avenue from Ifield Drive would be more difficult than it is now and at Ifield Rounabout. The soil must be similar at West Green field, it us nearer to Snell Hatch, access would be better and i understabd tgat ut us sekdiwb used unlike Eh=whuirst which is used all year by groups of organised children. If this site isnt suitable it should be out of town as the crematorium is. it is very insensitive to have a cemeter next to a retirement black and will have an adverse effect on the value of Millfield Court flats	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs White	individual	This site would cause concern for the elderly care residents and so un fair. Also schools near by would suffer as the traffic builds up when delivering children and collection them and if funerals were taking place as well which of course sadly is always busy then it would just be chaotic. I am quite sure there is land around to that could be a better location.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Stephen Baynes	individual	This space should be used for housing the living not the dead. Move the existing graves where they are no longer tended and reuse them.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Alison Shackell	individual	this would only be a short tem solution and therefore not worth the loss of such a well used playing area. the council need to look outside of crawley in conjunction with another authority for a longer term option.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
H patel	individual	Those field. Are used buy the public as recreation area. A new site would be considerate outside of town	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr B Patel	individual	To many recreation grounds are being taken away!!!!	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Victor Ockmore	individual	Too busy around that area	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
N Stocker		Too close to housing/ parking issues/ school children would prefer to walk in a recreation ground/playing field	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Michael Woodings	individual	Too small a site and too near a roundabout always congested with traffic	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
family Carvajal Hickmet	individual	Totally against the original ideas of Crawley New Town when it was built. This is green land for children and people to enjoy. How can you build a cemetery for only next 50 years???? And right	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		beside a main road..... Why not build a cemetery outside Crawley???	Plan process.
Mr M Platt	individual	Totally the wrong plae, between the A23, lfield Drive, lfield Avenue, a Junior School and retirement homes overlooking.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Barbara Bower	individual	Traffic access & road safety. Parking. The car park is used by sports people, people visiting the Mardens old people's flats, parents taking and collecting children from the school and also people attending the church. Loss of sporting facilities particularly for youngsters. It's not very nice for old people to have to sit in their flats and overlook a cemetery. The cemetery doesn't need to be near Snell Hatch - the Crematorium isn't.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Rosemary and Kenneth Charles Benn	individual	Traffic congestion very bad being major access from A23, linking ifield to town centre, airport, etc. Also originally a pond was located in this field and drainage is not good in bad weather. Field used by local residents for recreation and children for sport activites. Unfortunately location adjacent to newly build Milfireld Court housing elderly residents who need exercise facility and pleasent environment for health of well beinf both mental and physical. A cemetery on this plot would be an eyesore, depressive and totally unsitable from all angles	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
B Patel	individual	Traffic issues, already traffic congestion in that area. This has again increased due to new housing. Next to temple and access to airport should be outside town	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Paul Ballard	individual	Unsuitable land, access is poor, it's not quiet, bad drainage. In use by football clubs, dog walkers, walkers and people enjoying green open space.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Christine Watts (a resident for 60 years)	individual	Unsuitable location next to Retirement Homes and playing field. We already have a crematorium, why encourage more land usage.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
John Garwood	individual	Use money to buy land on the edge of the borough for a cemetery instead of loaning it to other boroughs.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Tina Wort	individual	Using playing fields would mean less space for community sports, football, green areas and cemeteries can only be used for a short space of time before another one would have to be found.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs Alexandra C Page	individual	Using up another green space where children play is not the answer. Buy a plot out of the town big enough to last 100 years or more - Snell Hatch will have served for 90 years when it is closed. Looking at the short term is false economy.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Baker	individual	Valuable playing field. Used more since Ladies Wash and Junior moved onto site. Also used by small groups for play. Families organize games there. Walkers, runners, picnickers and people just enjoying the open space. Car park not suitable at least twice a day overflowing with school cars. Staff and visitors use it plus visitors to Millfield Court. Toilets not suitable. Already heavy traffic on Ifield Drive. Drainage not suitable play area floods as this used to be an old pond when it was farm land. Being near Snell Hatch is not relevant and is some distance if using a car. Not an appropriate site in the middle of a residential area and with A23 on one side.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Sandra Coughlan	individual	Very close to town centre doesn't somehow seem the right place. Bradfield Kennels would be a better option. Peaceful location near the park. Seems more respectful and not too far on edge of Crawley. Subject to land suitability.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Aurora lula	individual	Very insensitive of the planning people to put a cemetery near old	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		people retirement home not enough space to solve the problem in years to come (snell hatch can be extended as the original plan provided in goff park as was originally intended why the change now?)	being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Tony Soper	individual	Very noisy due to being surrounded by main roads, not exactly a peaceful place to rest!	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Lauren O'Sullivan	individual	View from my house is entirely of the playing fields "one of the main reasons I bought the property. By building a cemetery on this land you will be forcing me and my family out of our home and devaluing my property in the process, parking is already an issue on my street (The Mardens) with the Church and primary school and a cemetery will only ass to congestion. With the underpass across the street we have also been victims of anti-social behaviour and a cemetery will only attract more " especially in the evenings. I have worked hard to afford a special home that will see my family grow up in and wont stand for the council to force me out as a deflated price. The playing fields are used daily by me and my family as well as the local community. Please honour your commitment to project open space for future generations to come. Look after the community and protect our playing fields.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs J Frost, on behalf of ifield Village Conservation Area Advisory Committee (IVCAAC)	representative	We are reluctant to see another recreational area go, but appreciate the lack of space in the town. We suppose that the proposed site could provide an open space for wild life providing it is appropriately planted. Alternatively, has CBC thought of using a site within the high noise envelope of Gatwick? It might not be appreciated by those who like to visit graves of loved ones in tranquil surroundings - but is worth consideration.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
C.F.Farrow	individual	we cannot afford to lose more playing fields.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Barry Moody	individual	We cannot lose anymore playing fields people should be educated to use the crematorium.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs Samantha Shelford	individual	We currently have a few recreational areas in Cawley (if you don't build houses on them!) so extending a cemetery so local residence can be laid to rest is a good idea.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Lorraine Clipperton	individual	We have very limited open spaces in Crawley as it is, you are taking away more green fields and building on every available space. You should encourage people to be cremated rather than buried. If people want to be buried it should be made an expensive option. Why let dead people take up the space that living people should be using.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Pieter Classens	individual	We live in this area, our children walk past the park to school every morning. This would be a tragedy and also take away the park they love to play in!	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr & Mrs J W Grange	individual	We need a new cemetery.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Jill Garwood	individual	We need green space for the living. We encourage people to get fitter. Do you only mean those that can afford to join a gym and fill the pockets of private commerce. Playing fields should be protracted by the council, for us. Which 'person' even thought of threatening our playing fields. Now they've been listed well have this battle every ten years or so. Well done! Obviously this person hasn't seen why Crawley has its green spaces. We do not want to be another London!	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Karen Marshall	individual	We need space for the living. The dead should be cremated	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Robert Stride	individual	We need to keep our playing fields and green spaces why cant a new cemetery be housed on site 12 near to motorway.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
George O'Keefe	individual	We need to make provision for our town's future, the playing field is barely used and those who do use it can use the lfield Playing field off of Rusper Road.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Ann	individual	We need to retain as much parkland and green space as possible and no one wants to live next to a cemetary so why stick it in the middle of housing. Land near lfield Church would be better. An alternative to Ewhurst would be the park between TownMead and Sainsburys. It affects less houses and has a green buffer of trees around it making it less obtrusive.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Noel Jemma	representative	We need to think about the needs of the living rather tha the dead. Where will youngsters and adults play sport, if there are no playing fields left!	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs Karen Smith	individual	We object to the current proposal at Ewhurst PLAYING FIELDS as it is again taking away our local (very much used) playing field. Reasons we gave previously on this questionnaire regarding using playing fields apply. Location wise this proposal is also in our opinion unsuitable: Sandwiched in between a very busy dual carriage way and a very busy residential road, plus at one end a block of retirement homes (lovely view it would be for them?). Not a very peaceful and private cemetery it would be.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Sally Trigwell	individual	We seem to be taking all the children's play areas away. We need to leave them space to play and have a large space in which they can do this.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Dorothy Madel	individual	we take our grandchriden over to play also their dog can go as well so we dont want it on our doorstep,i live i jackdaw close which is just through the woods what is wrong with land by the crem.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Nicole Addley	individual	What about Tinsley Lane - it would make a wonderful cemetery.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Patricia Chalk	individual	What an awful place to have a cemetery right on a very busy road and so near the A23. Cannot believe you are even considering putting one there. It should be a restful place and lfield Drive is anything but. People drive like lunatics on that road.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Paul Field	individual	What is wrong with cremation, areas need to be keep for living people to enjoy.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr & Mrs J. Mamo	individual	Whay take away playing fields away from children which they have somewhere to play to keep them out of trouble	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Kayleigh Nash	individual	Where are kids going to play, in the road?	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Lauren Milan Ritchie	individual	Where will children play or train for activities if this will be used for other proirities/ Where will children play? encouraging them to stay indoors?	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Andrew Sander	individual	While the need for a larger cemetery is fully understood, the Ewhurst Playing Fields may not be the best choice in my opinion. The area is surrounded by busy roads which so not make for a peaceful setting. On the contrary, the ear north of Langley Green is vast and a for more peaceful environment for mourners to visit. Further, the traffic volumes would not exceed what the area could handle, with minor adjustments.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Tyna Campbell	individual	Whilst I feel it would be a great shame to loose such a decent area of "free space" which is regularly used by a great many member of the community, I do agree that the need for another cemetery does need to be addressed for obvious reasons.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Robert Vygus	individual	Why not situate the new cemetery in the new Forge Wood development? The cemetery will be close to the crematorium and may therefore encourage cremations rather than burials, which would relieve pressure to find burial grounds in future. People who move into the new neighbourhood would know from the outset that they will be living next to the facility.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr S Chauhan	individual	With a school not very far from this location would cause parking and congestion probles to an already busy area. Additionally, it's rather odd the land identified happens to be directly next door to Retirement homes! Should consideration be given to locate this on the outskirts of Crawley?	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs H Chauhan	individual	With a school not very far from this location would cause parking and congestion probles to an already busy area. Additionally, it's rather odd the land identified happens to be directly next door to Retirement homes! Should consideration be given to locate this on the outskirts of Crawley?	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Tracy Leachman	individual	With more houses being built in the town, it is definitely not a good idea to be taking green space away, as loads more children are needing use of green space. It allows for better community, rather than a cemetery! The school near the proposed sight already causes parking issues in the peak times of the day. With the new houses built on Friston Walk, that has already caused more traffic along these roads. I don't see the relevance to the comment 'It is also close to Snell Hatch Cemetery'. Why do the dead 'need' to be buried near each other? It is also very insensitive having a cemetery so near to a	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.

Q.13 Please use the space below to make any comments or raise any concerns about the proposal for the new cemetery			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		retirement home. Land to the rear of Rusper Road should be considered.	
Helen price	individual	Would think there would be an awful lot of traffic disruption , and not fair to the young children on their way to school to see a cemetery	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
MR D R Thomas	individual	YES & NO!! I think council should look at very carefully re: people living at properties already there. What cost to THEM.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Hurley	individual	yes BUT only if other playing fields are enhanced in lfield - playing field facilities must not be reduced - it is not the way to go. Also - consideration should be given to any residents who may suffer, eg views of the cemetery from their houses, or a drop in property value. The new cemetery should be landscaped in a way that there is a border of high shrubs and trees on the lfield side. Compensation should be given if it can be proved property values have dropped.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Gareth Flemington	individual	Yet another Playing Field to be taken away from the youth of Crawley	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
S Broomfield	individual	Yet more green space ruined!	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.

Questionnaire Space 4:Q.20 Should the Local Plan seek to protect any other open public space within the town?

Q.20 Should the Local Plan seek to protect any other open public space within the town?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Clarke-Jones	individual	The playing fields of west Bewbush should be protected as lot of properties near are flats and maisonettes and have no garden space. There is an abundance of wildlife including badgers foxes bats and various bird and insects species. Desecrating this last green area would be criminal and detrimental to the neighbourhood and just add to the urban ghetto sprawl making life here even more miserable and depressing.	<p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (Such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.</p>
Cantlay	individual	<p>1) Grattons playing fields and adjacent green fields east of railway line.</p> <p>2) Milton Mount and surrounding lake and parkland.</p> <p>3) Woodland to the west and south of the Hawth Theater.</p> <p>4) Woodland to the west of the A23 near K2 and south of the Stadium & Highwood Park road.</p>	As above
Mr & Mrs J W Grange	individual	<p>A) Ensure the land around Worth church remains free from building</p> <p>B) Keep Pound Hill playing fields free development.</p>	As above
Zena Ward	individual	Absolutely these green spaces are an asset to the town. It is already built up in many areas and we should strive to keep our green spaces for future generations to enjoy.	As above
Robert Vygus	individual	Adjacent Willoughby Fields across to Cherry Lane, in Langley Green. This would help create and preserve at least some kind of green corridor and space for nature in the advent of Airport expansion or other development.	As above
Kelly	individual	All allotment sites should be protected.	As above

Q.20 Should the Local Plan seek to protect any other open public space within the town?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Roland Davies	individual	All current allotments. Also consider designating any spare sites for use as allotments. These are beneficial to local community cohesion.	As above
Carole Avery	individual	All green spaces are important in any town & should be protected where possible.	As above
Sylvia Handy		My interest in green spaces relates to the need for relief from the hard landscaping of roads, houses, and cars. We need the soft landscaping of shrubs, trees and grass. In Tilgate we are tightly packed, mainly in terraces without garages, with narrow roads which are choked with parked vehicles. The green spaces we have between rows of houses are special and valued, giving us a green lung - good to see and good to breathe. One such space is Ely Close field, and I would like to suggest that as a Local Green Space. The recent campaign to save it from development was supported by 360 people. This field has been used as a recreation space since the 1950s, and as a safe place for children to play under the watchful eye of their parents. It is surrounded by houses, so it is in very close proximity to the community, it is special because of its recreational value and tranquillity, and it is local in character and not extensive. The NPPF Local Green Space designation should be used to protect land like this. Please include Ely Close field in the Local Plan.	As above
Mrs Alexandra C Page	individual	All of our town's open public spaces should be protected for future generations to enjoy for years to come. Even though Crawley is a large town, the initially well thought out development plan from the 50's ensured future populations would have lots of recreational areas to enjoy, lets preserve them and not risk a housing block on every corner!	As above
Sheila Hill	individual	All open spaces should be kept. There are plenty of brown spaces that could be used.	Protection of open space will be through: Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance. Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)

Q.20 Should the Local Plan seek to protect any other open public space within the town?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities. All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.
John Garwood	individual	All parks and open spaces should be given protection status.	As above
Wendy	individual	All parks should be protected	As above
Carol Ann Hill	individual	All playing fields	As above
Derek Hill	individual	All playing fields.	As above
Yuonne Gander	individual	Any open public space needs protection for congregation alone.	As above
Patricia Chalk	individual	Any open space that gives people pleasure should be left for the community to enjoy.	As above
Keir Fuller	individual	Any other space would be acceptable as well as the Ifield Brook Meadows area.	As above
Mr Wilsdon	individual	Any small greens [illegible] as at Ely Close in Tilgate and areas in other estates must be kept for recreational needs of its local people as its lungs, and for the needs of our children to play in safely.	As above
Lynette Satti	individual	As many open spaces as possible should be protected	As above
Lyn Jackson	individual	As per my previous comments regarding Bewbush playing fields, I believe that these should be protected for the reasons I previously explained.	As above
Kevin Berry	individual	As well as protecting this area, the area adjacent to Buchan Park should be brought inside the umbrella of Buchan park for its future protection and development to enhance the environment for the species that inhabit and visit the area.eg Nightjar on the RSPB red status.	As above
Edward Punsin	individual	Beautiful and interesting Historic park and gardens and necessary for 1. people to go to for relaxation and meditation and to be associated with history while being close to nature 2. to combat pollution	Protection of open space will be through: Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.

Q.20 Should the Local Plan seek to protect any other open public space within the town?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		3. tourist attraction	<p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.</p>
Sarah parsons	individual	Because it is the original part of crawley	As above
Carlie Gould	individual	Beuatiful place. A real jewel in Crawley's crown.	As above
Gary Shepherd	individual	Bewbush West Playing Fields as they are important to local community as stated previously.	As above
Elizabeth Ongley	individual	<p>Bewbush West Playing Fields should be protected because it is one of the few open spaces available for children living in the surrounding area. Many of the properties that face on to the fields do not have gardens so it is used by many local children and it is essential to enable them to live an healthy and active childhood. Without this space they will be left significantly disadvantaged. The area is used by local football teams and is a key area for dog walkers.</p> <p>Bewbush West playing fields should also be protected because it will be the only green space separating Crawley from the massive Kilnwood Vale development.</p>	As above
Dean Ongey	individual	Bewbush West Playing Fields should be protected because it is the only green space separating Bewbush West from the Kilnwood Vale development and it is essential for the children in the area. It is widely used by local residents and is key to the local environment,	As above
Miss burtenshaw	individual	Bewbush west playing fields, it has been left as a locally important green space since I moved this way over 20 years ago, used by children, dog walkers, bridle paths, etc. and I believe it should be left this way for our children's children!!	As above

Q.20 Should the Local Plan seek to protect any other open public space within the town?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
David Soldini	individual	<p>Breezehurst Drive playing field should be protected, and greater use encouraged. Bewbush is seen as one of the less desirable areas of Crawley and the removal of this green space will significantly add to the poor perception of the area.</p> <p>A suitable compromise would be to protect the western of the two fields and develop the back corner of the fields the other side of the football pitch. Bewbush already has issues with youth disorder and low-level anti-social behaviour, the removal of this open area completely is likely to both increase this problem and push it further into the residential estates or focus it around the shops in Dorsten Square.</p>	As above
Michael Wade	individual	Breezehurst Drive playing fields. This is the only open playing fields for the Bewbush neighbourhood.	As above
Francesc Scott	individual	Breezehurst Playing Fields and Bewbush West Playing fields should be designated as a Local Green Space as they provide access to Buchan Park and the countryside to the West of Crawley.	As above
Dennis Pope of Nathaniel Lichfield & Partnes on behalf of British Airways	representative	British Airways would object to the designation of any protected open space, in the vicinity of Gatwick Airport, which would prevent any future prospect of airport expansion. This would include the potential for the creation of an additional runway.	<p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.</p>
Terry Stanley	individual	Broadfield Brook and Pond area. This is an important site of nature conservation with distinctive vegetation and wildlife. Local legend maintains that buried far beneath the site are Roman remains and artefacts. This would suggest that it might also be a site of historic importance.	<p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013)</p>

Q.20 Should the Local Plan seek to protect any other open public space within the town?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities. All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.
Brian Wharton	individual	Broadfield meadows and playing fields along the A23 and between the football stadium and the Horsham road. This area has already lost the play centre to flats and a large area of open space to the football stadium. Broadfield is an area with 12,000 plus people, is not affluent, but is blessed with open spaces. In addition, it has a large percentage of younger people. Broadfield greatest asset, by far is its open spaces. The open space along the A23 also provides a buffer zone between the busy A23 and housing in Broadfield.	As above
Miss Margaret Florey	individual	Broadfield Park - where the discovery school is and lake Braodfield Conservation area with the duck pond and with the wood where bleubells grown and including the playing fields.	As above
Charlotte	individual	Broadfield Park and Rathlin Road playing fields are important to young people because they give them somewhere to meet up with friends without "intimidating" other people at places like the barton.	As above
Mrs Gillian Burley	individual	Broadfield Park. Lovely park used by the local community that are unable to get to Buchan Park or Tilgate park.	As above
Andrew Baldwin	individual	Broadfield Park/playing fields. Target Hill Broadfield. Land around Buchan Park and adjacent area.	As above
Jenny Heywood	individual	Buchan Park	As above
Charlotte Louise Knights	individual	Buchan Park, and the playing fields which are currently used for Crawley FC... These fields and Buchan park play a monumental importance for those in bewbush, as it is a big big open green space where children can play, families can have picnics, dogs can be walked, sport can be played here and it is easily accesible by bus service... Every green space in our town should be protected, Buchan, Tilgate, Goffs.. all of the playing fields... everything. Stop taking away our green spaces and start protecting them!	As above

Q.20 Should the Local Plan seek to protect any other open public space within the town?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Andrea Giffen	individual	Burley wood should be protected as it homes many species of trees, plants & wildlife. It is an area greatly used by the local poundhill community, this includes families with young children as a play/walk area, dog walkers, horse riders & cyclists where old & young come to meet socially. The surrounding area is already highly populated with no other green area.	As above
Samantha	individual	Burleys wood is used everyday, whatever the weather. People drive specifically to walk their dogs here. Sometime, its full if picnics, winter and snowfall encourages children to play outside. Having this area creates s community feel, everyone stops to talk to each other. A friendly neighbourhood is a safer neighbourhood.	As above
family Carvajal Hickmet	individual	cherry lane playing fields and all the adventure playgrounds	As above
Mr P Kozel	individual	Cherry Lane playing Fields should be protected from any future development.	As above
Berry	individual	Children need open spaces to play and learn about nature.	As above
Felicia Hewitt	individual	Children need space to play in a safe environment, outside of their homes. How many new homes will be allocated to European families. It should not be the responsibility of Crawley rate payers. Government should look out for its own citizens first!	As above
Sharon Harris	individual	Close to my heart is the Ely Close, Tilgate green space, one of a couple of green lungs that make crawley a special place. This space has been enjoyed for many years by many residetns, some as far back as when this area was first developed. These green lungs were left for a reason for the enjoyment and health of the residents. It is still enjoyed to this day - a community has grown closer again dueto the threat of development - this lung is even more special now. Although personally I did not take this space for granted, I enjoy it every day - we as a community have really pulled together and the bonds & relationships now formed have made this space even more precious.	As above
Richard W Symonds		Comment written on page for removing two Designations: Q18 It is too close to lfield Brook Meadows which is to be designated a local green space and hopefully later la local nature reserve. We need to preserve such areas in close proximity to area valued by the	As above

Q.20 Should the Local Plan seek to protect any other open public space within the town?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		community. The area west of Ifield Brook Meadows should also be designed as a local Green space (ie land within the marked "landscape character edges"™) This will allow Ifields Brook Meadow to "breathe"™ as a local green space and also as a local nature reserve at a later date.	
B Patel	individual	Crawley is a green town and over the years. We are losing all our space to housing. Green space should b preserved as much as possible	As above
Robert Jurgielewicz	individual	Crawley is very scarce for new residential space, however we can not allow to plaster every bit of green with concrete. Council should find other ways than just on expense of existing residents. Let's not change Crawley into another Croydon. I wouldn't have to drive outside of Crawley with my family to enjoy open green spaces, I hope.	As above
CLLR STEPHEN JOYCE	representative	DON'T KNOW	As above
Sylvia Handy	individual	Ely Close field in Tilgate has been a recreation space since the 1950s. It is valued by local people as a green lung, a space to enjoy both visually and actively. Over 360 people supported the recent campaign to save it from development. It is surrounded by houses, and so is very close to the community, it is special by virtue of its recreational value and tranquillity, and it is a small, local space. People moving to Crawley are attracted by the green spaces, trees and parks. With Tilgate's congested, narrow roads, Ely Close field is one of the green spaces we need for the quality of life of future generations.	As above
Dr Ivan Clout	individual	Ewhurst Place playing field used for football & children's play area. Only space available Lower East Ifield & West Langley Green.	As above
Karen Barrett	individual	Ewhurst playing fields - used by local people all the time and no other nearby open space for children to play on.	As above
Neil	individual	Ewhurst Playing fields, Ifield.	As above
Rick Gilder	individual	Furnace Green playing fields and all other playing feilds	As above
Mark Lawford	individual	Goffs Park should also rehouse Crawley CC. The club has lots its	As above

Q.20 Should the Local Plan seek to protect any other open public space within the town?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		identity since moving away	
D McDermid	individual	Gratton Fields	As above
Gillian Nower	individual	green space behind ashdown drive,nash road,whittington road and chantrey road.I feel that this space should remain as it is so that my grandchildren and other children who live around it have space to play.This is very important in view of the obesity problem which we are faced with at present.It is also important for the existing green spaces to left as was orinally intended as breathing spaces amongst the houses	As above
Jill Garwood	individual	Green space is important to the health and wellbeing of Crawley residents, we can't keep losing it. Most of the larger schools have already lost their sports fields, we can't keep surrounding the youth of this town with concrete. Part of my housing needs should have been met with the building of the new estate between Faygate and Bewbush. Who made the decision to take responsibility for it but not to insist that ensure Crawley's housing needs were catered for, not just Horshams. Not a very good move. And where were the political moves to insist that the developers stuck to their remit for affordable homes? I also question the number of those questionnaires being made available to local residents.	As above
Paul	individual	Green space is important to the town and building here would destroy this for the footballer, dog walkers, walkers, kids playing and the history of the area	As above
Ralph Burton	individual	Greenbelt in Langley Green. This area of town doesn't enjoy parks and the existing area near the playing fields provides the only buffer between this area and the airport that should be preserved as a place for local residents and walkers to enjoy some recreational peace and tranquility	The area outside the built up area boundary is the rural/urban fringe. Crawley's compact nature and attractive setting including accessible countryside for recreation is sought (see CH9 and CH11)
Paul Stride	individual	Historic Land, Road network too busy now and wouldn't be able to handle more traffic. Play area.	Protection of open space will be through: Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance. Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013)

Q.20 Should the Local Plan seek to protect any other open public space within the town?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities. All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.
CHARLES CRANE	individual	I AM OPPOSED TO ANY DEVELOPMENT ON I FIELD BROOK MEADOWS OR RUSPER ROAD (OR ANY OTHER OPEN SPACE, PARK, GREEN ETC). HOWEVER, I DOUBT THAT A SPACE BEING DESIGNATED AS A LOCAL GREEN SPACE PROTECTS IT FROM DEVELOPMENT. FOR HOW LONG IS THIS PROTECTION GRANTED - FOR EVER? BUCHAN PARK IS A COUNTRY PARK, BUT IT DIDN'T STOP THEM BUILDING A DUAL CARRIAGEWAY THROUGH IT. I PROPOSE THAT THERE SHOULD BE NO MORE DEVELOPMENT ANYWHERE IN CRAWLEY	As above
David Bevan	individual	I believe the playing fields in Furnace Green parallel to the railway line, this is an important space for the residents of both furnace green and maidenbower.	As above
John Sander		I disagree that this area should have housing, but, what about a cemetery? (Ifield Brook Meadows) Open space to be protected is land / fields from Langley Walk to Bonnets Lane, to include Willoughby Fields, there are deer, foxes, rabbits & rare bats and many birds, wood peckers, See PC Wildlifephotography@yahoo.co.uk/pennyseverythingnature This young lady has photographic evidence of bats. There are many wild flowers & insects, in these meadows that are just going to be lost of developed on.	Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible. Protection of open space will be through: Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance. Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities. All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.
Mrs Lesley Cave	individual	I feel very strongly that we should keep any GREEN AREAS in the Town, we are in danger of becoming a complete concrete jungle.	Protection of open space will be through:

Q.20 Should the Local Plan seek to protect any other open public space within the town?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		There are other areas in the Town the Industrial Estate has been laid bare Thales and Edwards for example could be used for housing.	<p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.</p>
Chris Oakley	individual	I have issues with goff park the majority by the child play area is very pretty and worthy of protection on the other hand you house probation services in one of the most beautiful houses in crawley. the 'triangle' is frquented by drug users and should be closed off from the public (this is within goff park) the areas off rusper road shouldnt be built on for flood reason in my view however this is not to say in the future they shouldnt be therefore we shouldnt protect it..	Comments noted
Lynne McDonald	individual	I live right next to the lake in Bewbush and think we are so very lucky to have such a beautiful, green and woodland space. The wildlife is wonderful and the whole area is enjoyed by young and old alike, so this area needs to be protected and continue to be maintained.	<p>Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.</p> <p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.</p>
Carina Anane-Dumfeh	individual	I think all the fields, parks and open spaces in Crawley should be left alone or improved (adding more wildlife) otherwise the town would	Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.

Q.20 Should the Local Plan seek to protect any other open public space within the town?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		become too polluted and that would have a negative impact on residents health.	<p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.</p>
Deborah Easton	individual	I think it is important we keep the look of Crawley with open areas available.	<p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.</p>
Lorraine Clipperton	individual	I think some of this space should be used, but not all of it.	As above
Sharon Ottley	individual	I think that all current public spaces should be protected otherwise Crawley will lose it's green lungs and become too built up. At the moment Crawley does look green and with huge sites being developed into new neighbourhoods on the edge of town the public green spaces are becoming more and more important.	As above
Colin Hayler	individual	I think we should always do our best to protect our green spaces after 56 years living in Crawley I ahve seen many changes on balance we	As above

Q.20 Should the Local Plan seek to protect any other open public space within the town?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		as a town are slowly running down. We desperately need all our green spaces to breathe once gone we can't bring them back and we owe it to those following on to leave something we should be proud of.	
David Woodfall	individual	If it isn't already protected, then Ifield Millpond (including the Gossops Green side) should be protected.	As above
Mrs Doreen Carter	individual	Ifield Brook Meadow is a beautiful part of old Ifield and enjoyed by young and old and nothing must be built on this site	As above
Peter Townend	individual	Ifield Brook Meadows is unsuitable for housing due to frequent flooding. The area supports a wide variety of wildlife	No housing is proposed on this area. Please see above for other comments.
Marion Haim	individual	Ifield Mill pond and lakes in bewbush/gossops green area	As above
Jacqueline Gould	individual	Important conservation of wildlife.	<p>Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.</p> <p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.</p>
Valerie Darnley	individual	it is important to maintain the equilibrium between developing areas and maintaining enough open spaces for everybody to enjoy	<p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p>

Q.20 Should the Local Plan seek to protect any other open public space within the town?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			<p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.</p>
Rebekah Abbott	individual	it is important to protect for wildlife even small areas left for wildlife are crucial for helping butterflies bird etc In crawley it seems its build build no matter if historic buildings are involved or wildlife instead of building more hotels etc this land should be used for housing more enviromental consideration required	Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.
Claire Tomsett	individual	It is very rare nowadays to find protected areas of open space in busy towns. This is a good opportunity for crawley to take a stand and be proud of these areas and encourage the commuity to be proud of them too.	<p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.</p>
Dennis Sharpe	individual	It seems obvious from your proposal to remove Burleys wood from the historic sites that you are already planning to resurrect the plan to extend Coronet Close to an even greater extent than the plan submitted, opposed & rejected in 2000. This area is extensively used by the local population & should not be altered.	<p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate</p>

Q.20 Should the Local Plan seek to protect any other open public space within the town?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			level of protection.
Terence Green	individual	It would be good if we could protect these open spaces, but housing people takes priority. This is why I would like to see more high rise buildings as it is a more efficient use of the land.	Comments noted
Jen	individual	Its best to keep some green land we never get it back when it gets taken away. Please Keep our Play parks!	As above
Marc Burton	individual	Keep any green area in Langley Green as such. Don't spoil existing remaining green belt between residential area and Gatwick airport.	The area outside the built up area boundary is the rural/urban fringe. Crawley's compact nature and attractive setting including accessible countryside for recreation is sought (see CH9 and CH11). n.b the area between Gatwick and Crawley is not designated as green belt land as defined in the NPPF.
Miss J Howick	individual	Keep the Memorial Garden.	Comment noted. It is proposed to designate Memorial Gardens as a Historic Park.
John Nower	individual	land at the rear of the garages and the childrens playpark at the end of Chichester Close Tilgate. This site has already been rejected by the Council as being suitable for development and I feel that the adverse effects on the already chaotic egress and parking on Chichester close, Canterbury road Winchester road and Durham close would be totally unacceptable, especially if access via Chichester close was considered. It would make the entrance to Desmond Anderson School even more dangerous. It would completely alter the ambience of Chichester close. If any of the present garages were to be demolished it would make the parking situation in the surrounding roads completely unacceptable. I sincerely hope that this green space will be protected under the Local Plan	Protection of open space will be through: Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance. Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities. All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.
Andrew Towner	individual	Land north of Langley Walk, Burlands is a strategic gap between the existing residents and Gatwick Airport. Significant noise and air pollution is already a major consideration and in view of potential addition of a further runway, this area should suffer the threat of no further development as it has already suffered enough	The area outside the built up area boundary is the rural/urban fringe. Crawley's compact nature and attractive setting including accessible countryside for recreation is sought (see CH9 and CH11). The site is not identified as an Air Quality Management Area. The relationship between development and noise is considered in detail through the Local Plan.
Peter Lashwood	individual	Langley Green green area should be protected to keep the wildlife and to keep it for the local community to walk and enjoy as there are	Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.

Q.20 Should the Local Plan seek to protect any other open public space within the town?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		public right of ways in the area.	
Mrs N Brandon	individual	Langley Walk should be protected. Encourages walkers. Mature trees provide cover for airport noise and pollution. Thriving wildlife and plant life populations. Ewhurst playing fields - next nearest green space to the town. West Green Park - original part of the new town.	<p>Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.</p> <p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.</p>
Tracy	individual	<p>Maybe a smaller area of the site could be used!</p> <p>All areas that are green should be protected by the council and the council should fight the government on this issue. The working people of this town need green areas to spend their leisure time.</p>	<p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.</p>
Hurley	individual	More should be done to protect what little there is left of the old part of IFIELD. The land previously used for the social club - opposite The Gables Nursing Home in Ifield Green should be purchased and developed as a recreation ground to replace that used for the new cemetery.	<p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>This may involve enhancing the quality of existing open spaces and facilities</p>

Q.20 Should the Local Plan seek to protect any other open public space within the town?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			to encourage greater use of them.
Connor Gould	individual	My favourite place. It needs some tlc. I love feeding the ducks, the heron and other birds are interesting.	<p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.</p>
John Cooban	individual	New Town neighbourhood planned spaces e.g. Rye Ash? Punch Copse? Crossways? Northgate Avenue? Allotment sites? Space between College and Priors Walk? What about Haslett Ave East / Tilgate Drive plantations? Hawth Woods? etc.	<p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.</p>
Sarah Williams	individual	No, most of it has been built on	Comment noted
M Abbott	individual	Northgate Park	<p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013)</p>

Q.20 Should the Local Plan seek to protect any other open public space within the town?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			<p>and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.</p>
Gwendaline Berry	individual	Open spaces are very important for all residents when walking in these places it gives a feeling of enjoyment and freedom. For sick people it is important for their development and getting well especially people who are depressed and we know more people are stressed and depressed now. Walk in open areas and fresh air is so important. Also for all health reasons where are people going to walk? Crawley parks and gardens are one of the best kept secrets of Crawley. Do not loose them, build elsewhere!	As above
A Fairbank	individual	Personally all open spaces should be protected and not filled with every opportunity with house and flats	As above
H Berry	individual	Please build more cycleway so I can enjoy open spaces	Policy ENV1 Green Infrastructure encourages enhancements by maintaining and extending green links (including cycleways) as party of development proposals.
Ward	individual	Please keep the open land around Worth Church free from any development. Please ensure that Pound Hill playing fields are never built on.	<p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.</p>
Smith	individual	Please please protect our parks and woodlands	As above

Q.20 Should the Local Plan seek to protect any other open public space within the town?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Mr Richard A Page	individual	Preserve all of our town's open spaces!	As above
Jane Leese	individual	Protect Rathlin Road playing fields	As above
David Roskilly - for Friends of Goffs Park	representative	<p>Q16. Friends of Goffs Park particularly welcome the proposed designation of historic park and garden. Through museum contacts we know that the park has served as a research topic for both undergraduate, post graduate studies our history walks in the park are always well supported.</p> <p>Q14 & 15 We would like to ensure that the pond area shown on your map is re-incorporated into the park (it is currently fenced off) also we support your other designation proposals.</p> <p>Q.19. We support designation of Ifield Brook Meadows as green space (a) for its environmental diversity as a former water meadow (b) for its place on the greenway millennium walk (c) for its link between two historic areas of Ifield</p>	Support for designation of Goffs Park and Ifield Brook Meadows is noted.
Ian Irvine	individual	Rathlin Road flood pond & meadows has a variety of trees which shelter a wide variety of wildlife, It is also a flood plain. The pond would benefit from some maintenance by the Council.	<p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.</p>
Matt Leese	individual	Rathlin road playing fields and Broadfield park along the dual carriageway from the stadium to Cheal's roundabout should all be protected.	As above
Ann	individual	RE Burleys Wood, just because Planners in the past have damaged features doesn't mean you need to devalue the land anymore by removing its protection status. I suspect a hidden agenda here that in years to come you will develop the area as access route to The Old	<p>It is considered that removal of the designation would not affect the value of the land as open space.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 applies to this area: it ensures that any loss of open space is fully</p>

Q.20 Should the Local Plan seek to protect any other open public space within the town?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		Hollow, which you want Mid Sussex District Council to build homes on according to other planning documents	justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)
Mrs V Stride	individual	Rusper Road is already being used by traffic from Ifield West. Rusper road and surrounding roads cannot take any more traffic.	Comments noted.
Susan Ayres	individual	Save as many open spaces as possible as they are all used by the people.	<p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.</p>
Edward Lewis	individual	Should be more developed, partly.	<p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>
Mr Barry Khan	representative	Some but not all put them to better use.	As above
Nicole Eden	individual	Southgate Playing Fields and the woodland by the Hawth should be protected both providing much needed open space in the centre of town.	<p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be</p>

Q.20 Should the Local Plan seek to protect any other open public space within the town?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			<p>surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.</p>
Clive Thompson	individual	<p>Thank you for the proposal of lfield Brook Meadows as a Local Green Space. But really the whole adjacent area to the west, extending to Rusper, is a flood plain - as anyone who has partaken in the organised walks will tell you. Unfortunately this comes under the Horsham District, although the area has lfield Post codes and as such should be part of Crawley. Cannot CBC push for a boundary change?</p>	<p>Comments noted, The Council is unable to allocate land outside its administrative boundaries for housing development.</p>
Andrew Sander	individual	<p>The above space does have a number of positive attribute, and I would like to see it remain that way. However, could part of this lane, near the existing church, be utilised to accommodate the extra land needed for a cemetery as outlines preciously in this document? Moreover, I would like to put forward Willoughby Fields (the area north of Langley Walk) as a public space that should be protected. These fields are home to an abundance of wildlife which has recently been documented by artist and nature enthusiast. It is also used by a multitude of walkers, on a daily basis.</p>	<p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.</p>
Tracy Leachman	individual	<p>The area around the rugby club in Langley Green is thoroughly used and enjoyed by walkers and dog-owners and dogs alike. The greenery is just beautiful, adding to health for all who use it or drive along that area. The Orchard has already been filled with homes and the Hindu Temple. Greenery in this area needs conserving.</p>	<p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013)</p>

Q.20 Should the Local Plan seek to protect any other open public space within the town?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities. All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.
Bielun	individual	The area located opposite Cheals roundabout running towards the Broadfield football stadium currently a nature reserve, playing fields and childrens play area should remain so.	As above
Frank Halliday	individual	The area of open space at the rear of Cenral Sussex Cllege along which a public footpath connects Haslett Ave East and Northgate Ave, should be obtained from private ownership and maintained as a public open space. The land provides a useful open space bewteen the commerical centre of the town and the residential area.	As above
Stella Berrisford	individual	The green space around Worth Church is most important, ancient woodland, unploughed meadows, ancient quarry, lakes, ancient scheduled monument. Burleys Wood also should be retained as a protected area, this last section of Crabbet Woodland has been sadly neglected ever since the housing development of this parkland was started, the woods should be maintained as they were in years past. A haven for wildlife and wildflowers and trees.	As above
Grace	individual	The Hawth wood if it is not already designated as one then it needs to be	As above. Hawth woods is also designated as Ancient Woodland which has a high degree of protection under national policy.
Mrs H Eves	individual	The land adjacent to the gas holder site where there is a lake and woodland with lovely wild flowers. These should be protected as a wildlife corridor. Wildlife corridors are very important both for the amenity value of residents and wildlife. I am very concerned at the number of playing fields under threat with this plan. Protection of these should be part of the Olympic legacy.	Protection of open space will be through: Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance. Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.

Q.20 Should the Local Plan seek to protect any other open public space within the town?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.
Fay McCausland	individual	the land at lfield Brook is unning and used by many for recreation such as dog walking. It would be fantastic to keep this area as a local green space. The land at Langley walk Little Balgir should be kept green space as this also holds a great deal of history being the place where the authour Fredeirck Knotts came home to his parents house and wrote the famous book Dial M for Murder on this plot of land. It would be a sad day if Crawley was toloss part of its history!!!!	<p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.</p>
Mr S Griffiths	individual	The land behind burlands/prestwood close and next to Langley Walk, where there is proposed housing should be protected open space. This area has ancient historic woodland and teh surrounding area is designated as one of outstanding natural beauty and a nature conservation area. The trees/woodland also provide a natural sound barrier to gatwick airport, which would have a significant detriment if removed or thinned out.	<p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.</p>
Roger Duddell		The land between Langley walk and Gatwick Airport should be protected. Tilgate & Furnace Green have Tilgate Park; Southgate has Goffs Park; Three Bridges & Pound Hill have Gratton Park and lfield has Brook Meadows. Bewbushh has Bewbush Water Meadows and Gossops Green has lfield Millpond area. With Broadfield having Buchan Park as its open space, it seems that Langley Green could be	<p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The</p>

Q.20 Should the Local Plan seek to protect any other open public space within the town?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		one of the two areas without a green space if the fields north of Langley walk are not protected. If ,or as many people in Crawley think when, a second runway is built at Gatwick Airport, the area north of Langley Walk to the new airport boundary will be much reduced and what remains will be even more vital for Langley Green as it's only local green space.	<p>Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.</p> <p>The area outside the built up area boundary is the rural/urban fringe. Crawley's compact nature and attractive setting including accessible countryside for recreation is sought (see CH9 and CH11)</p>
Alison Shackell	individual	the land currently not developed adjacent to Langley walk through to willouby fields should b protected due to the variety of wildlife plants and animals, also the trees etc provide a natural buffer for the local community against the airport noise. the regular flooding increases this diversity of animals flora and fauna the local area.	<p>Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.</p> <p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.</p> <p>The area outside the built up area boundary is the rural/urban fringe. Crawley's compact nature and attractive setting including accessible countryside for recreation is sought (see CH9 and CH11)</p>
Christopher Shackell	individual	the land currently not developed adjacent to langley walk through to willouby fields should be protected due to its vast aray of wildlife which is increased by the flooding. this makes the diversity greater.the trees in the local area provide the community with a noise	<p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p>

Q.20 Should the Local Plan seek to protect any other open public space within the town?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		buffer from the airport whilst maintain a very country feel. the aesthetics of the area is of country rather than concrete!	<p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.</p> <p>The area outside the built up area boundary is the rural/urban fringe. Crawley's compact nature and attractive setting including accessible countryside for recreation is sought (see CH9 and CH11)</p>
Mikchael W. Pickett	individual	The land next to the allotments at Baker Close/Malthouse Road. This is used by children playing ball games and also by dog walkers. In any case, access would be very difficult if houses were to be built here.	<p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.</p>
David Knevet	individual	The land off Ifield Avenue, next to the Pope's Mead Bowling Club and the Rugby Club is ideal for such protection, all the way to the back of County Oak.	As above
Terence Barnes	individual	The land opposite Cheals roundabout, previously designated a nature area but revoked when talk of building a new fire station started. An important green artery next to a busy road.	<p>As above.</p> <p>Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.</p>
Christine St Claire	individual	The land surrounding Ifield Mill Pond should be protected (and probably already is), and West Green playing fields should also be	<p>As above.</p> <p>Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on</p>

Q.20 Should the Local Plan seek to protect any other open public space within the town?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		protected because it is an oasis of green in an otherwise fairly built-up area.	biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.
Carl Rickwood	individual	The Local Plan should be looking to protect as many open public spaces as possible. The Bewbush West playing fields and the playing fields at Breezehuest Drive should be two in my local area that should be protected and not used for development.	As above
Alice Crane	individual	The local plan should protect ALL of the towns existing playing fields. Contrary to the study the council paid £10,000 for, I can assure you, as a Bewbush resident located near to the Bewbush West site, that this area is very much used and valued by the local community. Not only is it used by teams for football games and practice, it is also well used by the public. Maybe your consultants observed that area for 1hr in the middle of January before drawing their conclusions. It is completely unacceptable to preserve sites in well-off Tory seats, such as Rusper Road playing fields and destroying those in the supposed ghettos of Bewbush and Broadfield. All green space should be covered by this plan.	As above
Shani Wheatley	individual	The Mill Pond area should be protected, it is of great value to the local community of both Ifield and Bewbush, both areas being densely housed, it is a breathe of fresh air	<p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.</p>
Mrs A Halliday	individual	The open space adjacent to Northgate Avenue, next to the footpath behind the collage, and at the back of houses in Friars Rookery. This land is an historic part of old Crawley and used to be an attractive green srea when the trees were trimmed and the grass cut by the council. Apart from the memorial gardens (no vending vans please) this is the only green space left in the town centre and would improve the look of the approach to the new North Crawley Development, if it	<p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013)</p>

Q.20 Should the Local Plan seek to protect any other open public space within the town?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		could be taken over by the council.	and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities. All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.
Kaye	individual	The open spaces which have be re-designated from historic should be carefully protected in this category to ensure that every locality has green and open space.	As above
Jennifer Preston	individual	The removal of historic status especially of Burley Wood should not happen. This is part of the old estate which can still be accessed by the tunnel uner the M23. I feel that being an historic area at least protects it from becoming yet another housing estate. Crabett Park has only one green space which is use by walkers children and equestrians alike, WE NEED GREEN SPACES. I understand you may well say that it's only taking off the historic status but its the thin edge of the wedge,protect whats left of old Crwley	
Gary McMahon	individual	The whole ethos of Crawley new town, is is the idea of an open town with it's green spaces being it's unique selling point. To keep slowly reducing it's green sites, would turn Crawley into a concrete conurbation.	As above
Vikki Webb	individual	The woodlands between Pound Hill and Worth, and Buchan Park	As above
Chris Morris	individual	The woods and playing fields in Southgate between Furnace Green and Southgate home to the skate board park among others	As above
Stephan Welch	individual	There are a number of green / open spaces within the neighbourhood of Tilgate, all of which are a very importnat part of the local community. They are the green lung for local residetns provided thranquil and most importantly a safe place for youngsters to play. They provide a haven where people can hold gathering and they contribute to the areas just the same ways as village greens. The sites are as follows: Ely Close Peterborough Road	As above

Q.20 Should the Local Plan seek to protect any other open public space within the town?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		Chichester Close Caxton Close Whittington Road	
John Smith	individual	There are a number of what might be described as green lungs, small areas of undeveloped land that are used in ad hoc ways by residents, which may mean little to the council but are important for local residents - an example of this is the small area at the back of Ely Close which the council officers recently sought to develop but which was found to be totally unsuitable when the plan came before the planning committee	As above
Brian Clarke	individual	There has to be a limit to the amount of open space that is taken for housing. Crawley must be reaching saturation limit. The council have a duty to consider this. I can only speak for the open space in the pound hill, burleys wood area. They've had a number of attempts over the last twenty years to build on the few open spaces that we have. Someone please stop it!	As above
Mrs P Harwood	individual	These meadows are used by many people, dog walkers, people just getting out for exercise. Where would they go if these were no longer accessible[?]	As above
Kevin Rawlinson	individual	This is a well used and beautiful area	As above
Colin Walden	individual	This is an outstanding area of unspoilt countryside used by many dog walkers and as a meeting place for dog walkers where dogs can run off the lead in relative safety and without being a nuisance to anyone.	As above
Mrs Josephine Wodhouse	individual	This land forms a fundamental part of Ifield Village conservation area and as residents it is important to keep this as local green space as it is a unique area for wildlife, flora and fauna. If the new runway is to be built in a few years and Ifield and the surrounding countryside loses a vast amount of its green space it is essential that this area is retained for the public and nature.	As above
Mrs Henderson	individual	Tintern Woods (if not already); area in Broadfield adjacent to A23 (Broadfield woods and pond area); fields around old Ifield (opposite Bonnets Lane etc).	As above
Mr D Garrett	individual	To much of our green space has been taken for development over the	As above

Q.20 Should the Local Plan seek to protect any other open public space within the town?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		years we should keep as much as possible so as not to become a concrete jungle like London	
Mrs J Frost, on behalf of Ifield Village Conservation Area Advisory Committee (IVCAAC)	representative	We agree with all the reasons you give for the designation of Ifield Brook Meadows as a Local Green Space. In addition the meadows contribute to the 'well integrated' boundary between the built up area and the farmed countryside to the west of Ifield Brook. This part of Crawley characterises the original concept of a new town within the countryside. We cannot think of other areas that are as good as this, hence we have not come up with other suggestions.	Comment noted.
Ryan Richardson	individual	we need open public space to allow the few of us that still enjoy getting out and about walking there dogs and going for walks in tilgate which i am disgusted that it is now a proposed land development site..this area is teeming with wildlife and is used by people all year round..why destroy the part of crawley that elevates it above the other boroughs.no sense .once that part of tilgate is gone its gone forever along with trees that have stood for hundreds of years and a well established wildlife including deer ,badgers..no thought is given to there future housing problem..so go ahead and bludgeon out yet another housing estate...just dont tell the families of all those beautiful creatures that live there just yet...i mean what would be the point they dont get a say anyway do they?	Protection of open space will be through: Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance. Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities. All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection. Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.
Paul Ballard	individual	we need to keep a buffer zone between Crawley and Horsham Ifield Brook Meadows is part of this	Comment noted
J.J Deacon	individual	We need to keep all of Crawley's green spaces green for future generations. If it was right for the previous planners to designate these green places as the town's population expanded, we most certainly need to keep them for the future residents of Crawley.	Protection of open space will be through: Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance. Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate

Q.20 Should the Local Plan seek to protect any other open public space within the town?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			<p>impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.</p>
Robert Stride	individual	We need to protect all parks and playing fields.	As above
S Broomfield	individual	We need to protect these sites for our future generations.	As above
Paul Lewis	individual	Whilst I believe we should keep the area around Worth Church and immediately round Ifield Church should be protected - ifield Brook Meadows could be used for housing - it is only flooding which may cause an issue.	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.</p>
Jacqueline Sander	individual	Willoughby Field and Brook should be protected as a local green space and conservation area because of its distinctive vegetation and wildlife. There are bats, kingfishes, deer, foxes, rabbits, bees, butterflies, owls, etc, all Living there.	<p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.</p> <p>Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.</p>
John and Eileen Milsom	individual	Willoughby Fields as it is a barrier between Crawley and Gatwick Airport	Comment noted
Alan Sands	individual	Willoughby playing fields and adjacent area	<p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The</p>

Q.20 Should the Local Plan seek to protect any other open public space within the town?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			<p>Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.</p>
Liz Meacher	individual	Woldhurstlea woods. Gossops green	As above
R J Warren	individual	Yes - see attached re Ewhurst Playing Fields.	As above
Samantha Bouglas	individual	Yes Bewbush west playing field, Bewbush green and skelmesdale walk part of breezehust playing fields - with the development if kiln sale right next to Bewbush it is really important we protect our fields	As above
June Kite	individual	yes more protection is needed, people have to live somewhere and looking at the proposed langley walk/burlands site, while i try to be fair and not be a nimby, i realise you will cram in as many as you can and maybe take as much as you possibly can without too much care how you do it, please tell me i am wrong	Noted. Housing sites are required to help meet our housing need. Any sites that come forwards will be respect the surrounding area in accordance with the policies within the Submission Local Plan.
Miss Setford	individual	yes the whole of buckan park including where the kennels used to be	As above
John Stanley	individual	Yes we need to protect open space for future generations.	As above
D C STephenson	individual	<p>Yes, Ewhurst playing fields. People from Langely Green, West Green as well as lfield use theis facility. It is very important to the local community. It is also the only accessible spce within walking distance for those residents of Millfield court retirement apartments with linmited abilities.</p> <p>This site could be enhanced with some picnic tables and benches.</p>	As above
Mrs P Haworth	individual	Yes, generally, green sited should be protected	As above
Mr W M Deacon		Yes, If it was right for past planners to designate these green places each time Crawley expanded its living space since the start of the New Town in the 1950's when it only had 50,000 residents we certainly need them now in 2013 when we have 106,000 how many residents will Crawley have in 2029? We need to protect as many	As above

Q.20 Should the Local Plan seek to protect any other open public space within the town?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		green spaces as we can.	
Stephanie Manning	individual	Yes, the open land adjacent to children's play park, which borders Chichester Close, Winchester Road and Durham Close. It has already been denied building recently as there is insufficient space for road widening to accommodate any new tenants access to the area	Comments noted
Robin Nower	individual	<p>Yes, the open space behind Ashdown Drive, Nash Road, Chantry Road, and Whittington Road in Tilgate is used as a safe space for local children to play together whilst being overseen by their parents and neighbours. It is a lovely secluded and safe space which is becoming very rare.</p> <p>Vehicle access to the green space is exceeding difficult and so it is unlikely to be reasonably practicable to develop in any case and would be strongly objected to on that basis.</p>	<p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.</p>
Mrs J Stephenson	individual	Yes. Ewhurst Playing fields. People from Langley Green, west Green and Ifoield use it. It is very important to the local community. It is the only accessible green space within walking distance of the retirement apartments with limited abilities	As above
Ron Pegler	individual	<p>Yes. Open recreational space is an asset to the community and allows people to have quality time with their friends and families.</p> <p>As much priority needs to be given to preserving and creating open recreational space for our growing population if we do not want to see Crawley deteriorate into a mass urban sprawl devoid of any sense of pride and pleasure in their community.</p>	<p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.</p>

Q.20 Should the Local Plan seek to protect any other open public space within the town?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			<p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. This may involve enhancing the quality of existing open spaces and facilities to encourage greater use of them.</p>

Questionnaire Space 5: Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
M Clark	individual	Why must the urban sprawl erode the last of our green spaces, soon horsham will have no green belt between Crawley. People need local, accessible green space, a place to relax and for children to play without fear of getting run over on the roads. These new proposals are irresponsible, foolish and without respect for the people who have to live here.	Comments noted
Sarah Williams	individual	<p>"Ibusing Proposal" Langely Walk. being immediately affected by the proposed housing site " Burlands, Charlwood Walk, Prestwood Walk, Langley Walk" i am opposed to this because of the access problems via Langley Walk, being a single lane due to all the parked cars. Also the affect this will have on the wildlife and ancient historic woodland and that the surrounding area is one of "outstanding natural beauty" and conservation area. Also this land is water logged for 8months of the year. new properties will only increase this growing problem. with any new housing development there needs to be a huge infrastructure and costs will be prohibitive but it this is not put in place the area will be 'over developed' abd ab intolerable burden placed on all the current residents and the local community. Including all the nouse of construction. "if" the 2nd runway at gatwick airport goes through the new housing estate will be blighted through noise pollution along with the kerseue discharge from the air craft which is already evident on most water courses.</p> <p>"traveller Site Langley Walk" - All of the problems associated with the housing site just down the road would apply to this site. Access is the main problem to this site. the broadfield kennel site is better suited to a traveller site as it has main road access and the traveller will be away from any exsisting community which they prefer. The access to broadfield kennel is steep but this has not stopped them in the past from setting up 'illegal' camp there, many times</p>	<p>Comments noted. The Council acknowledges the transport/access, noise and flooding issues associated with these sites and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the sites could be identified for housing.</p> <p>For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p>
Sharon	individual	<p>1. Why was Poles Lane rejected from any plans? Is there not already a traveller site there?</p> <p>2. Why was the Outreach land rejected? It might be the perfect site for the Travellers, due to being out of residential areas, therefore giving them their privacy and not increasing traffic in already busy</p>	<p>The need for a Gypsy and Traveller site balanced with the aim of conserving and enhancing Crawley's urban/rural fringe will be considered.</p> <p>The Poles Lane and Outreach sites were rejected due to noise and some land was safeguarded for a possible second runway at Gatwick.</p>

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>roads with limited access and parking.</p> <p>We need to protect the areas of natural beauty that we have. The residents of Crawley choose to live on the outskirts of the town, like Langley Green and Ifield because of the close proximity of the countryside and nature. We must fight to keep these areas!!</p>	
GILLIAN PITT	individual	A NEW CEMETERY SHOULD BE LOCATED ON THE OUTSKIRTS OF THE TOWN, AWAY FROM RESIDENTIAL AREAS - POSSIBLY ON THE BALCOMBE ROAD NEAR THE CREMATORIUM OR AT PEASE POTTAGE BEYOND BROADFIELD	The provision of a cemetery is not a local planning issue and will be taken forward separately by the council.
P Mann	individual	<p>The requirement for continued new build should be re-considered.</p> <p>There is insufficient water, sewage and other infrastructure for more development in this area.</p> <p>Morning rush hour already sees queues of traffic all the way back to Southwater.</p> <p>Kilnwood Vale is already sufficient new build in the west of Crawley despite being in Horsham district – this is just an arbitrary line of mapping!</p>	The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years.
Lauren Ritchie	individual	<p>Additional Housing I am opposed to this because:</p> <p>Langley Walk, and surrounding roads. The road infrastructure is not capable of taking any more traffic. Currently parking is on one side of each road and vehicles make their way in and out to proceed down the road. The passing in the street ensures that the road is single lane.</p> <p>The two lanes leading into the proposed area are public footpaths this would need to be re-sited as they are in continued use by the community</p> <p>Access to the site whilst construction takes place will be limited by Langley Walk.</p> <p>The area also is ancient historic woodland and the surrounding area is also designated as one of outstanding natural beauty and a nature conservation area</p> <p>It should also be noted that there is constant flooding in the area and at times becomes impassable</p> <p>The water run off around the properties in the said area is ditched and although these work their way to the brook over at Willoughby fields flooding still occurs.</p>	Comments noted. The council acknowledges the transport/access, noise and flooding issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing.

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>With any new housing development there needs to be a huge new infrastructure and we believe that costs will be prohibitive pertaining to this site as opposed to other sites in Crawley</p> <p>Due to the site being so close to the current housing noise debris etc. from the construction site will blight the area ad also cause problems for the community.</p> <p>If the airport 2nd runway goes ahead the new housing site will be blighted through noise pollution</p> <p>Possible health issues due to kerosene from aircraft</p>	
Alexander Ritchie	individual	<p>Additional Housing: we are opposed to this because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Langley Walk, and surrounding roads. The road infrastructure is not capable of taking any more traffic. Currently parking is on one side of each road and vehicles make their way in and out to proceed down the road. The passing in the street ensure that the road is single lane. * The two lanes leading into the proposed area are public footpaths this would need to be re-sited as they are in continued use by the community * Access to the site whilst construction takes place will be limited by Langley Walk. * The area also is ancient historic woodland and the surrounding area is also designated as one of outstanding natural beauty and a nature conservation area * It should also be noted that there is constant flooding in the area and at times becomes impassable * The water run off around the properties in the said area is ditched and although these work their way to the brook over at Willoughby fields flooding still occurs. * With any new housing development there needs to be a huge new infrastructure and we believe that costs will be prohibitive pertaining to this site as opposed to other sites in Crawley * Due to the site being so close to the current housing noise debris etc. from the construction site will blight the area ad also cause problems for the community. * If the airport 2nd runway goes ahead the new housing site will be blighted through noise pollution * Possible health issues due to kerosene from aircraft 	<p>Comments noted. The council acknowledges the transport/access, noise and flooding issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing and the site is not being progressed at this stage.</p>
Cisley Adams	individual	<p>Additional Housing: we are opposed to this because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Langley Walk, and surrounding roads. The road infrastructure is not capable of taking any more traffic. Currently parking is on one side of 	<p>Comments noted. The council acknowledges the transport/access, noise and flooding issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment</p>

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>each road and vehicles make their way in and out to proceed down the road. The passing in the street ensure that the road is single lane.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * The two lanes leading into the proposed area are public footpaths this would need to be re-sited as they are in continued use by the community * Access to the site whilst construction takes place will be limited by Langley Walk. * The area also is ancient historic woodland and the surrounding area is also designated as one of outstanding natural beauty and a nature conservation area * It should also be noted that there is constant flooding in the area and at times becomes impassable * The water run off around the properties in the said area is ditched and although these work their way to the brook over at Willoughby fields flooding still occurs. * With any new housing development there needs to be a huge new infrastructure and we believe that costs will be prohibitive pertaining to this site as opposed to other sites in Crawley * Due to the site being so close to the current housing noise debris etc. from the construction site will blight the area ad also cause problems for the community. * If the airport 2nd runway goes ahead the new housing site will be blighted through noise pollution * Possible health issues due to kerosene from aircraft 	<p>work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing and the site is not being progressed at this stage.</p>
Jason Adams	individual	<p>Additional Housing: we are opposed to this because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Langley Walk, and surrounding roads. The road infrastructure is not capable of taking any more traffic. Currently parking is on one side of each road and vehicles make their way in and out to proceed down the road. The passing in the street ensure that the road is single lane. * The two lanes leading into the proposed area are public footpaths this would need to be re-sited as they are in continued use by the community * Access to the site whilst construction takes place will be limited by Langley Walk. * The area also is ancient historic woodland and the surrounding area is also designated as one of outstanding natural beauty and a nature conservation area * It should also be noted that there is constant flooding in the area and at times becomes impassable * The water run off around the properties in the said area is ditched and although these work their way to the brook over at Willoughby fields flooding still occurs. 	<p>Comments noted. The council acknowledges the transport/access, noise and flooding issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing and the site is not being progressed at this stage.</p>

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> * With any new housing development there needs to be a huge new infrastructure and we believe that costs will be prohibitive pertaining to this site as opposed to other sites in Crawley * Due to the site being so close to the current housing noise debris etc. from the construction site will blight the area and also cause problems for the community. * If the airport 2nd runway goes ahead the new housing site will be blighted through noise pollution * Possible health issues due to kerosene from aircraft 	
Brian Thomas Trotman	individual	<p>Additional Housing: we are opposed to this because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Langley Walk, and surrounding roads. The road infrastructure is not capable of taking any more traffic. Currently parking is on one side of each road and vehicles make their way in and out to proceed down the road. The passing in the street ensure that the road is single lane. * The two lanes leading into the proposed area are public footpaths this would need to be re-sited as they are in continued use by the community * Access to the site whilst construction takes place will be limited by Langley Walk. * The area also is ancient historic woodland and the surrounding area is also designated as one of outstanding natural beauty and a nature conservation area * It should also be noted that there is constant flooding in the area and at times becomes impassable * The water run off around the properties in the said area is ditched and although these work their way to the brook over at Willoughby fields flooding still occurs. * With any new housing development there needs to be a huge new infrastructure and we believe that costs will be prohibitive pertaining to this site as opposed to other sites in Crawley * Due to the site being so close to the current housing noise debris etc. from the construction site will blight the area and also cause problems for the community. * If the airport 2nd runway goes ahead the new housing site will be blighted through noise pollution * Possible health issues due to kerosene from aircraft 	Comments noted. The council acknowledges the transport/access, noise and flooding issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing and the site is not being progressed at this stage.
Richard Gould	individual	All building off space will be of know good if people cannot play in these areas, because they are building in A264 where will the play.	Comments noted
Alison Hooper	individual	All comments included under the proposed sites for the Travellers site in Langley Walk also apply to the proposed site in Langley Walk for	Comments noted. The Council acknowledges the transport/access, noise and flooding issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		additional housing. The local roads were never designed for the amount of traffic there is now and cannot cope with any additional traffic levels.	any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing and the site is not being progressed at this stage.
Keir Fuller	individual	All the sites appear to have been well considered and are appropriate.	Comments noted
Lynda Crawford	individual	<p>Although I understand that the want and need for more housing in Crawley is great, I feel that if we start building more on the bit of land we have it will ruin the look and feel of the town. Crawley is already over populated building more houses will only make it worse.</p> <p>I don't like the idea of making space for gypsy families as the point of gypsies is to travel around and this is the way they have chosen to live... by providing a place for them to live for free is worse that a family being on benefits when they don't really need to be.</p>	The Council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years.
David Knevett	individual	Although not covered in this questionnaire, I feel strongly that the reservation of land for any 2nd runway at Gatwick seriously restricts the growth of Crawley to the north west.	Comments noted.
Jacqueline Maria Russo	individual	Am very very concerned and stressed regarding housing proposal on land adjacent Langley Walk and Burlands. This is directly opposite my house and feel, what with this and the proposal for a traveller site at the end of our road, you are just about going to ruin our lives, compromise our health and safety and reduce us to financial ruin. The noise and mess is going to be unbearable and you are going to devalue all of our properties.	The Council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years. The Council acknowledges the transport/access, noise and flooding issues associated with the Langley Walk site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forward at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Kay Lewis	individual	<p>Another area for consideration for housing is Burleys Wood</p> <p>Ifield Brook Meadows should be used for housing except the area around Ifield Church</p> <p>We understood that the land near to us was a conservation area as a local resident had a planning application initially turned down and a Arborologist was consulted to stop the resident from removing trees.</p>	Burleys Wood is considered to be a valuable piece of public open space and on this basis is not considered a suitable housing site. Ifield Brook Meadows is to be identified as Local Greenspace and is not considered suitable for housing.
Chris Lockwood	individual	Any further development around or near Rusper Road, Ifield is madness unless some sort of relief road is constructed to take traffic pressure off Rusper Road itself. This includes Horsham DC's	Comments noted

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		determination to build on the Ifield Golf Club site.	
David Hillier	individual	Any future re-development plans for Burley's wood would have a huge impact on the residents living in and around the surrounding areas.	Comments noted. Burleys Wood is considered to be a valuable piece of public open space and on this basis is not considered suitable for housing.
Christine Watts (a resident for 60 years)	individual	Any proposed changes in Langley Walk would generate even more through traffic to Juniper Rd, Rushetts Rd and Ifield Avenue. Since the re-design of Langley Green shopping area, school and surgery the traffic through our roads has greatly increased. Development on the A264 at Holmbush is also having an impact, as is on-street parking of personal and company vehicles in all side roads. Vehicles from M23, A23, County Oak and 'industrial area' of Town wishing to travel west, find Martyrs Avenue, Juniper and Rushetts, and Ifield routes much faster.	Comments noted
Mr Elston	individual	Any travellers that are placed around Crawley will be a hazard to health and care of people that live in Crawley.	No planning issues raised.
Greig Van Outen	individual	Are you going to carry out a survey re the town centre and the mess it is currently in?	The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of the Town Centre for mixed use development including residential, retail and commercial development both within and outside of Town Centre North.
C DOWNS		Are you working with Public Health re: Site for Gypsies & Travellers, and are there any Health Impact Assessments?	The proposal would need to mitigate for the impact of issues such as noise.
Charles Burlison-Rush	individual	As a resident of Henty Close that backs onto Bewbush West playing fields my family appreciates the green open space and trees that provide relief from built up areas. This is what made it an attractive place to live when we purchased our house in 2003. I would hope that the established trees and children's play area would remain and that any new development must ensure as much open space as possible remains and housing does not overlook existing properties.	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p> <p>This may involve replacing and/or enhancing the quality of existing open spaces and facilities to encourage greater use of them.</p>

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Margaret Pilkington	individual	As a resident of Lindfield I can't comment on other sites in this questionnaire apart from Ifield Brook Meadows which is an important nature conservation site and should be designated as a local green space. Although the meadows have suffered from lack of appropriate management recently they still contain the largest population of pignut I have seen in a meadows well as all the typical meadow grass species which many butterfly caterpillars feed on (ringlet, meadow brown, marbled white, large and small skipper butterflies). Other typical meadow plants such birdsfoot trefold, red clover, meadow vetching, common sorrel, black knapweed, ribwort plantain, meadow buttercup are common. The site also has importance as a river corridor and the stream-side is lined with native tree and shrubs species adding overall diversity of the site " oak, ash, field maple, hawthorn, field rose, hawthorn, and blackthorn. In places natural development to woodland is taking place which is greatly to be performed in nature conservation terms to tree planting.	Comments noted. Ifield Brook Meadows is to be identified as a Local Green Space in the Submission Local Plan given its significance to local greenspace.
William & Catherine Cloake		As a resident of this area for 33 years we feel that the green spaces in the local area around Burleys Wood (sic) and Worth/Pound Hill has disappeared, forever, in that time. While we realise that there is a need for new housing there are many brownfield sites that could be used. Additional to this, the local roads are already at saturation point and are at times dangerous. Asking the transit system to take the strain of an extra 2000+ homes is just not feasible.	The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing where their loss can be justified. The development of Burleys Wood however, is not considered acceptable on the basis of loss of valuable public open space. Detailed assessments of transport and traffic impact caused from the new neighbourhood at the North East Sector has been undertaken as part of the planning applications process, and mitigation measures are to be put into place to address the unacceptable additional pressures arising on the network, including public transport measures. In addition, further transport modelling has been carried out across the whole of the borough to understand the implications on the transport network of development of all the sites identified by the Local Plan and those in immediately adjoining local authority areas. Mitigation measures will also be proposed as part of this process, to ensure development comes forward within the town without causing further severe impacts on the existing roads and junctions.
Louise King	individual	As a Romany Traveller of the older generation, I feel that my family would benefit from site accommodation because families are so different in the ways, people wouldn't have half the problems if sites was built.	Comments noted
Dinah Bailey	individual	As an Ifield Resident I feel Ifield Park should remain as a historic park and garden. Ifield was mentioned in the Domesday Book of 1086 and	Comments noted. The Council has decided to remove the designation of Ifield Park as a historic park and garden for the reasons given in the sites consultation document, largely due to lack of evidence of its historical parkland

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		is one of the most historic areas of Crawley.	significance.
Brian Bailey	individual	As an Ifield resident, I feel particulery strongly about Ifield Park, that is should remian on the list of historic parks and gardens. Ifield is one of the most hostoric areas of the town and Ifield park contributes to this, as part of Crawley natural environment.	Comments noted. The Council has decided to remove the designation of Ifield Park as a historic park and garden for the reasons given in the sites consultation document, largely due to lack of evidence of its historical parkland significance.
John and Eileen Milsom	individual	As long term residents we abhor the decline from an attractive new town to what appears to be a city in the future	Comments noted
Elizabeth Ongley	individual	As mentioned above, building on Bewbush West playing fields will destroy the local landscape, and will remove the one thing protecting the surrounding houses from the massive Kilnwood Vale development which is already so close to the existing properties. More importantly it is removing one of the key open spaces that is used by the local children, particularly those from the many properties in the area that do not have gardens. For the people living in these properties the availability of the playing fields made living in Crawley a much more attractive prospect and was one of the key things that led to us purchasing our properties. Most importantly, by removing the fields you will be destroying our children's chance to have an active childhood as it would be removing a very valuable safe play space that is widely used and enjoyed.	The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.
Angela & David Hughes	individual	As mentioned earlier I live next door to tghe dite for gypsy's. I'm totally shocked and upset, as I am renal and have to diyalise at home, I jave all my medical equipment in a shed outside, this property wss our last property we have xhosen to retire in, we are very concerned as to what the value of our house is going to decrease. I feel very insecure, we have horses and they are also a concern. We would have to spend a large amount of money on security. Also we at the moment suffer badly with drugs being sold outside our house, and we feel the police would have a great job trying to manage both, they strugglr to keep our area free of crime. The children would also have to go to school somewhere, ours have to go all the way to The Mill School, we have appealed serveral times for our grandson who lives with us to. The schools are full. Our lovely neighbourhood would be greatly upset at the noise of generators, unkept properties, language form children. Please do consider very carefully as it affects an awfull lot of elderly people who live alone. Yours Sincerley.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
John Lowe	individual	As previously mentioned –	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>I object very strongly to the notion of building a traveller site at Langley Walk.</p> <p>This area of Langley Green is a particularly affluent and quite pretty part of Langley Green. It is common knowledge that gypsy sites have a detrimental effect on people's perceptions of an area, property prices will be reduced and amenities (that are already stretched) will be further impacted.</p> <p>That side of Langley area has already being overdeveloped with the addition of new flats opposite Squirrel Close and those on Rushetts Road near the parade. Besides, the roads in that area are quite narrow with heavy amounts of on the road parking. There is too much congestion and not enough parking as it is without adding the potential of 20 extra vehicles - probably vans.</p> <p>Also, on a personal note, I have had dealings with travellers before - they are noisy and messy, they have little regard for the law and little or no respect for those non-travelling folk. I take my toddler down to the playing fields and play area down on Langley Walk. I can imagine that play park becoming an undesirable place to take your children.</p> <p>Why the hell would you want to do this? Surely there must be better sites. How about Stephenson's Way for a traveller site? Nobody lives next door to that site.</p> <p>This suggestion is absolutely wrong, what about those people living directly opposite the site?</p> <p>I will be leafleting the local area to ensure others are fully aware of the situation and make their feelings known, which I am sure will be similar.</p>	<p>Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p> <p>The proposal would be for a permanent, managed site with facilities for the new residents.</p>
Janine Clarke	individual	<p>As someone who lives on Radford rd I am very concerned about the development around Tinsley Green(North east sector)It seems to be under attack now from all sides,i.e far too many houses planned and the 2nd runway plans.Surely it can't take both,which is what seems to be planned now.</p>	<p>Comments noted. The North East Sector is a long standing allocation for a new neighbourhood of 1,900 dwellings. The Council is seeking to increase the size of the neighbourhood by around 200 dwellings to maximise the capacity of the Borough to accommodate additional housing development.</p>
Mrs Joanne Stovold	representative	<p>As the General Manager of Ifield park and representative to the Board of Trustees, please note we are in support of the Council proposal to REMOVE the historic parks and gardens status.</p>	<p>Comments noted</p>
John Garwood	individual	<p>As we all have water meters now because we live in a drought danger area, why do we have to put up with all the extra houseing and even less water in the future.</p>	<p>Comments noted. The Borough Council liaises with the infrastructure providers to ensure that they are aware of the proposed development in the town and take it into account in their future plans. In Crawley demand for</p>

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>The infrastructure in this town is rubbish, all this extra housing will make it impossible at certain times, and may be other times to drive through.</p> <p>What is this town trying to be LONDON.</p> <p>Has nobody got the guts to say NO to more housing.</p>	water will be managed by reducing leaks, promoting water efficient design in new developments and transferring water from around the region.
Mrs Gay Redstone	individual	At present there is considerable traffic from the Crabbet Park estate in the mornings. Any additional building on this estate will lead to higher traffic volume. Already it can take up to 15-20 minutes to exit from the estate on busy workday rainy mornings!	Comments noted. The transport assessment has considered the impact of the proposed development on the transport network to help ensure any impact on the network is acceptable and where necessary mitigated.
Rob Upton	individual	Bewbush is congested as it is .people moan that children don't get enough exercise as it is and these plans would increase this issue. Where are they going to kick or throw a ball .many people use this area for exercise and recreation or dog walking. Also with a major a development being carried out not far up the main road surely this will have an adverse affect on the local area already ie noise, traffic pollution and overcrowding .	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>
Ronak Patel	individual	<p>Bewbush is extremely congested as it is, further developments, especially if aimed towards low income households will certainly drive crime up, but the bigger picture is that this will have a devastating effect on the housing market, with valuations dropping.</p> <p>Considering Bewbush is an entry point into crawley from the southern side as well as the M23, this is really not the best advert for our great town. Short term money versus long term money (and prosperity). A decision which will cost the MP 100% that i do not doubt.</p>	Comments noted.
Martin Krelle	individual	Bewbush West fields. Three brooks run parallel to this widely used site. All cultures are using this area for sport. IF Breezehurst is taken away this will be the only football sports area within Bewbush. It is a green space and makes a perfect boundary break against Horsham that is getting ever closer. There are a lot of younger generation in this area, please do look at the facilities that are available and you can't beat open space for this generation.	<p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Chris Brown	individual	<p>Bewbush West playing fields are the last bit of green space in my part of Bewbush. They are used regularly in the football season and by the local children at other times. The proposed development appears to be very close to existing properties particularly at the North and South ends.</p> <p>There may also be an issue with flooding in wet weather as you are looking to build over a brook.</p>	<p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for retention, replacement, and enhancement of open space in line with the council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>
MR L BROWN	representative	<p>Bewbush West playing fields area should not be used to build houses on it is used by children and adults alike and is a lovely little green area to walk dogs and for children to play safely. it will also block the views and lovely green space views of the residents who have bought their house in nesbit court especially because of the green space opposite. it is disgusting to build on this little green park space when big land is around at that new kilnwood. We only bought our house in nesbit court because of the stunning green park space opposite, We were also told 2 years ago by the council that you would not build there as there was a little stream and could not build on it...did the council lie then as usual...DONT BUILD ON BEWBUSH WEST PLAYING FIELDS IT IS A DISGUSTING IDEA.....</p>	As above
Pamela Watson	individual	<p>Bewbush West playing fields is used by a lot of people, for walking pets and playing all types of games. It is an area of natural beauty and still has a variety of wild life. Each time I use it (this is most days) there is always something new to see. If it is built on there will be a big increase in traffic, also the playing fields flood which I feel saves the houses near there from flooding, this is more important as there is the new estate being built on the old waste site which has boggy areas all year long.</p>	As above
Tracey Wesson	individual	<p>bf kennels proposed gypsy site, isnt it a silly place concidering the road??? its a fast road and there will lots of accidents!! buld on a hill it cant be flattened, not a clever idea somewhere so busy especially if housing children!</p>	<p>A proposal for a permanent site would need to demonstrate that the land could be safely accessed. This Highway Authority would consider these proposals. The site does have pedestrian and cycle access under the dual carriageway.</p>
Mr & Mrs J W Grange	individual	<p>Both Crawley Borough Council and West Sussex County Council both officers and elected member. Have failed on road infastructure by letting too many residential road became "rat runs" with vehicles speeding.</p>	<p>Noted. The design of new developments seeks to ensure that roads are not used as rat runs. The neighbourhood layout of Crawley encourages the use of appropriate roads for traffic using the neighbourhood.</p>

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>WSCC do not fund the Police Authority to control road speeds hence dangerous driving.</p> <p>New developments have parking problems due to the planning authority not ensuring sufficient parking.</p>	
Alison Cook	individual	<p>Breezehurst Drive & Bewbush west playing fields should be kept ! We need these natural green spaces our countryside our children playing spaces.Vegetation & wildlife live there.Ancient woodlands, nature heritage for our area.</p> <p>The infrastructure doesn't allow for school places, doctors appointments, parking at the shops, let alone traffic and pollution!</p> <p>These fields are within the Bewbush area at present this area has 30% of young children, higher than any other Crawley settlement area.</p>	<p>Noted. The Borough Council liaises with the infrastructure providers to ensure that they are aware of the development that is planned for Crawley so that they can take account in their future plans.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>
Wayne Hopkins	individual	<p>Broadfield residents no longer have the local paper delivered for free. This means that many issues affecting Broadfield residents are not seen.</p>	<p>Comments noted</p>
Kaye	individual	<p>Brown field over green field for new housing - preservation of sufficient green space to ensure heritage, emotional health and well being - opportunity to fight obesity etc</p>	<p>The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing where their loss can be justified. The development of Burleys Wood however, is not considered acceptable on the basis of loss of valuable public open space.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>
John	individual	<p>build more houses all the way down the side of the proposed new runway</p>	<p>This represents an inappropriate location for housing development in view of its proximity to the airport and related aircraft noise.</p>
Mr B Patel	individual	<p>Building around langley Walk is a major concern due to poor road access for bigger vehicles</p>	<p>Comments noted. The council acknowledges the transport/access issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these</p>

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing.
Richard Allan	individual	<p>Burley wood is the only green space in the local vicinity, removing this designation will increase the risk of development. This will mean people would need to drive to a local green space (irony!) and therefore should be protected from development for future generations to enjoy. Please also note it is connected to the remaining parkland by an under pass and it still would be connected if it wasn't for the motorway which was developed in the 1960s. This designation was put on in 1980s and not much has changed since then and there are areas specifically related to the old estate including the old driveway which should be protected for its historical value. Please specify what has been removed since 1980s. It is not without merit the council is asked to provide potential areas for development but it should think why are we doing this is it for the greater good of the community or is it just to create more income for the local and national government.</p> <p>If this is still protected by planning laws please explain how this is the case and why this area would not be available to be built upon?</p> <p>Removing the designation of Burley Wood would in effect in my opinion you are saying you are allowing this area available for development which would be sacrilege.</p>	The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing where their loss can be justified. The development of Burleys Wood however, is not considered acceptable on the basis of loss of valuable public open space.
Sharon Brooks	individual	<p>Burley Wood on Crabbett Park estate is the ONLY green area we have to walk our dogs, take our children to play, learn to ride their bikes, fly kites, bird watch, sledge, play cricket, go for picnics, play hide and seek, build dens, tadpoling. I childmind and take my children on to this area daily to release some energy - both summer and winter. We pick blackberries here. It is has a great communal feel to it - people will meet up to walk their dogs and have a chat. The designated 'play park' on this estate is a joke and in the 6 years I have had my twins, I don't bother with it and always take them to the 'field'. Don't remove the ONLY communal, useful thing on this estate.</p>	Comments noted. It is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing where their loss can be justified. The development of Burleys Wood however, is not considered acceptable on the basis of loss of valuable public open space.
Mr M Roberts	individual	<p>Burley Woods should be protected and is well used by the community. It is a flood plane and the stream needs attention before a flood happens.</p>	Comments noted. It is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing where their loss can be justified. The development of Burleys Wood however, is not considered acceptable on the basis of loss of valuable public open space. Whilst the site may be wet, the site does not fall within river floodplain.

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Darren Taylor	individual	Burleys (Pound Hill) is a great place for residents to walk their dogs and children have somewhere safe to play. getting slightly sick of any bit of green land being built on	Comments noted. It is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing where their loss can be justified. The development of Burleys Wood however, is not considered acceptable on the basis of loss of valuable public open space. Whilst the site may be wet, the site does not fall within river floodplain.
Carol Chinn	individual	<p>Burleys Wod is protected for its historic importance and unique vegetation. I can see no reason for removing the protection other than to allow modifications later. Regardless of past development in the area, we have a duty to protect the historic woods and Crabbett Park estate for future generations. This area from the air still resembles the original estate and the original drives are still evident.</p> <p>In 50 years' time will this become another Worth Park where public money & grants try to restore it?</p> <p>Crawley should protect the estate & try to capitalise on the fact it was the birth place of the world famous Arabian Stud horses.</p> <p>The residents of The Ridings have no other green space nearby without corssing major busy roads.</p>	Comments noted. It is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing where their loss can be justified. The development of Burleys Wood however, is not considered acceptable on the basis of loss of valuable public open space. The removal of the Historic Garden designation was on the basis that there was insufficient evidence of the historical value of the land as garden.
Richard Bland Bsc MSc Ceng MICE	representative	Burleys Wood area is regularly used by a wide number of local residents on foot and is bisected by well used footpaths (in line with the mowed routes) and as well as users on foot/cycle of the hotel and gym on the west side of the motorway, and those seeking to access Worth Way from the north.	Comments noted
Claire Scott	individual	Burley's Wood has been there for many, many years (hundreds I believe) and should remain so. It should remain a protected area and as it is. It is a beautiful area enjoyed by many people in the community and it would be a tragedy if it's historical status was removed and the area re-developed. We have a duty to protect our environment for now and for the future and the wood should remain as it is - an historical wood to be enjoyed today and tomorrow and long into the future.	Comments noted. It is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing where their loss can be justified. The development of Burleys Wood however, is not considered acceptable on the basis of loss of valuable public open space. The removal of the Historic Garden designation was on the basis that there was insufficient evidence of the historical value of the land as garden.
Colin Pennington	individual	Burleys wood is a beautiful historic park, part of the original Crabbet park estate where the original conker tree lined driveway still exists leading to the field which I visit daily to walk my dog and enjoy the surroundings. The area has many ancient trees, pathes and driveways as reminders of the area's historic past. Please continue to	Comments noted. It is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing where their loss can be justified. The development of Burleys Wood however, is not considered acceptable on the basis of loss of valuable public open space. The removal of the Historic Garden designation was on the basis that there was insufficient evidence of the historical value of

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		protect and preserve this small but great historic and beautiful area of our town.	the land as garden.
R Moffatt	individual	Burleys Wood is a vital and much used part of the communities wild life and open area facilities. Any and every level of protection that can be given to this area I will support. It is part of the old Crabbet Park Estate, and the woodland and conservation area is the last part of Burleys Wood west of the motorway, and as such is a part of the town's heritage. The area between the Balcombe Road/Turners Hill Road/Copthorne Road and M23 is an area filled with houses, Burleys Wood is wonderful area in which to relax even if next to a motorway. If a couple of bench seats were provided it would be even more enjoyable.	Comments noted. The development of Burleys Wood is not considered acceptable on the basis of loss of valuable public open space. The removal of the Historic Garden designation was on the basis that there was insufficient evidence of the historical value of the land
Lorraine Austin	individual	Burleys Wood is an important local space for residents.	Comments noted
THOMAS LITTLE	individual	Burleys Wood is the only usable green space available to the residents of Crabbett Park estate.	Comments noted
Maria Callaway	representative	Burleys wood should not loose its historic parks and gardens status as it is used and enjoyed by many residents, children and dog walkers from all of Worth and Pound Hill. It is also a local conservation area as there are many wild plants and animals in the park and woods and should remain so. Speaking to many of the locals has made me see that the general strong opinion is that the status should not be removed from Burleys wood and park as the same reasons that were present when it recieved its status still stand.	The development of Burleys Wood however, is not considered acceptable on the basis of loss of valuable public open space. The removal of the Historic Garden designation was on the basis that there was insufficient evidence of the historical value of the land as garden
Roy Wood	individual	Burleys Wood This site should be maintained at least as a local green space and protected against any future development. It is an area much enjoyed by people of all ages and is an asset to the local area.	The development of Burleys Wood however, is not considered acceptable on the basis of loss of valuable public open space. The removal of the Historic Garden designation was on the basis that there was insufficient evidence of the historical value of the land as garden
Ros Wood	individual	BURLEYS WOOD This space is a much loved area for dog walkers, horse riders, joggers, walkers and a great safe playing area for local children. It is used all year round and is also a conservation area. It would be such a shame to see it's historical designation removed as it is part of the history of the local area. There are several trees in this wood with preservation orders on them and wild flowers, insects and birds thrive here. Local residents I have spoken with regarding this proposal are	Comments noted. The development of Burleys Wood however, is not considered acceptable on the basis of loss of valuable public open space. The removal of the Historic Garden designation was on the basis that there was insufficient evidence of the historical value of the land as garden

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		horrified that this could happen and we would hope that at the very least it will remain as a local green and open space as it is such an asset to the community and it will be protected as such.	
JOEL BUCKETT	individual	CAN YOU PLEASE CONSIDER US RESIDENTS OF THE RIDINGS ESTATE. WE HAVE NO PARK, NO PLAY AREA AND LIVE UNDER CONSTANT THREAT OF DEVELOPMENT ALL AROUND US. BURLEYS WOOD IS OUR ONLY GREEN SPACE. IF YOU REMOVE THE HISTORIC STATUS OR EN1 PROTECTION THE AREA IS VULNERABLE TO DEVELOPMENT. WE DONT WANT THE AREA OPENED UP LIKE TILGATE AND GOFFS PARK. IT IS A NATURAL GREEN SPACE. HOME TO DORMICE, BATS AND THE NATIVE BLUEBELL. THERE ARE FANTASTIC LIME TREES AND HORSE CHESTNUTS AND OLD EARTH BANKS. THE COUNCILS 2009 REVIEW IDENTIFIED MUCH FLORA AND FAUNA WORTH PROTECTING. IF HISTORIC FEATURES HAVE BEEN DESTROYED IT IS OUR PLANNERS FAULT AND THEY NOW HAVE THE OBLIGATION TO ENSURE NO MORE DAMAGE IS DONE TO THE AREA. AS FOR TILGATE AND GOFFS PARK, THEY ARE ALREADY HUGE PARKS WITH ZERO CHANCE OF DEVELOPMENT WHY DO THEY NEED FURTHER PROTECTION.	Comments noted. The development of Burleys Wood however, is not considered acceptable on the basis of loss of valuable public open space. The removal of the Historic Garden designation was on the basis that there was insufficient evidence of the historical value of the land as garden
A Wakefield	individual	Cherry Tree Lane gypsy site, this is a flood plan, also nose from the airport.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Mrs Anne Merrill	individual	Concern for lack and loss of green spaces within existing housing developments. How are people suppose to travel further afield to use other spaces, once those on there doorstep is developed on. And the effect of more dog waste left on street due to existing lack of waste bins. Surprised that you have no plans for a funeral home and crematorium next to the planned new cemetery to make it more energy efficient as you are willing to put it in the middle of an the establish communities of lfield and West Green. Not impressed!	The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study. These studies also ensure that there is reasonable access to open space for residents by protecting loss of open space that would lead to residents having to travel further than should be reasonably expected.
Michael Watson	individual	Concern over Impact on: 1. wildlife habitats, we have numerous wild birds that use the land, nuthatches, blackbirds, crows, magpies, sparrows (these are already on the decline do you want to take away further habitat)	Comments noted. Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>2. wild flowers and subsequently our bee population (which as you probably know has declined by some 30% in the UK already)</p> <p>3. current servicing, water, power, senerage, waste dispoasal, drainage.</p> <p>4. Effect on property equity</p> <p>Other Problems: Road system would be inadequate as it stands, congestion, also langley walk has potholes already in it that are never repaired properly ! Site traffic during any potential building work - noise pollution, further road damages, disruption to current residents, farms and pets and wildlife. The majority of the residents chose to live in langley walk because of affordability AND particularly becuase of the remaining green spaces around it. PLEASE DONT DESTROY OUR LITTLE GREEN HAVEN !!!</p>	<p>For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p> <p>Property value is not a planning matter.</p>
B Patel	individual	<p>Concern that every little green space we have is taken up for housing especially for social housing. Please think of those people who have had to struggle to buy their property and in and area which has some green space then for you to come along and make it in to travellers site or flats.</p>	<p>Comments noted. The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p> <p>For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p>
Tony Fullwood	representative	<p>CRAWLEY 2029 - SITE ALLOCATION CONSULTATION June 2013 Site 13 Land East of Street Hill Representation on behalf of Mr R Bucknall</p> <p>The site east of Street Hill, Worth, Crawley, promoted through the SHLAA as a site suitable for housing development, is deliverable in</p>	<p>The council has established dialogue with the landowner to discuss the appropriateness of this site for housing through the Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment. A summary of the council's position is recorded in this document (Site 223), identifying that the site is not considered suitable for housing for the reasons given.</p>

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>the next five years or developable within the next 6 -10 years.</p> <p>The Borough Council is consulting on whether it is correct to reject the site for housing development. The site is proposed to be rejected on the grounds of flooding and heritage.</p> <p>The Borough Council's SHLAA identified no objection to the principle of housing development on this site but that conservation and nature conservation constraints would be likely to affect the development potential of the land.</p> <p>The Borough Council's grounds for not proposing to carry the site forward are insufficient to reject the site as an allocation in the emerging Local Plan, particularly as the Borough Council has not positively sought opportunities to meet the housing needs of their area. As well as increasing the housing land supply, there are potential benefits of conservation and enhancement of the Conservation Area and non-designated heritage assets. The landowner wishes to enter into dialogue with the Borough Council to determine the most appropriate form of housing development for this site in the Local Plan.</p> <p>In relation to the SHLAA tests, the site is:</p> <p>Available Yes - this site was promoted by the land owner who is attempting to progress the site as a development location (Crawley Borough Council SHLAA)</p> <p>Achievable Yes - there would appear to be no economic constraints which would prevent the site being redeveloped for housing (Crawley Borough Council SHLAA). The area of Worth is highly desirable and there would be a strong market for new homes in this area.</p> <p>Suitable The Borough Council consider the site unsuitable for residential development, principally, on the basis of its location, outside the Built-Up Area Boundary. The impact of any development on the character and appearance of the Conservation Area, Archeologically Sensitive Area and SNCI would also need to be carefully considered in addition to flooding issues. (my emphasis Crawley Borough Council SHLAA). The previous SHLAA identified no objection to the principle of housing development of this site but that conservation and nature conservation constraints would be likely to affect the development</p>	

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>potential of the land.</p> <p>Flood Risk In relation to flooding, the Borough Council's SFRA shows a very small area to the south of the site as part of the stream's functional flood plain. As a result a revised area for development was proposed through the SHLAA) to exclude all such land from proposed development. This is not the map shown for Site 13 Land East of Street Hill within the CRAWLEY 2029 - Site Allocation Consultation, June 2013. Consequently, consultation has been undertaken on an incorrect area.</p> <p>The Borough Council's SHLAA indicates that the site is located adjacent to the Gatwick Stream although none of the site falls within functional floodplain (Crawley Borough Council SHLAA).</p> <p>As the site is within an area considered to have the lowest probability of flooding, there can be no reason for not allocating the site for residential development on the grounds of flooding.</p> <p>The Borough Council is again requested to correctly identify Site 13.</p> <p>Conservation Area The site is within the Worth Conservation Area. The Conservation Area was designated to preserve and enhance the rural character and appearance of the open setting of St. Nicholas Church (Worth Conservation Area Statement 2003). The Statement goes on to cite several open spaces and large houses which surround the church, and the amount of tree cover.</p> <p>The NPPF recognises that in Conservation Areas new development opportunities can be achieved without adverse impacts on their character and appearance if proper attention is paid to achieving good design. It would be my client's firm intension to respect the character of the conservation area by</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * retaining a significant part of the upper slope of the valley as open space to protect the rural character and appearance of the open setting of St. Nicholas Church. * reducing the net developable area of the site within his ownership in order to protect the setting of the Grade 1 listed church and retain and enhance tree cover at the margins of the site with substantial open space retained adjoining Worth Way. * there may also be the opportunity to contribute to the general improvements sought as part of the Conservation Area Statement or in connection with the historic parkland identified by the Borough 	

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>Council.</p> <p>The landowner has carefully taken the Borough Council's published assessments of the historic assets into account when considering the impact of a proposal to minimise conflict between the heritage assets conservation and any aspect of the proposal in accordance with the NPPF (Para 129).</p> <p>There can be no objection to the sensitive development of this site for housing development as a result of it being located within a conservation area.</p> <p>Historic Park and Garden The Borough Council has designated the area which includes the site as an Historic Park and Garden. The Borough Council's Historic Parks and Gardens Summary of Research and Officer Recommendations, May 2013 states of this site: The first accurate depiction of the immediate neighbourhood of Worth Church is given with the Ordnance Survey's drawing of 1808. Worth Way is clearly marked as a road north of the church. A rectangular garden or park lies south of the church. Its southern field border is formed by a stream which flows from the east into Gatwick Stream further west. A semi-circular path leads through the parkland from the southwest of the churchyard and then back to the southeast of the churchyard. Features include two fishponds, a moat, and an almost unchanged parkland landscape for the last 200 years.</p> <p>Land East of Street Hill is part of a wider area owned by the same landowner. The proposed development site has been carefully drawn to avoid the key features of the non-designated historic asset. It specifically avoids the area of the original rectangular garden or park to the south of the church and the semi-circular path leading through the parkland from the southwest of the churchyard to the southeast of the churchyard. The site also excludes the fishponds and the moat area from that proposed for development. The site also avoids the belts of trees which form part of the original parkland.</p> <p>The recommendations of the Borough Council's report are:</p> <p>The management recommendations given in 1992 by Marion Finch set out that the southern fields of the Conservation Area need heavier grazing and that alternating between grazing and cutting for hay would be helpful.</p>	

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>Restoration of the pond seems now (2013) even more urgent. Ideally, rhododendron and laurel should be removed from woodland.</p> <p>Ideally, the moated orchard should be restored.</p> <p>One of the benefits of development could be to help implement these recommendations and even improve public access on land within the ownership of the site owner.</p> <p>The NPPF states that local planning authorities should look for opportunities for new development within Conservation Areas to enhance or better reveal their significance. Proposals that preserve those elements of the setting that make a positive contribution to or better reveal the significance of the asset should be treated favourably (NPPF Para 137).</p> <p>The Borough Council's Historic Parks and Gardens are not registered parks and gardens and have no statutory protection. As non-designated heritage assets: The effect of an application on the significance of a non-designated heritage asset should be taken into account in determining the application. In weighing applications that affect directly or indirectly non designated heritage assets, a balanced judgement will be required having regard to the scale of any harm or loss and the significance of the heritage asset (NPPF Para 135). In putting this site forward, careful consideration has been given to the impact of the proposal on a non-designated asset. The site avoids the historic parkland features of significance. Detailed development proposals concerning density, layout and landscaping are not currently determined and are a matter for negotiation between the Borough Council and the landowner. Finally, sensitive development brings the opportunity to enhance and better reveal the significance of the non-designated heritage asset and, in accordance with the NPPF, should be treated favourably.</p> <p>There can be no objection to the principle of development of this site as a result of it being located within a non-designated heritage asset.</p> <p>Site of Nature Conservation Importance The site forms part of a Site of Nature Conservation Importance, designated in 1992. The proposed development area would not</p>	

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>include the ponds or the stream margin, the woodlands, or the upper slope of the valley. The designation is 21 years old and, to my knowledge, has not been actively managed, such that much of the original interest may no longer be present. It would be intended that, as part of development proposals for the site, a management plan would be put in place to promote the preservation, restoration and re-creation of priority habitats, ecological networks and the protection and recovery of priority species populations in accordance with the NPPF (Para 117).</p> <p>There can be no objection to the principle of development of this site as a result of it being located within this Site of Nature Conservation Importance.</p> <p>Visual Impact of development The site is very well screened by significant mature tree belts and woodland. To the west, trees, together with an embankment, restrict views into the site from Street Hill. Extensive woodland also screens the site from the north, east, and to the south around the Mote and along the river. Further native planting is envisaged, particularly to the north of the proposed site in order to form a natural boundary with the open land within my client's ownership.</p> <p>The site borders housing development immediately to the west, a business estate immediately to the south and the motorway to the east. The site to the north is owned by my client who intends to retain its openness as part of the contribution to the character of the Worth Conservation Area.</p> <p>Built up Area Boundary The Borough Council's SHLAA considers the site unsuitable for residential development, principally, on the basis of its location, outside the Built-Up Area Boundary as defined in the Local Plan.</p> <p>As the Borough Council has not positively sought opportunities to meet the housing needs of their area and is not likely to meet those needs, it is imperative that land East of Street Hill is allocated for housing development and a review of the Built Up Area Boundary is undertaken accordingly as part of the Borough Council's current review of the boundary of the town. The definition of the current BUAB leaves insufficient flexibility to enable the local plan to accommodate housing need at Crawley.</p>	

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>The proposal would be to draw the BUAB along the northern extent of the proposed housing site, allowing the northern part of the land in my client's ownership and the church and its surrounds to remain outside the built up area.</p> <p>Conclusion The Borough Council considers that Land East of Street Hill is available and that residential development is achievable on this site.</p> <p>The analysis set out in this submission indicates that there is no overriding reason to reject this site as a housing allocation. The NPPF defines sustainable development as local planning authorities positively seeking opportunities to meet the development needs of their area unless specific policies in the Framework indicate development should be restricted (Para 14). The proposed site has no such restrictions as demonstrated in this submission. Indeed, development of this site would bring the opportunity for enhancements to the Conservation Area and non-designated heritage assets as encouraged by the NPPF.</p> <p>The Borough Council should take a proactive approach to positively planning the development of this site to help meet identified needs. The landowner wishes to enter into dialogue with the Borough Council to determine the most appropriate form of housing development for this site in the Local Plan and the most appropriate associated enhancement measures that can be achieved. There are no insurmountable constraints which prevent land off Street Hill, Worth, Crawley coming forward for housing development within the next 5 or 6 - 10 years.</p> <p>For these reasons the Borough Council is requested to retain the site for housing development in the SHLAA and, following negotiation with the landowner, to promote the site for a suitable housing allocation within the emerging Local Plan to help meet the development needs of their area.</p>	
Valerie Darnley	individual	<p>Crawley 2029 is and will always be a very emotive subject. Whilst everybody wants to protect their own piece of land etc, development has to take place in order for the area to grow and provide for an ever increasing population but it needs to be properly thought out. My own personal thoughts are that the majority of the new proposed housing</p>	<p>Comments noted. The allocation of land for a cemetery is not being taken forward through the Local Plan process and will be addressed separately by the council.</p> <p>For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The</p>

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		sites are OK but I feel very strongly about the cemetery and traveller site. As regards the traveller site, I can't see a problem with the access as it has direct access from the motorway and major A23, the roads are large enough to accommodate the travellers' vehicles whereas Langley Walk is not. Why put a cemetery on a major road site which is already well used and congested at most times and will be even more so when the new Kilnwood Vale housing estate is complete and it is in a residential site. The cemetery would be far better placed down Langley Walk as I've previously stated, it is a lovely area full of trees, birds, wildlife etc.	Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Berry	individual	Crawley at peak time has huge traffic congestion. For example Balcombe Road - promised dual carriage way 40 years ago!! Why was it dropped? Motorway M23 - both junction 10 and 10a have traffic congestion and the link road congested. A23 County Oak, Manor Royal, Gatwick Road - total congestion. CBC should consult on traffic problems with WSCC.	Noted. The transport assessment has considered the impact of new development on the transport network to ensure that any impact of new development on the network is acceptable and where necessary any mitigation measures considered.
Deb	individual	Crawley council gave the travellers a permanent site in cherry lane park a few years ago only to have this abused. The site was made into a public health hazard with human excrement nappies etc dumped over the fence. The brand new adventure playground wooded fort was burned to the ground due to one traveller's child being asked to leave because of poor behaviour. Crime rose rapidly mainly break ins at garages and you want to subject the same area again with this blight. We as residents have a new mosque, a so called drop in centre hospital and the Crawley dump....how much more do you think we can take. Let's share the projects around please or do you just want to destroy Langley green...	Comments noted For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Ian Thurloway	individual	Crawley has a mixed and diverse population, I would urge you not to have traveller sites close to communities it would have a detrimental effect on. I'm sure you will see from other local authority experiences, though we have to provide facilities for the traveller community, it in most cases brings more issues than it resolves. Do we provide for the few or look after the many?	Comment noted. The Housing Act 2004 requires all councils to assess the accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers. Crawley Borough Council conducted a Gypsy and Traveller Needs Assessment in 2012/13, which showed the town requires nine pitches from 2014 to 2029. The council must now identify potential sites to meet these identified needs.
Vicky Rowe	individual	Crawley is a beautiful town to live in, but I believe trying to cram a few houses into small areas of land does the town no justice. I believe the additional housing suggested off Langley Walk will have a huge effect on the area, there are a large number of trees that would possibly be removed and the road network to the site is definitely not suitable for any more traffic, it is a cul de sac which simply can't take more volume	The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years. The council acknowledges the transport/access, noise and flooding issues associated with the Langley Walk site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		of cars.	could be identified for housing. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forward at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Daniel Taylor	individual	Crawley is a depressing enough place as is. Removing green spaces will only make it worse which will in turn lead to lower quality of life and people will respect the town less and there will be more problems with Unsocial Behaviour etc which is already a problem	The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing where their loss can be justified.
B Clayden	individual	Crawley is a high density town and in my opinion it is extremely important to maintain as much green space as possible for the well being of the residents. I understand the need for housing, especially affordable housing but am really concerned with how much more housing Crawley's infrastructure can cope with. Crawley has Kilnwood Vale and the new Pound hill development and I think real consideration needs to be given to the existing residents and the massive pressure the infrastructure will be under as a result of the additional housing. Tilgate is a jewel in Crawley's crown and CBC must do as much as possible to protect this area. Burley's wood removal from the historic register does concern me. Many local residents use this especially dog walkers. I think it is important to keep this precious spaces as safe as possible and whilst some of it is left then lets protect what is left of it.	The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing where their loss can be justified. The development of Burleys Wood however, is not considered acceptable on the basis of loss of valuable public open space.
Tracy Leachman	individual	Crawley is a town, not a city. I think very careful planning needs to be done to remember to conserve the town, and not over-populate it. Traffic is getting worse and worse. This must obviously be considered. Flooding in Langley Green and Ifield is a concern. The possible extension of Gatwick Airport is also going to cause a huge influx of traffic and need for more parking facilities to accommodate holiday-makers will also be increasing. With all the proposed homes in various areas, the infrastructure will need careful considering, for example, local shops, local school intake numbers, road and traffic consideration. Sticking more homes into older suburbs isn't really condusive to the roads built in the 50's. Driving down these roads with so many parked vehicles, is already a maze. The new Maidenbower estate allows for new roads to be planned into the area. Older suburbs just don't cope with that.	Comments noted
Colin Walden	individual	Crawley is a vibrant and fast growing town, and residents look to Crawley Council to continue to make it an attractive place to live with	Comments noted

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		unspoilt areas for recreation close by. Such areas form an important part of local neighbourhood living and add hugely to the quality of local life. Without such areas, Crawley would be poorer, and become a giant, cramped town putting housing density before quality of life.	
Yuonne Gander	individual	Crawley is becoming a concrete wilderness. My green space should be valued and places of historical note should be preserved. Too much of its old history was destroyed when it became a new town. We need a better hospital. We need better services for the people within Crawley now. We have a large enough population now. Any further development of houses will have a drain on facilities and utilities. The issue of many houses being sold as buy and let and each room being rented out to individuals, should be looked at more closely.	The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing where their loss can be justified.
Nicole Eden	individual	Crawley is quickly becoming overcrowded and our beautiful green spaces being swallowed up by housing. is it the time to tell the government that enough is enough - do we really need all these houses? There is so much vacant office space in the town - if housing is needed for singles/couples, could clever conversion be used of these empty properties? There is a block of empty houses near me which have never been occupied. could the council identify and use any other vacant properties.	The Council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years. The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites for housing including existing properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing where their loss can be justified.
Mrs N Brandon	individual	Crawley is very nearly full and 8,000 dwellings figure needed is unrealistic. Our council should be actively working to maintain our town and its green spaces for leisure, health and social well-being. Major concerns over 'reserve' traveller site being proposed within close proximity to residential area. Crime of all descriptions would increase not to mention the environmental hazzards. A site should be sought on the outskirts of the town with main vehicular access.	Comments noted For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Brian M Bell	individual	Crawley should not reduce the number of parks and gardens it has for public use. Burleys Wood: As a local resident I am able to observe the usage of this piece of open space, which may not appear to be of much value to a non resident of the area. It is in continual use by residents for their own exercise and that of their dogs. It is a useful play area for children. It also serves as a reservoir of wild life in what is otherwise a densely populated residential area. I am therefore not in favour of its present designation as Historic Park & Garden being changed.	The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing where their loss can be justified. The development of Burleys Wood however, is not considered acceptable on the basis of loss of valuable public open space. The removal of the Historic Garden designation was on the basis that there was insufficient evidence of the historical value of the land as garden land.

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Emma Salsano	individual	Crawley still needs green space, whilst I understand more house are needed it is important that crawley still has these space to ensure that everyone can enjoy the areas rather than just keep building houses on every green space that is free.	Comments noted
Joanna Wilsdon	individual	<p>Crawleys current infrastructure is unable to cope. We have no serious hospital capacity and East Surrey cannot cope. Without a new hospital with A&E and maternity facilities there is no real point in expanding Crawley. Putting more strain on what is already failing is just going to cost lives. Our green spaces are important and building over them is neither sensible or worthwhile. If you remove them then you end up making this town into a concrete jungle. Whilst living in Langley Green I put in a protest about the building of the new mosque at the end of Martyrs Avenue. The reply to my concern about a three storey building overlooking the back gardens of some houses was replied to by an answer that said there were to be no windows on that side. Seeing the building go up has proved this to be incorrect as the building is mainly glass. There is a full three storey window on that side which removes privacy for these homes.</p> <p>Something has to give and currently it looks very much like building houses is more important than the quality of life the people of Crawley will have. More people means more congestion and lowered services.</p> <p>I understand that the government are putting pressure on the council to get houses built but it's not like the housing going up is council housing that will help the poorer people who are already struggling but housing for people who can afford to buy. It doesn't help those people who have been born and bred here as they can't afford to buy and no council housing means there is nowhere for them to turn. the waiting lists as is for council housing are too long and the housing benefit doesn't come close to covering the actual cost for renting privately. Trying to make these ends meet when on benefits are ridiculous!</p> <p>I hope that someone has a sense of duty and will look into where the people who will live in these new houses are supposed to go when they inevitably need hospital care as they won't be doing well if they end up going to East Surrey. I should know it took 10 days to get me out of the AMU part of the hospital in the respiratory ward as they had no beds available. Not good given the noise on AMU. Little sleep during those noise. I felt completely exhausted by the time I was discharged.</p>	Comments noted. The Council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years. The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites for housing including existing properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing where their loss can be justified.

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Marc Callaway	individual	Definitely a big no to Burleys wood losing its historic park and gardens status. It is a lovely area that I see hundreds of different people using and so it deserves to be protected.	The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing where their loss can be justified. The development of Burleys Wood however, is not considered acceptable on the basis of loss of valuable public open space. The removal of the Historic Garden designation was on the basis that there was insufficient evidence of the historical value of the land as garden land.
Nicole Addley	individual	Development at Tinsley Lane as advised per your letter 31/05/13. We are very concerned about the prospect of this site for development. We have concerns regarding: Access: Unless access is via Crawley Avenue, we cannot see a good access location. Wildlife: The beautiful deer have been stretched to maximum facilities. They have resorted to eating our gardens as their land has been restricted after the tree culling a few years ago. Cemetery: What on [illegible] site for the cemetery? Finally the proposed location is next to Summersvere Wood (home of the deer). If development is made, the local dog walkers, children etc will invade Summersvere Wood and there will be no bolt hole for the deer.	Comments noted. Access to the site is likely to be obtained via Birch Lea providing West Sussex County Council is satisfied with regard to the width of the proposed access road. Summersvere Wood would be protected from development as Ancient Woodland and the development of the site for housing would not have any significant impact on Ancient Woodland. The loss of open space associated with the development would need to be justified in terms of adequate remaining neighbourhood provision.
Andrew Baldwin	individual	Development must be spread around the town. There seems to be an extremely heavy bias towards building houses in the Broadfield/Bewbush/Ifeild areas of Crawley, with very little elsewhere. This is eating up green space in those areas while not affecting the perceived "better" areas of the town, which is very unfair. Also, there is already a huge housing development underway at Faygate, again putting more strain on the infrastructure in the 3 areas mentioned above. The balance needs to be maintained by building in other areas of the town.	Comments noted
Billy Jones	individual	Do not build on playing fields under any circumstances. Crawley does not want a site for gypsies ever, keep them out of the borough	Comments noted. The Council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years. The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites for housing including existing properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing where their loss can be justified..
Neil Perrotten	individual	Do not destroy our beautiful greenlands with new build houses.	Comments noted

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Mr Barry Khan	representative	Do not fall in the trap of allocating the Gypsy special places, as then the other communities could also demand for separate areas ie Hindu Area, siske area, Muslim area etc. This is well integrated society, it taken a long time for this ????. Do not encourage any group to go in no-go area? Muslim can ask to have the whole of Southgate, because they feel the need to live in their own environment. How would the council deal with that? So do not create unnecessary area where you will encounter enormous problems later, the have not been interested to see the results. It will not be good.	Comments noted
Janet Kelley	individual	Dont build Houses or Gypsy Camps in close proximity to already established neighbourhoods. Some of us are living in nice little communities and there is a sense of freedom with the lovely spaces provided for our children. To consider removing these and Building Houses would be detrimental to people's way of living. I know we need more housing for the ever growing community, but find land on the outskirts rather in the middle of towns that are already overdeveloped. I hope and prey someone actually takes notice of us, because people are very frightened of some of the things that are being discussed.	Comments noted. The Council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites for housing including existing properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing where their loss can be justified.
Mr Ayling	individual	dont want gypsy and travellers in my local area thank you	Comments noted
Monique Couchman	individual	EAST OF BRIGHTON ROAD Potential development site This area should NOT be considered for development due to the following reasons: 1. Lots of nature including fox's, deer & spotted woodpecker & other birds (they visit our garden in the evenings from this area) & there are beautiful trees as it leads on to tilgate forest/park 2. we back on to the A23 on the other side & this road gets very very busy & traffic ques back to the K2 leisure centre lots of accidents currently at top of A23 pease pottage roundabout 3. There are not enough green & lovely relaxing places like this in crawley don't destroy what we have left 4. Lots of people work shifts including us the noise pollution would be carried our way this is unacceptable	Comments noted. Protection of open space will be through: Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance. Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities. All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection. The area outside the built up area boundary is the rural/urban fringe where proposals must meet the criteria of policy CH9 which supports proposals which respect the character and role of the area.

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.
Margaret Thompson	individual	Every available space in Ifield has been built on.they even knocked down rusper road school to build posh houses on.	Comments noted
Suzanne Warnes	individual	Everything should be done to protect our existing open spaces	Comments noted. The Council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites for housing including existing properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing where their loss can be justified.
Dr Ivan Clout	individual	Ewhurst Place playing field drains into my moat, stocked with fish & wild fowl.	Comments noted. Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.
BRIND	individual	Ewhurst Playing fields - see comment under that area.	-
Jane Grimshaw	representative	Ewhurst Playing Fields why is there only this option for the new cemetery there is level land at Rathlin Road which does not flood there is a large plot of land the other side of the railway line next to snells hatch, there is the memorial gardens in the town centre and all the land at the Pease Pottage	Comments noted. The allocation of land for a cemetery is not being taken forward through the local plan process and will be dealt with separately by the Council.
Andy Cottee	individual	Feel strongly that ewehurst park should not be developed into a cemetery space. Look for alternatives.	Comments noted. The allocation of land for a cemetery is not being taken forward through the local plan process and will be dealt with separately by the Council.
Gatwick Airport Limited		Gatwick Airport is mindful that new housing site allocations should not include sites which could be affected by noise generated by the airports current operations or any future operations if the airports aspirations for growth with a new runway were to be realised. Housing is a noise sensitive form of development in planning terms and the allocation of sites for housing in the Local Plan must ensure that it duly considers in advance any potential impacts from airport related noise upon the housing allocations proposed for a future residential population.	Comments noted.
Ann	individual	Goffs Park is horrible. Over development has already ruined the Memorial Gardens which is a shame as they commemorate those who gave their lives. Neither is really worthy of historical protection	Comments noted. The Council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years. The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		now at the expense of other sites like Burleys Wood. There must be a hidden agenda in removing the historical protection of these other areas. Is the council limited in how many areas it can designate historical protection to? Must two lose the status to allow the other two to be protected? Can't we just leave some areas as they are for future generations?	brownfield sites for housing including existing properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing where their loss can be justified..
Gary McMahon	individual	Good to see the council consulting with it's paying dwellers. The majority I feel are not in favour of too much abrupt changes to our beautiful green town.	Comments noted
Chrissie Cook	individual	Green spaces are of the upper most important to the local community. Green spaces provide conservation with vegetation and wildlife. Green spaces normally seperate the boundaries. These special places are for the enjoyment of all people and play an important fundamental understanding of nature and freedom to our children. Crawley is proud to be able to show nature and freedom at it's best. The open spaces clearly show our children present in a safe envroment clearly visable to their parents at all times. Bewbush at this present time has the highest % of younger people, Bewbush has the HIGHEST GROUP OF UNDER 16 30% the % is the highest in all Crawley'. The playing fields that run from Breezehurst Drive through to Bewbush Manor have the largest number of 4 beds and the on the first street alone as many as 8 children live in a 3 bedroom,.Please be mindful of the amount of children in both these areas in Bewbush. The impact of freedom to play,local school places, doctors waiting times to be given an appointment and many other basic infrastructures..Pollution and traffic issues.	Comments noted. The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites for housing including existing properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing where their loss can be justified. Adequate infrastructure (including schools, doctors, transport) would also need to be provided. Protection of open space will be through: Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance. Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.
Steven Carrington	individual	Green spaces in Crawley MUST be made available for the communities to use for recreation, housing is important but so is quality of life. Planners need to ensure new communities have adequate outside areas for people to use for sport, walking & for children to play safely.	Comments noted. The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites for housing including existing properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing where their loss can be justified. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.
Fouzia Elhakim	individual	Gypsy and travellers should not live in Crawley!!!!!! there is plenty of land in other counties Crawley is becoming over crowded as it is.	Comments noted. The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites for housing including existing properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing where their loss can be justified.
Nick Rudge	individual	Gypsy and travellers site in Langley walk, I am strongly opposed to this, Langley Greens local facilities are already full and over subscribed, just look at the recent local school applications in Langley green, and preschool. Parking around Langley green at the best of times isn't easy and all of the extra traffic coming and going down 1 small residential road will create lots more traffic problems and noise to the local residents and local animals at the farm.	Comments noted. The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years. The council acknowledges the transport/access, noise and flooding issues associated with the Langley Walk site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy site at Langley Walk is not being taken forward at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Rob Pincott	individual	Gypsy sites ALWAYS cause problems for local people. The cost of property plummets and the crime rate increases. If you were given a choice about having a Gypsy site close to your house you would say the same. It is very unfair that in this modern world Travelers are allowed to mingle with people who pay their taxes and try to be law abiding citizens and very little is done to police them. If Travelers like to travel, then travel to more spacious countries and live how they wish without upsetting the majority.	Comments noted. No planning issues raised.
Gemma	individual	Gypsy/ travellers site in Langley green will cause a lot of concern to local residents. Also local facilities are already overcrowded like schools so many locals did not get their chosen school places this year	The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including the needs of Gypsies and Travellers. However, for a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forward at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Stephen Hill	individual	Hands of Burleys Wood - it is the only green space in a huge estate	Comments noted.
Steve	individual	Has there not been enough development already in Crawley If it carries on it will be run down and go into a bad state like Croydon Ifield Park I believe has been there since the Doomsday book and that	Comments noted. The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years.

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		always gets quoted in facts It seems like the Council want to take away all the history of the area and turn it into concrete jungle Just what we need.	The development of Burleys Wood however, is not considered acceptable on the basis of loss of valuable public open space. The removal of the Historic Garden designation was on the basis that there was insufficient evidence of the historical value of the land as garden.
Doris Tozen	individual	Having been born in Crawley 78 years ago I find it is no longer the place of beauty it once was. It has grown far bigger than was intended, has too much concrete, There being so many rented properties it has swelled the population far too much. We do not need so many house built as it will mean a much greater drain to local services.	Comments noted. The Council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years.
Derek Hill	individual	Housing development is important but we must keep our playing fields. Tilgate Park, Memorial Gardens, Goffs Park, Ifield Park, Ifield Brook Meadows and Rusper Road playing fields should be protected at all costs.	Comments noted. Protection of open space will be through: Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance. Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities. All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.
Kathleen Baker	individual	Housing in Langley Walk-The lanes and footpaths bordering this area of proposed new housing are used by many people in the surrounding neighborhoods as a pleasant local walk as at the moment they resemble country lanes-new housing would remove this amenity.This area is also important for wildlife, there are owls, foxes and deer.	Comments noted. The need for a Gypsy and Traveller site balanced with the aim of conserving and enhancing Crawley's urban/rural fringe will be considered. Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.
Mrs Gillian Burley	individual	Housing: East of Brighton Road. There should not be housing included in this area. It will result in a large part of Tilgate Forest being removed which will be detrimental to wildlife as well as the park. There are few areas of the main park that dog walkers can walk in without potentially upsetting other park users. The forest has a wild personality and is one of the few places that people can walk with out seeing lots of other people. By continually	Comments noted. Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible. The area outside the built up area boundary is the rural/urban fringe where proposals must meet the criteria of policy CH9 which supports proposals which respect the character and role of the area.

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		taking pieces of the forest away we will one day only end up with the manicured park and will have lost the treasure that is Tilgate forest. The forest is use by youth groups to teach young people about the enviroment and skill such as orientiering. We constantly hear the the obiesity in the younger generation is a problem and the council are preparing to take away the facilities that we have at the moment.The presure on the A23 past K2 with traffic is at times extremly heavy, it is highly unlikely that the road could cope with any more cars and would just grind to a halt, with people unable to get into the town centre. The increase of population will put an impossible burden on the water infrustrcture as well as the schools, Doctors and hospitals. Crawley cannot cope with to many more people before it erodes the quality of life that the present of the present inhabitants.	
Kathleen Lutley	individual	How can we accommodate a large increase in housing, as water supplies are limited, Hospitals and Doctors cannot cope with existing population and roads in the area are often frequently so busy that emergency vehicles cannot travel to emergencies. Kiln Wood is being built but no new hospitals are being planned and the hospital at Red Hill cannot cope now with present population. Crawley Town was never meant to be this big and the spirit of the town is being destroyed through over development.	Noted. The Borough Council has liaised with the infrastructure providers to ensure that they are aware of the future development planned in Crawley so that they can take this into account in their future plans.
Mr Robin Anton	individual	How strange that the council try to keep these things quiet instead of advertising it more widely to the people of Crawley???	The consultation was widely advertised in the local media and online. Local newspapers publicised the consultation and the council issued press releases, and numerous social media updates. Further to this the consultation was in the local magazine, Crawley Live, which is circulated to all properties within the borough. Each site included in the consultation that had a proposed allocation had site notices placed on the site boundary in visible locations. Further to this, all properties immediately adjacent to the allocations received site specific letters notifying them of the proposals, the consultation, and how they can respond. The council took all reasonable measures to ensure everyone was aware of the consultation and had the opportunity to participate and let us know what they thought.
Anna Coe	individual	I agree that no housing should be built west of Ifield on the flood plain and on an area of natural beauty. I think the council could look at putting more housing in the town centre. Some of the office blocks for example Overline House and the one opposite could be used for high quality flats. Hemel Hempstead has a good example of this in the old	Comments noted. The council is actively seeking to promote the development of sites for housing, however, much of the existing office space requires to be protected for commercial development to meet the employment needs of the Borough over the plan period.

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		Kodak tower.	
Peter Wakeham	individual	<p>I agree with other residents comments in the area about retirement homes over looking a cemetery being a depressing sight, along with the rest of the comments.</p> <p>I would also like to say</p> <p>The proposed site is much to near a school. Parents use the car park at least twice a day. You can imagine the noise generated by these children being dropped off, not conducive to persons attending a funeral. Also you can hear playground noise morning, dinner time and afternoon during playtimes, not nice background noise for a solemn occasion so much for a calm and peaceful setting. It also has very busy roads on all three sides of the site.</p> <p>Apparently plans say that they are going to start burials at the far end of the site and one of the reasons for proposing this site was it already had toilet and parking facilities. Both these facilities are at the School and Retirement home end!</p> <p>It is a well use playing field and deserves to be left as is.</p> <p>The Crematorium has a peaceful setting surely people being buried have the same right.</p>	Comments noted. The provision of a cemetery is not being taken forward through the Local Plan process and will be taken forward separately by the council.
Kevin Walker	individual	<p>I am a Horsham resident and believe it only right to protect the small market town history and do all we can to prevent Crawley and Horsham being so close that some boundaries of Crawley see Horsham as the go to town. Development of Crawley should go North and East since there appears potential land opportunities heading to Gatwick and the east side of the M23.</p>	Comments noted
Clarke-Jones	individual	<p>I am a property owner and live on the border of Horsham. Development here would ruin our outlook, our happiness and destroy the value of our property for which I will demand the highest of compensation, a grant to move and help with paying a new mortgage. How much more green space and rural land will you destroy before looking back and realise what a horror you are creating of a once green and pleasant place?</p>	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>
Maeve Weller	individual	<p>I am against the proposed site at Broadfield Kennels for a fixed Travellers Site. I live in the surrounding community of this site and do not want this site here. The effect of this site will cause many</p>	Comments noted

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		problems for the people who live here.	
Pretti Amin	individual	I am concerned about my safety with the extended development to house people from other counties having to be housed here and gypsies living here for free. Will the police support any crimes or arguments caused by gypsies. The council doesn't improve Crawley borough with the general town cuts bus routes increasing the bus fares to a stupidly high prices and with this development and to find it the locals will have to pay a higher price for everything.	Comments noted
Terry Wheller	individual	I am concerned about the proposed development of Bewbush west playing fields, it a very nice area at the moment and the fields and the path that run along side the kilnwood vale development are very scenic and important to local residents, i feel strongly that this area should be kept untouched	Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.
Luke Warren	individual	I am concerned about the proposed site at bewbush playing fields. these fields are in constant use by local families who enjoy the fact that there is a safe place for young children to play. and was one of the biggest reasons why we decided to move to the area to lose this field would have a big negative affect on local residence. IF the building works WERE to go ahead I would consider moving as I believe it will spoil the local community and would no longer be an area that I would want my children growing up in...	Comments noted The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.
Alison Drury	individual	I am concerned about the traveller site and housing development proposed on Langley walk as this is a quiet road with large grass verges on which children play. I am concerned that the road traffic will increase in volume and speed with little care taken for the children. The surrounding roads leading off Langley Walk are small with sharp bends and parking on both sides. As they stand increases in road traffic would cause chaos, if these roads are widened it will change the character of the area.	The Council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including the needs of Gypsies and Travellers. However, for a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forward at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.
David Gates	individual	<p>I am concerned that the council seem prepared to sacrifice playing fields all over town.</p> <p>Tinsley lane not only have recreation sites , but also borders on woodland that is full of wildlife which frequently roams free on this land . There are also many trees and flower species in this area which would be endangered through further development</p>	<p>The development of Tinsley Lane would not involve loss of Ancient Woodland (Summervere Wood) and the ecological impact of developing the site would also need to be considered acceptable before the site could be identified for housing.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>
Jean Austin	individual	I am disappointed that empty buildings (primarily offices) are not able to be used for housing, even on a temporary basis. I know tax laws etc make it easier for owners to keep buildings empty than to allow change of use - please lobby to change this! Some older properties, formerly domestic homes, are now offices, and often the upstairs space is empty or used for storage.	Comments regarding use of commercial space noted, however, much of the commercial land including existing offices/vacant and derelict land, needs to be retained for employment generating uses to meet the economic needs of the borough over the Plan period.
Mr Peter Blake	individual	I am honestly amazed by both Crawley & Horsham's ability to build on green-belt farm land.....always thought these areas were sacrosanct & forbidden by law. It seems when it is expedient or profitable, the law of the land can be circumnavigated with ease.	Comments noted. The Council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites for housing including existing properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing where their loss can be justified.
Alice Crane	individual	<p>I am opposed to al development within the town for the following reasons:</p> <p>i,§ The new town was designed with sufficient green space, so how can there now be too many of them? They are a necessary requirement of a large town.</p> <p>i,§ Destruction of playing fields is inconsistent with current health advice that we need to be more physically active.</p> <p>i,§ Many species of native wildlife are now on danger: developing</p>	Comments noted. The Council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites for housing including existing properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing where their loss can be justified in terms of ecological and environmental impact.

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>green spaces will only exacerbate the problem.</p> <p>“ It is basic scientific knowledge that vegetation is essential in supporting life “ so why destroy it?</p> <p>“ The town is already overpopulated, and there is not enough jobs for those already living here. More people may want to live in Crawley “ but what are they going to live on?</p>	<p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the council’s standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>
Alyna Trotman	individual	<p>I am opposed to the proposed additional Housing in Langley Walk because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> “ Langley Walk, and surrounding roads. The road infrastructure is not capable of taking any more traffic. Currently parking is on one side of each road and vehicles make their way in and out to proceed down the road. The passing in the street ensure that the road is single lane. “ The two lanes leading into the proposed area are public footpaths this would need to be re-sited as they are in continued use by the community “ Access to the site whilst construction takes place will be limited by Langley Walk. “ The area also is ancient historic woodland and the surrounding area is also designated as one of outstanding natural beauty and a nature conservation area “ It should also be noted that there is constant flooding in the area and at times becomes impassable “ The water run off around the properties in the said area is ditched and although these work their way to the brook over at Willoughby fields flooding still occurs. “ With any new housing development there needs to be a huge new infrastructure and we believe that costs will be prohibitive pertaining to this site as opposed to other sites in Crawley “ Due to the site being so close to the current housing noise debris etc. from the construction site will blight the area ad also cause problems for the community. “ If the airport 2nd runway goes ahead the new housing site will be blighted through noise pollution “ Possible health issues due to kerosene from aircraft 	<p>Comments noted. The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including the needs of Gypsies and Travellers. However, for a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forward at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council’s preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan</p> <p>The area outside the built up area boundary is the rural/urban fringe. Crawley’s compact nature and attractive setting including accessible countryside for recreation is sought (see CH9 and CH11)</p> <p>n.b This site does not contain ancient woodland.</p>
Sarah de Sousa	individual	<p>I am strongly opposed to the proposed plans for a travellers site in Crawley. We are not a dumping ground. The whole point of being claqssified as a traveler is that you actually travel!!! If they want to</p>	<p>Comments noted. The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. The Local Plan</p>

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		'settle' then they should buy their own land or rent/buy a home	seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites for housing including existing properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing including Gypsy and Traveller needs where their loss can be justified in terms of ecological and environmental impact.
family Carvajal Hickmet	individual	I am totally against the creation of a travellers site in Langley Lane - totally inappropriate for the travellers themselves who would much rather have more freedom than being hemmed in	Comments noted. The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including the needs of Gypsies and Travellers. However, for a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forward at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan
Lee Message	individual	I am totally against travellers in Crawley. I personal feel the money should be used to better Crawley how about something for the youth of today to do and to go to as well.	Comments noted. The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites for housing including existing properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing including Gypsy and Traveller needs where their loss can be justified in terms of ecological and environmental impact.
Mr R Davis	individual	I am very concerned about all what's going on with the local planning sites within Crawley.....	Comments noted
David Margetts	individual	I am very concerned with the cemetery on Ewhurst playing field due to the traffic congestion this would cause with long lines of funeral cortÃges turning into the site and blocking lfield drive which is already one of the busiest neighbourhood main roads in the town as a resident opposite the field i would find it depressing having funerals as close as 50 feet from my living room window could the council assure me my property value would not be compromised as for having to go out of the town for another burial site that's exactly what cremations have to do anyway as the crematorium is on the outskirts of the town as for being close to Snell Hatch with the A23 in between it might as well be miles away so dog walkers kids playing lose another field to use dos'nt seem right to me.	Comments noted. The Provision of a cemetery is not being taken forward through the Local Plan process and is being dealt with separately by the council. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Mrs Thomas	individual	I am very unhappy about the allocation of Langley Walk as a proposed site for Travellers and I feel that this would be very detrimental to an area that has already had its fair share of issues and is only just, after a lot of work and regeneration, beginning to improve. I would not have purchased a property in this area if I had been aware of these plans at that time	Comments noted. The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including the needs of Gypsies and Travellers. However, for a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forward at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Mrs J Frost, on behalf of Ifield Village Conservation Area Advisory Committee (IVCAAC)	representative	I am writing on behalf of Ifield Village Conservation Area Advisory Committee (IVCAAC) - a group of about 10 people. The consultation highlights the lack of land available in Crawley and the need to balance conflicting demands. We have commented more on Ifield aspects as we know this part well. We have used the 'don't know' option on housing sites in the rest of the town as it is difficult to know the constraints and impact when not living in those parts of the town. None of our members has knowledge of the history of Ifield Park Gardens, so cannot add further information to agree or disagree with CBC's proposal. We understand that CBC has been unable to find evidence of any significant history. Please note that the personal details given on the final page refer to me and not to the whole of IVCAAC - it will not be valid to enter them into the survey data	Comments noted
Christine Baker	individual	I appreciate that homes are needed and it must be difficult to find suitable sites to build on. But we appear to be losing our green sites and will eventually end up with all building and no play / park areas.	Comments noted. The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites for housing including existing properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing including Gypsy and Traveller needs where their loss can be justified in terms of recreational, historical, ecological and environmental impact.
Harold Baker	individual	I appreciate the difficulty in pleasing everybody on these difficult decisions, but at the end of the day it seems to me that all open spaces will be gone very soon. None of these proposals will affect me in my lifetime but it will affect future generations.	Comments noted. The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites for housing including existing properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing including Gypsy and Traveller needs where their loss can be justified

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			in terms of recreational, historical, ecological and environmental impact.
Karen Marshall	individual	I appreciate Travellers have to go somewhere, but more consideration should be given to the impact/blight on the nearby residents	Comments noted
CBC	Representative of Gypsy community.	I as a gypsy feel that a permanent site for local families for the traveller community would help with many culture problems with local living. I have personal experience of living on an around site and with culture beliefs, I feel a lot of travellers would like to see families on sites.	Comments noted
Ryan Richardson	individual	I believe brighton road east is an area of natural beauty that is part of the tilgate forest area and is used regularly by the surrounding community. please go to this area and see just how beautiful it is...often there are deer wandering through the bracken stopping briefly to acknowledge your presence as you walk through there home.. in the spring time my daughter marvels at the sea of bluebells that illuminate the area for days and the aroma of the flowers wafting through the air is a yearly treat for my family and others that i have spoken to that use this area.. people that do not explore this part of crawley are truly missing out on something special and those of us that do have a little slice of heaven that we should protect at all costs so future generations can see we understood the importance of living alongside nature not above it.	Comments noted. The area outside the built up area boundary is the rural/urban fringe where proposals must meet the criteria of policy CH9 which supports proposals which respect the character and role of the area.
TONY MINIHANE	individual	I believe that new estates can be extended as they have only ever been new estates (broadfield, bewbush even lfield west (although i doubt there is anywhere to extend that to) The existing older areas of crawley are where people have chosen to live due to the semi rural locations. Building new estates adjacent to these areas would bring busier roads (which are already un loved by the county council and highways authorities), and would de-value the existing semi rural properties. I believe that there would be many many successful claims against the council for the devaluation of these properties. This has happened in other districts in the UK and would certainly	Comments noted

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		happen in parts of crawley	
Neil Wetherall	individual	I believe that the removal of historic status for ifield park should not be granted as it has been in existence in one form or another since 1453 and the development would damage wild life and the removal of what must be considered ancient trees. Why not develope the site as a public gardens to enhance the area and turn it over to native wild life? Any housing or traveller site would place a strain on the areas limited services and I would consider it nothing short of vandalism	The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing where their loss can be justified. The removal of the Historic Garden designation at lfield Park was on the basis that there was insufficient evidence of local historical features to protect. There are a number of mature trees on this site which are protected by tree preservation orders. The rest of the site contains a small amount of landscaping and development. n.b the site is privately owned.
Janet Fox	individual	I believe that this site at Broadfield Kennels will be unsuitable due to the steep access to this site on a very busy bypass. Also as a local resident near this proposed site I am concern as to how this may effect effect the value of my property. We already have problems with rally bikes riding on the bridal path and it has been identified by the police who we have to keep calling out, that some of this is due to local gypsyies who are living locally. I also feel that placing this site so near to Buchan Park could cause problems within this area.	Comments noted. The Highway Authority would need to be convinced that the site could be safely accessed and this may require modifications to the current arrangements. The positive or negative impacts of appropriate development proposals are not generally a planning matter
Mikchael W. Pickett	individual	I believe the council should be very careful in granting permission for more flats to be built in the town, particularly Southgate, which has the highest concentration of such homes. Housing for family occupation should be the priority. Also, there should be a county wide plan that is driven by needs not just abstract targets. And finally, there should be much greater effort put into identifying historic parts of 'old' Crawley for designation as conservation areas.	Comments noted. The Historic Parks and Gardens Report undertaken by the Sussex Gardens Trust reviewed potential historic parks. A detailed review of the borough has been undertaken over the past few years an on 3 April 2013 two new conservation areas were designated and three extensions to conservation areas were also designated. The council will continue to review its conservation areas from time to time.
John Harris	individual	I believe the designation of Burley Wood should remain unchanged as I find it difficult to comprehend why government and local councils appear to be turning our town into a 'concrete jungle' by developing every spare piece of land that becomes available. Natural resources such as water and roads do not generally have upgrades planned for a district that already suffers water shortages and traffic congestion. This community in Pound Hill is certainly not one that would welcome Gypsy/Travellers living on its door step where nominated sites such as the old dog kennels near Broadfield should offer a more appropriate location allowing them space and distance from responsible house owners and communities who have a respect for	Comments noted. The Council proposes to remove the designation of Burley Wood as a historic park and garden for the reasons given in the Historic Parks and Gardens Report, largely due to lack of evidence of its historical parkland significance. The development of Burleys Wood however, is not considered acceptable on the basis of loss of valuable public open space. The Council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including the needs of Gypsies and Travellers. However, for a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forward at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		property and law and order. I would appreciate being informed as to the progress of the Burley Wood issue and when the council expect to make their decision.	preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan
Julie Overall	individual	I can appreciate the urgent need for more housing but with the new estate being built in close proximity to us and your proposal of more dwellings which will basically merge into one massive estate we need to preserve what little green space we have. The fields are used by many of the residents from other parts of Bewbush not just those adjacent to the playing fields so this will impact on many people. We have no green space within our immediate area	<p>Comments noted. The Council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites for housing including existing properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing needs where their loss can be justified in terms of ecological and environmental impact.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>
Peter James Beckley	individual	I can not understand the decision to put food outlets in Memorial Gardens. As well as the significance of the gardens as a memorial, there are numerous food outlets within EASY walking distance of the gardens (Sainsburys, Marks & Spencer, County Mall). I strongly believe the gardens should be preserved as a refuge from the busy shopping environment to be enjoyed by workers and shoppers.	Comments noted
Anna Smart	individual	I cannot say whether certain parks should be historic because I don't know what the implications of this are - is it in name only or does it have other implications?	Comments noted. The removal of Historic Parkland designations on a number of locations in Crawley has been undertaken on the basis that there is insufficient evidence to justify their status as historic parkland. The park/gardens that are designated contain important features that any development proposal must have regard to. See policy CH17.
Clive Thompson	individual	I cannot stress strongly enough my concerns over the future of the land "west of Ifield". Please refer to my exchange of correspondence today with Chris Oxlade of CBC. It seems logical that Kilnmead Vale once built should be supported by Crawley's services following a boundary change. I support this idea, with the acquisition of adjacent land to the north to meet up with Lowfield Heath. I also advocate the benefit of the construction of a	Comments noted. A western relief road was not justified on the basis of Kilnwood Vale alone.

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		single carriageway (two directions) lane with, say, a 40mph speed limit to mark the new boundary. This could ease some of Crawley's traffic congestion as it would create a short cut for people traveling to/from Gatwick Airport from the Horsham direction.	
Michael Parker	individual	I definaty think the travellers camp should be completley out of the question	Comments noted. The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including the needs of Gypsies and Travellers. However, for a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy site at Langley Walk is not being taken forward at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan
Mrs G Allan	individual	I didn't see any area to comment on the removal of removal of Historic designation to Burley's Wood; WHY? I would like to strongly OBJECT to this being removed; I have been a resident of this area of Crawley for the last 21 years and have constantly used this area; I have NOT seen any change to Burleys wood in the last 21 years, so therefore can not understand why it should be removed (I can only guess for the future purpose of exposing this green area for some developer to take!). This IS a key historic reminder of the Carbbet Park Estate which can still be accessed by an underpass from Burleys Wood (your report mentioned that it was isolated from the main estate). It is vital that we protect these historic areas for the future of Crawley regardless of them having or not historical features; we need to preserve any small remaining history; taking this away will be detrimental. This area is still used today by equestrians from the Carbbet Park Livery; again this being part of the history of this piece of land.	Comments noted. The removal of Historic Parkland designations on a number of locations in Crawley has been undertaken on the basis that there is insufficient evidence to justify their status as historic parkland. The development of Burleys Wood however, is not considered acceptable on the basis of loss of valuable public open space.
Sharon Harris	individual	I do not believe any development should be to the detriment of existing residents. yes there is a need for housing but it should not be at the expense of unsettling existing residents who have a need and rights to. Many who have lived and been loyal to the town for many years. There has to be a time when housing development has to stop eventually - Crawley is like an overflowing glass. It is ironic that in the London Boroughs they have started to appreciate the need for grenn and planted areas to improve the quality and health of residents - replacing buildings with open space - a place to breath I believe one if the expressions used.	Comments noted. The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites for housing including existing properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing including Gypsy and Traveller needs where their loss can be justified in terms of recreational, ecological and environmental impact.

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Mrs Shona Callus	individual	<p>I do not believe that the current road structure around lfield (namely the Rusper Road) could support any further traffic, which would be caused by building or placing a traveller's site on lfield Park. It is a very narrow road, and often feels dangerous enough already when cycling down it without adding to the traffic.</p> <p>I would also be interested to know what "However, any areas of valued landscaping or specific trees will be reflected in planning policy" means in practical terms for this site as specifics are not given. For example is the original house listed? Are any of the trees protected</p>	<p>Please note that the sites allocation consultation did not propose housing or a traveller site on this land. It has been included as it is proposed to remove the Historic Parks & Garden designation. The removal of the Historic Garden designation was on the basis that there was insufficient evidence of the historical value of the land as garden.</p> <p>There are a number of mature trees on this site which are protected by tree preservation orders (TPO). The rest of the site contains a small amount of landscaping and development. The TPO will ensure that they are protected if any development proposals were to come forwards.</p> <p>Hoyland House is not currently listed.</p>
Anonymous	individual	<p>I do not think that allowing travellers to live in our town will be beneficial at all.</p> <p>I believe It will cost the council money having to clean up after them, and use valuable police resources with an increase in crime.</p> <p>I also believe it will affect property values in our town as it will put off potential buyers from coming to our town and having travellers living near their potential new homes could be the deciding factor to move to an area such as Horsham district or Horley/ Redhill/ Reigate instead.</p> <p>I do not mean to be prejudiced but the fact of the matter is, many people are, and many people would not want to buy a property in an area near travellers. In my experience they are loud violent people that commit crimes, avoid taxes and have no respect for the community or land they are staying in.</p>	<p>Comments noted. The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites for housing including existing properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing including Gypsy and Traveller needs where their loss can be justified in terms of ecological and environmental impact.</p>
Roy Heather	individual	<p>I do not think that the council should consider further development amongst existing housing. We all need a degree of space, not to live where we have little privacy and have to tolerate more & more noise & pollution.</p> <p>The expansion of Gatwick will be bad enough.</p> <p>Building more houses means more people using our already overstretched services.</p> <p>Quality of life is important. Stop destroying what we have.</p>	<p>Comments noted. The Council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites for housing including existing properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing including Gypsy and Traveller needs where their loss can be justified in terms of recreational, ecological and environmental impact.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. This may involve enhancing the quality of existing open spaces and facilities to</p>

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			encourage greater use of them.
Richard FLINT	individual	I do not want any Gypsy Sites in Crawley. The areas you propose are unsuitable & are near populated & well established housing.	Comments noted. The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites for housing including existing properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing including Gypsy and Traveller needs where their loss can be justified in terms of ecological and environmental impact.
Stephen Bluck	individual	I do not want anymore properties built around the Bewbush area. We need the parks and dog walking areas around here. If houses are built across the road from me my views would be gone. We only bought our house for the views and my property value would decrease by loads and the council wouldn't compensate for the amount we would lose. Our children only have Bewbush Park to play safely in and where we can see them. So I totally oppose your ridiculous idea.	<p>Comments noted. The Council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites for housing including existing properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing including Gypsy and Traveller needs where their loss can be justified in terms of recreational, ecological and environmental impact.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>
Mr FW Hofmann	individual	I do not want Travellers or Gypsies in Langley Green...	Comments noted. The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including the needs of Gypsies and Travellers. However, for a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy site at Langley Walk is not being taken forward at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Gordon Murray	individual	I don't agree that our green spaces should go. Crawley is a good green place to be and too many people live here now.	Comments noted. The Council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. The Local Plan

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			<p>seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites for housing including existing properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing including Gypsy and Traveller needs where their loss can be justified in terms of recreational, ecological and environmental impact.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>
ALAN WINNING	individual	<p>I DONT THINK LANGLEY WALK IS SUITABLE FOR ANYMORE DEVELOPMENT ALREADY VEHICLES ARE PARKED ON GRASS VERGES AND MORE TRAFFIC WOULD CREATE MORE PROBLEMS AS YOU HAVE TO DRIVE VERY SLOWLY AS THERE IS A LOT OF SMALL CHILDREN LIKELY TO RUN OUT IN FRONT OF YOU ALSO OF A NIGHT YOU COULD FIND DEER IN THE ROAD WHICH WOULD DISAPPEAR WITH INCREASED POPULATION AND TRAFFIC .LANGLEY WALK THROUGH TO CHERRY LANE IS VERY POPULAR FOR HORSE RIDING;CRAWLEY SHOULD BE PROUD OF LANGLEY WALK AS EVERBODY WHO VISITS SAYS HOW WELL KEPT THE COUNCIL AND THE RESIDENTS KEEP IT I THINK IT WOULD BE A SHAME TO RUIN THIS WITH INCREASED BUILDING AND POPULATION</p>	Comments noted.
Mr P Furniss	individual	<p>I feel any already designated Historic Parks and Gardens sites should be maintained. Huge areas of land have and will be put to housing use so we should maintain as many smaller sites of environmental and nature conservation as possible not reduce them further. They provide enormous benefit to the flora and fauna of their respective areas</p>	<p>Comments noted. The Council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites for housing including existing properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing including Gypsy and Traveller needs where their loss can be justified in terms of recreational, ecological and environmental impact.</p> <p>It is considered that maintaining a designation that does not include features of local historical importance would undermine the designation and create confusion for applications within those areas.</p>

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Ettore	individual	I feel as a guest in this country and I appreciate everything you do.	Comments noted
Susan Wain	individual	I feel strongly that we should not further develop in Bewbush. New homes are being provided in Waterfield Gardens and in Dorsten Square and I do not feel that we should take away the few open spaces that are left in this area. This area of Crawley already has a large population and the few green areas make a huge difference to the lives of families living here. There must be less populated areas in Crawley where smaller developments could be built instead of adding to the "poorer" parts of the town which, in turn, will makes these areas worse again.	<p>Comments noted. The Council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites for housing including existing properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing including Gypsy and Traveller needs where their loss can be justified in terms of ecological and environmental impact.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>
Christopher Pearmund	individual	I feel that before any further new developments are considered within the residential areas on the northern side of town, the roads need to be improved. Most roads in Langley Green are effectively single lane due to parked cars. When the roads are full, people park on the grass verges causing damage. Measures should be taken to improve traffic flow and reduce antisocial and illegal parking.	Comments noted.
Peter Lashwood	individual	I feel that building of houses and they gypsy site at Langley Walk would not help the natural beauty of the area and would also not help the wildlife which we should be trying to preserve. I also think it would be too close to the airport and noise would not be ideal.	Comments noted. The Council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including the needs of Gypsies and Travellers. However, for a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy site at Langley Walk is not being taken forward at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Lisa-Maire King	individual	I feel that having gypsy sites would benefit all counties of the community because Crawley is a mixed group of different beliefs.	Comments noted
Mrs Fiona Lashwood	individual	I feel that Langley Walk are should not be built on because of poor road access which would cause a lot or problems to the residents. Also the green and woodland areas need to be preserved for the wildlife that exist there and as there has been a great deal of talk	Comments noted The Council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including the needs of Gypsies and Travellers. However, for a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		about this problem in the news it would be a great shame for this to disappear	site at Langley Walk is not being taken forward at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Marion Holman	individual	I feel that the traveller site in Langley Green is very short sited much too small and very near to existing communities	Comments noted. The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including the needs of Gypsies and Travellers. However, for a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy site at Langley Walk is not being taken forward at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Mr M Watkins	individual	i fill if travellers were to move to broadfield i would have to sell my house and move outside the area after 24 years of living in broadfield . i know what trouble they are and DONT wont them living near us	Comments noted. The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including the needs of Gypsies and Travellers. However, for a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy site at Langley Walk is not being taken forward at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Terence Barnes	individual	I find it incredible that playing fields are once again under threat when so many empty office blocks are taking up space in the town. Plenty of the old industrial estate could be re-designated for housing.	Comments noted. The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites for housing including existing properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing including Gypsy and Traveller needs where their loss can be justified in terms of recreational, ecological and environmental impact.
Juliet McCaffery Secretary Sussex Traveller Action Group	representative	I have commented on Sussex Traveller Action Group's behalf in the appropriate section	Comment noted.
Kate Sellers	individual	I have great concerns about the use of all the green playing field space in Bewbush. The playing fields outside Skelmersdale walk are in constant daily use by dog walkers and children and are an important part of the local community. I believe that the area by the old pavilion could easily be developed for housing whilst retaining the other green space for the community. Many local people walk through this space as part of a picturesque walk to Buchan Park. Furthermore the use of this space compromises the many young families in the area who have very small back yards and use the	Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		green space for their children to run and play in. The development of the land would block the light for the houses in Skelmersdale walk. However, most concerning is that the playing field provides a run off for the surface water of locals streams etc... The development of this would make the land liable for flooding and put existing housing at risk of flooding.	of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study. The Environment Agency Flood Zone maps and the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment has identified the broad areas of flooding in this area. Local Plan policies will ensure any development is designed to take into account the existing and potential flooding of the site, including ensuring that surface water drainage is taken into account in the design process to ensure that the risk of flooding is not increased elsewhere.
Hannah Phillips	individual	I have lived here in my home for over 20 Years & feel that it would be awful to have houses at the back of me we would lose all our privacy.	Comments noted.
Catherine Chamberlin	individual	I have lived in lfield for 32 years and do not want my environment damaged by development or the opening of a 2nd runway. Leave us in peace.	Comments noted
Charlie Wright	individual	I have never met a polite gypsy. They don't pay council tax or any kind of tax whilst the rest of us work and contribute to society.They should not be given land, full stop. I own a horse at Langley Green Farm and would be concerned for her safety as in the past a horse has been stolen by gypsies and the general litter and trouble they will cause will put our yard at risk. If this ludicrous decision goes ahead it will seriously devalue the houses opposite, putting tax payers and descent people out of pocket.	Comments noted. The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites for housing including existing properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing including Gypsy and Traveller needs where their loss can be justified in terms of recreational, ecological and environmental impact. The area outside the built up area boundary is the rural/urban fringe where proposals must meet the criteria of policy CH9 which supports proposals which respect the character and role of the area.
Ronnie Armstrong	individual	I have no idea about all other areas so I had to answer don't know. I'M ONLY INTERESTED IN NOT HAVING TRAVELERS IN CRAWLEY ANY WHERE IN CRAWLEY!!!!	Comments noted. The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites for housing including existing properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing including Gypsy and Traveller needs where their loss can be justified in terms of ecological and environmental impact.
Mr. John Stuart Muckelroy	individual	I have not included Goffs Park for inclusion in the list of historic parks and gardens for protection because I am concerned about the safety of the railway level crossings across the Brighton Road and the Horsham Road. Abolishing this crossings would be expensive and cause alot of inconvenience but may be essential for the future	Network Rail is responsible for the management of the level crossings. Network Rail are seeking to improve safety at all level crossings nationally but the council is not aware of specific proposals in Crawley.

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		development and safety of Crawley. The only solution I can suggest would involve excavation the track so that the railway could be put in a cutting and a bridge built over the cutting. The Brighton Road crossing would have to be closed because it is too close to Crawley station and, to handle the extra traffic, the Horsham Road crossing made dual carriageway which might mean reducing the size of Goffs Park.	
Chris Oakley	individual	<p>I have serious concerns about allowing travellers in the Crawley area a particular site it cost over 10 million for one council to get rid of some illegal travellers who took advantage of their local council and set up shop next to a legal site... for this reason I wouldn't even consider it. However since CBC is hell bent on allowing these people a site the old kennel site is by far the most suited and is the most out of the way so there is minimal resistance from locals...</p> <p>Tilgate park /woods/forest should be protected no matter what the cost it's a haven in Crawley.</p> <p>The area of land off Breezhurst Drive Bewbush is totally unused and would make fantastic homes right next to the local shops. There couldn't be a more ideal place to build in Bewbush.</p>	<p>Comments noted. The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites for housing including existing properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing including Gypsy and Traveller needs where their loss can be justified in terms of ecological and environmental impact. However, for a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy site at Langley Walk is not being taken forward at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>The area outside the built up area boundary (Tilgate Park) is the rural/urban fringe where proposals must meet the criteria of policy CH9 which supports proposals which respect the character and role of the area.</p>
Alec Obbard	individual	I have tried to base my answer on how I would feel if lived in the	Comments noted. The Council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		designated areas. I do realise the desperate need for more housing and accept there must be sacrifices of land for housing but I can offer no solution to the problem without ruining somebody's private space.	housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites for housing including existing properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing including Gypsy and Traveller needs where their loss can be justified in terms of recreational, ecological and environmental impact
Mike Addley	individual	<p>I have tried to be objective to the sites that aren't close to me as I could. But the site I am concerned about is Tinsley Lane.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Possibly 200 units would be built on this site which would probably be subjected to much dust & noise pollution from the industrial site next door. Our windows & cars virtually need cleaning every week. 2. The plants & wildlife including around 20 deer that live in Summersvere Wood would be endangered by the increase in population, mainly from the existing and increased dog walkers and children who would use the woods once the recreation grounds have gone. 3. As stated earlier on, perhaps it would be a better site for the cemetery which wouldn't disturb the wildlife so much. 4. On a personal note, I am also interested in the possible access to the site as I live on one of the roads that will be used to gain access to the site. About 10 years ago the council gave me permission to rebuild my garage on the boundary. This means when I back out of the garage I need at least 4-5m for the sight lines. It would be so easy to knock someone over (blind, deaf, wearing headphones) because I am unaware of their presence. I don't think the width of our road is wide enough for a road & footpath & sight line. 5. If there was no option but to use this site, I would suggest that the road in & out of the site be from the Crawley Avenue link road, and that a bollarded emergency access be installed further down the site which could double as a pedestrian access. 	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p> <p>The ancient woodland (Summersvere) would require a buffer zone and a management plan to ensure that its ecological value is protected.</p>
Amanda Madel	individual	I hope Crawley council will genuinely listen to its residents on all of these things & not just ignore us as they usually do. Please listen and act in our interests.	Comments noted.
John Harris	individual	I initially sent a survey return only for the Historic Parks and Gardens item and have now completed the whole survey from the beginning.	Comments noted.
Sue Chick	individual	I know that it is necessary to build new housing, but it is not right to keep destroying the few green parks and playing fields we have. It is far better to build on old Industrial sites and unused areas.	Comments noted. The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. The Local Plan

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		There is so much empty space on some of those sites that no one seems to want to fill.	<p>seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites for housing including existing properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing including Gypsy and Traveller needs where their loss can be justified in terms of recreational, ecological and environmental impact.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of open space would allow for retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>
Sally Trigwell	individual	I know that we need to build houses and improve the housing number but we also need over open spaces to walk and play in for all to enjoy. AS to the traveller site I do worry about the mess and trouble that some can cause.	<p>Comments noted. The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites for housing including existing properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing including Gypsy and Traveller needs where their loss can be justified in terms of recreational, ecological and environmental impact. A permanent, managed site would have appropriate facilities for the new residents to use.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The area outside the built up area boundary is the rural/urban fringe where proposals must meet the criteria of policy CH9 which supports proposals which respect the character and role of the area.</p>
D Keegan	individual	I know there is need for new housing ,but as far as I can see there is only limited spaces left to build on with out local parks and green spaces becoming targets. There has to be a point where the council looks at there housing policy and the people we are trying to accommodate.	<p>Comments noted. The Council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites for housing including existing properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing including Gypsy and Traveller needs where their loss can be justified in terms of ecological and environmental impact</p>

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			<p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p>
Jen	individual	I know you need more housing .But crawley has got quite big there be no room to build eventually. In the Town its a good idea to build flats that on top of dis-used shops to utilise the space and adequate housing issue lessen it a bit!.	Comments noted. The Council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites for housing including existing residential and retail properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing including Gypsy and Traveller needs where their loss can be justified in terms of ecological and environmental impact
Tadeusz Marian Jasko	individual	I live at 5 Stafford Road, Langley Green. The whole road is used as a parking space with some cars even parked on a grass verge. Adding more houses on map ref 1 would make the situation even worse.	Comments noted
Mrs S Correa	individual	I live in Stafford Road and really NOT happy that there might be a travelers site near me, I have worked where these people have lived by and caused nothing but trouble, lots of rubbish,spoiling the green area's where I walked my dog, using the land and surrounding areas as a toilet. Making me feel uneasy walking along my own road. The amount of traffic along the road parking is a problem already. And will affect the value of my property.	Comments noted. The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites for housing including existing properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing including Gypsy and Traveller needs where their loss can be justified in terms of ecological and environmental impact. However, for a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy site at Langley Walk is not being taken forward at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Joan Baker	individual	I live on the Crabbet Park Estate where I moved over 30 years ago. I have valued the open space Burleys Wood offers where I have walked the dog played with my grandchildren, and sledged in the winter when it has snowed. I feel it has considerable value for the neighbourhood offering one green space. This area has already been built up since I moved here. Children need somewhere to play and explore as my children did as they grew up. Not protecting this space would leave it open to houses being built there, and there is already considerable congestion with the traffic. I am writing in late as I have been on holiday and feel these proposals have not been adequately advertised to make local people aware.	<p>Comments noted. The council has decided to remove the designation of Crabbet Park as a historic park and garden for the reasons given in the sites consultation document, on the basis that there was insufficient evidence of the historical value of the land as garden largely due to lack of evidence of its historical parkland significance.</p> <p>The development of Burleys Wood however, is not considered acceptable on the basis of loss of valuable public open space.</p>
Emily James	individual	<p>I live on Tollgate Hill and I am appalled to find out that the Council is even considering to building on Tilgate forest. Myself and many people from Tilgate, Broadfield and other areas frequently take their dogs for walks in there as it is really the only place you can let your dog off their lead. I know a lot of runners and off road cyclists go through the forest. Myself my family and my friends would frequently play in those woodlands as children and you still see kids today climbing the trees in there.</p> <p>If you build on the forest you will be destroying homes for the little wildlife Crawley has! We are slowly but surely losing our green spaces and taking out another area to house more people is quite frankly ridiculous.</p> <p>The town is already WELL over populated and we can hardly afford to keep shops and small businesses in the town centre open! So encouraging more people into a small town is absurd!</p> <p>There is not enough school spaces, health care services! If you want to invest money into something that Crawley actually needs, try building a hospital suitable for the area it covers.</p>	<p>Comments noted. The Council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites for housing including existing properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing including Gypsy and Traveller needs where their loss can be justified in terms of recreational, ecological and environmental impact.</p> <p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>The area outside the built up area boundary is the rural/urban fringe where proposals must meet the criteria of policy CH9 which supports proposals which respect the character and role of the area.</p>
Mrs K.Ayres		I live opposite the lane where access would be to the housing development. In my opinion the road is not suitable for the increase in traffic for those accessing new housing Langley walk is narrow with a path on one side only. The lanes leading into the proposed site are public footpaths and unsuitable for traffic. Access to the site whilst the housing is being built - via Langley Walk is unsuitable for heavy vehicles.	<p>Comments noted. The Council acknowledges the transport/access, noise and flooding issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy site at Langley Walk is not being taken forward at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be</p>

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>Close by there is a nature conservation area and the area is home to animals and birds. It is a beautiful natural area, to build here would be detrimental to the wildlife.</p> <p>If the second runway at Gatwick goes ahead the residents in the proposed area will suffer noise pollution and health issues would arise from the closer proximity of kerosene discharge from aircraft. All these problems also apply to the proposed Traveller site.</p> <p>The area proposed for the Gypsy site is only half the size of the Broadfields site. Do Travellers want to be in the middle of a residential area, I believe they would prefer to be away from them.</p>	<p>progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p> <p>The area outside the built up area boundary is the rural/urban fringe where proposals must meet the criteria of policy CH9 which supports proposals which respect the character and role of the area.</p> <p>Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.</p>
Claire Boughton-Tucker	individual	<p>I lived in Crawley from the age of 21 until 6 years ago when I moved to Felbridge. (Im 51) but drive to Crawley most days, shop there etc. I think it was a fantastic place to bring up my children. Unfortunately, there has been far too much building and infilling and using of any green spaces to fulfil any new building schemes; ALL is a step too far, in fact many steps too far. We, the public must now say NO and protect all the areas as Crawley cannot support any new developments, it is in overload as it is, the infrastructure cannot cope. Crawley Council should take the lead and maintain what there is already.... roads, parks and green areas, schools etc and shout loudly to say NO to any Government or local pressure to build. CRAWLEY IS FULL UP</p>	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p>
Tracey James	individual	<p>I oppose the plans for a fixed traveller site on the grounds of buchan parks wildlife will be affected, schools that are already over subscribed in Broadfield will suffer as will doctors surgeries the lack of sewage facilities will mean possible pollution to our lakes and the cost of cleaning up the site will be astronomical, there will also be congestion on the dual carriage way. Crime in the area will go up as well as our house prices.</p>	<p>Comments noted. The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites for housing including existing properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing including Gypsy and Traveller needs where their loss can be justified in terms of recreational, ecological and environmental impact. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy site at Langley Walk is not being taken forward at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p>
CHARLES CRANE	individual	<p>I OPPOSED KILWOOD VALE (AND STILL DO). IF THIS HAD BEEN ALL COUNCIL HOUSING, THIS WOULD HAVE GONE SOME WAY TO MEETING HORSHAM AND CRAWLEY'S HOUSING NEEDS, AND MAY HAVE MEANT THAT THE 200 OR SO HOMES PROPOSED IN THIS DOCUMENT WOULDNT BE NEEDED, AND THE PARKS COULD REMAIN INTACT. ALSO, A CEMETERY</p>	<p>Comments noted. The houses currently being developed at Kilwood Vale help to meet the housing needs of Horsham District Council despite its proximity to Crawley Borough. The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites for</p>

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>COULD HAVE BEEN INCLUDED AT KILNWOOD VALE. EVEN IF MORE DEVELOPMENT TAKES PALCE IN CRAWLEYAT SOME POINT THE TOWN WILL BE FULL, THEN WHAT WILL WE DO? CRAWLEY WILL EVENTUALLY RESEMBLE LONDON, WHICH IS NOT SOMETHING I WANT TO SEE. IT'S BAD ENOUGH THAT THE TOWN IS EXPANDING, PLEASE DON'T RUIN WHAT WE'VE ALREADY GOT. I UNDERSTAND THAT THERE IS A DEMAND FOR HOUSING, BUT THESE PROPOSALS WILL DO LITTLE TO REDUCE THAT DEMAND* AND A LOT TO DAMAGE OPEN SPACES. YOU CAN'T PLEASE EVERYONE. * IN FACT AS MORE HOUSES ARE BUILT DEMAND OVER TIME INCREASES EVEN FURTHER,</p>	<p>housing including existing properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing including Gypsy and Traveller needs where their loss can be justified in terms of recreational, ecological and environmental impact. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy site at Langley Walk is not being taken forward at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p>
Carol Dodson	individual	<p>I question the idea of Land previously occupied by Broadfield Kennels being used to house so called travellers especially with the possibility of an influx of foreign travellers of dubious character entering this country in the near future there is no reason why we should be housing people like these, when we can not house people who have generations of family who were born and bred here. We should be building affordable homes for our own people on this land, or let it become part of the buchan park green space.</p>	<p>Comments noted. The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites for housing including existing properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing including Gypsy and Traveller needs where their loss can be justified in terms of recreational, ecological and environmental impact. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy site at Langley Walk is not being taken forward at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan</p>
Jennifer Preston	individual	<p>I realize that everyone needs a home but Crawley is saturated and far far bigger than ever envisaged. When planned every neighbourhood was to have plenty of green spaces, in fact that is what attracted me to Crawley some 45 years ago. We've lost school playing fields please don't make Crawley a concrete jungle WE NEED GREEN SPACES. It's just a important to have a decent attractive area to live in as well as moreand more housing. Crawly is full!!!! Please protect all thats left the future generations will thank you.</p>	<p>The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites for housing including existing properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing including Gypsy and Traveller needs where their loss can be justified in terms of recreational, ecological and environmental impact.</p> <p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013)</p>

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.
Mrs Christine N Christensen	individual	I really feel that apart from the small number of so called open spaces around the Town, these are desperately needed to ensure everyone has some open space to enjoy. Crawley has grown hugely which is to be expected, but let us keep some sense to this expansion. PLEASE.	The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites for housing including existing properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing including Gypsy and Traveller needs where their loss can be justified in terms of ecological and environmental impact. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.
Mrs Lesley Cave	individual	I reside in the Millbank, and feel quite strongly regarding the green spaces in lfield, this is one of the most greenest places in the town. If building should should comence in the Rusper Road area, this is a small country road which is the only accesable road to this area I feel that the volume of traffic is completely overwhelming. I feel that any further traffic on this road, with all the buses, lorries would bring traffic chaos.	The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites for housing including existing properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing including Gypsy and Traveller needs where their loss can be justified in terms of recreational, ecological and environmental impact. The green space on the west side of Rusper Road is a proposed Local Green Space. See policy ENV3.
Thomas McAleney	individual	I specifically filled out this survey as I am against any designation of land for permanent gypsy settlements. They should not be built. If gypsies want to live in Crawley they can do so in houses and actually become part of our community rather than having little segregated enclaves. If they have permanent segregated communities this will only cause conflict.	The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites for housing including existing properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing including Gypsy and Traveller needs where their loss can be justified in terms of ecological and environmental impact. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy site at Langley Walk is not being taken forward at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Penelope Brunsdon	individual	I strongly believe that Burleys Wood, Pound Hill should remain as it is. This is a conservation area and the flora & fauna need to be protected.	Comments noted. The removal of Historic Parkland designations on a number of locations in Crawley has been undertaken on the basis that there is insufficient evidence to justify their status as historic parkland. The development of Burleys Wood however, would not be considered acceptable on the basis of loss of valuable public open space.
G Harris	individual	I strongly believe that the designation of Burley's Wood should remain as a Historic Park. There is not enough places now for dog walkers and children to play. Crawley seems obsessed by taking up every piece of spare land to develop for one purpose or another. Housing Gypsy and Travellers should not be in close proximity to any housing estates.	Comments noted. The removal of Historic Parkland designations on a number of locations in Crawley has been undertaken on the basis that there is insufficient evidence to justify their status as historic parkland. The Council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites for housing including existing properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing including Gypsy and Traveller needs where their loss can be justified in terms of ecological and environmental impact.
Charley Owen	individual	I strongly disagree with the gypsy and traveller site proposed for Langley Walk, not only will it seriously devalue the houses surrounding the area but the site will create mess and on going conflict for neighbours. For horse stables that are very close by this will also create a worry for them at the safety of the animals and how private the land will actually remain as the gypsy/travellers can pass from that piece of land easily into Langley farm stables fields. I also think it is very insulting and unfair on the people that go out to work everyday to pay their way in society ie.council tax, yet the gypsy/travellers get a site handed to them on a plate which they have not worked hard or had to pay regular tax in order to get. It is utterly dispicable that they could be allowed this land.	The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites for housing including existing properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing including Gypsy and Traveller needs where their loss can be justified in terms of ecological and environmental impact. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy site at Langley Walk is not being taken forward at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan
Richard Smith	individual	I strongly disagree with the proposal to allocate the East of Brighton Road, Tilgate site as a possible housing development. This area of Tilgate is used regularly by a large number of people for a variety of recreational purposes (such as dog walking, cycling, and running). This area is also part of the popular annual 10k running event based from K2. A large part of the runs popularity comes from this woodland setting, which also happens to be home to a variety of species (from deer and rabbits to snakes and birds). Tilgate park is a fantastic asset to Crawley and should be cherished not built on. The proposals to extend the historic gardens allocation of	Comments noted. The area outside the built up area boundary is the rural/urban fringe where proposals must meet the criteria of policy CH9 which supports proposals which respect the character and role of the area. Protection of open space will be through: Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance. Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		Tilgate are welcome, but do not go far enough. Tilgate Park gives the population of Crawley a much needed place to breath and this proposal is yet another example of the lazy and short sighted planning shown recently by Crawley Borough Council.	Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.
Mrs J Warren	individual	I strongly disapprove of having a travellers fixed site near my house in Langley green. How can they be travellers if they have a fixed site!!! this is only going to be trouble for Langley green.	The Council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites for housing including existing properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing including Gypsy and Traveller needs where their loss can be justified in terms of ecological and environmental impact. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy site at Langley Walk is not being taken forward at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan
Mrs Linda Burchett=Vass	individual	I strongly disapprove the permanent traveller sites in Crawley i feel this community should follow the same qualifying process as any other resident and join the housing waiting list on a points system, and have a permanant house and pay as any other tenant.	Comments noted
Carolyn Thompson	individual	I strongly object to a travellers site at Buchan kennels as it next to Buchan Park which is a place of beauty, nature + conservation.	Comments noted
Jo Mulville	individual	I strongly object to the proposal to build further housing in Langley Walk and hope that common sense prevails and this proposal is rejected. Langley Walk is not equipped to cope with the extra traffic a new developement would generate and the residents of Langley Walk that I have spoken to are firmly against the proposal and we will fight it all the way.	The Council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites for housing including existing properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing including Gypsy and Traveller needs where their loss can be justified in terms of ecological and environmental impact. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy site at Langley Walk is not being taken forward at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan
B. Hopkins	individual	i think a bigger notice should be made about you wanting to house travellers in Broadfield as most of our houses were not even aware of it until someone came knocking.. as the letters were in envelopes addressed to The Occupier or something similar.. most thought it was	The consultation was widely advertised in the local media and online. Local newspapers publicised the consultation and the council issued press releases, and numerous social media updates. Further to this the consultation was in the local magazine, Crawley Live, which is circulated to all properties within

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		junk mail and threw it out! They should have been addressed with the heading of Travellers Relocation Site Proposal in your area! that was a bit sneaky and you should still publish something in the local paper to ensure it has been "advertised" properly!!	<p>the borough.</p> <p>Each site included in the consultation that had a proposed allocation had site notices placed on the site boundary in visible locations. Further to this, all properties immediately adjacent to the allocations received site specific letters notifying them of the proposals, the consultation, and how they can respond.</p> <p>The council took all reasonable measures to ensure everyone was aware of the consultation and had the opportunity to participate and let us know what they thought.</p>
Heather Knight-Patto	individual	I think Crawley is in danger of becoming so over crowded that its going to push house prices down and not be a nice place to live its turning into London , I love living here have done for 32 years and have dogs and love walking in the parks we do not want to over crowd to much or it will down grade us, we could benefit from an ice skating rink or large fun pool like the water place perhaps you should put the local residents first for a change	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>
Mrs P Haworth	individual	I think it is a disgrace that you allowed less than a month for electorates views	The consultation period of 4 weeks reflected the fact that it represents the consultation covered a small element of the Local Plan and built upon the previous 6 week consultation period held earlier on in the year.
Fay McCausland	individual	I think it is a good thing that Crawley is being developed for the future but prey that this does not over shadow the fact that we already have some wonderful spaces that should be left alone. If we were to build on every bit of free space what would our future generations have to look forward to?. If playing fields are to developed this would leave children no-where to go except their bedrooms or the ally ways which would lead to obesity and disruptive behaviour.	Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.
Jacqueline Champion	individual	I think it is disgusting that only one home occupier received the letter regarding housing allocation at Bewbush West playing fields. And as for considering building on playing fields is outrageous. As is setting up Gypsy and Travellers camps as for the last few years the council has had to pay out to step them getting on sites and clearing up after them at our expense. Final note no wonder Crawley could be nominated as one of the worst towns.	<p>The consultation was widely advertised in the local media and online. Local newspapers publicised the consultation and the council issued press releases, and numerous social media updates. Further to this the consultation was in the local magazine, Crawley Live, which is circulated to all properties within the borough.</p> <p>Each site included in the consultation that had a proposed allocation had site notices placed on the site boundary in visible locations. Further to this, all properties immediately adjacent to the allocations received site specific letters notifying them of the proposals, the consultation, and how they can respond.</p>

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			<p>The council took all reasonable measures to ensure everyone was aware of the consultation and had the opportunity to participate and let us know what they thought.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>
Margaret Murphy	individual	I think that Crawley should use a lot of the unused office that it has around the centre of town and change them into housing. The children use a lot of the green field around the area that they live in I am sure that you could find land nearer Snell Hatch for the cemetery or you should have thought about it when building around there	The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites for housing including existing properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing including Gypsy and Traveller needs where their loss can be justified in terms of ecological and environmental impact. The provision of a cemetery is not being dealt with through the Local Plan process and will be taken forward separately by the council.
Roger Duddell		I think that the initial work on this plan should have been conducted with the involvement of local communities and not formulated and then sent out for comments. This process might be how local government feels it should operate but it has already caused much anger and friction over the communication process. It should not have been processed in the way that normal planning activities are undertaken because this affects the whole of Crawley. The community should have had input at the initial planning stage; not at the objections stage!	<p>This consultation is the fourth official consultation during the preparation of the Local Plan, all of which have been widely advertised. Before starting the preparation of the local plan an Issues & Options consultation took place to establish the Plan's vision and direction. The document has then been worked up based on the input we have received and our evidence base.</p> <p>On top of the official consultation periods, any comments received during the preparation of the Local Plan at any time have been taken into account.</p>
Matthew Hazelden	representative	I thought the whole point of being a Gypsy or an Irish Traveller was that you travel? Why do they need permanent sites? If these families require safe and secure places to live then should they not go through the current system of council housing applications and provisions or purchase their own home like others in the community. We already have a problem with kids vandalising our golf course which the local policing can or will do little about, so I feel this would	<p>A permanent, managed site would enable the residents to become part of the community, for example through regular attendance at school. Residents would also retain the opportunity to travel for some months of the year.</p> <p>In addition, the Housing Act 2004 requires all councils to assess the accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers. Crawley Borough Council conducted a Gypsy and Traveller Needs Assessment in 2012/13, which showed the town requires nine pitches from 2014 to 2029. The council must now identify potential sites to meet these identified needs.</p>

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		only be exasabated by increasing the population around this area.	
Robert Vygus	individual	<p>I very reluctantly have indicated we should consider all sights for housing as I think we have to accept that the housing development will be required.</p> <p>I wish, however, Crawley's MP, Borough Council and residents could take a stand and say to the Government:</p> <p>* Crawley has become a pressure cooker of trying to find homes in ever diminishing spaces. Surely we are entitled to salvage some green breathing spaces, please therefore help maintain a balance and reduce our house building expectations</p>	<p>The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. The Local Plan seeks to promote the redevelopment of brownfield sites for housing including existing properties as detailed in Policy H1 (Housing Provision). However, it is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing including Gypsy and Traveller needs where their loss can be justified in terms of recreational, ecological and environmental impact.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p>
Stephen Dryburgh	individual	<p>I was only made aware of your local area plan consultation by passing a notice on the bridge to Buchan country park. I believe your efforts to highlight this process are lacking, it could be implemented far better as it can have a massive impact on the people of Crawley, it almost appears as if the plan is being snuck through. The only surefire way to engage with people on such a large scale is with a leaflet drop or at the least a full page spread in the local paper, a lone piece of A4 tied to a bridge doesn't really cut it.</p> <p>The signage I saw was in poor condition tied to the railings of the bridge in a position that is easily overlooked pertaining to such a contentious matter as the location of a travellers site, something which I feel the local residents of Broadfield would have an opinion on, one that you may not get due to its poor location.</p>	<p>The consultation was widely advertised in the local media and online. Local newspapers publicised the consultation and the council issued press releases, and numerous social media updates. Further to this the consultation was in the local magazine, Crawley Live, which is circulated to all properties within the borough.</p> <p>Each site included in the consultation that had a proposed allocation had site notices placed on the site boundary in visible locations. Further to this, all properties immediately adjacent to the allocations received site specific letters notifying them of the proposals, the consultation, and how they can respond.</p> <p>The council took all reasonable measures to ensure everyone was aware of the consultation and had the opportunity to participate and let us know what they thought.</p>

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Dean Ongey	individual	I was shocked to receive the notice that houses were planned for Bewbush West playing fields as the space is not that big and adding houses would destroy landscape and environment. It would remove a key area of open space and would mean that Bewbush virtually joined on to the Kilnwood vale development, something which none of the residents want to happen. It would destroy one of the few areas of open space available to local residents, particularly those who do not have gardens of their own, which is many, and would be the wrong decision for the local area.	The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.
Alan Quirk	individual	I will have my say while I remain a Councillor. I do feel however that the space allowed for comments is too small and should be increased. I have many more reasons why I do not wish to see the Travellers site in Broadfield. But I will listen to the arguments put forward with an open mind if called upon to vote on this proposal.	Comments noted
Rachael Sander	individual	I would also be very concerned regarding the land adjacent to Langley Walk and Burlands. This is an area full of wildlife, often see deer and woodpeckers when walking dog. My other concern would be the amount of traffic, the road is very narrow and restricted at each end of the road.	Comments noted. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy site at Langley Walk is not being taken forward at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. The area outside the built up area boundary is the rural/urban fringe where proposals must meet the criteria of policy CH9 which supports proposals which respect the character and role of the area. Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.
Linda Ogden	individual	I would appreciate some feedback thanks	This document provides feedback and everyone that made comments will be made aware when it is published.
Nicholas Ells	individual	I would like to say that the last we need in Crawley is more gypsies they leave mess do not pay taxes and are very aggressive to local people. We should be looking to bring in small business and developments that create jobs first.	Comments noted. The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs.
Brian Lutley	individual	I would like to see Ifield Park continue to be a Historical Park with the green areas and trees retained especially at the rear of The Millbank houses. I do not want to see any more development in this area.	Comments noted. The council has decided to remove the designation of Ifield Park as a historic park and garden for the reasons given in the sites consultation document, largely due to lack of evidence of its historical parkland significance. There are a number of mature trees on this site which are protected by tree preservation orders. The rest of the site contains a small amount of landscaping and development.

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Mrs J Stephenson	individual	I would suggest that the area covered by Map ref 12 would be ideal for the cemetery. Its close proximity to the crematorium makes it a perfect place	Comments noted. The identification of land for a cemetery is not being addressed through the local plan process and will be taken forward separately by the council.
Richard W Symonds		Ifield Brook Meadows should not only be a designated a local green space it should also be given local nature reserve status. The town planners identified the areas which should be given green space they realised the town needed healthy lungs to breathe and this was for a town of 50,000 population. All the more reason for these green spaces (e.g. Meadows and Playing Fields) to be retained and developed for a near city of 100,000 population. The map on displayed in the Town Hall should be adhered to as the Town Planners planned.	<p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.</p>
B E Champion	individual	If climate change exists to is stupid to build on flood plains extend Crawham on the A264. Kilnwood Vale is just the beginning. I the area is Horsham rather than Crawley, waste! It is still in the generally area Protect urban green spaces rather than ??? with a few houses. Make more use of existing Brown ??? Sites. Protect sports and playing fields sites. Does the M25 define development to the East of crawley? If it is another local authority, ?? as above.	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p>
PATRICIA TAME	individual	If places are removed as designated places of interest etc, it opens the flood gates for building opportunities as it is no longer protected. Crawley is already over built and looks like a concrete jungle - NO	Comments noted. The decisions to remove the designation of a number of parks as historic parkland were set out in the sites consultation document, and is largely due to lack of evidence of their historical parkland significance.

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		MORE BUILDING	
Alison Shackell	individual	If the expansion of the airport goes ahead the gypsy/traveller site will not be possible in Langley Green due to the increased noise which in a mobile home is obviously louder. The council would therefore just be wasting the public money at a time when there are already cutbacks	Comments noted. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Ian Galliers	individual	If there is more residential properties in Breezehurst Bewbush then we would need a new school and Health Centre	Noted. The borough council liaises with the infrastructure providers so that they are aware of the new development that is planned for the town.
Robert Stride	individual	Ifield Brook Meadow / Rusper Road playing fields should not be considered for building on, for many reasons. Rusper road is already a very busy road being the only way in and out of Ifields West to rest of town, and wouldn't be able to serve another housing estate. The area is of high natural beauty and much needed for Adult football, hiking and exploring, dog walking and general recreation.	Comments noted. This area is not being considered for development as part of the Local Plan.
Mr & Mrs Stride	individual	Ifield Brook Meadows and Rusper Road playing fields should not be built as it is used for dog walkers, hikers, youth and adult football, high nature value and new houses would take away the character of Ifield Village. Rusper road is the only road that Ifield West can use for access and can not take any further traffic. You will be destroying our history that dates back to the Domesday Book. We can not continue to destroy the history of our town - do we really want to be seen as the town that destroys all of our past.	Comments noted. This area is not being considered for development as part of the Local Plan.
Colin Walden	individual	Ifield Brook Meadows is an important local space used by many people, particularly dog walkers, every day of the week. My wife visits the site at least twice a week with a friend and this gives their dogs a chance to run off the lead in a totally unspoilt and safe environment, which has given our family many years of pleasure and relaxation. Such natural places are at such a premium, encouraging a strong eco-environment/wildlife, and providing a meeting place for local people to enjoy.	Comments noted. This site is proposed a Local Green Space. Protection of open space will be through: Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance. Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.
Jean Manuel	individual	Ifield Brook Meadows is treasured by the local people of Ifield and used a great deal for walking and rambling. It is sometimes difficult in the winter when the weather is particularly bad, as the last winter, but it is a lovely amenity away from the traffic to use at all times of year.	As above

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		As a village, Ifield would be completely changed if this were to be taken away.	
Isabel Lamper	individual	Ifield is a lovely place to live you feel as though you are on the edge of the countryside there are also nice walks,why do we need anymore housing estates.The roads will become more crowded.What is happening to the old site of Ifield Community college perhaps that could be used for houses or another cemetery.	Comments noted. Ifield Community College is identified as a Key Housing Site in the Local Plan and it is anticipated that the site will be delivered in the next 5 years.
Tracy Smith	individual	Ifield Park has been in existence since 1453 and Ifield is, without doubt, one of the most historical areas of the town, even mentioned in the Domesday Book of 1086. I think if you are considering protecting Goffs Park for historical reasons it would be wrong to remove this status from Ifield Park, it is such a small area in comparison that in my opinion there would be nothing to gain by removing the designation, but potentially a great deal to lose if the area was developed in the future.	Comments noted. The council has decided to remove the designation of a number of parks as historic parkland for the reasons given in the Historic Parks and Gardens Report. The removal of the Historic Garden designation was on the basis that there was insufficient evidence of the historical value of the land as garden. There are a number of mature trees on this site which are protected by tree preservation orders. The rest of the site contains a small amount of landscaping and development.
Malcolm Roberts	individual	IField Park. My concerns are: Loss of; Trees; Natural environment; Privacy and seclusion; Wild life in the surrounding area (particularly the birds and the bees); Hedges; and the loss of another another care home. Increase of traffic on the Rusper Road; Disturbance by contractors; New utility services required (from my observation over 35 years, the ground appears to be drifting towards the Mill stream); What are the Local Plan policies to protect the key features as stated in the letter?	Comments noted. Policy CH3 seeks to ensure that any new development would respect the amenity of adjoining residents including privacy, loss of trees and landscaping. All new development would need to comply with this requirement. There are a number of mature trees on this site which are protected by tree preservation orders. The rest of the site contains a small amount of landscaping and development. Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.
ALEX WALKEY	individual	I'M CONCERNED IF THE COUNCIL GOES A HEAD WITH PLANS TO BUILD AT BEWBUSH OR ANY OTHER SIGHT IN CRAWLEY, WE HAVE NO A&E, OUR ROADS ARE NOT SUITABLE FOR THE AMOUNT OF CARS OUR SCHOOLS ARE FULL, IT WOULD BRING OUT SIDE FAMILYS INTO THE AREA WE DO NOT HAVE ENOUGH JOBS IN THE AREA SO THE YOUNG ADULT WOULD RESULT IN CRIME WHICH WOULD PUT A STRAIN ON OUR POLICE FORCE, WE WOULD HAVE NO GREEN SPACE LEFT AND WHEN I WENT TO THE PANNING MEETING IT WAS ONLY FOR HALF THE PLAYING FIELD BUT LOOKING AT YOUR PLAN I SEE IT IS FOR THE FULL PLAYING FIELD. WE HAVE PROBLEMS WITH DRAINAGE AND ALL THIS BUILD WOULD JUST INCREASE THE PROBLEM.	Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Terry Stanley	individual	I'm still reeling from the shock of hearing that a Conservative-led administration could be considering a permanent site anywhere in Crawley for Gypsy's and Travellers. Its absolutely barking mad and I for one will not rest until the idea is very definitely ruled out!	Comments noted. No planning issues raised.
Sarah Fortnam	individual	I'm very concerned of proposed traveller sites and any such plans for them I would strangely oppose and petition against!!!	Comments noted. No planning issues raised.
Dennis Pope of Nathaniel Lichfield & Partners on behalf of British Airways	representative	In the allocation of any of the sites included within this consultation, or which may come forward as a result of this consultation, it is important that the potential further expansion of Gatwick Airport is not restricted or prejudiced	Comments noted. The Local Plan safeguards an area of land from development which would be incompatible with the expansion of the airport to accommodate the construction of an additional widspace runway (if required by national policy).
Mr B Spilling	individual	It is essential that open spaces are maintained for recreation purposes within Crawley area	Comments noted. Protection of open space will be through: Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance. Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.
Francesc Scott	individual	It is extraordinary that almost all the proposed area for future housing is in Bewbush. The proposals would massively reduce the open space provision in the neighbourhood.	The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.
David Christensen	individual	It is important that Ifield Park's trees are retained - within H&S considerations - by designating them with TPO's. These trees are a feature of this locality many being over 100 years old and of species rare in this vacinity. If the Park has it's Historic status removed then at least preserve the trees.	Comments noted. The council has protected approximately 100 trees within Ifield Park through Tree Protection Legislation. The majority of these are located around the periphery of the site.

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
David Hart		It is my opinion that this consultation is flawed in that the short time scale is unacceptable and a pressing requirement such as the cemetery (that the Council has had ample time to consider prior to now) should not be included in this long term plan consultation. It should be a separate document and well publicised due to the short time before development is essential.	Comments noted. The allocation of land for a cemetery is not being progressed through the local plan and will be taken forward separately by the council.
Pip Aherne	individual	It is of benefit to local people to keep these parks as open spaces. They have natural beauty and benefit families, children and dog walkers. Please leave them as they are.	Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.
Eurice Clement	individual	It is obvious that inhabitants of Millfield Court will object to the development of a cemetery within view of their windows. Sales would definitely be affected. This is the only nearby open ground- maybe many residents do not walk across it but it provides a welcome view not only of birds, trees and grass but of children playing and taking part in very well organised training. WE DO NOT WANT TO LOSE IT!	Comments noted. The allocation of land for a cemetery is not being progressed through the local plan and will be taken forward separately by the council.
Iris Young and family	individual	It is quite astonishing that a leaflet is dropped into residents mailboxes today, 30 June 2013 at 7.00pm advising us of the possible designation of Broadfield Kennels as a possible site for a permanent gypsy and traveller site. From your own site, it states that we are to be consulted between 3 June and 1 July and this extremely late notice is not really what is expected of the Forward Planning team (not much forward planning going on - is this just a ploy?). We object strongly against Broadfield Kennels being designated a gypsy and traveller site; Broadfield has more than enough issues to contend with, we don't need to add to them.	Comments noted. The consultation was widely advertised in the local media and online. Local newspapers publicised the consultation and the council issued press releases, and numerous social media updates. Further to this the consultation was in the local magazine, Crawley Live, which is circulated to all properties within the borough. Each site included in the consultation that had a proposed allocation had site notices placed on the site boundary in visible locations. Further to this, all properties immediately adjacent to the allocations received site specific letters notifying them of the proposals, the consultation, and how they can respond. The council took all reasonable measures to ensure everyone was aware of the consultation and had the opportunity to participate and let us know what they thought.
Mrs Pamela Webster	individual	It is quite obvious that the Ewhurst playing fields are NOT a good site for a cemetery so why not site the cemetery nearer to the cemetery which seems more logical and is easier and more convenient to get to	Comments noted. The allocation of land for a cemetery is not being progressed through the local plan and will be taken forward separately by the council.
Tracy	individual	It is unfair that Bewbush green areas are constantly eroded, this	Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		in an area of the town in which most people are not high earners and therefore do not have the financial means to access costly leisure activities. The green areas in Bewbush area are heavily used and should be encouraged by developing the areas for leisure use not housing.	<p>Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>
Peter Newell	individual	It is vital that Burleys Wood continue as a protected park. It is partly divided from the rest of Crabbet Park by the motorway, but there is easy access between the two parts via a tunnel. This wood is a much loved resource for local people. Any development would be bitterly opposed by local people.	Comments noted. The council has decided to remove the designation of a number of parks as historic parkland for the reasons given in the sites consultation document, largely due to lack of evidence of their historical parkland significance. Burleys Wood is considered to be a valuable piece of public open space and on this basis is not considered suitable for housing.
Penny Duncombe	individual	It makes me very angry to watch my local council allow the building of huge office blocks in its town centre which stand empty and unused. (I am thinking, for example of those above and opposite the main station) This wanton waste of prime sites is simply scandalous and the council should not allow it. If housing space is in such short supply, why is the council not using these sites to provide homes instead of looking towards downgrading some of our green spaces so that they can build on them?	Comments noted. It is acknowledged that there are a number of existing offices which are vacant at the moment, particularly in the Town Centre. However, the majority of these will still be required for employment uses to meet the economic needs of the borough over the next 15 years.
Trevor Duncombe	individual	<p>It makes me very angry to watch my local council allow the building of huge office blocks in its town centre which stand empty and unused. (I am thinking, for example of those above and opposite the main station) This wanton waste of prime sites is simply scandalous and the council should not allow it. If housing space is in such short supply, why is the council not using these sites to provide homes instead of looking towards downgrading some of our green spaces so that they can build on them?</p> <p>Like Worth's saxon church, Burley's Wood is one of Crawley's few ancient historical sites and was mentioned in William 1's Domesday Book.in 1086. Forty species of birds nest or roost in Burley's Wood. It is a place of heritage as well as recreation. A council that is prepared to sacrifice such a treasure would seem to have lost its way.</p>	<p>Comments noted. It is acknowledged that there are a number of existing offices which are vacant at the moment, particularly in the Town Centre. However, the majority of these will still be required for employment uses to meet the economic needs of the borough over the next 15 years.</p> <p>Comments noted. The council has decided to remove the designation of a number of parks as historic parkland for the reasons given in the sites consultation document, largely due to lack of evidence of their historical parkland significance. Burleys Wood is considered to be a valuable piece of public open space.</p>
MR MALCOLM RICHARDSON	individual	It seems people who make these decisions do not live anywhere near any of the proposed sites, so why should they care, make a list of where all these people with there bright ideas live, and suggest	Comments noted

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		putting a cemetery in there back garden, go on I dare you to?	
R Sharpe	individual	It seems that all new developments in and around Crawley are "little boxes". There is never any consideration for people that lived in the areas for many years, and no thought goes in to how these areas will affect new people going in to well established neighbourhoods. It is massively important that the Council, developers etc consider the changes they are making and ask questions from existing people as to how all the changes, change their lives.	Comments noted
S Patel	individual	It seems that every open space you have you want to build on. Can understand where you have large areas such as BEwbush where you have large areas of open space for development but you are taking ay every small green space we have to build flats on eg new flats next to LG shops, Walnut land, Fox Close. I move d to crawly for green space if I wanted housing back to back. I would move back to my old home in the north where you had no. Garden or green space.	Comments noted
Mike	individual	It seems the only way Crawley can expand is on to neighbouring space (e.g Horsham, Cophorne (Mid-Sussex) - Would a " Pan-Crawley/Horsham/Mid-sussex / Horley 2029" be a way forward - in the same Brighton and the neighbouring Towns are considering "Greater Brighton" could a "Greater Gatwick" including Horsham/Crawley/Mid-Sussex/Horley (Surrey) be an option?	Comments noted. The Local Plan recognises that any unmet housing needs from Crawley will need to be provided in the wider Housing Market Area which includes the adjoining local authorities of Horsham, Mid Sussex and Reigate and Banstead. The Council fully recognises the way in which housing needs will need to be provided across the wider Housing Market Area given the land supply constraints in Crawley.
Jerry Ingall	individual	It seems very clear from this survey, that the council is set on destroying the few left open spaces in the town, in order to meet the government criteria for new housing. It would appear that some fresh and out of the box ideas are needed if they are not to destroy the quality of life currently enjoyed by residents. The loss of so many areas would mean rather than being able to walk to open spaces, residents will have to travel by car or public transport to the few left. We are going to be left with a concrete jungle, if the council continue down this path of ill considered judgements.	Comments noted. Protection of open space will be through: Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance. Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.
John Smith	individual	It would be a sad day there was a travellers site at Bucham Park.	Comments noted. The need for a Gypsy and Traveller site balanced with the aim of conserving and enhancing Crawley's urban/rural fringe will be considered.
Oakshott Michael	individual	It would be nice if the council listened to public opinion for once, after all it's the public who vote in councilers and members of parliament.	Comments noted

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		We vote for you and our voice's are never heard in my opinion. It would be nice to think that some of these proposed building site's would be for Crawley people.{ my children and other Crawley people's children}.	
Karen Barrett	individual	It would have been useful to let all Crawley residents know about this plan as I only found out about it because I had to use your website - those people who do not have a computer would know nothing about it!!!!	<p>The consultation was widely advertised in the local media and online. Local newspapers publicised the consultation and the council issued press releases, and numerous social media updates. Further to this the consultation was in the local magazine, Crawley Live, which is circulated to all properties within the borough.</p> <p>Each site included in the consultation that had a proposed allocation had site notices placed on the site boundary in visible locations. Further to this, all properties immediately adjacent to the allocations received site specific letters notifying them of the proposals, the consultation, and how they can respond.</p> <p>The council took all reasonable measures to ensure everyone was aware of the consultation and had the opportunity to participate and let us know what they thought.</p>
Mr Louis Clifford Volfin	individual	It's a idea to do a plan for your population, houses, green space, protect historic sites. At what time do you let my island motherly Diego Garcia and all his dependence island Peros bantos free for me and my population to return back and build something for us. It's our great wish.	Comments noted
Stuart Fullarton	individual	Its all very well building new homes but the Crawley area is desperately lacking in an adequate hospital including A&E facilities, as well Doctors surgeries and schools to cope with an increase in homes - before considering houses the infrastructure of the town needs to be improved, modernised and inceased. Only then should any consideration be given to an increase in dwellings.	Comments noted
Colin Hayler	individual	Just a reminder that Goffs Park is also part of the memorial gardens and is a very special site with much history and an abundance of flora and fauna.	Comments noted
Brian Wharton	individual	Just to say I know even if all these were used Crawley will never have anywhere near the amount of housing that it constantly seems to need. People are going to wonder, why is the countryside not being developed instead of building in an already packed area.	Comments noted. The council will explore all possible options for accommodating housing development including the countryside beyond the Built-Up Area Boundary; however, there are limited opportunities for development outside of the Built-Up Boundary in Crawley.
Dorothy Madel	individual	keep green belt	Comment noted. There is no green belt designation which applies to Crawley.

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		what about all the land on the industrial site	The land is designated as Countryside, beyond the Built-Up Area Boundary. The area outside the built up area boundary is the rural/urban fringe. Crawley's compact nature and attractive setting including accessible countryside for recreation is sought (see CH9 and CH11)
Gary Murphy	individual	Keep our open spaces and find other areas in Sussex for new housing development. We also need to give our children priority housing as we pay the taxes, therefore we should benefit from our contributions. so HANDS OFF OUR GREEN OPEN SPACES AND SUPPORT THE FAMILIES LIVING IN CRAWLEY AS A PRIORITY!	Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.
Jackie Mitchell	individual	land at langley walk, not suitable for houses, no access. natural animal habitat, road situation is choca block now without anymore houses	Comments noted. The council acknowledges the transport/access, noise and flooding issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing and the site is not being progressed at this stage.
Mrs G More	individual	Langley Green (Map Ref 1) should not be considered as a housing site because the access roads are narrow and residential, with blind corners and many junctions, where cars parked day and night. This area is part of the Crawley 'Greenway' and as such should not be used for any additional housing/caravan use. It is important for environmental reasons that we keep this area of ancient historic woodland that is designated as an area of outstanding natural beauty and a nature conservation area.	Comments noted. The council acknowledges the transport/access, noise and flooding issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing and the site is not being progressed at this stage. Please note that the Langley Green site does not contain Ancient Woodland or AONB.
Tim More	individual	Langley Green (Map Ref 1) should not be considered as a housing site because the access roads are narrow and residential, with blind corners and many junctions, where cars parked day and night. This area is part of the Crawley 'Greenway' and as such should not be used for any additional housing/caravan use. It is important for environmental reasons that we keep this area of ancient historic woodland that is designated as an area of outstanding natural beauty and a nature conservation area.	Comments noted. The council acknowledges the transport/access, noise and flooding issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing and the site is not being progressed at this stage. Please note that the Langley Green site does not contain Ancient Woodland or AONB.
Chris More	individual	Langley Green (Map Ref 1) should not be considered as a housing site because the access roads are narrow and residential, with blind corners and many junctions, where cars parked day and night. This	Comments noted. The council acknowledges the transport/access, noise, flooding and environmental issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		area is part of the Crawley 'Greenway' and as such should not be used for any additional housing/caravan use. It is important for environmental reasons that we keep this area of ancient historic woodland that is designated as an area of outstanding natural beauty and a nature conservation area.	transport assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing and the site is not being progressed at this stage. Please note that the Langley Green site does not contain Ancient Woodland or AONB.
Robert More	individual	Langley Green (Map Ref 1) should not be considered as a housing site because the access roads are narrow and residential, with blind corners and many junctions, where cars parked day and night. This area is part of the Crawley 'Greenway' and as such should not be used for any additional housing/caravan use. It is important for environmental reasons that we keep this area of ancient historic woodland that is designated as an area of outstanding natural beauty and a nature conservation area.	Comments noted. The council acknowledges the transport/access, noise and flooding and environmental issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing and the site is not being progressed at this stage. Please note that the Langley Green site does not contain Ancient Woodland or AONB.
Carol Heather	individual	Langley Walk - Burlands site. As a resident of Burlands I strongly object to this area being considered for housing. The area adjacent to my back garden is a lovely wild area with many mature trees & bushes and is a haven for wildlife, including deer, foxes, hedgehogs & numerous species of birds. The future prospect of another runway at Gatwick looms on the horizon which will have a negative impact on our way of life. Why must every green space in Crawley, no matter how small, be considered suitable for building on, thus ruining existing residents' way of life. With the hospital having been downgraded, & existing infrastructure stretched, I do not feel further development would be beneficial. Stop destroying our green spaces.	Comments noted. The council acknowledges the transport/access, noise, flooding and environmental issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing and the site is not being progressed at this stage. Protection of open space will be through: Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance. Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities. The area outside the built up area boundary is the rural/urban fringe. Crawley's compact nature and attractive setting including accessible countryside for recreation is sought (see CH9 and CH11)
Patricia Walker	individual	Langley Walk: Completely unsuitable for housing development and as a gypsy / travellers site 1. It is a cul-de-sac 2. Engross and across to t Langley walk is difficult now without further traffic. 3. Langley Walk s subject to flooding now it would worsen with	Comments noted. The council acknowledges the transport/access, noise, flooding and environmental issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing and the site is not being progressed at this stage.

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>development</p> <p>4. the deprecation of property value</p> <p>5. Single-line traffic no pavement on one side at present</p> <p>6. mini nature reserve (Deer/rabbit/wild life) would be lost</p> <p>7. Construction vehicles would result in chaos.</p>	<p>For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p> <p>Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.</p> <p>See Tree policy CH6: New development should retain individual specimens or groups of trees that make a positive contribution to visual amenity. Additional tree planting will also be required to mitigate the visual impact of the intensification of buildings, hard surfacing parking and boundary treatments.</p>
John Sander		<p>Langley Walk: Gypsy site: Where is the second runway going? How can there be any development without knowing this first? The noise level inside our houses is going to be unbearable, what about the gypsies & travellers including their children in caravans! This site as mentioned before is totally unsuitable for access, our road is too narrow to take trucks and large van, horses are using this road regularly and dog walkers crossing the road for the public footpath is going to be more and more dangerous with the increased traffic.</p> <p>Langley Walk: Housing: No! To housing in Langley walk! Flooding risk the trees are filtering the noise and pollution from the airport / runway, how much more will these be needed if a second runway is going to be built nearer to us! The hedges and green shrubs need to be kept for the natural ecosystem to survive - the wildlife, rabbits, foxes etc. The increase in traffic will cause access and parking problems already felt down our road, as the road is narrow and has tight corners at both ends.</p>	<p>For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p> <p>Comments noted. The council acknowledges the transport/access, noise, flooding and environmental issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing and the site is not being progressed at this stage.</p> <p>Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.</p> <p>See Tree policy CH6: New development should retain individual specimens or groups of trees that make a positive contribution to visual amenity. Additional tree planting will also be required to mitigate the visual impact of the intensification of buildings, hard surfacing parking and boundary treatments.</p>
Margaret Martin	individual	<p>Langley Walk is not a good area for development or for Gypsy and Travellers sites</p> <p>1. Access is difficult for large vehicles</p> <p>2. Too close to the airport the need for a second runway is important for Gatwick and for the community employment etc</p> <p>3. Gypsy and travellers need more space that this area can provide.</p>	<p>For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p>

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		Broadfield kennels would give more space for the travellers 4. This are is the most attractive in Langley Green. Why spoil it when there are alternatives	
Grant Saunders	individual	Leave bewbush alone !!!!!!! your plans are absolute rubbish why dont you actually get some staff that actually know what they are doing!	Noted. No planning issues raised.
Cheryl Jones	individual	Leave the playing for for the kids	Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.
Mr PG Wall	individual	letter sent in	
BOB	individual	LISTEN TO THE PEOPLE OF CRAWLEY	Comments noted
Marina Cragg	individual	Lived in Crawley all my life, hate whats happening to it, build, build ,build, why ? where are all these people coming from ? and for how long can we go on trashing our town, Green belt means nothing any more. After 59 yrs if I could move i would.	Comments noted. No planning issues raised.
Mr Day	individual	Major concerns over gipsy site, They behave like animals, why on earth put them into the community?? You'll have to site a police station there...	No planning issues raised.
Jilly Thompson	individual	Many concerns regarding loss of open spaces, trees, bridal paths etc. Do we really need to fill up every empty piece of land? Surely if Crawley is full then it's full, look after the residents you have and think of future generations, surely they deserve some green spaces.	Comments noted. Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance. Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			The area outside the built up area boundary is the rural/urban fringe. Crawley's compact nature and attractive setting including accessible countryside for recreation is sought (see CH9 and CH11)
David Green	individual	Map ref 2 (southgate): this site is home to thousands of birds and wild animals - it would be a crime to take their homes, possibly killing and maiming them in the process. Also it is a woodland area and trees are a precious thing in this day and age also many people enjoy walking through this area, it is an important extension of Tolgate Park and this forest area is very nice It really would be wrong to destroy a forest area there's not many places left like this. Surely you could choose a plain grassed field instead	Comments noted. The need for a Gypsy and Traveller site balanced with the aim of conserving and enhancing Crawley's urban/rural fringe will be considered. Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.
Sharon Wright	individual	Most concerned about the proposed Tinsley Lane Sites as there is already so much work already going on round its the only green space left. I live in Tinsley Lane and have 5 children we are already having to deal with bad air quality/ pollution and noise pollution from existing works going on. The actual Industrial estate doesn't provide any issues after rush hour but all the new building in the North Sector etc does. Please leave what little green area we have left alone.	Comments noted. The development of the land at Tinsley Lane for housing would need to ensure that there would be no conflict between the development and adjoining uses in respect of air quality/noise. The Council is in the process of establishing whether the site is suitable for housing principally in respect of noise and air quality issues. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.
Mrs D Vince	individual	My comments relate to all open spaces within large residential areas where people live in close proximity to each other. It is very important for people who live in these areas that there are open spaces in which their children can play and dogs can be exercised etc especially as many of these people have very small or non-existent gardens. These areas should be easy to access for all and therefore must remain local. For example my local open space is Burleys Wood and this is a very well used and loved open space by the majority of residents on the Crabbett Park Estate, Worth. It provides an easily accessible open area for children to play without having to cross any major roads ie Balcombe Road and Copthorne Road. Both of these roads are extremely busy and the traffic is set to become heavier on these roads with future developments.	Comments noted. The removal of the Historic Garden designation was on the basis that there was insufficient evidence of the historical value of the land as parkland. However, Burleys Wood is considered to be a valuable piece of public open space and on this basis is not considered suitable for housing.

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>I also feel that Crabbett Park is a poor relation compared to other housing developments in Crawley with regards to the facilities we have. We have a VERY small park area for very young children to play and no where other than Burleys Wood for the older children to play ball games etc. We have no community areas at all such as community centres, large well kept playing fields or any kind of sports facilities.</p> <p>Aside from the people using the park it is also a conservation area and as such deserves to remain a protected area. It is also and, will always be, a part of the Crabbett Park Estate as a whole and therefore is still an important part of Crawley's history regardless of the motorway having been built. After the large house on Milton Mount was demolished and a very unattractive block of flats put in place the gardens have still been deemed worthy of renovation. In conclusion and on balance I think it is only fair to keep Burleys Wood (it is the only recreational facility we have on Crabbett Park) as a protected area under the Historic Parks and Gardens to ensure that it remains as a legacy to Crawley's past but also can be enjoyed by future generations.</p>	
Nicole	individual	<p>My concern is about the gypsy travellers being allocated space to stay, i understand that children should be able to stay in one place and also with the health care etc but surely you guys should make sure you can get the right kind of travellers in that land who will benefit and be grateful as well. Not the ones who fight and steal. I have on many occasions witnessed these people stealing and fighting and just last week in the bingo hall witnessed a traveller threaten to kill an elderly man. Whilst i understand that you want to give these families a better future i dint believe you should be putting the future of us who live in Crawley most of our lives in danger by doing so. ... I just think the idea isn't right in itself with the trouble that have caused in Crawley over the years and still causing now. If they want to live in crawlley so badly then surely they should have to do it the hard way just like the rest of us rent or buy and then maybe they might get some respect for the land they are using and abusing.</p>	Comments noted. A permanent, managed site would enable residents to be part of the community whilst retaining their ability to travel for some months of the year.
Angela Tullett	individual	<p>my concerns for a gypsy site is ..., so maybe this isn't a good idea, broadfield has a bad enough name as it is.</p>	Comments noted.
Mr G Zilli	individual	<p>My garden backs onto the site between Langley Walk & Burlands. Last year, the end of my garden was under 3 to 4 inches of water for weeks. And because of this problem, my house insurance will not cover flooding. Removing the tree and covering area with concrete</p>	Comments noted. The council acknowledges the transport/access, noise, flooding and environmental issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		and houses will only make the problem worse. The roads around this area are virtually one way with cars parked down one side, and would struggle with the extra traffic. Then there is the question of the second runway, when, not if it happens, there is enough noise from existing runway, the second will affect Langley Green resident even more. There is also the question of wildlife on this plot. I have had slow worms in my compost heap, hedgehogs and can hear owls in the trees at night, along with Pipistrels flying around on warm summer nights.	site could be identified for housing and the site is not being progressed at this stage. The area outside the built up area boundary is the rural/urban fringe. Crawley's compact nature and attractive setting including accessible countryside for recreation is sought (see CH9 and CH11) Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.
Kay White	individual	My main objection is that when Crawley was developed areas were left with open spaces and playing fields for residents to use for recreation, wildlife, sports, dog walking, flood plains etc. Taking away some of these increases the density and will lose the overall "rural" feel to some of the neighbourhoods. Whilst it is important to develop for future generations, we are already having two new large neighbourhoods developed in Kilnwood Vale and area off Steers Lane when once it was stated that Maidenbower would be the last. Traffic is already congested at peak times in many areas and the town centre will once again have parking problems. Crawley needs to retain some of its more pleasant features, i.e. open spaces, or risk becoming a yet another obscure concrete jungle.	Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. Any development of open space will include retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.
Tara Petty	individual	My only real concerns are over population of a lovely town. I have lived here all my life and Crawley has already changed so much it is almost unrecognisable. I am even considering moving due to the amount of people in this town. I am also very concerned that if you allow gypsies the land to stay the tax payers will be paying for the clean up operations and the police. I do not like to be prejudice but gypsies have never been respectful of town folk nor the law. Keep Crawley as it is!	Comments noted. The proposals would be for a permanent, managed site with appropriate facilities for the residents.
Kate Towner	individual	My primary concern is the land North of Langley Walk / Burlands in Langley Green. It forms a very important barrier between the town and the Airport and any reduction in this by ANY of the proposed developments would have a severely detrimental impact of the local environment and the quality of life for the residents. In recent years, the land surrounding Apple Tree Farm in Ifield/Langley Green has been lost to a housing/community development and this is land that borders a river course (Mole) that has a history of flooding and effecting the local area (see previous news regarding the flood in The Gables residential home). The threat from flooding and the run-off from concrete, buildings and loss of natural absorption is ever	Comments noted. The council acknowledges the transport/access, noise and flooding issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing and the site is not being progressed at this stage.

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>increasing, particularly with the possible effects of climate change on our water courses as seen over the last few years. Any additional development in this area will exacerbate the problem and lead to loss of insurance cover for residents and potential financial hardship/upheaval. The local natural environment has been drastically reduced with the consequential effects on local nature, wildlife and residents - it is no coincidence that we experience a greater frequency of the sightings of bats in our garden than we did before they were displaced by the Apple Tree Farm development. With the possible extension of Gatwick Airport's boundaries in the direction of LangleyGreen / Ifield and the willingness of Horsham District Council to place all of their required developments on Crawley's borders, this is placing an intolerable strain on the local infrastructure and services (schools, medical services etc). Increased traffic, noise and air pollution in an area that suffers more than perhaps any other neighbourhood in the Borough should be unthinkable.</p> <p>The local roads in Langley Green were designed 60 years ago when the family car was a luxury and most people were employed locally so could use public transport. Rightly or wrongly, car ownership has exploded and the effects can be seen on every road, cul-de-sac, grass verge (and often pavement) in the area. CONDUCT YOUR TRAFFIC ASSESSMENT ON A WEEKEND rather than a weekday when the working population are absent and you will find it very difficult to manoeuvre your vehicle swiftly around the streets of Langley Walk and the surrounding areas due to the mass of parked cars and vehicles on the move. It is an ever increasing issue for us. Official thoughts on the effects of noise pollution from the Airport on this area differs from the day to day effects suffered by the residents but what is certain is that any reduction in the woodland/farmland tree cover surrounding this area or additional hard-standing for building will significantly increase the noise pollution emanating from the Airport, severely impairing the quality of life for the residents. Any new residents placed in the area (settled or travelling) would find the traffic, access, noise pollution, potential flooding and air quality issues equally problematic.</p> <p>This strategic gap needs to be maintained at all costs with absolutely no further development between Ifield/Langley Green and the Airport!</p>	
Andrew Towner	individual	<p>My primary concern is the land North of Langley Walk / Burlands in Langley Green. It forms a very important barrier between the town and the Airport and any reduction in this by ANY of the proposed developments would have a severely detrimental impact of the local</p>	<p>Comments noted. The council acknowledges the transport/access, noise and flooding issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for</p>

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>environment and the quality of life for the residents. In recent years, the land surrounding Apple Tree Farm in Ifield/Langley Green has been lost to a housing/community development and this is land that borders a river course (Mole) that has a history of flooding and effecting the local area (see previous news regarding the flood in The Gables residential home). The threat from flooding and the run-off from concrete, buildings and loss of natural absorption is ever increasing, particularly with the possible effects of climate change on our water courses as seen over the last few years. Any additional development in this area will exacerbate the problem and lead to loss of insurance cover for residents and potential financial hardship/upheaval.</p> <p>The local natural environment has been drastically reduced with the consequential effects on local nature, wildlife and residents - it is no coincidence that we experience a greater frequency of the sightings of bats in our garden than we did before they were displaced by the Apple Tree Farm development.</p> <p>With the possible extension of Gatwick Airport's boundaries in the direction of LangleyGreen / Ifield and the willingness of Horsham District Council to place all of their required developments on Crawley's borders, this is placing an intolerable strain on the local infrastructure and services (schools, medical services etc). Increased traffic, noise and air pollution in an area that suffers more than perhaps any other neighbourhood in the Borough should be unthinkable.</p> <p>The local roads in Langley Green were designed 60 years ago when the family car was a luxury and most people were employed locally so could use public transport. Rightly or wrongly, car ownership has exploded and the effects can be seen on every road, cul-de-sac, grass verge (and often pavement) in the area. CONDUCT YOUR TRAFFIC ASSESSMENT ON A WEEKEND rather than a weekday when the working population are absent and you will find it very difficult to manoeuvre your vehicle swiftly around the streets of Langley Walk and the surrounding areas due to the mass of parked cars and vehicles on the move. It is an ever increasing issue for us.</p> <p>Official thoughts on the effects of noise pollution from the Airport on this area differs from the day to day effects suffered by the residents but what is certain is that any reduction in the woodland/farmland tree cover surrounding this area or additional hard-standing for building will significantly increase the noise pollution emanating from the Airport, severely impairing the quality of life for the residents. Any new residents placed in the area (settled or travelling) would find the traffic, access, noise pollution, potential flooding and air quality issues</p>	<p>housing and the site is not being progressed at this stage.</p>

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		equally problematic. This strategic gap needs to be maintained at all costs with absolutely no further development between Ifield/Langley Green and the Airport!	
Chelsie Mead	individual	Nice place to walk / play on bewbush west fields and park. I go over all the time with my nan.	Comments noted
Richard Wheatland	individual	No building on existing open spaces in Crawley!	Comments noted. Protection of open space will be through: Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance. Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.
Terence Green	individual	No concerns.	
Carlie Gould	individual	No more building in Bewbush. Too many people in such a small neighbourhood. Everyday police helicopter is overheard. Try to put cemetery near crematorium rather than school!	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery is not being progressed through the Local Plan process and will be taken forward separately by the council.
Mr Richard A Page	individual	No one wants Traveller's on their doorstep. The last time we were invaded by Gypsies at Cherry Lane, I couldn't take my daughter to the park to play for fear of the gypsies and their intimidating behaviour not to mention the mess. There was an increase in break-ins in the local area and the council had to have them evicted. They will effect my house price and that of the local community - it is a total injustice! Put them away from the town's population on an old industrial site or similar, not on anyones doorstep!!!!	The proposal would be for a permanent managed site with facilities for the residents. Some sites in the borough would not have access to facilities and services, such as schools. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Charlotte Louise Knights	individual	NO TRAVELERS NO GYPSIES NO TRAVELERS NO GYPSIES NO TRAVELERS NO GYPSIES!!!!	The council is required to identify sites to meet the objectively assessed housing needs of the borough.
Jared	individual	no.	No planning issues raised.
Terence W J Crompton	individual	Not only Langley Walk but Mulberry Road and Walnut Lane properties are blighted by the Gypsy Traveller Site proposal near the allotments.	Comments noted. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		Langley Walk [a non-through road]itself is absolutely not suitable for the extra caravans, lorries and trucks and even cars which can only use it with extreme caution as it is, let alone if/when extra houses[and their vehicles] and Graylands caravans would be using it in addition to the Travellers' trucks and caravans. With regard to proposed Langley Walk new housing, BT would have to replace and increase the number of telephone lines as the nearby lines Junction Box is already over full over capacity, according to the engineer who mended and reconnected the strained cable there for our phone line 3 weeks ago.	be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Peter Bower	individual	Nothing else to add	
Andrew Sander	individual	Of all the proposals made in this document, I fell most strongly about the possibility of a Gypsy / Travellers site being built adjacent to Langley Walk. As I have already mentioned, the proposed site would be more suited to a cemetery, if development must be made. The extremely narrow roads would not cope with large vehicles (tracks / vans etc) which are associated with these communities. The alternative sire at Braodfield Kennels, is a much more suitable location. As it is situated near as easily accessible, large road system, the vehicles will not have such a large impact on the surrounding area.	The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years. The council acknowledges the transport/access, noise and flooding issues associated with the Langley Walk site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forward at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years. The council acknowledges the transport/access, noise and flooding issues associated with the Langley Walk site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent site at Langley Walk is not being taken forward at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Johnny Porter	representative	On behalf of Cottesmore Golf & Country Club I must raise serious objection to the provision of the gipsy/traveller site at Broadfield Kennels. The potential damage and disruption to the golf course is already considerable from Broadfield children using the underpass A264 walkway. The addition of a traveller site would have an undoubted affect on the business. The club makes a contribution of around Â£100,000 in business rates to the local communtiy which I would hope would be also taken into consideration when looking for alternative site for the travellers.	Comments noted.

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Isabel Baker	individual	On one hand there is a plan to extend the lfield Village Conservation area, but at the same time you are suggesting that lfield Park has its Historic Park designation removed. I understand that it has been in existence for over 500 years. There are other historically interesting features in the area (lfield Mill, the mill pond, site of ancient mussell beds etc) , so the suggestion makes absolutely no sense.	Comments noted. The council has decided to remove the designation of a number of parks including lfield Park as historic parkland for the reasons given in the sites consultation document, largely due to lack of evidence of their historical parkland significance.
David Smith	individual	Once a historic designation is removed it is available for any sort of development. This means that another green space is lost within the town. This green spaces, apart form providing a pleasant area for people, they are a haven for wild life and plants. The country is losing plants and wild life at an alarming rate and it would not condone any plans that is likely to accelerate this.	Comments noted. The Council has decided to remove the designation of a number of parks including lfield Park as historic parkland for the reasons given in the sites consultation document, largely due to lack of evidence of their historical parkland significance. There are a number of mature trees on this site which are protected by tree preservation orders. The rest of the site contains a small amount of landscaping and development.
Margaret Baker	individual	Once again Pound Hill/Maidenbower seem to have come off lightly in these plans!	Comments noted. An urban capacity study was undertaken for the whole borough. Sites within Maidenbower were not found due to the reasonably recent age of the neighbourhood and its limited land available for development. The new neighbourhood of at least 1,900 dwellings is located within Pound Hill; the location of the airport, noise affected areas and safeguarded land, along with areas of flood risk limit further development beyond the residual land already identified.
Leigh-Ann Underwood	individual	Open parks and green spaces, as mentioned in this questionnaire, are extremely important to the local communities as they provide much needed greenery and peace and tranquility and makes Crawley a pretty and relaxing place to live - people take pride in the fact that Crawley has many amenities on their doorstep that make everyday life more comfortable but we have the added luxury of living near lots of countryside too which should be protected for future generations - not everywhere needs to be concreted and flattened!!	Comments noted. The area outside the built up area boundary is the rural/urban fringe. Crawley's compact nature and attractive setting including accessible countryside for recreation is sought (see CH9 and CH11) Protection of open space will be through: Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance. Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.
Mrs Janet Flanagan	individual	Our concerns are for Burleys Wood. Although this area is significantly smaller than the original historic park and the M23 partly separates it from the historic buildings. It is still connected to the	Comments noted. The council has decided to remove the designation of a number of parks as historic parkland for the reasons given in the sites consultation document, largely due to lack of evidence of their historical

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>main historic area by the underpass under the M23 which connects the section of the driveway to the main estate & house with the rest of the estate. Where this driveway meets the Turners Hill Road there is one of the original gate houses to the estate. Burleys Wood is still part of Worths history & should therefore still be recognised as part of the original Historic Park. There doesn't appear to be any sound reason offered by Crawley Council to warrant changing this status. We are part of the community who really enjoy this park area. Also as dog owners we walk our dog there daily. We have attended social events in the park & it is a regular meeting and social area. Children regularly use the park to play. We have seen a large variety of wildlife in the park including deer, foxes, lizards, slow worms, frogs, toads, dragon flies, damsel flies, plus a large variety of butterflies, bees & birds which we have many photographs of. We as a family have previously contacted the council about the poor maintenance of this park area as the grass cutters and vehicles emptying the dog bins had flattened the brambles beside the bollards that were put in to stop vehicle access to the park after a car was dumped and set light to in the underpass under the M23. It was arranged for a large log to be placed in this area and secured to re secure the area and the brambles have now grown back around the log. We also pointed out that the whole grass area was being cut every 2 years in the autumn which decimated all the insect eggs for the following year. It was agreed that part of the area would be cut one year and the other the following year. Even now, the fact that the council use large industrial cutters that cut the grass very short we find a lot of dead creatures every year after they have cut the grass.</p> <p>We can't see how Crawley Borough Council can justify changing Burlys woods status as its historical importance & connections still exist. We strongly object to this proposed status change & that with a change of status the area would be vulnerable to possible government change on policy regarding conservation areas. As an historic park it remains better protected.</p>	<p>parkland significance. Burleys Wood is considered to be a valuable piece of public open space and on this basis it is not considered suitable for development.</p>
S Broomfield	individual	<p>Our green spaces need to be protected at all costs for our future generations. This area is a haven for wildlife and also offers people great places to take leisure activities etc. Why should our children live in an area full of concrete and a lack of nature and understanding it. More encouragement should be used to promote these green spaces in the community.</p>	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for retention, replacement and</p>

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			enhancement of open space in line with the council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.
Zena Ward	individual	Our town should take pride in our open spaces. It would be detrimental to build on these areas. These areas add to the somewhat built up feeling of this town & the green spaces make it easier on the eye. Once we let go of our open spaces and historic parks and gardens there will be no going back. Please look long term and not regret our actions today.	<p>Comments noted. The decision to remove the designation of a number of parks as historic parkland was set out in the reasons given in the sites consultation document; these are largely due to lack of evidence of their historical parkland significance.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. Any development of open space would allow for retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>
Andrea Giffen	individual	Please do not change status of Burley wood.	Comments noted. The decision to remove the designation of a number of parks including Burley Wood as historic parkland was set out in the reasons given in the sites consultation document, and is largely due to lack of evidence of their historical parkland significance. The development of Burleys Wood however, is not considered acceptable on the basis of loss of valuable public open space.
Kym Hofmann	individual	<p>Please do not spoil the green space we already have in Crawley.</p> <p>Please please please do not allow a travellers site in Langley Green.</p>	The Council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. It is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing including Gypsy and Traveller needs where their loss can be justified in terms of recreational, ecological and environmental impact. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy site at Langley Walk is not being taken forward at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan
Hurley	individual	Please don't sacrifice the few areas of green space we have left in Crawley. If we don't put a stop on these developments and the	Comments noted. A number of the issues raised do not raise substantive planning issues, particularly in relation to compensation.

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		Airport expansion Crawley will become a polluted concrete jungle. I accept more housing is needed but it should not have a detrimental affect for existing residents unless the Council is prepared to offer compensation where developments have had a detrimental effect on the local quality of life, or devaluation of property.	<p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>Any development of open space would allow for retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>
Mrs Sharon Stamps	individual	please keep as much open spaces as possible crawley has some great places with wild life and greenery I was born in crawley over 50years ago and I would not want to live any where else please please don't spoil the crawley I thown	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>Any development of open space would allow for retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>
Scott	individual	Please keep Crawley Safe for our Children.	Comments noted
Ben Johnson	individual	Please no travellers, it will completely ruin Crawley and will make people angry...	The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. It is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing including Gypsy and Traveller needs where their loss can be justified in terms of ecological and environmental impact. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy site at Langley Walk is not being taken forward at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan
Claire Robinson	individual	Please please no travellers in Broadfield, from a council tax payer!	Comments noted
Jane Leese	individual	Please please please listen to local voices and concerns our children	Comments noted

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		are our future lets develop and improve Crawley and make it a place we are proud to live in not a town that is developed for a small minority.	
Elwood	individual	Please start to look at the bigger picture before doing any more ghastly building, if we wanted to live in Croydon then we would.	Comments noted
Nasima Kada	individual	Please stop picking on Langley green how much more people /house can you fit in there???? We do not want a travellers site or any more housing, as in the last 5 yes you have already pit up 3 new blocks of flats and houses. Why did you not want to put the travellers site in Pound Hill or Three Bridges???	Comments noted. The Council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. It is recognised that some areas of open space may need to be developed for housing including Gypsy and Traveller needs where their loss can be justified in terms of ecological and environmental impact. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy site at Langley Walk is not being taken forward at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. The number of appropriate sites for permanent gypsy and traveller pitches is very limited by constraints such as noise and flooding.
Dan	individual	Please stop taking away our fields and building houses to home people from different country's. This can't keep going on.	Comments noted
Scott Ingram	individual	Please, please, please consider solving the parking problems in Drake Road. It is very hard to park, which isn't helped my various neighbors bad parking/driving skills. A suggestion would be to remove the grass by the pavement and adding in designated parking spaces. Also, in general, the potholes in the Crawley roads are embarrassing.	Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.
J. Boardman	individual	Please, please, please leave us some green spaces to walk on. We have almost none left now in the East of Crawley. Do you hate us so much?	Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.
Timothy Buckett	individual	Possible site for Cemetery, old gas site as this is then near crem.	Comments noted. The allocation of land for a cemetery is not being addressed through the Local Plan process and will be taken forward separately by the council.

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Sandra Trotman	individual	<p>Proposed Additional Housing in Langley Walk – we are opposed to this because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Langley Walk, and surrounding roads. The road infrastructure is not capable of taking any more traffic. Currently parking is on one side of each road and vehicles make their way in and out to proceed down the road. The passing in the street ensure that the road is single lane. – The two lanes leading into the proposed area are public footpaths this would need to be re-sited as they are in continued use by the community – Access to the site whilst construction takes place will be limited by Langley Walk. – The area also is ancient historic woodland and the surrounding area is also designated as one of outstanding natural beauty and a nature conservation area – It should also be noted that there is constant flooding in the area and at times becomes impassable – The water run off around the properties in the said area is ditched and although these work their way to the brook over at Willoughby fields flooding still occurs. – With any new housing development there needs to be a huge new infrastructure and we believe that costs will be prohibitive pertaining to this site as opposed to other sites in Crawley – Due to the site being so close to the current housing noise debris etc. from the construction site will blight the area ad also cause problems for the community. – If the airport 2nd runway goes ahead the new housing site will be blighted through noise pollution – Possible health issues due to kerosene from aircraft 	<p>Comments noted. The council acknowledges the transport/access, noise and flooding issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing and the site is not being progressed at this stage.</p>
D C Stephenson	individual	<p>PROPOSED CEMETERY</p> <p>I would suggest that an ideal ocation for this would be Map Ref 12 due to its close proximity to the existing crematorium. It may not be suitable for housing, but may be ideal for a cemetery, being on the outskirts of town (see also Q13).</p>	<p>Comments noted. The allocation of land for a cemetery is not being addressed through the Local Plan process and will be taken forward separately by the council.</p>
Charlotte Yarker	developer	<p>Proposed Local Green Space designation for Ifield Brook Meadows (west of Ifield) and Rusper Road Playing Fields</p> <p>There is no need for this land to be the subject of a further protective designation. The land, as Crawley Borough Council (CBC) notes, is the subject of the following designations:</p>	<p>This designation reflects the consultations which have consistently shown that this space is special to the local community. Whilst there are existing designations that cover parts of this area, it is of benefit to bring the sum of its parts into one designation to reflect its importance and the level of protection given by para 77 of the NPPF.</p>

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site of Nature Conservation Interest • Flood Zone 2 and 3 • Conservation Area <p>Given that this land is already the subject of statutory designations and therefore protected by the existing legislative and policy framework, there is no justification to allocate this site as a Local Green Space. To allocate the land subject to a further designation is unnecessary, particularly as the CBC has not justified why such a designation is necessary. Indeed, CBC acknowledges in its Assessment of Potential Designation Sites that the designation of the site as Local Green Space will provide continued protection of the land. Continued protection is not necessary as the land is already the subject of three designations that protect the land from inappropriate development.</p> <p>Paragraph 77 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) is clear that "Local Green Space designation will not be appropriate for most green areas or open space CBC has not provided any justification for the designation of this land as a Local Green Space beyond stating that the designation would provide continued protection of the site. This is not sufficient justification since the site is already protected by existing legislation and a policy framework that CBC intends to carry forward in the forthcoming plan.</p> <p>In conclusion, to allocate this already protected land as Local Green Space is not necessary or proportionate and would be contrary to the provisions of paragraph 167 of the NPPF which states that "Assessments are proportionate, and should not repeat policy assessment that has already been undertaken.</p>	
Kayleigh Nash	individual	Put the gypsies as far away from people homes as possible. You should consider crawley residents needs first!	Comments noted
Mr Mrs and Mr Mark Beeden	individual	Putting gypsies and new houses in Langley green would be the worst move the council could make please don't make Langley Green worst than it already is.	Comments noted. The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy site at Langley Walk is not being taken forward at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan
JANET ROSKILY	individual	Q.15. MEMORIAL GARDENS AS A WAR MEMORIAL AND SHOWING THE COMMITMENT OF OLD CRAWLEY TO THE HEALTH AND WELLBEING OF FUTURE GENERATIONS SHOULD	Memorial Gardens and Goffs Park are proposed Historic Parks. The boundary of the Goffs Park designation will follow the original boundary of the park. The area highlighted will be looked at in detail.

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>CERTAINLY BE DESIGNATED.....</p> <p>Q16.AS PART OF THE CURRENT AREA WITHIN THE PARK TRADED BY THE OLD CRAWLEY AND IFIELD PARISH COUNCIL FOR PART OF THE ORIGINAL MEMORIAL FIELD (OR CRAWLEY REC), THE HISTORIC PARK DESIGNATION SHOULD BE APPLIED. I'VE RUN HISTORY WALKS, COVERING THE WHOLE PARK FOR THE LAST TEN YEARS POINTING OUT VARIOUS ASPECTS OF THE PARKS HISTORY AND THAT IT WAS THE NEW TOWN'S FIRST PUBLIC PARK.</p> <p>Q19. I ENCLOSE A LEAFLET WE PRODUCED ON THE IFIELD MEADOW AS PART OF THE LOTTERY FUNDED PROJECT TO RESTORE THE IFIELD WATER MILL WHEEL. AGAIN IT IS PART OF THE GREEN CORRIDOR ON THE WESTERN SIDE OF THE TOWN, PART OF THE LILLENUM GREENWAY DEVELOPED BY CBC - IT IS FAR AND AWAY THE NICEST PART OF THAT CIRCULAR ROUTE (FURTHER COPIES OF THE LEAFLET ARE WITH CRAWLEY MUSEUM SOCIETY).</p> <p>Q16. I NOTE WITH PLEASURE THAT YOUR MAP SHOWS THE POND ON THE S BOUNDARY AGAINST ST WILFRID'S SCHOOL AS PART OF THE PARK. IT APPEARS TO ME THAT THE POND HAS BEEN FENCED IN BY ST WILFRID'S FOLLOWING ITS REDEVELOPMENT. A SMALL STREAM RUNS DOWN FROM THIS POND AS IT IS AN AREA TEEMING WITH WILDLIFE, I URGE THAT COUNCIL TO RECLAIM THE POND BACK INTO THE PUBLIC PARK,</p> <p>JANET ROSKILY - FORMER CHAIR AND SECRETARY OF CRAWLEY MUSEUM SOCIETY</p>	
Ann Lloyd (Mrs)	individual	<p>Q10 Land North of Langley Walk and Q7 Land adjacent Langley Walk & Burlands</p> <p>The local roads in Langley Green were designed 70 years ago when the family car was a luxury and most people were employed locally so could use public transport. Car ownership has exploded over the years and the effects can be seen on every road, cul-de-sac, grass verge (and offer pavement) in the area. Weekend traffic assessment rather than a weekday, when the working population are absent, would show that it is difficult to manoeuvre your vehicle around Langley Walk and the surrounding area roads due to the mass of parked cars and vehicles on the move. A situation that will be exacerbated by any development of these sites by the increased vehicular movement.</p> <p>Official reports on the effect of noise pollution from the airport on this area have differed over the years but having been a resident since</p>	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>The allocation of land for a new cemetery is not being identified through the Local Plan process and will be dealt with separately by the council.</p> <p>Many roads in Crawley were built at a time when there were lower levels of car ownership and do not have the same level of parking provision that is provided in new development.</p> <p>Transport assessment work will identify how new sites will be accessed as well as considering the overall impact on the highway network.</p> <p>Any new housing allocations take into account the possible noise impacts if a second runway were to be built.</p> <p>For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The</p>

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>1958 if my memory is correct after the development of Fir Tree Walk it was decided by the council that no further residential development would be permitted between Langley Walk and the Airport unless their construction met air borne noise building construction regulations which would require solid floors and 1st floor ceilings, triple glazing and air conditioning with sound attenuating air intake vents the provision of which would make any development too expensive to consider. It is for this reason that no applications for residential to my knowledge have been made or even considered until now. If these requirements are necessary for permanent brick residences then caravan living would be intolerable.</p> <p>Q12 A New Cemetery for Crawley</p> <p>All open amenity areas should be preserved and treasured for both the living and future generations to enjoy - no exceptions! It does seem very insensitive to suggest a cemetery adjacent to retirement homes.</p>	<p>Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. Any development of open space will allow for retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>
Michael Lloyd	individual	<p>Q10 Land North of Langley Walk and Q7 Land adjacent Langley Walk & Burlands</p> <p>The local roads in Langley Green were designed 70 years ago when the family car was a luxury and most people were employed locally so could use public transport. Car ownership has exploded over the years and the effects can be seen on every road, cul-de-sac, grass verge (and often pavement) in the area. Weekend traffic assessment rather than a weekday, when the working population are absent, would show that it is difficult to manoeuvre your vehicle around Langley Walk and the surrounding area roads due to the mass of parked cars and vehicles on the move. A situation that will be exacerbated by any development of these sites by the increased vehicular movement.</p> <p>Official reports on the effect of noise pollution from the Airport on this area have differed over the years but having been a resident since 1958 if my memory is correct after the development of Fir Tree Walk it was decided by the council that no further residential development would be permitted between Langley Walk and the airport unless their construction met air borne noise building construction regulations which would require solid floors and 1st floor ceilings, triple glazing and air conditioning with sound attenuating air intake vents the provision of which would make any development too expensive to consider. It is for this reason that no applications for residential to my knowledge have been made or even considered until now. If these</p>	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>The allocation of land for a new cemetery is not being identified through the Local Plan process and will be dealt with separately by the Council.</p> <p>Transport assessment work will identify how new sites will be accessed as well as considering the overall impact on the highway network.</p> <p>Any new housing allocations take into account the possible noise impacts if a second runway were to be built.</p> <p>For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p>

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>requirements are necessary for permanent brick residences then caravan living would be intolerable.</p> <p>Q12 A New Cemetery for Crawley All open amenity areas should be preserved and treasured for both the living and future generations to enjoy - no exceptions! It does seem very insensitive to suggest a cemetery adjacent to retirement homes</p>	
Mr Beale	individual	Q16 Goffs park map as shown by you in this questionnaire seen to be showing a square section jutting onto St Wilfred School grounds. But I am sure that the fence built around the school cuts straight across this square section. Could this piece of land be incorporated into their land by mistake or deliberate acquiring by mistake?	The boundary is based on the extent of the park or garden irrespective of land ownership. However, in this instance the pond/woodland (within school grounds) predates the new town park but was not included in the public park. As the designation is primarily based on the importance of the new town park it would be logical to remove the small area that is outside the park.
MR D R Thomas	individual	Q17 " Burleys Wood id the only open space (historic nature) in the area and to put 200 homes there would need a great deal of planning re: roads " i.e. Balcombe Road is now one of the busiest roads in Crawley plus re: noise form traffic on the M23 " council decided some years ago NOT to put NOISE BARRIER along Carbbet Part Estate. SEE COUNCILLOR BOB LANZER.	Comments noted. The Council has decided to remove the designation of a number of parks as historic parkland for the reasons given in the sites consultation document, largely due to lack of evidence of their historical parkland significance. Burleys Wood is considered to be a valuable piece of public open space and on this basis it is not considered suitable for development.
John Cooban	individual	<p>Re historic parks / gardens - Tilgate Park report acknowledges the lodges in Brighton Road and Three Bridges Road but regarding the latter it omits to mention the Victorian carriage drive and parkland plantations of now mature lime, horse chestnut (and one remaining locally prominent corsican pine) with remnants of the traditional horizontal steel bar estate fencing still evident in Three Bridges - the vital connection with Three Bridges railway station via Furnace Farm being one of the prime factors in Tilgate's splendid expansion. If this area (Jubilee Field and Tilgate Drive (see notes 4 and 31 in Three Bridges Landscape Heritage Trail) cannot be included as an annex to the Tilgate Historic Park and Gardens, it should at least be given some other special protection from the present damaging use of plantations by unauthorised camping activity.</p> <p>The next part of the questionnaire does not permit me to explain that whilst I have completed this form as an individual, I am also a Tree Warden and a member of Three Bridges Forum, if this makes any difference.</p>	<p>Any new information can be added to the research in the Historic Parks and Gardens Report if relevant. Some remnants of the entrance to the large estate remain but are disconnected from the parkland by new town development. It is considered that other plan policies would be more appropriate in relation to trees and ensuring that structural landscaping is protected.</p> <p>See Tree policy CH6: New development should retain individual specimens or groups of trees that make a positive contribution to visual amenity. Additional tree planting will also be required to mitigate the visual impact of the intensification of buildings, hard surfacing parking and boundary treatments.</p>
Pauline Crumbie	individual	Re housing development and Gypsy/Traveller site for Langley Walk, Langley Green: - 1. The road is too close to Gatwick Airport. Present aircraft noise	The allocation of land for a cemetery is not being addressed through the Local Plan and will be taken forward separately by the Council.

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>levels often make it difficult to hear television/radio if house windows are left open. If a second runway is developed at Gatwick the road would fall into 54db noise level. In respect of Gypsy/Traveller site the very nature of dwellings proposed for this site would be inadequate. Caravans tend to heat up in warmer weather and windows would have to be open as a means to cool the caravan. This in turn has a knock on effect on neighbouring properties. Bricks and mortar housing contains noise levels, but caravans do not. As mentioned previously, if I have to close my windows to hear my television residents on the gypsy/traveller site would have the same problem.</p> <p>2. The two sites in Langley Walk are not suitable for development due to localised flooding. The footpath does already get flooded. The field where the Gypsy Traveller site is proposed has always suffered from flooding and there are photos to prove this. Rain water is discharged from surrounding neighbourhoods and the outfall pipe goes under Langley Walk. The water is then discharged into a deep ditch that connects to nearby River Mole. There will always be seepage from a ditch which is probably why the surrounding fields get water logged. 'The Orchards' off Ifield Avenue, which is the same distance away from the River Mole has resulted in localised flooding.</p> <p>3. Langley Walk has always been regarded as a rural road and forms part of 'The Greenway' (Willoughby Wander). The road is frequently used by walkers, joggers, horse riders and cyclists because of the rural feel. As the road connects directly with County Oak it is the preferred route to work. Further development of the road will erode its rural nature, because hedgerows and trees will have to be cleared for access.</p> <p>4. The proposed gypsy/traveller site is too close to residential areas. Re Proposed Cemetery at Ewhurst Playing fields</p> <p>1. A cemetery is better suited out of town. 2. The proposed site is adjacent to a retirement complex.</p> <p>Suitable alternative siting for a gypsy/traveller camp</p> <p>1. Reflecting on what happened at Dale Farm, where the site was illegally extended a site should be found where there is no opportunity to expand. An ideal choice would be on vacant land on the industrial estate. Tesco Express offers a comprehensive food outlet, has access to transport, and other necessary facilities are within walking distance. However, planning has already been approved for residential development on the industrial estate and this may prove to be a problem.</p>	<p>For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p> <p>The site for permanent, managed gypsy and traveller pitches should also be close to facilities such as schools which makes most industrial sites inappropriate.</p>

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Unknown		Re Langley Walk Travellers Site. Langely Walk is very country orientated. Horses and people e.g. dog walker, families, bike riders and organised walks use the road. When I drive down Langley Walk I have to be very careful as the many children play close to the road. I know from growing up in England and Ireland thatthe Travellers will not mix with the children of Langley walk. Also you never see rubbish in Langley Walk, and sadly travellers have never been trained to out rabbish in a bin. This could have an awfuleffect on the wild life.	Comments noted. The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy site at Langley Walk is not being taken forward at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan
Margaret Winning	individual	Re Langley walk, I disagree with the Gypsy & Traveller site because the area has beautiful trees, shurbs, and hedges. There are Woodpeckers, Nuthatches, Green and Goldfinchs. Deer and rabbits are ofter seen. The road is narrow and we dont get much traffic. YOU have to be extremley careful when driving down Langley Walk as it's hard to see down the road due to the bend.From experience of growing up in Ireland with Travellers close to my house, they don't like to interact outcise their community. They need plenty of room and space so I feel Broadfield Kennels would be more suitable.	Comments noted. The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy site at Langley Walk is not being taken forward at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan
Marian Allen	individual	Re the traveller site at Langely Green. Langely walk has lots of wildlife. As a child deers and foxes would come very close to my house which is lovely to see nature at its finest. Children like to play along Kangley Walk, my parents look after my children and they love going through the fields at the allotments to Cherry Lane. I think the traveller need a large space as thet drive big lorrys which Langley Walk wouldnt be able to cope with due to the width of the roads. Also lots of dog walkers in the road to access the lanes and you have to drive slowly and carefully down Langley Walk.	Comments noted. The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy site at Langley Walk is not being taken forward at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan
Mr S Griffiths	individual	Re: Housing on Land adjacent to Langley Walk and Burlands. I strongly object to this proposal due to teh following reasons: Traffic/Road infrastructure/Access: The existing road infrastructure does not adequately support the existing housing, with local roads (stafford road, rushetts & langley walk) already being mainly single lane due to the high volume of cars parking on the road. Any further housing could see the local roads becoming gridlocked. Any increase in traffic would also add risk to the high number of children who currently walk to and from the local schools/nurseries. There are two lanes leading into the proposed area which are public	Comments noted. The Council acknowledges the transport/access, noise, flooding and environmental issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing and the site is not being progressed at this stage. Please note that this area does contain Ancient woodland, AONB or SNCI designations. The area outside the built up area boundary is the rural/urban fringe. Crawley's compact nature and attractive setting including accessible countryside for recreation is sought (see CH9 and CH11) Transport assessment work has considered the overall impact on the transport

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>footpaths used continually by the local community. These are unsuitable for traffic.</p> <p>Environment: The area is also ancient historic woodland and surrounding area is designated as one of outstanding natural beauty and a nature conservation area. Any housing would obviously have a detrimental effect.</p> <p>The existing trees/woodlands provide a natural sound barrier to noise pollution from Gatwick Airport and any thinning out or removal of trees would have a detrimental effect to local residents.</p> <p>Many residents move to the area because of the 'countryside' feel due to the street view of trees or outlook from houses of woodland/trees. Any housing development would have a significant detrimental effect on the streetview and change the feel of the neighbourhood. This in turn could lead to residents falling into depression as their properties would be devalued and the detrimental streetview would be likely to cause anxiety and upset.</p> <p>The site is extremely close to current housing and the noise/debris/dust from the construction site will blight the area and cause severe anxiety for the community and local residents.</p> <p>Should the 2nd runway at Gatwick proceed, the removal of trees/woodland and the new housing will be blighted by sound pollution.</p> <p>Access to local amenities (Langley green shops/local schools) is already problematic with regards to parking. Any additional local housing would increase this issue.</p> <p>The local roads are unsuitable for public transport links due to the existing parking issues and reduction of local roads into single file. Any residents moving into the proposed site with mobility problems would be too far away (although a short distance for mobile people) from existing public transport bus stops.</p> <p>flooding: The area is already prone to a high risk of flooding, often becoming impassable. The existing open space behind Burlands/Prestwood close often resemble large ponds after a day of heavy rainfall.</p> <p>The Water run off around the properties in the area is ditched and work their way to the brook at Willoughby fields where flooding already occurs. Any increase in housing will significantly increase the volume of run-off which is liable to cause problems adding to the general flooding issues that already exist in the area. Clean water</p>	<p>network to ensure that any impact is acceptable and where necessary that there is appropriate mitigation.</p> <p>The potential impact of noise from a second runway is taken into account in considering housing developments to ensure that new housing is not located in areas which could suffer from an unacceptable level of noise impact if the second runway did go ahead.</p>

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		rains and sewerage in the whole area would need to be increased to accommodate the extra housing.	
Mr C Pascoe and Miss Georgina Thompson	individual	<p>Re: Housing site East of Brighton Road. Living as we do on the opposite side of the A23 we would be totally opposed to this site being used for a housing development.</p> <p>the affect it would have would be a disaster for residents in the Tollgate Hill area.</p> <p>The traffic on the A23 is already substantial for people heading to the motorway and also people coming into Crawley (we have seen what this would cause with the building of a new housing estate on the A24) we feel that this would force more traffic through Tollgate Hill , the building of such a site would also cause untold noise for residents for many many months, most of whom work shifts so would be sleeping through the day, also the severe disruption this would cause to the local wildlife.</p> <p>As a Council surely your priority should be to make Crawley a better place to Live for residents by improving amenities and the service you deliver to those residents not making it bigger.</p>	<p>Comments noted</p> <p>The transport assessment considered the impact of new development on the transport network to identify whether the impact was acceptable and whether appropriate mitigation should be required.</p>
Richard Bucknall	individual	<p>Ref Other Housing Sites/Land East of Street Hill. Your map is not clear. There are 10 acres. The Northern 5 acres are up 'Street Hill' near Worth Church and should not have housing. The Southern 5 acres are about 30 metres lower and should not be rejected.. Building here would not impinge on Worth Church. Far from being in any sense a 'Heritage' site these lower 5 acres look South onto a very large Garage and a 'Business Park' soon to be joined by a Pub Restaurant. In the South West corner there is a curious small area marked by a ditch of uncertain age. A decision to protect this need not rule out developing the site. Far from being prone to flooding, the boundary stream has reduced to a trickle over the last 30 years.Suggestions of Flood risk seem to be without foundation.. Furthermore a substantial storm drain already runs under the site. I would like to build some really energy efficient dwellings down there and turn the Northern 5 acres near Worth Church into a Park with Public access and much-needed Parking for Church Services, Weddings, Concerts, Etc. Come on Crawley!</p>	<p>This site is being promoted through the SHLAA process and the Council's Position on the site is reflected in this document, Site 223.</p>
Mrs Deborah Doggett	individual	<p>Ref Q8 " Ifield Park has been an historic park and garden for hundreds of years. This would be lost forever should you decide to remove this designation. There are other perfectly suitable places already "ear marked" for gypsy & travellers. Please keep these</p>	<p>Comments noted. The Council has decided to remove the designation of a number of parks including Ifield Park as historic parkland for the reasons given in the sites consultation document, largely due to lack of evidence of their historical parkland significance. The Council has protected a number of the</p>

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		parks for our future generations. If this land has to be used my preference would be a cemetery.	trees located on the periphery of the site through Tree Preservation Legislation. The allocation of a cemetery is not being taken forward through the Local Plan process and will be dealt with separately by the Council.
Ian Cooper	individual	Regarding the possible allocation of land at Stephenson Way, currently Electricity Substations, to housing: has the council consulted with the current occupant of the land UK Power Networks? If they were to be consulted you would discover that these sites form a vital part of the area's infrastructure and without them Crawley, including Manor Royal and Gatwick Airport would not have electricity supply. If you were move this equipment to make way for housing the cost could be easily Â£30m.	Noted.
David Browne	individual	Running out of room!	Comments noted
Moustapha	individual	Same old CBC pick on the areas where you feel people don't matter?	No planning issues raised.
Mrs Whitehead	individual	See enclosed letter.	Letter responded to elsewhere.
Tina Wort	individual	Specifically with reference to Langley Walk, I am opposed to both of the developments. As a resident of 20 years, I moved here because of the beauty of the road with the natural sound proofing of the large trees and the amazing wildlife. Where else can you look out of your window in Crawley to see deer grazing on the grass verges, or see and hear the woodpeckers as they sit on the bark of trees and hammer with their beaks (only an hour ago there was one outside on the lawn). At night open the door and hear the owls in the trees. All this would go once diggers move in for either of these projects. And although I realise that gypsies need somewhere to live, I do not think Langley Walk is a suitable place to provide a permanent site - property prices would be adversely affected, traffic would increase and residents have expressed fears for property.	Comments noted. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. The council acknowledges the transport/access, noise, flooding and environmental issues associated with the housing site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing and the site is not being progressed at this stage.
Charlotte	individual	Stop finding land to build new homes, Crawley is already unpleasant enough without you putting more inconsiderate and non tax paying people in it	Comments noted. The council is required to identify sites to meet the objectively assessed housing needs of the borough.
Simon Hibberd	individual	stop immigration into this country	This response does not raise any planning issues
S Mitchell	individual	stop keep taking green space away from people in this area	Comments noted. Protection of open space will be through: Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			<p>and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p>
Jane Davies	individual	Surely it would be sensible to wait until the plans for expansion of gatwick airport are made public before deciding which pieces of land may be available.	The Council has safeguarded an area of land from development to ensure that the possible future expansion of Gatwick would not be compromised by major development.
Mrs Janet Boniface	individual	Thank you for giving me the opportunity to comment on these proposals. It has made me realise just how difficult it can be to make these long term decisions which will impact on the future of Crawley. Hopefully the feedback you receive will help rather than hinder the decision making process. Good luck!	Comments noted.
Duncan Robson	individual	The area of Burley Wood should be protected as an open space and that the value of the area in terms of open space, recreation and wildlife and structural landscaping is reflected in planning policy.	Comments noted. The council has decided to remove the designation of a number of parks as historic parkland for the reasons given in the sites consultation document, largely due to lack of evidence of their historical parkland significance. Burleys Wood is considered to be a valuable piece of public open space and on this basis it is not considered suitable for development.
Daniel	individual	The bewbush playing fields should not be turn into houses. There would be no where for kids to run free and play football. All you will do is force the kids onto the streets of bewbush, this would only lead to trouble. DO NOT BUILD ON OUR PLAYING FIELDS IN BEWBUSH	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>
David Soldini	individual	The Borough Council has obviously put significant investment into regenerating the Bewbush area over recent years, with a visible positive effect. Removal of both the Bewbush West and Breezehurst Drive playing fields will significantly undermine improvements to the area. These are the only large flat open green areas in Bewbush, they are particularly popular with families and are one of the few	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open</p>

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		things that prevent most of bewbush from feeling like a concrete and brick rabbit warren.	space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.
Naomi Costick	individual	The Breezehurst drive playing fields, are just that, in that they are playing fields, where children and adults alike play and enjoy the beautiful green space. You have plenty of other options to build on. You cannot keep taking all the green spaces up to build on, we have lived here for 15 years and our house faces the playing fields, and we and our community do not want to wake up, facing a cemetery, traveller site or squashed up houses. Also you should consider the impact on the wildlife and the environment.	Comments noted The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.
James Hemmant	individual	The concerns solely lie around the travellers site proposals. When there was an illegal incursion on the playing fields on Creasys drive a year or so back, there were confirmed reports of the travellers spitting at families who were trying to use the park. Further to this, due to reports of almost instant anti social behaviour in the area and door to door sales requests being done by the travellers. I do not feel that putting a fixed site would benefit the local community, nor do I feel that any persons will support the development of the site. Further to this, with strains already on local NHS services, having more families to care for will certainly make matters far worse.	A permanent, managed site would provide facilities for the residents and enable them to participate in the local community, for example through regularly attending school. Where an additional burden is placed on local services or facilities, the development would need to address the issue, for example through a financial contribution.
Nicola Prentice	individual	The council should make the intention to build on sites bigger than a a4 not on a tree near a site !!!!!	Comments noted
Coral Hillier	individual	The Council's notice concerning the removal of historic status for Burleys Wood was not placed at Burleys Wood and the residents closest to the wood (ie, in LIngfield Drive and Sedgefield Close) should have been fully informed so that they could participate in the survey. After all, they are the ones who will be affected when additional houses are built!!!	The development of Burleys Wood however, is not considered acceptable on the basis of loss of valuable public open space. The removal of the Historic Garden designation was on the basis that there was insufficient evidence of the historical value of the land as garden
Susan Howard	individual	The current forest area in Tilgate that stretches south from the Recreation huts to the M23 boundary should be left alone. We have little enough green land that hasn't been 'managed' to within an inch of its life, leave something as natural as possible for both animals and people to roam.	Comments noted. The area outside the built up area boundary is the rural/urban fringe where proposals must meet the criteria of policy CH9 which supports proposals which respect the character and role of the area.

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Dorothy A Warder	individual	The Ewhurst playing field is a widely used area for sports and play ground activities for children, and a most suitable area for the elderly to walk and for dog owners to exercise their dogs. It would be lamentable to lose yet another local green area. For residents at Millfield Court (Especially those returning from hospital stays) to look out on to the next stage of life from first and second floors of Millfield Court to a CEMETERY is a GRIM reminder of what lies ahead. Our properties would lose value having a cemetery alongside and therefore our children would be denied their inheritance. The likely threat and possibility of flood contamination would be grim if this area became a cemetery facing dire consequences such as a situation could bring about. The ensuring increase in traffic should a cemetery be built on this proposed site would add further congestion all round including pedestrians. I would like to suggest we use an out of town area for a cemetery.	<p>Comments noted. The allocation of land for a new cemetery is not being taken forward through the Local Plan and will be taken forward separately by the council.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p>
Lauren Milan Ritchie	individual	The gypsy and traveller site should not go ahead. Will it be safe for our children and are neighborhood? I think you need to ask yourself especially in Broadfield is that a good place to put it? And Langley Green shouldn't have to put up with a potential site either. The relatives, friends and family should not have to be scared to be in the same area? I think you need to rethink the sites. Why not try unused land, There is a lot of fields near the airport and on the outskirts of Langley green. But I think for this town it is not the right move. It will bring more trouble and violence than good. Please do not go ahead with this.	<p>Comments noted. The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy site at Langley Walk is not being taken forward at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan</p>
Lucy	individual	The Gypsy and Traveller sites worry me most. They are a ridiculous thought to say the least!!	No planning issues raised.
David Janz	individual	The Gypsy site proposed position adjacent to Langley walk and burlands would cause major problems to the established community, and it would generate ill will and hostile feeling toward the Gypsies and council, this would not be good for anyone.	<p>Comments noted. The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy site at Langley Walk is not being taken forward at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan</p>
Christine Sewell	individual	The housing area planned for Langley walk and burlands should not be built on as the land is a flood plain and we have mature oak trees and bushes wildlife. Single road.	<p>Comments noted. The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy site at Langley Walk is not being taken forward at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the</p>

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan
Garry Blunt	individual	<p>The land at Bewbush west playing fields is very valuable to the residents who live in the area and their children, it allows them somewhere safe to play outdoors and is a blessing for dog walkers and wildlife alike. to deny them access to green fields is an outrage and its bad enough with the huge housing development taking place and ignoring the needs of local residents.</p> <p>SAVE BEWBUSH WEST PLAYING FIELDS</p>	<p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>
Casey Griffiths	individual	<p>The land for possible development in Langley Green that joins Langley Walk and Burlands has been a selling point for the adjacent houses, home for grazing wildlife including deer. It has many trees providing a natural sound barrier to the airport. It is also liable to flooding in the rain so not really suitable for development and should NOT be considered for development into residential area. The parking and road widths are already insufficient in Langley Green and this will add to the problem.</p>	<p>Comments noted. The council acknowledges the transport/access, noise and flooding issues associated with these sites and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the sites could be identified for housing.</p>
BADIHA KADA	individual	<p>The langley green site turned into a traveller site would mean a disaster for the area and the environment. There are plenty of already non green sites that can be used as travellers site.</p>	<p>Comments noted. The Council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy site at Langley Walk is not being taken forward at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan</p>
Richard Nixon	individual	<p>The maps you are using in this document are not current ordance survey maps. Example is the map on the opposite page that has the land that was Deerswood School as open space but is now a housing estate.</p>	<p>Comments noted. The latest OS mapping that the council has will be used for the Local Plan Map.</p>
Brenda Gilder	individual	<p>The one thing that I did feel was very poor was the printing, size and details of the Maps. Most of them where so hard to read, making it difficult to see the area's in question.</p>	<p>Comments noted.</p>
Thomas Dew	individual	<p>The permanent travellers' site will have an irreversible, negative impact to Buchan park and should not be built for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Buchan is a Site of Nature Conservation Importance (SNCI) • Buchan is a Site of Special Scientific Interest. (SSSI) • Buchan Country Park has won the Green Flag Award which 	<p>The Plan is required to identify sites to meet the objectively assessed housing needs of the borough. The proposed site for a permanent, managed site is large enough to enable the development to be appropriately screened. The site is not within the park or the designated conservation sites. There are limited brownfield site available that are not affected by constraints, such as noise, or are required for other uses, such as employment or housing. A</p>

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>recognises the best green spaces in the UK.</p> <p>â€¢ Buchan park is a key part of the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.</p> <p>â€¢ The park is on the urban fringe so is already under pressure from the growing town's population.</p> <p>â€¢ The land boarding the park proposed as the traveller's site, is an important buffer zone to the nature within the park.</p> <p>â€¢ Crawley has several areas of derelict land sites (other alternatives), so why use risk an important SNCI/SSSI site. Buchan park is recognised as a site of National & scientific importance. It has been entrusted under the care & stewardship of our current Crawley councilors, to preserve this local treasure for future generations. With growing development pressures all over the south east, this site will be of growing importance for future generations. For the current councillors of Crawley to even propose endangering such a site, is an exemplary example of extremely poor, wreckless planning at the expense of future generations.</p>	<p>permanent site enables residents to participate in the local community, for example through regular attendance at school.</p>
R Smith	individual	<p>The plan of Goffs Park is not quite correct. Land of the park seems to be fences off and part of school land! Please have this checked</p>	<p>Noted. This will be reviewed to ensure the correct boundary is shown.</p>
Vikki Webb	individual	<p>The plans do not include any details about additional infrastructure to support any further expansion of the town, or details about whether the new homes will be for social housing or home ownership.</p>	<p>The Local Plan contains a specific policy (H4) to ensure that all new housing developments must provide an element or contribution to affordable and low cost housing including social rented and shared ownership. The council has undertaken transport assessment work to consider the impact of development on the transport network. The Borough Council liaises with all the infrastructure providers.</p>
Carl Rickwood	individual	<p>The proposal for a permanent gypsy and traveller site in the town is a great concern to me- this would only have a detrimental affect on the area. I am also deeply concerned about the two proposed housing developments in Bewbush; Bewbush West playing fields and Breezehurst Drive playing fields. These areas should be protected as they are both valued areas of open green space that are constantly used by the local community.</p>	<p>Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>
Jane Penney	individual	<p>The proposal to put travellers on the old Broadfield Kennel site is not safe one for the access off the site onto a very busy dual carriageway, but a new build on the land will disturb the wild life in the</p>	<p>Proposals would need to demonstrate that the site could be safely accessed and this may require modifications to the existing arrangement. The</p>

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		park and also prevent those who enjoy walking a dog in the area a right of access from Broadfield into the park whilst this work takes place. The wildlife that lives within the confines of the park are also liable to being shot or trapped as pests due to the new houses being on ground that it has roamed on for years (you cannot tell a deer that it can't use a footway it cannot read).	permanent site would not obstruct access to the park from Broadfield. Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.
Brett Ward	individual	The proposed area for housing development on Langley Walk should not go ahead as land serves as a flood plane area in the wet times. There is an underground stream that runs under the gardens in Charlwood & Prestwood close through to the fields and over to the Grange. All the Gardens are prone to flooding and the Fields serve as a floods plain area to help the problem from getting any worse. There are also issues with the wildlife. From Foxes to Deer not mentioning the fair of birds that would struggle to survive. I would also question access to the site as Langley Walk is too narrow with all the cars parking on the road itself.	Comments noted. The council acknowledges the transport/access, noise and flooding issues associated with these sites and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the sites could be identified for housing.
Mrs S.K.Worth	individual	The proposed gypsy and travellers site at Langley Walk will cause flooding issues as the site is on a flood plain. There is also limited access form Langley Walk as it is a neighbour hood road leading to Cherry Lane Adventure playground.	Comments noted. The Council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy site at Langley Walk is not being taken forward at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan
Cantlay	individual	The proposed new neighbourhood in North East Sector is a recipe for complaint down the line. Some houses will be with 300 to 400 mtrs of the center line of the proposed second runway at Gatwick. The noise issue from aircraft will blight this 'neighbourhood'. The London-Brighton railway line to the west and the M23 to the east will exacerbate the noise problem.	Comments noted. The relationship between the North East Sector and Gatwick in terms of aircraft and traffic noise was considered acceptable at the time that the planning appeal was allowed and planning permission granted.
Helen Burton	individual	The proposed travellers sites are going to get a lot of opposition. Whenever they pitch up in this town the mess and disruption caused is always a major concern and I don't feel it is an appropriate use of space in this town.	Comments noted. A permanent, managed site would have appropriate facilities for the residents.
Lynette Satti	individual	The question about the historical sites concerned me a little. What is the point of designating an area a Historic Site if the historical features can still be removed?	The aim of the designations is to ensure that our heritage, which is a finite resource, is preserved for future generations.
Carol	individual	The roads around Crawley are basically unchanged since I came to Crawley in 1956 the only difference is the glut of roundabouts and	The Borough Council has undertaken transport assessment work which has considered the impact of new development on the transport network to ensure

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		traffic lights which just add to the congestion a major incident on the motorway or Gatwick and the whole town grinds to a halt, more housing will only add to the problem not to mention all the services and utilities being put under enormous pressure to cope.	that any impact is acceptable and where necessary the impact is mitigated.
Anita Roberts	individual	The site at Burleys Wood should keep its status as it is the slippery slope to being built on. It is used a great deal by the local community and is the only open space in this part of Crawley.	Comments noted. The Council has decided to remove the designation of a number of parks as historic parkland for the reasons given in the sites consultation document, largely due to lack of evidence of their historical parkland significance. Burleys Wood is considered to be a valuable piece of public open space and on this basis it is not considered suitable for development.
Peter Harrison	individual	The site near Broadfield should not be used as a new site for Gypsy & travellers because the wild life that live there will be affected and the travellers will take over our lovely Buckham park and destroy more of our country side as more travellers will use this location not just 10 fixed pitches as their families are very large.	The proposal would be for a permanent, managed site with facilities for the residents. Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.
Adam Jasko	individual	The sites in Langley Green have very bad access and would get rid of much of the trees that help protect the area from the noise and air pollution of Gatwick.	Comments noted. The Council acknowledges the transport/access, noise and flooding issues associated with these sites and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the sites could be identified for housing.
Stuart Grierson	individual	The survey of playing fields, takes no account of child population, nor does it consider use of playing field space for recreation activities that don't involve (often expensive) membership of football, rugby, cricket or hockey club. As a local resident of Bewbush and father of two children, I encourage my children and see other children using the playing fields for a wide range of activities such as flying a kite, playing with a dog, riding a bike, frisbee, rounders, playing catch and sometimes just using the space to invent their own games. Increasing housing density in areas with a young population demographic is irresponsible and does not encourage the healthy lifestyles we are led to believe the Borough Council are keen to promote. There should be investment to improve the green spaces not the population density of these areas.	The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The resident's survey within the Open Space Study takes account of informal recreation of this area whereas the Sports Pitch Study covers formal club use. The development of this site would allow for retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.
Mr L Bryer	individual	The thought of using Broadfield Kennels as a gypsy site just amazes me, we all talk about green belt land and its restrictions yet here we are proposing to accommodate a sited caravan site on the outskirts of a beautiful natural country park ?	The Plan is required to identify sites to meet the objectively assessed housing needs of the borough. The proposed site for a permanent, managed site is large enough to enable the development to be appropriately screened. The site is not within the park or the designated conservation sites. There are

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			limited brownfield site available that are not affected by constraints, such as noise, or are required for other uses, such as employment or housing.
Mrs Jennie Withall	individual	The Tilgate Park site should include Tilgate Playing fields adjacent to Golf Course and Alotments. This green space is used by local sports and teaching of outdoor sports. It has ancient old Oak trees which were planted as boundary markers. The oldest Hawthorn tree in Sussex is near by in Constable road. This year we have seen baby fox, hedgehog and many birds nesting. Please can you advise us if there are any plans for the green field bordering Weald Drive, Gainsborough Road and Constable Road? Thank you	<p>Comments noted. Historic mapping and existing features show that this area does not merit inclusion within the historic park boundary.</p> <p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>The field which borders Weald Drive, Gainsborough Road and Constable Road forms part of Tilgate Playing Fields and is not identified as being surplus to requirements in the Council's Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study. The study identifies that there is potential for improvement to the pitches and play facilities in this location. At this stage, the land is not considered suitable for development.</p>
Jason Rivens	individual	<p>The Tinsley lane community is separated from the rest of Three Bridges by a dual carriage way and the large flyover roundabout. It is a small community with very limited local resources. The nearest play area with swings is near Tesco, Tesco is the nearest shop. The open space and playing fields are one of very few resources we actually have.</p> <p>There is not enough space to build all the houses that Crawley requires in this small area, so other areas of house building will still be required even if this area becomes residential.</p> <p>This area is on the edge of the Manor Royal industrial estate and provides one of the few remaining open green spaces amongst the urban sprawl of the industrial units.</p> <p>The road is not adequate for lots of new houses, parking is already an issue.</p> <p>The development would be very close to the cement recycling plant, this will be extremely noisy once it gets going.</p> <p>This development would also be too close to any future Gatwick expansion and second runway.</p> <p>The only local facility for teenage boys is the sports fields, here they</p>	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>can have a kick around and run off some of the excess energy. Without these playing fields there will be nowhere for these kids to go let alone the children from any new housing.</p> <p>There is not enough room for houses, a community centre, play area with swings, local shops and other services that would be required by the expanding community in that area. So it would be better to build the new houses where the extra services can also be easily accommodated, or already exist.</p>	
Mark Adams	individual	<p>The Tinsley Lane option should also consider the limited access issues, airport noise (particularly if a second runway is added), rail noise and the recently approved site for a concrete crushing plant in very close proximity to the proposed location.</p> <p>The loss of a very well established and supported sports club with local social facilities and events.</p> <p>Tinsley lane is already used as a cut through and I have already lost 1 cat to being run over, if anything the road should be fitted with sleeping policemen to reduce the traffic and speed of passing motorists. Adding a significant increase in traffic volumes would be dangerous and unacceptable to the existing community.</p>	<p>Comments noted. These issues will need to be carefully considered in the development of the land in order to ensure that it is a suitable location for new housing development.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>
Karen Brown	individual	<p>The town of Crawley is very green and has open spaces and parks for all the community to enjoy. This is what makes Crawley a nice town to live in. There are lots of trees and wildlife around. I want my children to grow up as my family and friends have in this town, enjoying the parks, fields, mini parks, green trees and wildlife.</p> <p>The town is overflowing already, with schools at capacity. If more houses are built, new schools most come with them. There has to be a point when no more houses can be built! Surely, once the town is full, it's full.</p>	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p> <p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate</p>

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			impacts on existing facilities.
R S Budd	individual	The traffic in Rusper Road especially with the development of Ifield West is very busy. Another turning at a nasty bend would make it very dangerous. This site, which is surrounded by housing, would have a detrimental effect on the existing properties if altered.	Comments noted
Kevin Leake	individual	The traveller sites should be located away from residential housing and close to a main road for access reasons.	The Broadfield Kennel site offers this opportunity with the ability of the new residents to participate in the local community, for example by regularly attending school.
Richard Brandon	individual	The travellers site should be at broadfield kennels as it has good road link's easy access to shops Cramming housing into small green spaces within houses should not be allowed. If Crawley has reached its maximum housing level and to build more would upset it's residents then the council should stand up to government and say NO we are looking after the town and people of Crawley Get a spine, stand up for the residents, stop being push around	Comments noted. The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy site at Langley Walk is not being taken forward at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan
David McMahon	representative	The West Sussex Fire and Rescue Service have a responsibility under the Fire Services Act to accommodate any future development and the current and future strategies will be designed to manage the risk appropriately.	Comments noted
Debra Spurgeon	individual	There are areas that can be used for housing, as in the old council depot and certain industrial areas, the town has grown immensely and for that reason we need to keep our existing open spaces for recreation and sports facilities in order to accommodate Crawley's rising population. If we don't this town will become a concrete jungle and have an inner city feel. As a life long resident of this town I do not want that for future generations.	Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.
Jacqueline Gould	individual	There are too many flats being built in Crawley. There are also too many people with not enough facilities - everything including shops and railway stations need to be improved and a hospital with a fully operation A & E department as east Surrey cannot cope due to the volume of people in this area! Kilnwood Vale will already be adding to the congestion on the A264 Horsham road and the Bewbush area is generally overdeveloped	Comments noted

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>anyway. There will be nowhere left to 'kick a ball around' if you develop Bewbush West palying fields because verywhere else is surrounded by houses! It is totally UNNECESSARY to devlop Bewbush West palying fileds - instead declare it a 'Village Green ' and protect it as it has been used as such for the past 25 years - since 1988!</p>	
Joanna Pashley	individual	<p>There is already to much disruption in and around Crawley from large unsightly housing developments, which are causing havoc with our roads and over stretching facilities. Before any further developments are considered serious thought must be given to the disruption each build will cause to the lives of people already living in Crawley. Soon we shall be surrounded by roadworks and developments and business will start to leave as no one will be able to get in or out of Crawley. Congestion on Crawley's roads is all ready a daily problem. Adding more homes with more cars will flood and already overstretch infrastructure. Yes the bus service in Crawley is good, but families will always have cars, usually at least two. Finally, if there must be builds should they not be eco friendly sustainable builds. Not the half arsed attempt at one by the cut and paste companies like Barrat Homes. The RIBA is already fighting for better living conditions than these profiteering pirates are producing and we should not be encouraging these second rate builds. http://www.architecture.com/HomeWise/Home.aspx Instead the council should be looking at self build schemes which encourage a sense of community and given a lasting legacy.</p>	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>The Borough Council has undertaken a transport assessment to consider the impact of new development on the highway network to help ensure that any impact is acceptable and where necessary mitigated.</p>
Mr Christopher Redstone	individual	<p>There is insufficient entrances and exits onto the estate. The designated area should be kept as a protected area for the wildlife and use of residents as a green area. Any building on this area will be to detriment of local wildlife. Also for the historic maintenance of the area due to it having historic presence in the area as being previously an Arabian Stud farm.</p>	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>The removal of the Historic Garden designation was on the basis that there was insufficient evidence of the historical value of the land as historic parkland. The development of Burleys Wood however, is not considered acceptable on the basis of loss of valuable public open space.</p>
Bob Swanage	individual	<p>There is not enough information on this questionnaire to be able to make an informed decision</p>	<p>The questionnaire was written to ensure it was accessible for all, and provided key information on the proposals. Detailed documentation was available to read on our website or would have been made available upon request.</p>
Wendy	individual	<p>There is too much emphasis on using Crawleys beautiful green spaces for more houses. Yet other towns are building houses essentially in our town. The boundaries need to be re-drawn</p>	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>Protection of open space will be through: Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community</p>

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			and hold particular local significance. Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.
David Freeman	individual	there should be a indoor athletics facility on the k2 site	Comments noted. It has not been found through consultation as part of the Open Space Study that there is a need for an indoor athletics facility. However, proposals for such a facility in an appropriate location would be encouraged.
Keith Sewell	individual	There should not be a gypsey site at Langley walk as it is a single road and caravans will struggle,we have had gypsey in cherry lane before and that did'nt work out very well. It would also upset the wildlife as we have deer foxes rabbits and bats.	Comments noted. The Council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy site at Langley Walk is not being taken forward at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan
Teresa Brown	individual	These green historic sites and gardens are part of Crawley Borough Councils Heritage. They are sorely needed when a large population of the town are now housed in flats and apartments. Keep all our historic Sites and gardens to be enjoyed for all the generations of Crawley Town. Once they are gone it will be too late! We need these historic green sites. Build on Brown sites! Burleys Wood site has a huge amount of wildlife, smooth snakes, grass snakes, lizards, small mammals and crested newts in the area. You cannot build within this conservation area.	Comments noted. The removal of the Historic Garden designation was on the basis that there was insufficient evidence of the historical value of the land as historic parkland. The development of Burleys Wood however, is not considered acceptable on the basis of loss of valuable public open space.
Vicky	individual	They are not travellers if they have a permanent site. They should have to try and buy like you make the rest of us.	Comments noted. A permanent site would allow the residents to participate in the local community whilst being able to travel for some months of the year.
Elizabeth Kennedy	individual	They talk about more houses but what about the roads to go with these, They can not maintain the roads and pavement we have already there a disgrace think they should sort these first	Comments noted
Brenda Smith	individual	This household has two occupants and both would like to register their views but email address is same.	Noted
Mrs A Buckett	individual	This questionnaire is unfair. Only those living local to Burleys Wood and Ifield Park will care about them. The entire population of Crawley	Comments noted The consultation was widely advertised in the local media and online. Local

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>uses Tilgate Park it is the jewel in the Crown and few would dispute increasing its protection. Likewise the Memorial Gardens evoke sentiment. Do these major parks need additional protection. Its highly unlikely anything will happen to them as you completely control them. (unless of course you are planning on selling them off and want to ensure the new owners don't overdevelop them).The Council are making a huge mistake in removing historical protection from these smaller areas of land. They still contain some historical features and you have a duty to protect them for future generations. These areas will be vulnerable to development. Mistakes have been made in the past but it doesn't mean you cannot protect what is left. With regards to Burleys wood, there was virtually no publicity. My young son pointed out a notice 10 feet up a lamp post in Sedgfield Close otherwise I wouldn't have known it was being reviewed. In the local paper I read Broadfield Residents were invited to attend a meeting at the Mosque to air their views on the Gypsy Site. This seems a bit unfair. Also it is not clear if you are removing protection from the entire Burleys Wood or just the field. We understood the actual wooded areas had EN status due to the trees and wildlife. Please also remember that in this corner of Poundhill/Worth we have no other park or green space easily accessible. Milton Mount Gardens or Worth Park as you are now calling it, entails a good 10 to 15 minute walk and crossing of two extremely busy roads. Plus why would anyone want to picnic or play in the shadow of an ugly block of 1960s flats when they have a beautiful avenue of Horse Chestnuts to walk through?</p>	<p>newspapers publicised the consultation and the council issued press releases, and numerous social media updates. Further to this the consultation was in the local magazine, Crawley Live, which is circulated to all properties within the borough.</p> <p>Each site included in the consultation that had a proposed allocation had site notices placed on the site boundary in visible locations. Further to this, all properties immediately adjacent to the allocations received site specific letters notifying them of the proposals, the consultation, and how they can respond.</p> <p>The council took all reasonable measures to ensure everyone was aware of the consultation and had the opportunity to participate and let us know what they thought.</p> <p>The removal of the Historic Garden designation was on the basis that there was insufficient evidence of the historical value of the land as historic parkland. The development of Burleys Wood however, is not considered acceptable on the basis of loss of valuable public open space. Most of the woodland area is outside the boundary of the Historic Park and is designated as Ancient Woodland which is protected.</p>
John Browning	individual	<p>Tinsley Lane "Housing Development I have the following comments to make regarding this site and the issues that I believe currently make it unsuitable for housing and which would require addressing before or as part of any development. Noise and dust from the Goods Yard I have already provided separately to Environmental Health Authorities a log of regular "night-time" disturbances that residents in a number of houses bordering the north sports field have experienced due to unacceptable levels of noise caused by the Aggregates operation in the Goods Yard carrying out the unloading of aggregate from goods trains (with a metal bucket) in the early hours of the morning (23:30pm to 03:00am). Any development in the Northern sector of the proposed development area would suffer significant night-time disturbance unless mitigating measures possibly including restricting the hours of work are put in place. Air quality is also a concern as on occasions the activities associated with the goods yard are the most likely credible source of significant</p>	<p>Comments noted. The development of the land at Tinsley Lane for housing would need to ensure that there would be no conflict between the development and adjoining residential and commercial uses in respect of air quality/noise and traffic generation. The council is in the process of establishing whether the site is suitable for housing principally in respect of noise, air quality issues and traffic generation.</p>

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>dust deposits. Traffic</p> <p>The only access points to the area under consideration are at the North end of Tinsley Lane and at the Southern Maxwell Way end, both of which feed onto the busy Gatwick Road. It is already problematic exiting these junctions at certain times of day and it is unlikely that the current road layouts would safely be able to cope with the extra traffic. This must be borne in mind when considering the number of possible extra dwellings.</p> <p>Additionally the road at the North end of the Lane is effectively a single track road for significant periods of the working day due to the unrestricted parking being used by local businesses causing impatient motorists to mount the pavement in order to pass oncoming vehicles.</p> <p>The Eezehaul entrance at the bend at the North end of the Lane also is a factor that needs consideration as lorries accessing their site have to stop at the site entrance with the longer ones overhanging the bend in the lane.</p> <p>Any development should be sympathetic with the unique nature of the Lane and minimise the impact on established properties in particular single storey development (of which there is a shortage in the town) should be required at the rear of the existing bungalows at the North end of the Lane.</p>	
Layi Johnson	individual	Too close to Residential, conflicts with residence, pollution, site identified is smaller compare to Broadfield site	Comments noted. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Peter Mockler	individual	Too many houses ahead. Its a play area to kick a ball, walk dogs, and picnic and all the wildlife will suffer.	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study</p>
Connor Gould	individual	Too many people in a small area. We have lots of flats. We need	Comments noted.

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		green spaces for all these people to enjoy.	<p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study</p>
Gareth Flemington	individual	Too many places in the town are being removed and the charm and character is going. There is no need to use Playing Fields for any redevelopment. There is a need to remove anymore of the towns history ie the two old cinemas for yet another supermarket and another hotel and for more flats. I have lived here for 63 years not born here had to go to Horsham for that as no maternity here so nothings changed there.	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>Any development of open space would allow for retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study</p>
Roger Berry	individual	Traffic congestion within Crawley town as a whole needs to be addressed and resolved as the infrastructure currently in place is already at capacity. Before any new developments are created this initial problem should be resolved.	The Borough Council has undertaken a transport assessment to consider the impact of new development on the highway network to help ensure that any impact is acceptable and where necessary mitigated. The Council will continue to work with WSCC to address existing issues.
Mrs Alexandra C Page	individual	Traveller sites will always be an emotive subject, the majority of the population do not want them in their town never mind on their own doorstep, and we certainly don't want to be paying for a site out of our taxes! Where ever they go they leave filth behind. They break society laws, have no social etiquette, are abusive, intimidating and don't give a damn about the local community! A sweeping generalisation? I think not. We had travellers at Cherry Lane a few years ago. They behaved in exactly the manner I have portrayed and the council had to have them evicted at great expense and they had to clear up after them - why the hell do we have to have them so close to peoples homes? I understand that they do not want to be near to the airport because of the noise, well I'm sorry but as far as I'm concerned they can go where they are put - away from established bricks and mortar	The proposal would be for a permanent, managed site with facilities for the residents. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		communities. ... I grew up in a multi-cultural city, I live in a multi-cultural town, I have friends of all creeds and colour and religion I am neither a racist nor a bigot but I will never support a site for so called Traveller's.	
Robert	individual	Unlike some others, I understand that decisions the Council has to make will inevitably upset someone, and offering questionnaires to the community can help solve this problem for the most part. Decisions that effect a large number of people are hard to make, and so I commend the Council and the work they do. There is, after all, a limited amount of recourses and it would be impossible to split them to solve every problem.	Comments noted
Rod Horton	individual	We absolutely should develop the brown field sites of Stephenson way and then from Tinsley lane to the industrial estate. Ifield Park should retain its Historical status. It has been in existence since 1453, reducing to its current status in the 19th century. We only have limited historic areas left in Crawley now and it should be retained. If it loses this status then it will soon be put forward for development and we will lose the habitat furnished by the horse chestnuts, and all the other trees where we see bats living as well a Tawny owls Green and Greater Spotted Woodpeckers as well as a variety of finches. Also the balance of the area will be lost, the trees and old building as well as the grounds complement the Ifield mill site. Please do not relinquish the historic status	Comments noted. There are a number of mature trees on this site which are protected by tree preservation orders. The rest of the site contains a small amount of landscaping and development.
Mrs Sarah Bourne	individual	We are against the removal of Historic Park & Garden designation from Burley's Wood as it would directly affect us. The area is used daily by many dog walkers, families, horse riders and children playing games and sports and there are no other areas for such activities on Crabtree Park estate. It is a lovely natural oasis amongst a big housing estate.	Comments noted. The council has decided to remove the designation of a number of parks as historic parkland for the reasons given in the sites consultation document, largely due to lack of evidence of their historical parkland significance. Burley's Wood is considered to be a valuable piece of public open space and on this basis it is not considered suitable for development.
Bielun	individual	We are amazed that the local authority are actually considering a fixed travellers site for Crawley which we are sure most residents would object to most strongly. Ireland do not entertain this policy so why should we!	Comment noted. The Housing Act 2004 requires all councils to assess the accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers. Crawley Borough Council conducted a Gypsy and Traveller Needs Assessment in 2012/13, which showed the town requires nine pitches from 2014 to 2029. The council must now identify potential sites to meet these identified needs.
Alexander	individual	We are concerned about the declassification of burley's wood in pound hill as if this site were to be lost it would be a great loss for the local community. The field is used extensively by local children to play in holiday times as well as being a relaxational area and used by dog	Comments noted. The council has decided to remove the designation of a number of parks as historic parkland for the reasons given in the sites consultation document, largely due to lack of evidence of their historical parkland significance. Burley's Wood is considered to be a valuable piece of

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		walkers alike. The proposal to remove it has caused a local uproar and although many will not be able to find this highly reclusive sight for putting there views forward, many posters and passionate locals have been spreading the word about the proposals and general feeling is strongly negative about this move being considered by the council.	public open space and on this basis it is not considered suitable for development.
Mr & Mrs Chalk	individual	We are totally against having a travellers site in Langley Walk because it will cause more traffic up and down our road and the road is quite narrow. The parking is awful and people have to park on the verges. Also it will devalue our property.As for building more houses that also means more cars and noise. We have a nice road but that will all be taken away if these proposals go ahead	Comments noted. The Council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy site at Langley Walk is not being taken forward at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan
Rashid Sarwar	individual	We dont have enough open green spaces, i strongly feel what we have should be preserved.	Comments noted. Protection of open space will be through: Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance. Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.
Lisa	individual	we dont need any gypsy sites within crawley or surrounding areas	The council is required to identify sites to meet its objectively assessed housing needs.
Kate Harrison	individual	We don't want a Traveller site in Broadfield.	Comments noted
Mrs S. Chambers	individual	We have very little green space in Crawley, most of it was taken up by the building of flats, which cannot be sold and to which there was and is no demand, so now we have these monstrosities in Crawley. I feel we should keep Crawleys history and save any old woods, parks and old houses to at least show that we care about our history and our community. I cant help but feel I am wasting by energy typing this out as C.B.C tends not to listen to anything and tends to always go against the wishes of the community as, of course, you know best.	Comments noted. Protection of open space will be through: Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance. Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			impacts on existing facilities.
Edward Punsin	individual	We know there is a crying need for more houses to be built and I am not against this, so long that health and education and policing services etc are provided adequately to cope with the extra population. ??? of new houses being built must be designated for people who work in an essential services and at discounted prices where necessary! The town must develop more services sector growth, like catering industries and care homes which will always have a concrete demand with the growth of urban population. It must also foster good awareness and technology to reduce the environmental of development.	Comments noted
Brian Clarke	individual	We love our open spaces. Please do not destroy them!	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p>
Mrs M G Eggleton		We moved to Crawley from Croydon 7 years ago because of the small town feel and the green spaces whilst being close enough to commute to London. We would hate for Crawley to become another urban sprawl like Croydon and may have to consider relocating which would be a shame because at the moment we are very happy. We have already witnessed major building projects in the short time we have been here and realise progress must happen but not to the detriment of the heart and soul of the town.	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p>
Stella Berrisford	individual	We must always look to the future in all these situations, not blindly build over, neglect what has gone before, we in Crawley have already lost so much of our built and green heritage. The green areas are all thats left of Sussex Weald woodland/farmland, the parks and gardens	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>Use of brownfield sites are a priority in the Local Plan.</p> <p>Protection of open space will be through:</p>

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>our heritage from the victorian past.</p> <p>We do need housing but brownfield sites are still available and should be used first , and protect the green lungs and history of our town.</p>	<p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p>
Leigh Holman	individual	We need a hospital that would support the towns population. Put the cemetery close to east Surrey hospital as more people go in than come out. Any new houses need 3 parking spaces per property and the road network needs rethinking. It can take 45 min to get out of maidenbower on a wet day.	Comments noted
George O'Keefe	individual	We need more homes, however, we should have the whole town looking like Broadfield. So the new homes must be in keeping with the local area and not stand out.	Comment noted
Ann Lawrence	individual	<p>We need more housing for growing population as generations are growing up and need rented homes as they will never be able to afford. If you stopped letting after cultures from other countries have our housing we would have more for people that have been brought up in Crawley for children to get a home as there entitled too were there older.</p> <p>We should have priority over any body else first. That's why people get angry about it.</p> <p>Local people should be getting homes.</p>	Comments noted
Paul Ballard	individual	<p>We need to keep a buffer zone between Crawley and horsham.</p> <p>People love Crawley because of its open spaces, it os why I moved here; it's near the countryside.</p> <p>Let's not spoil the inheritance we received, for future generations</p>	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>The area outside the built up area boundary is the rural/urban fringe where proposals must meet the criteria of policy CH9 which supports proposals which respect the character and role of the area.</p>
Mrs Karen Smith	individual	We think this questionnaire is not very well set out as on the page for "other housing sites" there is no room for concerns or thoughts? Why is it left to the last comment box and not had its own space on the relevant page? Our reasons for 'Don't know' on a lot of our answers is due to the fact we don't know the area. We obviously have strong views and objections to proposals in the area (Langley Green) we live which we obviously know very well.	Comments Noted.

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		We have also emailed a letter to Ms Elizabeth Brigden letting her know of our views which details in full our concerns.	
Shaila	representative	We want our Bewbush playing fields.	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>
David Roskilly - for Friends of Goffs Park	representative	<p>We would also like to see Ifield Park retain its status. The emparkment of Ifield in Tudor times shaped the development of the western side of the town. Green corridors should be protected. In general we think that this the remaining evidence of pre new town Crawley, Ifield, Three Bridges and Worth should be cherished and preserved. There was so much destruction with the New Town and so much recently for traffic needs. Friends of Goffs Park discussed this response 18th June 2013 committee meeting And the issues were raised at the Friends AGM on 26th June in Goffs Park</p>	<p>Comments noted. The decision to remove the designation of a number of parks as historic parkland were set out in the reasons given in the sites consultation document; this is largely due to lack of evidence of their historical parkland significance. The trees within Ifield Park have been protected from development through a Tree Preservation Order.</p> <p>Green corridors are a valuable addition to the green infrastructure of the town. The Local Plan seeks to retain valued green corridors.</p>
Graham Petschel	individual	<p>West Bewbush Playing Fields - this is an important Green area for the local community - it provides an area for children to play, dog walkers to spend time and exercise with their loyal friends as well as open space for young people to meet up to develop their sporting skills. This is an open area, visible to a number of the local houses, thereby ensuring that there is no mischief and/or misbehaviour occurring. Should this be developed, it would take away the space for youngsters to play cricket, football, touch rugby, and instead replace it with concrete 'holes' with no purpose other than provide cover for mischief! We cannot afford to take away the green spaces, and then complain of the stereotypical 'youth of today' that are 'disrespectful, lazy and spend all their time watching tv and playing video games'. If we take away the opportunities for them it will be OUR OWN FAULT!!! Furthermore there's the stream the wildlife which includes birds, butterflies, field mice, toads, foxes, stoats, squirrels, and many other animals that do not reveal themselves as readily. Britain's wild life is</p>	<p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>disappearing the experience to see animals is going to be lost to future generations - DO NOT take away this small pocket of greenery and destroy for the sake to 60 dwellings!!! I understand there is a potential housing shortage, but this site is not big enough to make any significant difference to the housing demand. Kilnwoodvale is set to be a huge development - perhaps this can be extended to wards Horsham, but there is not point in taking away from, and depriving an already established community!!!! It makes no difference if there is nothing on the development sites at present, but here, people have bought houses, and are committed to the area - development here would be depriving people of their small piece of tranquility after a hard day's work - and for the sake of a tiny number of new dwellings??? This would be an extremely POOR decision for the future development of this area as well as the people committed to it.</p>	
Edward Lewis	individual	<p>West of Ifield, I think, could at least be partly developed as housing, since it sits near Rusper Road, and would take some stress of development of any housing elsewhere. It is a huge piece of land, and only about half is needed, if that. Furthermore, Rusper Road and Ifield Green residents already benefit from large green local spaces. Other areas of Crawley are bursting at the seams and struggle to grow. The west of Ifield has to hardly loosen its belt.</p> <p>East of Brighton Rd should be further considered for either a larger traveller site or a cemetery site.</p> <p>Any incoming developer flashing another Kilnwood Vale or Maidenbower should be sent back to drawing board, in my opinion. We need more basic and practical housing to serve demand- not unnecessarily large houses.</p> <p>As a local resident, I am relatively calm over the proposals for Langley Walk. I am not too far from the considered housing development. Whilst I think it could serve as a small settlement, subject to some further road widening, I think it's better to make it a sensibly sized development. Furthermore, I would oppose any housing proposed here, facing directly onto Langley Walk. I would also , allow for the tree screenage and frontage to the site to stay, with all housing behind it; on principle, the council voiced concerns over the effects on trees with regard to an extension, so it would be nice in principle for any developer in the future to also respect this issue.</p> <p>In addition, if Langley Walk were to be widened, that would involve a cutback on green space which is always used for a playing area, so if a development were to ever be built on the adjacent plot, a large</p>	<p>Comments noted. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. There are limited sites available given local constraints, such as noise and flooding, and the sites needs to be near facilities such as schools.</p> <p>The area outside the built up area boundary is the rural/urban fringe where proposals must meet the criteria of policy CH9 which supports proposals which respect the character and role of the area.</p> <p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p>

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>open public space should be preserved for the enjoyment for both current residents and incoming households.</p> <p>With regard to travellers site proposal, maybe a better place would be, as I mentioned East of Brighton Road, or even reference 5 in Stephenson Way. I think that this will better serve a balance; guaranteed that someone will raise the vulnerability to noise being so close to the rail, though Langley Walk suffers from airport noise, and the Broadfield site also would suffer from road traffic noise.</p>	
Mrs H Eves	individual	<p>What is clear is that the physical space of Crawley is not adequate for its needs compared to a district such as Horsham. The Borough Council should campaign to be increased so that it does not have to keep infilling to fulfill its needs.</p> <p>It is really important to keep the town's trees, open spaces and mature woodlands as it has been proven that these improve the mental and physical wellbeing of those who live in areas which are like this.</p>	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>See Tree policy CH6: New development should retain individual specimens or groups of trees that make a positive contribution to visual amenity. Additional tree planting will also be required to mitigate the visual impact of the intensification of buildings, hard surfacing parking and boundary treatments</p>
Joanne Stroeykens	individual	<p>When I bought my house 2 years ago I asked the question 'Would the playing field across the road from my house be developed?' I was advised by the estate agent that it wouldn't!</p> <p>Now sadly 2 years later I receive this news. For 2 years I have enjoyed the views of an open field, trees etc. If development starts my view will go which will have a knock on effect to the selling price of my house one day.</p> <p>Wil we [be] paid any compensation for the loss of view, noise etc?</p> <p>I look forward to your reply to these concerns.</p>	<p>Comments noted. Compensation issues are not a valid planning matter.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p> <p>This document provides a response to your comments and you will be notified when it is published.</p>
Mrs. Barbara Gillett	individual	<p>Where land is given over for housing it should be council houses for renting not PRIVATE</p>	Comments noted

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Ann Barnaby	individual	Wherever the council decide to build there will be opposition.The main thing to consider is everyone's right to be able to walk in an open space, not easy.We must reluctantly allow travellers some land. .The sites you allocate should be inspected and any antisocial behaviour should result in eviction.	Comments noted
Paul Lewis	individual	Whilst keeping green belt and providing starter homes perhaps through Housing Associations are very important. We do not need 4 and 5 bedroom houses with en suite facilities, we need more basic homes. We also need something to be done about the lack of parking around particularly round the older areas where the houses do not have drive ways and the roads are too narrow	Comments noted.
Barbara Watson	individual	Whilst we all recognise the need for future housing for our ever growing poulation, we still need to conserve green land. There are considerable flooding problems in Crawley already and removing soak-away and green land areas from within and around existing residential areas will just add to the problem. Wildlife habitats are being gradually destroyed and with them our wild birds, mammals, insects, bees and wild flowers, trees, shrubs. Ecosystems cannot survive intensive housing development.	Comments noted. Protection of open space will be through: Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance. Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities. Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.
Richard	individual	Why are our elected members even having to consider the travelling community? Did you not learn ANYTHING form a few years ago when the Gas Works site was being considered? Some of you may recall there was such public outcry that a second meeting had to be held at K2. Do you think anything has changed.... do you believe that thravellers have suddenly turned into honest, decent people who want to intergrate into the community rather than intimidate and rob us at every opportunity?	The council is required to identify sites to meet the objectively assessed housing needs in the borough. Some sites, such as the gas holder, are unsuitable due to constraints such as flooding and noise.
Rachael	individual	Why has this been kept tightly under wraps? This must of been planned for a long time, yet It has took a campaigner to knock on my door to find this out a couple of days before the deadline? why were the surrounding houses next to the bewbush fields , not informed by letter ? , This is disgraceful , We do not want to live in a concrete jungle! stop destroying nature , we don't have much green in	The consultation was widely advertised in the local media and online. Local newspapers publicised the consultation and the council issued press releases, and numerous social media updates. Further to this the consultation was in the local magazine, Crawley Live, which is circulated to all properties within the borough. Each site included in the consultation that had a proposed allocation had site

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		Bewbush as it is , The cricket pavillion field is occupied by cricket and football, unless you want a ball hitting your head. you ripped up loads of bushes and shrubs last year to cut costs! you ripped out the children's playgrounds, wildlife will be destroyed and killed , dog walkers family's and children all use the land ,yet you constantly allow for development of un used office blocks to be built and left empty, Manyor royal has lots of vacant land use that, one day in the future the children will have to go to a museum just to see what a buttercup looked like	<p>notices placed on the site boundary in visible locations. Further to this, all properties immediately adjacent to the allocations received site specific letters notifying them of the proposals, the consultation, and how they can respond.</p> <p>The council took all reasonable measures to ensure everyone was aware of the consultation and had the opportunity to participate and let us know what they thought.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>
Mrs O'Neill	individual	Why is Broadfield targeted again, we have already got a mosque, now we are talking of a gypsy camp, as a tax payer and a house owner, it is not on.	Comments noted
Hill	individual	why is it necessary to change the statues of Burley woods	Comments noted. The was decided to remove the designation of a number of parks as historic parkland due to the reasons given in the sites consultation document; this is largely due to lack of evidence of their historical parkland significance. Burleys Wood is considered to be a valuable piece of public open space and on this basis it is not considered suitable for development.
Tristan Collins	individual	Will the second runway at Gatwick be considered within this plan? Perhaps this development could be a good thing? If so, should we embrace the possibility of a second runway and ensure that Crawley gets as much economic benefit from this as possible? And also influence in a constructive way to MINIMISE noise and pollution to Crawley residents (E.G. stipulating only quieter and environmentally friendly planes can be used) GIP clearly would like to build and development at the airport could go hand in hand with improvements in transport infrastructure on roads and railways. This could all help boast Crawley, which at the moment is lagging economically.	The Borough Council is required by national policy to safeguard land for a second runway. A decision by the national government on a second runway will not be taken until after this plan is finalised. The potential impact of a second runway has been taken into account as far as possible in terms of the new development proposed in this plan. The Borough Council is engaging in the debate about the second runway as it recognises the economic benefits as well as the environmental impacts.
Alex Way	individual	With all the development that Crawley Borough Council is permitting can you advise what is being to improve the local resources/infrastructure around crawley eg water, doctors, roads. At	The Borough Council liaises with all the infrastructure providers to ensure that they are aware of the development that is proposed in the town so that they can take this into account in their plans. A transport assessment of the impact

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		present these and many more are at breaking point through out the whole of the south east yet we keep building everywhere knowing of these problems.	of development on the transport network.
Deborah Easton	individual	With all things, this is an important issue, balancing the needs of many who need housing, to ensuring the town remains fresh and beautiful looking with our lovely open areas. I do think it is a good idea to give the gypsy and travellers their own designated space hopefully that will stop them going on to open areas and creating havoc and leaving it such a mess. They could also pay towards it then too, they can't say they havent got money with their caravans, cars and big fat gypsy weddings.	The proposed permanent site would be managed and have appropriate facilities for the residents.
Carl Horne	individual	With reference to Burleys Wood. This is a developed site with no other area for the residents to walk in. Removing its historic protected status would probably put this site at risk. Children of this area have nowhere else to go, only crossing a motorway, or 3 major access routes into Crawley, these roads being Balcombe Road, Turners Hill Road, East Grinstead Rd.	Comments noted. The was decided to remove the designation of a number of parks as historic parkland due to the reasons given in the sites consultation document; this is largely due to lack of evidence of their historical parkland significance. Burleys Wood is considered to be a valuable piece of public open space and on this basis it is not considered suitable for development.
MISS N BURGE	individual	WITH REFERENCE TO Q10 - TRAVELLERS SITE LANGLEY WALK: TOO CLOSE TO LOCAL COMMUNITY/RESIDENTS DUE TO TRAVELLERS IJABILITY TO CLEAN UP AFTER THEMSELVES AND TREAT ALLOCATED SITES WITH RESPECT. WHENEVER TRAVELLERS VISIT AN AREA (IE SQUAT ON LAND ILLEGALLY) GARAGE BREAK INS INCREASE BY A SUBSTANTIAL AMOUNT PROPOSED LAND REGULARLY FLOODS DURING HEAVY RAIN SO IS UNSUITABLE FOR THE VEHICLES THAT TRAVELLERS HAVE (I.E. CARAVANS/LORRIES/VANS ETC - WHERE WILL ALL THE RAINWATER GO - IT COULD POSSIBLY FLOOD NEARBY HOUSES IF THE GROUND IS CONCRETED OVER). TO ALLOCATE AN AREA I SUCH CLOSE PROXIMITY TO LOCAL RESIDENTS IS UNACCEPTABLE. TRAVELLERS ETHOS TO WHAT IS AND WHAT IS NOT ACCEPTABLE WITHIN SOCIETY IS TOTALLY DIFFERENT TO THE LOCAL COMMUNITIES' WHICH WILL CAUSE FRCITION FOR ALL. SURELY THE IDEA OF BEING CALLED A GYPSY/TRAVELLERS IS A SET OF PEOPLE THAT TRAVEL FROM PLACE TO PLACE THEN WHY IS THERE A NEED FOR A PERMANENT SITE, WILL THE SAME CLONSIDERATION/ALLOCATION BE MADE FOR ALL GROUPS OF PEOPLE THAT LIVE A DIFFERENT WAY OF LIFE, IF	Comments noted. The council has a duty to meet its objectively assessed housing needs by identifying as many sites as possible to meet housing needs over the next 15 years including Gypsy and Traveller needs. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy site at Langley Walk is not being taken forward at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>THIS IS TGHE CASE THEN WE WILL RUN OUT OF LAND TO ALLOCATE. TRAVELLERS ARE NOTORIOUS FOR HAVING FEUDS BETWEEN FAMILIES. THE RESIDENTS OF LANGLEY GREEN SHOULD NOT BE SUBJECT TO THIS KIND OF UNACCEPTABLE VIOLENCE. THE HOUSES IN THE AREA WILL DEVALUE QUICKLYIF THIS SITE GOPES AHEAD AND HOUSE SALES HAVE ALREADY FALLEN THROUGH NECAUSE OF THE THREAT OF A TRAVELLERS SITE - WIL THE COUNCIL BE COMPENSATING THIS LOSS!! HOUSE AND CAR INSURANCE WILL GO UP IN THE LOCAL AREA - WILL THE RESIDENTS THAT THIS EFFECTS RECEIVE A REDUCION IN THEIR COUNCIL TAX TO COMPENSATE!!!!</p>	
David Woodfall	individual	<p>With regard to using land for development, I don't think that taking away recreational land from the citizens of Crawley is the right solution. I do understand that the population is growing and we need new development. I would think most of these problems are created by the selling off of social housing, most of which probably ends up in the hands of private landlords, and is then unavailable for many people to live in. We are very lucky to have such green spaces for sport and recreation so please don't take them away.</p>	<p>Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. Any development of open space would allow for retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>
Shani Wheatley	individual	<p>With the new sites for Gypsy / Traveller families, what is going to be done to protect those that already live in the settled community? I have already heard and read some rascist comments, that offend. there are some within the town who will use this opportunity to put forward arguments that have no basis in truth and will have no legitimate reason why there should not be a site,</p>	Comments noted
Carol Ann Hill	individual	Yes we need houses but not on parks or playing fields	<p>Comments noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. Any development of open space would allow for retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
Janet Chase	individual	You are taking away the green areas, also has any thought been given to the extra traffic on the surrounding roads? can they cope?	<p>The Borough Council has undertaken a transport assessment of the impact of new development on the transport network to help ensure that any impact is acceptable and that any necessary mitigation measures are identified.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. Any development of open space would allow for retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>
Noel Jemma	representative	<p>You have listed Stephenson Way Industrial Area: Sites 1, 2 and 3 as possible additional housing sites.</p> <p>These three sites are owned by UK Power Networks (Operations) Ltd and forms a key part in the electrical infrastructure for the town of Crawley. There is a vast amount of electrical plant, overhead and underground electric cables, operating at voltages ranging from 132,000 volts down to 240 volts, installed within it.</p> <p>The cost of relocating that equipment would be prohibitive to any future development. Therefore, I suggest this land should be rejected as part of this consultation</p>	<p>Comments noted. There are a number of factors which would prevent these sites coming forward for development including the relocation of existing powerlines/cabling in addition to flooding issues. At this stage, these sites are not identified for housing on the basis that the sites are not currently deliverable due to the constraints highlighted.</p>
Colin Ballantine	individual	<p>You say protecting the open green space which is so valued by the community. And you want to build 200 plus houses right next to a new estate being built ,lots of people walk there dogs there children play in the park older ones play soccer .Think of the wild life there ,foxes, deer, barn owls and i have told badgers as well, but i have not seen any. So what woodlands is left in bewbush i think this is not a good idea.please</p>	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. Any development of open space would allow for retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>
Sheila Hill	individual	<p>you have two spaces where desmond anderson and thomas bennett schools where. build on those two sites. not on small green site surrounded by houses.</p>	<p>Comments noted. The land adjacent to Desmond Anderson school is identified as a Key Housing Site in the Local Plan with a capacity of around 100 dwellings. The council will endeavour to bring this site forward within the next 5 years.</p> <p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community</p>

Q.21 Please use the space below to raise any comments or concerns you have about any of the sites included in the questionnaire?			
Name	On behalf of...	Comment	Officer Response
			<p>and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.</p>
Ben Gould	individual	Your CBC study found Bewbush to be deprived. Cramming more houses in just makes situations worse. Not enough time given for public feedback.	Comments Noted
Mrs Legg	individual	You're taking every bit of green there is left to build on. Where is the wildlife going to go? Deer, fox, hedgehog, birds etc, people playing with their children and dogs, kids playing football: this will all go if this is allowed and it's wrong. We enjoy our parks and wildlife, walking our dogs. Nature is beautiful - do not take this away from local people. Thank you.	<p>Comments noted. Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.</p> <p>Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for retention, replacement and enhancement of open space in line with the council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>

PART 3

Direct Representations & Officer Responses

The Council can only consider comments by respondents who provide their names and contact addresses. In line with the Council’s Public Sector Equality Duty, the Council will not accept representations, objections or comments that are deemed to be inappropriate, offence or racist. In general terms, a racist representation is one which includes words, phrases or comments which are likely:

- to be offensive to a particular racial or ethnic group;
- to be racially abusive, insulting or threatening;
- to apply pressure to discriminate on racial grounds;
- to stir up racial hatred or contempt.

Any objections and comments that have been deemed to be inappropriate, offensive or racist have been removed.

Contents

Topic 1: General Comments.....	392
Topic 2: Housing – Bewbush West.....	414
Topic 3: Housing – Goffs Park Depot	419
Topic 4: Housing – Breezehurst Drive	421
Topic 5: Housing – Other Sites	423
Topic 6: Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Broadfield Kennels	473
Topic 7: Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk.....	486
Topic 8: Cemetery Allocation – Ewhurst Playing Fields	546
Topic 9: Historic Parks & Gardens.....	577
Topic 10: Local Green Space – Ifield Brook Meadows.....	587
Topic 11: Local Green Space – Other Possible Sites	588

Topic 1: General Comments

General Comments				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
Mr Andrew Shaw	High Weald AONB Unit		<p>The High Weald AONB Unit is a small specialist Unit who advise on the care and management of the High Weald AONB. The comments below are an assessment of the extent to which the proposals will impact on the objectives for the AONB defined by the High Weald AONB Management Plan 2004, adopted as Council policy in respect of the AONB. This statement contains the professional views of the Policy Manager and not the views of the High Weald AONB Joint Advisory Committee.</p> <p>The site allocations DPD does not appear to propose or allocate any sites within the High Weald AONB, or affect its setting significantly, and the Unit has no further comments to make.</p>	<p>The site allocations consultation does consider Broadfield Kennels as a potential Gypsy and Traveller Site. This site is located within the High Weald AONB.</p> <p>The need for a Gypsy and Traveller site balanced with the aim of conserving and enhancing the AONB and its setting will be considered.</p>
Mr Eric Crawford			<p>Some months ago, I forwarded to Mr Henry Smith MP, a 12-Page Introduction to A New form of City, and hopefully, self-evidently, showing the many advantages.</p> <p>He replied with real enthousiasm; and forwarded it in turn, to both Planning, and the Associated Government Body.</p> <p>I have since sent one, to the CEO, of the Institute of Civil Engineers; in the hope that they would be able, and willing, to sponsor the PhD Study, neccessary, to put in the relevent numbers, etc. They have, as yet, not replied...</p> <p>It is more than unlikely, that Crawley could in itself, implement the complete Idea; nevertheless some features, could be of real interest.</p>	Comments noted.
Bev Clayden			<p>On another point although I understand and agree that housing is required, especially affordable housing I am concerned about Crawley's infrastructure and wonder how much more housing it can take. Crawley is sustaining Kilnwood Vale and the new Pound Hill neighbourhood and I feel that CBC have to think very carefully about the pressure of any more additional housing on the infrastructure and the wellbeing on the residents of Crawley.</p> <p>This brings me onto my last point of how important it is for the well being of Crawley residents that we retain as many green</p>	Comments noted.

General Comments				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			spaces within this highly populated town as possible and totally support the expansion of the historic sites at Tilgate Forest.	
Mr Ashad Khan			...	No planning issues raised.
Mr Eric Crawford			<p>I wrote to the Chairman, of the Institute Civil Engineering (ICE), December last year. Unfortunately, my XP, has since the broken-down; so all I can Email at the moment, is a Copy of what I sent to him. 2 Files: ICE Chair.doc and City_6.cdr.pdf - this latter is an illustration. It will be clear, from the first File; that the scale of the operation, being a complete City, will need to be bled, of any suitable features. I hope, that at least some components, will be of real interest. SEE FULL REP FOR ATTACHMENTS</p>	We note your comments in the document and suggestions.
Mr Jamie Lewis	hunterpage planning	Landowner	<p>LDF REPRESENTATION - Land South of Antlands Lane, Shipley Bridge, Crawley, West Sussex On behalf of the land owners of the above site, I write to formally object to Crawley Borough Council's decision to reject this site for residential development from the Site Allocations Document as part of its Local Plan Review. A Site Location Plan is enclosed.</p> <p>Site Location and Description The site is located to the south of Antlands Lane and east of Balcombe Road and falls within the settlement of Shipley Bridge. It is relatively flat and comprises approximately 20ha of deliverable and available undeveloped land including woodland. The site is bound by the M23 motorway along the eastern boundary and Balcombe Road to the west, beyond which is existing residential development. The woodland forms a buffer between the existing development and airport uses associated with Gatwick Airport which includes car parking. Together, Shipley Bridge and Copthorne provide a good range of local services and facilities including a public house, car garages, golf club, garden centre, place of worship, GP surgery, dental practice and several supermarkets within close proximity. As identified in the Gatwick Diamond Local Strategic Statement (2012), Crawley is one of the larger</p>	Comments noted. The revised SHLAA (September 2013) continues to identify the site as being unsuitable for development (Site 259) and identifies the reasons why the site is considered unsuitable. The assessment of the site has been amended to remove the specific reference to on-site flooding. Please refer to this document.

General Comments				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			<p>settlements in the area; its outskirts are some 1.25 miles to the south west. Furthermore, the development of the 'North East Sector' will provide more local services and facilities in close vicinity to the site. The development of the identified site would be a logical extension to the new development of the North East Sector.</p> <p>In addition to the above, there are local primary and secondary schools within the vicinity of the site, including Oakwood Secondary School approximately 2 miles north west and Copthorne C of E Junior School, approximately 1.7 miles south east.</p> <p>The site also has excellent transport links with Horley Rail Station approximately 1.9 miles north and Gatwick Airport approximately 1 mile to the west.</p> <p>Developable and Deliverable The National Planning Policy Framework (the Framework) states that for sites to be considered 'developable' they should be in a suitable location for housing development and there should be a reasonable prospect that the site is available. A further requirement is for sites to be 'deliverable' which means sites must be available now, offer a suitable location for development now, and be achievable with a realistic prospect that housing will be delivered on the site within five years and, in particular, that development of the site is viable. The subject site meets these requirements, as is demonstrated below.</p> <p>Suitable The owners of the site have previously submitted information (January 2012) in line with the Issue and Options consultation held (19th January-1st March 2012) that demonstrated that the site:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · has no transport, landscape or ecological constraints that would preclude its development. · is within a sustainable location and; · has the capacity to accommodate an allocation for mixed use development. <p>On the basis of the above, it is considered that the site would contribute to the identified deficit in housing land supply and would also be a logical expansion of the North East Sector, as considered in the Site Allocations consultation document. Whilst the Site Allocations consultation document states that</p>	

General Comments				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			<p>the site should be rejected because it is physically constrained by its location within the Gatwick safeguarding zone, the document has failed to acknowledge that the far south eastern corner of the site falls outside of this designated zone and is not therefore constrained. Crawley Borough Council accepts that it cannot meet its housing requirements and that sites which are unconstrained should be allocated for development. On that basis, the south eastern corner of the identified site should not be omitted from the Site Allocations consultation document in its entirety. In seeking to meet the deficit in housing land supply it is clear that the site can assist in meeting the shortfall in a sustainable manner. The Local Planning Authority's Site Allocations consultation document acknowledges that development of the site could offer a 'substantial amount of new homes'. It is also considered that the development of this site would increase the capacity of the Town to meet some of the emerging need and would also provide 40% affordable housing. We strongly support these conclusions.</p> <p>Emerging National Air Policy As identified above, the Additional Sites Consultation document identifies that the site (reference 12) is not suitable for housing development. One of the primary reasons stated is that the site is located within the land safeguarded for Gatwick Airport's potential expansion. However, Gatwick Airport Limited has submitted two responses to the Airports Commission in May 2013: 1) Aviation and Climate Change; and 2) Short and Medium Term Capacity. The Aviation and Climate change paper has no reference to the second runway case. The Short and Medium Term capacity paper suggests that any case for a second runway will be made in their July submission which will consider longer term options. Furthermore, the Airports Commission papers on capacity/demand and connectivity and the economy suggest that Department for Transport forecasts for passenger traffic at Heathrow, Stansted and Luton are all forecast to increase dramatically; however, Gatwick is forecast to increase much less and much more slowly. Whilst issues of connectivity and capacity levels are discussed in the papers and responses, there is no specific mention of a 2nd runway. However, more significantly, the House of Commons Transport Committee published its first report of session</p>	

General Comments				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			<p>concerning Aviation Strategy on 10 May 2013. This report suggests that there is no evidence to support a new hub airport at the present time. A split hub is not a viable option; therefore, there is no reason for a second runway at Gatwick to support a split hub.</p> <p>Whilst these papers focus upon the short and medium term options for Gatwick and suggest that a 2nd runway is unlikely at Gatwick within this period, the long term options will be addressed in the Airport Commission's report due for publication in July 2013. This will be a key submission and is expected to include details of how a second runway at Gatwick could be implemented and how much land will be required. Thus, until this document is published, it is impossible to know whether the site will be affected by the potential expansion or not. As such, it is considered that the site allocations process is premature and that Crawley Borough Council is unable to take account of the potential of this site purely on the grounds that the information that could release it in part, or in whole, will not be available until 19th July 2013. It is on this basis the landowner strongly objects to the Council's decision to disregard this land from the Additional Sites consultation at this stage.</p> <p>Available The site is being promoted by a consortium of landowners. It is considered available in the Strategic Housing Land Availability Appraisal (2012) though this document under represents the area of land available as set out on the attached plan.</p> <p>Achievable There is an evident housing need in Crawley, one which the LPA acknowledge. The SHLAA report (2012) confirms that there are no known economic constraints which would prevent the site being developed for housing.</p> <p>Viable The site is greenfield and, as such, poses no issues of viability that might otherwise exist with the demolition and clean-up of previously developed land. As identified above, the site is not subject to known transport, landscape or ecological constraints that might otherwise affect development viability.</p>	

General Comments				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			<p>Summary</p> <p>The site is located in a sustainable location in close proximity to a range of services, facilities and public transport links. Physically, it would make a logical extension to the proposed development known as the North East Sector. As such, the land is well placed to accommodate housing growth in a sustainable manner and it would make a significant contribution to the Council's 5 year housing land supply deficit.</p> <p>We strongly object to the Council's decision to reject the land on the basis that it is safeguarded in connection with Gatwick Airport's potential second runway; it is not yet known whether the second runway will go ahead and on that basis the Council is premature in rejecting the site. Furthermore, the far south eastern corner does not fall within Gatwick's area of safeguarded land. Whilst it is considered that the Council's decision to reject the land is premature in any event, consideration should be given to the parcel of land that is not safeguarded.</p> <p>In conclusion, it is considered that there is no principle reason for the site to be omitted from the Site Allocations consultation document until the longer term options document is produced in July 2013. This document will confirm Gatwick's position for expansion and a second runway and the land that will be required for any expansion. It is considered that the decision to omit this land before the production of this document is premature.</p> <p>It is therefore respectfully requested that the District Council reconsider the merits of this site for housing as part of its 'Call for Sites' for the emerging Development Plan Documents that will form part of the emerging Local Plan. I would be grateful if the above comments are taken into consideration and should you require any further information, please do not hesitate to contact me.</p>	
Angela Atkinson	Marine Management Organisation		Thank you for inviting the Marine Management Organisation (MMO) to comment on the above consultation. I can confirm that the MMO has no comments on this document as the geographical area it covers does not include any area of the sea or tidal river and is therefore not within our remit.	Noted

General Comments				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
Karen & Phil Smith			<p>Thank you for your letter advising us of Crawley 2029: Additional Sites Allocation Consultation.</p> <p>Your letter notifies us of the proposed Housing (subject to the outcome of further work) directly adjacent to our property 7 Langley Walk, Langley Green, Crawley.</p> <p>Before processing further on our numerous thoughts of objection to the said proposal, we would like the council to beware of our astonishment at not being notified of the proposed: Permanent Gypsy and Traveller site, which is also in the location of our road 'Langley Walk'. We would like to hear from the council as to why they do not think that such a possible significant change to our pleasant road 'Langley Walk' is worthy of notifying every owner/occupier on the said and surrounding roads. Sorry but we feel very disheartened by what seems like an intentional move by the council. There maybe guidelines as to how far neighbouring notification has to be addressed, but under the circumstances of what is being proposed it would have been in our opinion common courtesy to notify all of Langley Walks owner/occupiers.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Direct letters were sent to properties adjacent to proposed sites notifying them of the consultation, with specific regard to the adjacent site. This was done in addition to development control practices as site notices were also put up.</p> <p>The consultation was widely advertised in a variety of ways to ensure everyone was aware of the consultation and its contents.</p>
	Langley Green Forum Minutes		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Why has Crawley been allowed to grow beyond the original green town concept? 	Crawley as a town has accommodated housing growth in a positive manner in a way which reinforces the neighbourhood principle of the original new town layout.
	Langley Green Forum Minutes		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What is the point of building new housing developments if you are not going to house Crawley people? 	The Council has a duty to meet the demographic housing needs of the Borough over the next 15 years. The occupation of these dwellings by Crawley residents is not a relevant planning consideration as the Council has no control over those who occupy any new dwellings
	Langley Green Forum Minutes		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will the proposed new runway at Gatwick have an impact on the proposed developments? 	The Local Plan safeguards an area of land associated with the operation of a second runway at Gatwick Airport as shown on the Local Plan Map. This area is safeguarded from development including noise sensitive development such as housing and acts as a constraint on housing land supply and potential housing sites
	Langley Green Forum Minutes		...	This comment does not raise any planning issues.

General Comments				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
	Langley Green Forum Minutes		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> What is the point of building new housing developments as low income families can't afford it? 	The Local Plan contains a specific policy on meeting affordable housing needs (H4) for all new housing developments. The Local Plan also contains a policy on meeting housing needs in terms of the size of affordable housing units. This encourages developers to provide two and three bedroom affordable properties
	Langley Green Forum Minutes		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There are more potential (Gypsy & Traveller) locations in the Manor Royal and surrounding green spaces why have these not been explored? 	Comment noted. Potential sites on the Manor Royal Industrial Estate have been considered inappropriate, since residential uses on the Manor Royal would be contrary to local planning policy. In addition, the high land values associated with such sites would likely mean that a Gypsy and Traveller Site would not be financially achievable.
	Langley Green Forum Minutes		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> My house is worth £700,000 it will be worth £100,000 if the Langley Walk site was a traveller site. 	This comment does not raise any planning issues.
	Langley Green Forum Minutes		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Why weren't letters and surveys sent to all residents in Langley Walk and surrounding areas regarding this consultation? 	Letters were sent to properties adjacent to the proposed allocations. This was in line with Development Control practice. In addition site notices were also put up. All houses within the borough receive the "Crawley Live" magazine and the consultation was advertised in this as well.
Christopher Evans	Michael Simkins LLP	Landowner	I cannot see any reference to my client's land at Heathy Farm and wonder why that land has not been listed at this time. Perhaps you could clarify this position for me.	The council will meet with the landowner of Heathy Farm with a view to establishing whether it can be brought forward for residential development and identified as a Broad Location in the Submission Local Plan.
Mrs M Smith			You have already built a mental hospital for drug addicts etc. right in the middle of a residential area putting the residents homes and possibly their safety at risk.	This comment does not relate to the proposals for the Local Plan.
Mrs M Smith			It seems to me that Langley Green has become the dumping ground for any project unwanted by the rest of Crawley.	Comment noted.
Mr F Day			There must surely be a time when the town just can not afford to have houses built on every piece of green space in its borders, it has been stated that some of the playing fields are under used and that some could be used for housing, what happens when the younger children get older and there is not many playing fields left? Because once they are built on they are gone forever the council has said the population of	The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by

General Comments				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			Crawley is growing so we should protect our green spaces vigorously.	identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.
Mr F Day			Why can not the council get a compulsory order for the land that was Thomas Bennett lower School and Desmond Anderson School both in Tilgate that has had nothing done on them for 6 years a lot of homes could be built there...	The land at Desmond Anderson school is identified as a Key Housing Site in the Local Plan and the Council is working with West Sussex County Council to bring the site forward within the next 5 years.
Mr John Lister	Natural England		<p>I have focused on the Consultation Map, in particular the two new housing allocations on the southwest boundary of the borough, the Gypsy and Traveller site option on the same boundary and the possible housing designation towards the southern tip of the area, namely:</p> <p>§ Bewbush West Playing Fields - Housing</p> <p>§ Breezehurst Drive Playing Fields – Housing</p> <p>§ Broadfield Kennels – Gypsy and Traveller Option</p> <p>§ East of Brighton Road – Possible Housing</p> <p>The development of each of these sites has some potential to impact on the AONB and its setting, and I trust that the Management Unit has been consulted.</p> <p>The allocations also have the potential to impact on the four designated site along the southern boundary of the borough, notably three SSSIs close to the boundary:</p> <p>§ House Copse SSSI is a small, isolated ancient woodland which has small leaved lime trees as a component of the woodland cover. Small leaved lime is seldom found in south east England. The other main trees found here are oak and hornbeam. This site is in favourable condition. The impact of development is probably limited by the restricted access across the railway.</p> <p>§ Buchan Hill Ponds SSSI includes three ponds which are the best example in West Sussex of Wealden hammer ponds on acid Tunbridge Wells sands. An uncommon woodland type occupies the wetlands around the ponds and the site supports a rich dragonfly fauna, including two notable</p>	<p>Comment noted. The proposed allocation is not considered to have an adverse impact on a SSSI.</p> <p>The impact on the AONB balanced with the need for a Gypsy and Traveller Site will be considered.</p>

General Comments				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			<p>species. This site is in unfavourable recovering condition. The site is close to one of the potential housing allocations and the gypsy and traveller option, raising the risk of recreational disturbance and damage. Buchan Hill Ponds SSSI, the Country Park SNCI and the Historic Parkland are also very close to the proposed gypsy and traveller option which lies within the High Weald AONB. Adjoining Buchan Hill Ponds SSSI is an area of heath land, which is part of a Higher Level Stewardship (HLS) agreement as nightjar, adder, brown hairstreak and dormice are present. Semi natural ancient woodland and grassland habitats are also present and covered by the HLS agreement. These habitats, especially heath land are vulnerable to fires, disturbance and damage. Although dog walkers use the Country Park, additional homes close to the SSSI may increase dog numbers and visitor pressure.</p> <p>Natural England is concerned over the proposed allocations (in particular because of the proximity of the gypsy and traveller option to sensitive habitats) and would encourage alternative locations to be sought.</p> <p>§ Worth Forest is an area of ancient semi - natural woodland dominated by oak and alder with birch and alder buckthorn in the understorey. This type of wet woodland is nationally uncommon. Streams cut through parts of the wood forming a steep sided valley (gill). The humid conditions in the gill supports some 'Atlantic' plants found only in the weald and west of Britain. Some of these plants are nationally important. Rare lichens and mosses are also found on the mature trees. The site is partly in favourable condition and partly unfavourable recovering. The impact of development may be limited by the restricted access across the main road, the distance between possible development and the SSSI and the intervening and alternative recreational opportunities.</p> <p>In all cases the SSSI's include ancient woodland and there are sections of woodland which are particularly extensive to the south east of the town. Paragraph 118 of the NPPF indicates that "... planning permission should be refused for development resulting in the loss or deterioration of irreplaceable habitats, including ancient woodland and the loss of aged or veteran trees found outside ancient woodland,</p>	

General Comments				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			<p>unless the need for, and benefits of, the development in that location clearly outweigh the loss.</p> <p>The NPPF (Para 118) also indicates that "... proposed development on land within or outside a Site of Special Scientific Interest likely to have an adverse effect on a Site of Special Scientific Interest (either individually or in combination with other developments) should not normally be permitted. Where an adverse effect on the site's notified special interest features is likely, an exception should only be made where the benefits of the development, at this site, clearly outweigh both the impacts that it is likely to have on the features of the site that make it of special scientific interest and any broader impacts on the national network of Sites of Special Scientific Interest".</p> <p>I can find no reference to SSSI in the SA.</p>	
Rev Cannon Anthony Ball	Worth Conservation Area Advisory Committee		I write on behalf of the Worth Conservation Area Advisory Committee in response to the current consultation on allocating additional sites in connection with the Crawley 2029 review. The Committee wishes to express its support for the maintenance of the current boundaries, as reflected in the proposals that you have out for consultation.	Comment noted
Rev Cannon Anthony Ball	Worth Conservation Area Advisory Committee		We look forward to continuing to support the Council in the drawing up of the Local Plan and in its efforts to secure Crawley's sustainable development for future generations.	Comment noted
Jill Scarfield	Horsham District Council		<p>Proposed Allocations for Housing Sites</p> <p>We are pleased to note that you have allocated three additional sites to be used for housing to help meet the identified housing need within the Borough. Given the constraints of the physical boundary of the Borough and the limited land available for housing, this authority feels that Crawley Borough Council should take a proactive approach to maximise housing provision within its own area. It should be recognised that these allocated sites are a scarce resource and they should be developed to their full potential, whilst obviously taking into account any environmental constraints. This will ensure that as far as possible Crawley is doing its best to meet its own needs.</p>	Comments noted. Despite its limited capacity, the council will endeavour to identify as much land as possible for housing development providing these sites are considered suitable for housing and their development can be justified.

General Comments				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			This authority also recognises that two of the proposed allocated sites for housing are located adjacent to boundary of Horsham District Council. We therefore request that any representations made by Horsham residents that may be affected by the site allocations are given due consideration.	
Jill Scarfield	Horsham District Council		<p>Proposed Gypsy and Traveller Site Options</p> <p>The Governments Planning Policy for Traveller Sites requires Local Authorities to identify and update annually, a supply of specific deliverable sites sufficient to provide five years' worth of sites against their locally set targets. This Council welcomes the proposed allocation of the two Gypsy and Traveller sites in order for Crawley Borough Council to effectively meet the future accommodation needs of their travelling community.</p> <p>We note however, that one of the allocated sites is adjacent to the boundary of Horsham District Council. The occupiers of these neighbouring properties have been notified by this Council and we therefore request that any representations made by these residents of Horsham District are given due consideration.</p>	The support from Horsham District Council is noted. All the representations made from Horsham District residents regarding the proposed Gypsy and Traveller allocation at the Broadfield Kennels will be given due consideration.
Jill Scarfield	Horsham District Council		We look forward to being involved in subsequent stages of the Development Framework process and welcome the opportunity to work alongside Crawley Borough Council to seek acceptable outcomes on any identified cross boundary issues. I hope that this information is of assistance to you. Should you have any further queries please do not hesitate to contact me on 01403 215398 or by email strategic.planning@horsham.gov.uk	Continual joint working welcomed.
Mr Terry Stanley			<p>I am shocked and deeply concerned to learn that Crawley Council is proposing a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site as part of its Neighbourhood Plan. I never thought I would see a Conservative-led council come up with such a half-baked and controversial idea that if adopted, could prove electorally disastrous for each of the major parties.</p> <p>Over the last 11 years Crawley residents, council tax payers and electors have vigorously supported this Council's efforts to maintain Crawley as an encampment-free zone. Why would you betray our confidence and trust by bringing forward proposals that are diametrically opposed to our wishes? From experience of short, illegal encampments Crawley</p>	Comment noted. The Housing Act 2004 requires all councils to assess the accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers. Crawley Borough Council conducted a Gypsy and Traveller Needs Assessment in 2012/13, which showed the town requires nine pitches from 2014 to 2029. The council must now identify potential sites to meet these identified needs.

General Comments				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			<p>people know the implications for rising crime/disorder, dubious if not illegal 'self-employed' working practices which often prey on vulnerable people, raised welfare claimant count, children who do not enrol at or attend schools, damage to public amenities and spaces, increased and horribly discarded refuse and human waste, not to mention the very real prospect of the proposal being socially-divisive in a town that currently remains at ease with itself.</p> <p>There are no areas within the CBC administrative area that should be considered suitable. I know people of all ethnic and cultural groups who are alarmed and very much opposed to this idea and I for one will not rest until it is very definitely and publically dropped from the Crawley2029 Neighbourhood Plan.</p>	
Sarbina Aristide			Hi Just i would like to add why not put a plan of Housing to relocate chagossians who are based in crawley and a I would also suggest a welcome centre for chagossians community	Crawley is very constrained and the plan meets half of the identified housing need. Migration patterns form part of this need.
Mr John Cooban			<p>I think we should all be concerned about Crawley Borough Council's apparent inability to grasp and engage with the TDAG document in connection with formulating Borough Tree Strategy as part of the emerging local plan to 2029.</p> <p>Please can I meet with one or all of you, and probably other officers as necessary who can show that we will have a comprehensive tree strategy that is going to be traceable in an unbroken, policy backed sequence, right through to implementation via development control, enforcement and management for continuity of effective, attractive and resilient urban tree cover.</p>	The Local Plan has taken account of guidance in Trees in the Townscape by the Trees and Design Action Group in Policies CH3 and CH6. A tree strategy goes beyond the scope of the Plan.
Dr Bill Temple-Pediani			Additional sites for CHP should be identified by your Brett Hagen.	Comment noted. Crawley Borough Council is investigating potential sites, and future work will be identified in the Infrastructure Plan and supported by new Local Plan policy in the submission draft Plan.
J Kite			I have been on the website and commented on the areas I know about but there are places that are unknown to me to I cannot comment.	Comment noted
J Kite			Judging by what a young lady named Elizabeth said last night at Langley Green Forum you will never be able to satisfy Central Government as to the housing figures, it is no good	Comment noted

General Comments				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			staring at a map and saying every bit of green is suitable to put houses on, it isn't!	
J Kite			p.s. why did you not give us longer for the consultation, our meeting was full to bursting and there was not enough time for people to speak. A new meeting will have to be convened. You did not think we cared, we do.	The consultation period ran for 4 weeks as this was an additional consultation following on from the 6 week preferred strategy consultation highlighting a small number of issues. Written representations were accepted after the close of the consultation for a small period in light of the high levels of responses.
Mrs E Macey			I do not own a computer and therefore cannot get access to the questionnaire.	Consultation materials were available in many different formats and were advertised as such. As well as being available online, paper questionnaires were available to collect from the Town Hall, and the Libraries. We have also sent questionnaires to individuals by post upon request so we believe that this questionnaire was accessible to all.
Juliet McCaffery	Sussex Traveller Action Group		<p>As Secretary of the Sussex Traveller Action Group I welcome the arguments put forward for the need for Gypsy and Traveller sites. They are well put and convincing on the importance of identifying sites in order to get the Local Plan accepted. Here are a few comments.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. We accept that the assessment identifies 9 sites, but are not entirely clear if those are sites with multiple pitches or single pitches. Council owned sites I presume have multiple pitches but with privately owned sites, it is not as clear. Gypsy and Traveller families tend to be intergenerational and need to extend the accommodation on their site to accommodate family members. These extensions are often refused. 2. The Plan states there is not enough accommodation to cater for projected future needs, but here is not enough accommodation to cater for current needs as the recent unauthorised incursions testify. 3. The explanation for the shortage should be more specific about the "bundling" (blocking access to) common land such as Ditchling Common, Henfield Common, Devils Dyke and other previously accessible common land, whether in the Crawley district or in nearby locations. 4. The financial benefits of providing sites are stated but could be emphasised with the approximate annual cost for evicting unauthorised encampments as against the cost of providing sites. <p>STAG urges private planning applications from</p>	<p>Comment noted. The Sussex Travellers Action Group's support for the need to allocate a Gypsy and Traveller is noted and we agree that the allocation of a Gypsy and Traveller site is important for the Local Plan.</p> <p>Crawley's GTAA states that we are not expecting an accommodation need to arise in the first five years of the Local Plan, and the actual design of the site has yet to be established owing to this issue. In addition, the council will continue to make residents aware of the issues involved with the potential allocation of a Gypsy and Traveller site.</p>

General Comments				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			<p>Gypsies and Travellers be dealt with much more quickly and effectively as in many councils many applicants wait years and and are repeatedly asked to provide additional, sometimes irrelevant, information. Many are then only granted temporary permission. It should be made clear that increasing acceptance of private Gypsy and Traveller planning applications would relieve pressure on public sites and also reduce costs to the council.</p> <p>Given the difficulty when two sites were proposed several years ago, plans must be put in place to inform local residents that such sites are in place throughout the country and cause local people no disturbance.</p>	
Rita Burns	Gatwick Airport		<p>I have completed the on line questionnaire for the CBC Local Plan Site Allocation. As discussed our main focus is upon any sites that have been allocated as potential housing sites which may be adversely affected by Gatwick Airports operations in terms of noise. We have also supported the 'Rejected Housing Sites' where GAL consider that noise impact from the airport would be unacceptable at such sites for a future residential allocation. Gatwick has also highlighted its opinion on those sites which are still being considered for housing location options but still require further work.</p>	<p>Comments noted. The council's upper limit for noise sensitive development is 69dBA and it is on this basis that the council will seek to identify appropriate sites for housing. We understand that Gatwick Airport Limited apply an upper threshold of 57dBA for noise sensitive development and it is on this basis that GAL's comments are made.</p>
Jennifer Wilson	Environment Agency		<p>Biodiversity Two sites, Breezehurst Drive Playing Fields and Bewbush West Playing Fields, appear to either contain or be adjacent to watercourses. In line with biodiversity duties under the NERC Act and the Water Framework Directive, it should be ensured that these are protected and enhanced as part of any site development. As part of any development proposals, we would seek to ensure that there is no loss of river or wetland habitat. We recommend that this is noted in terms of site constraints for the development of these sites.</p> <p>Groundwater, Hydrology and Contaminated Land We adopt a risk based approach when assessing the impact a planning application will have on a controlled water. A controlled water could be the groundwater or surface water in the form of streams and ponds. The groundwater will be a different level of risk based on the geology. If the site is underlain by clay then the site is considered to be a lower risk</p>	<p>Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.</p>

General Comments				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			<p>from groundwater pollution because clay contains a low permeability. Groundwater which is found in principal aquifers (limestone or sandstone) may be more at risk from contamination. The majority of the sites are located on Clay.</p> <p>Drainage In the first instance foul drainage should be discharged to mains sewers where possible. Treated discharges to ground may require a permit.</p> <p>Sources of contamination The site history should be considered because there may be a source of contamination which could affect controlled waters. A desk study may help to identify any potential risk assessment and should be provided during the planning application stage.</p> <p>Please note that the following sites are located within approximately 200m of a historic landfill: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Breezehurst · Breezehurst West · Langley Walk </p>	
Kath Harrison	Surrey County Council		Thank you for consulting Surrey County Council on the Crawley 2029: Additional Sites Allocation Consultation. We do not have any comments to make on this document.	Noted
Adam Jasko			<p>Firstly, I would like to say that I am glad the council has set up their comprehensive online questionnaire but am wildly disappointed in the council's lack of informing local residents. Despite the fact that everyone on Langley Walk and the adjoining road will be heavily affected should the proposed changes (namely new housing and gypsy site) occur, less than 50 people were sent letters. Many people living on Langley walk received no letters at all or were only informed of one of the proposed changes. I, myself, live directly opposite Langley walk and right next to the site proposed for new housing (I can clearly see the beautiful trees and shrubbery on the site from my window) yet only found out when a friend told me. The actual notice is obstructed and not very visible. It seems inconsiderate to neglect the views of so many that live so close and who be genuinely impacted by the changes. I hope this is not common practise to only</p>	<p>Noted in relation to the online questionnaire.</p> <p>Letters were sent notifying properties of the consultation that were immediately adjacent to the proposed sites. This was in addition to sites notices being put up on the sites under consultation. Notices were placed in the most suitable place available on the site boundary when officers visited.</p> <p>The consultation was advertised in local media, social media, Crawley Live (that is delivered to all properties within the borough), and in public locations.</p>

General Comments				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			inform the required minimum of 25 people.	
Cllr Gary Marsh	Mid Sussex District Council		<p>Mid Sussex District Council welcomes the opportunity to comment on Crawley Borough Council Local Plan Additional Sites Consultation.</p> <p>Having considered the consultation document, Mid Sussex District Council welcomes this additional consultation which explores further options for housing development, a new cemetery and a Gypsy and Traveller site. It is important that Crawley Council explores all possible options and maximises its capacity for housing development, within the Borough boundaries. It is also important that Crawley Council make provision for members of the travelling community who may wish to live in the Town.</p> <p>Mid Sussex District Council looks forward to continuing to work with jointly with Crawley Borough Council on cross boundary issues before the next version of the Local Plan is finalised.</p>	Comments welcomed
Mr David Bradley	Barton Willmore	Mayfield Market Towns Limited	<p>I am writing in response to the above consultation on behalf of Mayfield Market Towns Limited (MMT) who, as you know, are promoting a New Market Town to the west of the A23 between Sayers Common and Henfield.</p> <p>Whilst the New Market Town is proposed in the administrative areas of Mid Sussex District Council and Horsham District Council, it could play a significant and important role in helping Crawley to meet some of the identified housing need that cannot be accommodated within Crawley's boundaries. As you are aware MMT has submitted comprehensive representations on the recent consultation on the Proposed Submission Mid Sussex District Plan and representations have also been submitted on previous Crawley 2029 consultations. This includes comprehensive representations on the Preferred Strategy Consultation Draft of Crawley 2029, submitted in December 2012.</p> <p>Crawley Borough Council are advocating an average annual housing requirement of 236 homes over the 15 year Crawley 2029 plan period (2014-2029). In contrast MMT's evidence finds that an annual average of 512 homes is the absolute minimum housing need for Crawley.</p> <p>Given the above, the starting point for the consultation on additional sites is that there is a significant gap between the homes that are likely to be delivered in Crawley Borough and</p>	<p>Comments noted. The identification of Crawley's objectively assessed housing needs over the plan period will be addressed in the forthcoming paper on Objectively Assessed Housing Needs which will be prepared to support the Submission Local Plan as a key piece of evidence.</p> <p>Crawley Borough Council acknowledges it would not be possible to meet all of Crawley's objectively assessed housing needs over the whole Plan period, due to its administrative and physical constraints, as was previously set out in the preferred strategy Local Plan and has formed part of its wider discussions and considerations with adjoining local authorities, including on a one-to-one basis, as part of the northern West Sussex Authorities (with Mid Sussex and Horsham District Councils), as part of the Gatwick Diamond Authorities (including those authorities to the north of the borough in Surrey), West Sussex Authorities and across the Gatwick Diamond and Coastal West Sussex.</p> <p>Crawley's housing market functions within a wider geographic area – which has been identified at the North West Sussex Housing Market Area, which is predominantly within the local authority administrative areas of Crawley Borough, Horsham and Mid Sussex Districts; extending northwards to a lesser degree into the administrative area of Reigate and Banstead</p>

General Comments				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			<p>the needs of Crawley. Therefore, consultation on additional sites is welcomed and supported. It is important that Crawley Borough Council can demonstrate that it is proactively trying to maximise housing provision within its boundary so that as much of its needs as possible can be met within its administrative boundary. This consultation is an important element of the evidence base to Crawley 2029.</p> <p>In terms of the consultation itself, the following is noted with regards to potential residential development: ~ Three potential new residential development sites are set out (Breezehurst Drive Playing Fields, Bewbush West Playing Fields and Goffs Park Depot). Cumulatively these three additional sites could deliver 290 homes; » A further seven potential residential sites are identified that require further work before the Council can form an opinion on whether they are suitable for housing; » A further seven sites for residential development are rejected for further consideration.</p> <p>It is not intended to make specific comments on the suitability of the potential additional residential sites in this letter. It is clear that even if all ten possible residential sites came forward there would still be a significant gap between housing that is likely to be delivered in Crawley and Crawley's needs. This reinforces the starting point that is acknowledged in the Preferred Strategy Consultation Draft of Crawley 2029, i.e. that the sites available in Crawley will not meet all the future housing needs of Crawley.</p> <p>The above emphasises the importance of the Duty to Co-operate as a means by which Crawley Borough Council could proactively ensure that its full housing needs can be met. The Duty to Cooperate is both a legal requirement in plan making as well as being key to the tests of soundness set out in the NPPF. This is a matter set out in some detail in both MMT's representations on the Preferred Strategy Consultation Draft of Crawley 2029 and MMT's representations on the Proposed Submission Mid Sussex District Plan. The clear intention of the NPPF is to assist with situations, like that in Crawley, where there is a gap between likely housing supply and needs. Indeed, in the context of significantly boosting the supply of new housing, paragraph 47 of the NPPF states that: "local</p>	<p>Borough.</p> <p>The Position Statement for the northern West Sussex Authorities confirms that each authority considers it is doing the maximum reasonable to meet the objectively assessed housing needs of the area as a whole, taking account local constraints, local aspirations and the need for sustainable development.</p> <p>In addition to this, it is also recognised across northern West Sussex and Coastal West Sussex that there is a need to work together to identify, if possible, longer term strategic solutions to the identified shortfall of housing across the local planning authority boundaries, including necessary infrastructure and appropriate delivery mechanisms. This work will be undertaken on the understanding that each authority will look within their own local Housing Market Area first for meeting future needs before looking at options outside their market area.</p> <p>Crawley Borough Council acknowledges the request for a meeting. However, as the proposed Mayfield New Market town falls wholly outside of the borough's administrative boundaries, it would not form part of the remit of Crawley's Local Plan, and would be the responsibility of consideration through the Local Plans of Horsham and Mid Sussex. Such a meeting should be held at the discretion of these authorities and Crawley Borough Council an invitee at that stage.</p>

General Comments				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			<p>planning authorities should...use their evidence base to ensure that their Local Plan meets the full, objectively assessed needs for market and affordable housing in the housing market area, as far as is consistent with the policies set out in this Framework."</p> <p>You will be aware that GL Hearn has undertaken a Housing Study (Duty to Cooperate) on behalf of the Sussex Coast HMA Partners (Adur, Arun, Brighton and Hove, Chichester, Lewes and Worthing). This considers objectively assessed housing requirements and potential housing supply across the area. This is an important element of the evidence base for each of the authorities as well as helping to identify the implications of the Duty to Co-operate.</p> <p>The administrative areas of Crawley, Horsham and Mid Sussex form the Northern West Sussex Housing Market Area as defined by the Northern West Sussex SHMA (May 2009 and updated in October 2012). Therefore, in the first instance it is considered that the three local planning authorities should jointly undertake a similar piece of work to that undertaken by GL Hearn for the Sussex Coast HMA Partners. This work should look at objectively assessed housing needs and environmental capacity/supply across the combined administrative areas of the three local planning authorities.</p> <p>MMT made the above point in its representations on the Preferred Strategy Consultation Draft of Crawley 2029 (paragraphs 3.59 and 4.15 refer). Furthermore, MMT proposed an additional paragraph be incorporated into Policy STRAT3: Meeting Housing Needs across the Housing Market Area of the Preferred Strategy Consultation Draft of Crawley 2029 requiring a similar piece of work.</p> <p>The Sustainability Appraisal (SA) of the Proposed Submission Mid Sussex District Plan identifies two specific strategic options for residential development in addition to focussing development at either Burgess Hill or Haywards Heath. These are development at Crabbet Park, adjoining Crawley and to the east of the M23, and the New Market Town. Mid Sussex District Council's SA of its emerging District Plan scores the New Market Town more positively than Crabbet Park. However, MMT considers that the assessment of the New Market Town does not adequately reflect the true sustainability of the New Market Town.</p> <p>Therefore, MMT has submitted an alternative SA of the New Market Town as part of its representations on the Proposed</p>	

General Comments				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			<p>Submission Mid Sussex District Plan.</p> <p>It is not known whether Crawley Borough Council commented on these options in making representations on the emerging Mid Sussex District Plan. However, it is considered that these are two options that could help in meeting the needs of Crawley should be commented on by Crawley Borough Council. Certainly both sites are options that should be considered as part of any joint study of the housing market area.</p> <p>A joint review of housing needs and supply across the Northern West Sussex Housing Market Area is considered vital to the soundness of Crawley 2029. In terms of soundness, it is not considered sufficient for Crawley Borough Council to simply acknowledge that it cannot meet all of its housing needs within its boundary. Paragraph 179 of the NPPF states:</p> <p>“Local planning authorities should work collaboratively with other bodies to ensure that strategic priorities across local planning authorities are properly co-ordinated and clearly reflected in individual Local Plans. Joint working should enable local planning authorities to work together to meet development requirements which cannot wholly be met within their own areas - for instance, because of a lack of physical capacity or because to do so would cause significant harm to the principles and policies of this Framework.”</p> <p>A more proactive approach to ensuring Crawley’s full housing needs can be met, including those needs that cannot be met within its boundary, is necessary. Such an approach is vital to enable Crawley 2029 to meet the requirements of the NPPF and can therefore ultimately be found sound.</p> <p>It is understood that Crawley Borough Council, Horsham District Council and Mid Sussex District Council are in ongoing discussions with regards to the Duty to Co-operate. However, only limited information is available. Crawley Borough Council must demonstrate whether it can meet its housing needs within its own boundary (this appears extremely unlikely) and then whether it can meet its needs within the wider area, i.e. outside of its boundary. The Duty to Co-operate relates to the preparation of Local Plans and how Crawley Borough Council intends to respond to the Duty to Co-operate should be made available as soon as possible to ensure transparency.</p> <p>In summary, MMT are promoting a New Market Town to the</p>	

General Comments				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			<p>west of the A23 between Sayers Common and Henfield. This is the same area that was considered for a New Market Town in the GL Hearn New Market Town Study. A New Market Town is a reasonable and deliverable option for significant new housing in the Northern West Sussex Housing Market Area and should be tested as an option as part of any joint study that is advocated in this letter and in MMT's representations on the Preferred Strategy Consultation Draft of Crawley 2029.</p> <p>MMT would welcome the opportunity to discuss the New Market Town proposals with key Officers and Members at Crawley Borough Council.</p>	
Alice Henstock	Mid Sussex District Council		<p>Mid Sussex District Council welcomes the opportunity to comment on Crawley Borough Council Local Plan Additional Sites Consultation.</p> <p>Having considered the consultation document, Mid Sussex District Council welcomes this additional consultation which explores further options for housing development, a new cemetery and a Gypsy and Traveller site. It is important that Crawley Council explores all possible options and maximises its capacity for housing development, within the Borough boundaries. It is also important that Crawley Council make provision for members of the travelling community who may wish to live in the Town.</p> <p>Mid Sussex District Council looks forward to continuing to work with jointly with Crawley Borough Council on cross boundary issues before the next version of the Local Plan is finalised.</p>	Comments welcomed.
Sarah Beuden	Savills	Crest Strategic Projects (CSP)	<p>As you may be aware, CSP have an extant outline planning permission for the redevelopment of Kilnwood Vale (also known as Land West of Bewbush and as the former Holmbush Farm Landfill site, Crawley Road, Faygate) for 2500 dwellings and a new neighbourhood centre along with a new rail station, energy centre and associated amenity space and infrastructure (ref: DC/10/1612). Full planning permission has also been granted for the delivery of the first 291 dwellings and construction is underway, with the first houses within this phase due to be completed in August along with the completion of key open spaces and infrastructure improvements.</p> <p>Whilst the Kilnwood Vale site is in the administrative area of</p>	The development of Bewbush West playing fields would involve taking access off Sullivan Drive which forms an access road to Kilnwood Vale, however, the development of the site for a limited amount of housing would not inhibit access to Kilnwood Vale or undermine the principles of the new neighbourhood as enshrined in the Joint Area Action Plan for the site.

General Comments				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			<p>Horsham District Council (HDC) you will no doubt be aware that its strategic importance to both Horsham District (HDC) and Crawley Borough Council is reflected in the Joint Area Action Plan (JAAP) for the site (West of Bewbush, Joint Area Action Plan, July 2009), which was prepared by both Local Planning Authorities (LPA). It is therefore important that subsequent planning policies and guidance reflect the principles of the adopted JAAP and do not undermine the delivery of this strategic development.</p> <p>Following a review of the Crawley Borough Council's (CBC) Additional Sites Consultation document, CSP are generally supportive of the Council's continuing efforts to identify and allocate sufficient land to meet the housing needs within the borough. However, CSP have a limited number of concerns relating to the potential allocation of specific sites within this document where they have the potential to undermine the objectives and principles of the JAAP and the delivery of Kilnwood Vale (ref:DC/10/1612).</p>	

Topic 2: Housing – Bewbush West

Housing – Bewbush West				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
Terry Whetter			I have received a letter with the proposed development of Bewbush west playing fields which I am very concerned about, I have filled in the questionnaire provided but I also want to know if it is only a proposal and if it is a long way off or is it a done deal so to speak.	The council is currently considering the development of land at Bewbush West playing fields for housing development alongside a number of other sites. The site may form an allocation in the forthcoming Submission Local Plan providing the loss of playing fields can be justified.
Mr & Mrs M & C Clarke-Jones			<p>Firstly, which I know will be of no relevance to you, my flat looks straight on to what is at the moment a beautiful field and without doubt, this is one of the reasons I bought the property. Most of the residence have the same opinion and with the new development proposals, the value of our properties will drop.</p> <p>The area has a large amount of maisonettes/flats. These proposals effect the quality of living conditions for some of Crawley's vulnerable children, single parents and the less well off, who are in these flats and have no gardens. I for one have two children. The reason why we chose this area was so that our children would be safe, have somewhere to play and because we couldn't afford to buy a house with a garden.</p>	<p>Comments regarding open space noted. The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p> <p>In identifying these sites the amount of green space including the size of gardens in the area is taken into consideration.</p>
Mr & Mrs M & C Clarke-Jones			<p>Crawley Borough Council's 'Green Spaces Strategy' from 2007-2012 stated that "Public satisfaction with Crawley's Green Space is very high and it is essential this is maintained and if possible increased" This is one of Crawley's 26 playing fields mentioned in the strategies. Why in 2013 has Crawley suddenly decided to go against this strategy?</p> <p>The playing field has a Public Bridleway that runs alongside it. It also features in CBC Greenway walks, 'Bewbush to Lambs Green'. CBC state "Walk the Greenways and discover a different side of Crawley" What is the vision of the future? The back of peoples houses? Not very 'Greenway' !</p>	<p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p> <p>In identifying these sites the amount of green space including the size of gardens in the area is taken into consideration.</p> <p>Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.</p>

Housing – Bewbush West				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
Mr & Mrs M & C Clarke-Jones			The field is home to many wildlife. There is a colony of bats which during the summer the children love to watch whilst laying on there backs in the field. These bats are legally protected and this area is a particularly good foraging habitat for them. They are drawn to pastures, woodland and water because of what they eat. A family of foxes, which are often seen with their cubs, live in the small group of trees. It's a pleasure to see them. The odd deer can be seen, migrating possibly over to lfield or Buchan park.	Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.
Mr & Mrs M & C Clarke-Jones			The field is used by different members of the community. There are often football matches on the field. Many people enjoy the open space to walk their dogs and it gives them a safe area to let them off the lead. The Greenway walk is also a feature for a little known group of people, geocachers. There are in fact two caches here, due to the pleasant nature of the area. We also have an amateur astronomer who uses the field because of the lesser light pollution.	The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study. In identifying these sites the amount of green space including the size of gardens in the area is taken into consideration. Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.
Mr & Mrs M & C Clarke-Jones			There are many other areas that would be much better suited to building more houses. Once the estate towards Horsham has been finished, I am sure that 'Browns' will be moving, as I can not imagine residence wanting them there. In time I believe that the new housing estate will gradually increase and move towards us and we will need to conserve this pleasant patch of green land. Indeed it will also benefit those people in the new area and become even more popular.	Comments noted
Sarah Harrison	Southern Water		We currently have no comments relating to this site.	Noted
Darryl Hemmings	West Sussex County Council		Any access strategy for this site should enable the new development to be integrated with the strategic development on the adjoining Kilnwood Vale site.	Comments noted.

Housing – Bewbush West				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
Darryl Hemmings	West Sussex County Council		The site is within 700 metres of the park, other comments as above.	Comments noted
Mrs Christine Cook			Petition 180 Signatures - The purpose of the petition is to refuse Crawley Borough Council's proposal of housing on Bewbush West Playing Fields	The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.
Sarah Beuden	Savills	Crest Strategic Projects (CSP)	The Kilnwood Vale site (as set out above) adjoins the site described as Bewbush West Playing Fields to the west.	Comments noted
Sarah Beuden	Savills	Crest Strategic Projects (CSP)	The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) states that local authorities should work with neighbouring authorities and transport providers to develop strategies for the provision of viable infrastructure necessary to support sustainable development (paragraph 31). Further, paragraph 35 of the NPPF states that plans and decisions should protect and exploit opportunities for the use of sustainable transport modes.	Comments noted
Sarah Beuden	Savills	Crest Strategic Projects (CSP)	A key principle of the JAAP is to ensure that opportunities for sustainable transport are maximised. Paragraph 4.120 provides further detail, stating that to provide the new development with a high quality bus service, existing bus services will need to be extended into the site. Policy WB 25 (Transport) of the JAAP requires that bus and Fastway access is provided via Sullivan Drive to enable access for bus routes 10, 23, 24 and 200 into the site.	Comments noted, the development of the land at Bewbush West will not interfere with the dedicated bus route to Kilnwood Vale.
Sarah Beuden	Savills	Crest Strategic Projects (CSP)	Figure 17.3 of the approved Design and Access Statement (DAS), which comprises the Vehicular Movement parameter plan for the approved development, shows the provision of a bus gate on the western boundary of the site in accordance with the JAAP. The approved location of the bus gate is situated at the southern end of the site referred to as	Comments noted, the development of the land at Bewbush West will not interfere with the dedicated bus route to Kilnwood Vale approved under the Vehicular Movement Strategy.

Housing – Bewbush West				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			Bewbush West Playing Fields due to requirement of Policy WB 25 of the JAAP for bus services to enter/exit the site via Sullivan Drive. The allocation of this site for housing could therefore potentially undermine the approved Vehicular Movement Strategy of the extant outline planning permission for Kilnwood Vale and, therefore, could jeopardise the delivery of the scheme.	
Sarah Beuden	Savills	Crest Strategic Projects (CSP)	This is contrary to paragraph 31 of the NPPF, which requires LPAs to develop strategies for the provision of viable infrastructure to support sustainable development. It is also contrary to paragraph 182 of the NPPF, which requires Local Plans to be positively prepared (which seeks to meet objectively assessed infrastructure requirements); and is effective and based on joint working on cross boundary issues. Moreover, this site allocation could potentially preclude the delivery of a bus gate to extend services into the Kilnwood Vale development site, which is contrary to the Council's own adopted JAAP (policy WB 25) in that it requires a bus gate in this location.	Comments as above
Sarah Beuden	Savills	Crest Strategic Projects (CSP)	Should the Council be minded to take on/ward the site allocation of Bewbush West Playing Fields to be developed for housing, CSP consider it is fundamental that the bus access gate associated with extant planning permission for Kilnwood Vale (as shown in figure 17.3 of the approved DAS) be reserved and this be set out in any related policy/allocation text. CSP request that they are consulted on any further guidance on the allocation for the Bewbush West Playing Field and reserve the right to submit further representations in this regard.	Comments noted. The council is happy to liaise with Crest Strategic Projects on the interrelationship between Bewbush West Playing Fields and Kilnwood Vale, in relation to the operation of the dedicated bus route.
Michael Jones			I am writing to set out my opposition, as a Bewbush ward member to the proposals to identify the Bewbush West playing fields as a potential site for future housing development. I also want to take issue with the identification of the playing fields next to Skelmersdale Walk as part of the wider classification as "Breezehurst Drive". While I do not object to the remainder of the former leisure centre site being developed, I do not believe that the fields next to Skelmersdale should be included in this. These form a	The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses. The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport

Housing – Bewbush West				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			distinct and separate part of Bewbush, and I oppose the fields next to Skelmersdale being identified. Bewbush has already contributed to additional development in Crawley and I believe it would be removing too many key green spaces in the neighbourhood.	and Recreation Study.

Topic 3: Housing – Goffs Park Depot

Housing – Goffs Park Depot				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
Mrs Sue Coole			I have lived in Crawley for 32 years in the same house and have slowly watched the open spaces of Crawley disappear, the facilities become inadequate and the traffic increase to frightening levels. The Council have already put a mini-roundabout at the entrance to Old Horsham Road to help assist with the amount of traffic that already uses this road and Horsham Road (probably mainly to assist with the school traffic). I listed to many a near miss and have witnessed the less fortunate where a collision has occurred on this roundabout. To build another 30 homes in this area seems ludicrous. We have had our fair share of development, which includes a number of properties in Goff Park Road where originally one single house stood, and there is also the housing development which took place on the St Wilfred's School grounds. Previously to this, there was the huge development which took place where the old stables used to be off the Horsham Road. Surely this should be enough. It seems that anywhere there is a space, we build homes on it. We are now also having to suffer the massive housing development which is taking place between Crawley and Horsham	Comments noted. The council has a duty to meet the demographic housing needs of its residents over the next 15 years. In order to achieve this, all sites will need to be considered for development providing this can be suitably justified. The development of Goffs Park Depot has been carefully considered in terms of its impact on Goffs Park and surrounding road network and it is considered that the site is capable of accommodating around 30 dwellings.
Mrs Sue Coole			There are so many massive developments appearing in and around Crawley, there is Ifield, Langley Green, another development is going up in Furnace Green, that is without all the flats that appear where once upon a time it would have been one house.	Comments noted
Mrs Sue Coole			As things are at present, if there is one traffic incident in or around Crawley, the whole road system becomes grid-locked, adding more homes (which bring in more traffic) in Crawley can only make this worse.	Comments noted. The council has assessed the impact of the planned development to ensure that any impact is acceptable and any necessary mitigation measures are put in place.
Mrs Sue Coole			I strongly object to the development of another 30 homes on this site. Perhaps it could be developed into "Peace Gardens" or the like as an extension to Goffs Park. Just a thought.	Comments noted. The council has a duty to meet the demographic housing needs of its residents over the next 15 years. The development of Goffs Park Depot has been carefully considered in terms of its impact on Goffs Park and surrounding road network and it is considered that the site is capable of accommodating around 30 dwellings.
Sarah Harrison	Southern Water		We currently have no comments relating to this site.	Noted
Darryl Hemmings	West Sussex County Council		Development upon this site should be required to contribute towards any highway improvement strategies identified in the	Comments noted. A transport assessment for this site has been conducted and this demonstrates that the site is

Housing – Goffs Park Depot				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			transport study work supporting the Local Plan.	capable of accommodating around 30 dwellings without significant detriment to the surrounding highway network.

Topic 4: Housing – Breezehurst Drive

Housing – Breezehurst Drive				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
Sarah Harrison	Southern Water		<p>Existing below ground water supply infrastructure crosses this site; the development design must ensure that this infrastructure is not built over. Where pipes cross the site an easement of width between 6 and 13 metres, depending on pipe size and depth, will be required. This should be clear of all proposed buildings and substantial tree planting.</p> <p>We propose that the policy relating to the further development of Breezehurst Drive Playing Fields site should include the following text: The development must ensure future access to the existing water supply infrastructure for maintenance and upsizing purposes.</p>	Comments noted. This will be taken into account at the detailed planning application stage.
Darryl Hemmings	West Sussex County Council		Access should be taken from adjoining side roads such as Sullivan Drive rather than from A2220 Horsham Road.	Comments noted. The council is currently considering options for accessing the site, including Sullivan Drive and Breezehurst Drive, it is not considered appropriate for access to be achieved off Horsham Road at this location.
Darryl Hemmings	West Sussex County Council		This site is within 100 metres of Buchan Country Park, from which it is only separated by the A2220, and is in itself a green space providing recreational opportunities. It acts as a green buffer between existing housing and the park and if developed will bring the urban boundary closer to the park entrance, as well as the pressure of up to 200 additional households next to the country park.	<p>Comment noted.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p> <p>Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.</p>
Darryl Hemmings	West Sussex County Council		The National Playing Fields Association (NPFA) recommends a minimum of two hectares of open space for every 1000 people. Although provision has been made for formal open space in the new developments, it is not countryside. Many of these new residents will be within walking distance of the country park. This will increase the pressure on a relatively	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate</p>

Housing – Breezehurst Drive				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			small site (69 hectares) that already attracts in excess of 100,000 visits per annum.	<p>supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the Council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p>

Topic 5: Housing – Other Sites

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
Mr Ken Boyle	KDA Property	Landowner	East of Brighton Road	I can confirm that my clients are the freeholders of approximately 18 acres of this proposed housing allocation and have the majority of the frontage to Brighton Road. Much of the remainder is in the ownership of the Forestry Commission with whom I have arranged a meeting on the 17th July, to discuss their view on the release of the area of their land shown on your plan, for development. I will come back to you when I have further details.	Comments noted. The council would encourage dialogue with the landowners of this land to establish the likelihood of the site being considered acceptable for housing development in view of its relatively isolated location. However, it is considered that there are a number of difficulties with this site being considered suitable for housing development, particularly in terms of the impact of residential development on the land designated as a Site of Nature Conservation Importance beyond the built up area. Access to the site is also considered to be very difficult.
Darryl Hemmings	West Sussex County Council		East of Brighton Road	This area is of ecological importance, lying within Tilgate Park Site of Nature Conservation Importance (SNCI Cr10). It is not considered to be a suitable location for housing development from an ecological perspective.	Comments noted. The land is considered to be unsuitable for housing development principally on the basis of its impact on the Site of Nature Conservation Importance.
Rev Cannon Anthony Ball	Worth Conservation Area Advisory Committee		land east of Street Hill	In particular we strongly endorse the Council's rejection of designating the land east of Street Hill (map ref 13) as suitable for new housing.	Comments noted
Mr Tony Fullwood		Mr R Bucknall	land east of Street Hill	See FULL REP FOR IMAGES The site east of Street Hill, Worth, Crawley, promoted through the SHLAA as a site suitable for housing development, is deliverable in the next five years or developable within the next 6 -10 years. The Borough Council is consulting on whether it is correct to reject the site for housing development. The site is proposed to be rejected on the grounds of flooding and heritage. The Borough Council's SHLAA identified no objection to the principle of housing development on this site but that conservation and nature conservation constraints would be likely to affect the development potential of the land. The Borough Council's grounds for not proposing to carry the site forward are insufficient to reject the site as	Comments noted. The revised SHLAA (September 2013) continues to identify the site as being unsuitable for development (Site 223) and identifies the reasons why the site is considered unsuitable. The assessment of the site has been amended to remove the specific reference to on-site flooding. Please refer to this document.

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
				<p>an allocation in the emerging Local Plan, particularly as the Borough Council has not positively sought opportunities to meet the housing needs of their area. As well as increasing the housing land supply, there are potential benefits of conservation and enhancement of the Conservation Area and non-designated heritage assets. The landowner wishes to enter into dialogue with the Borough Council to determine the most appropriate form of housing development for this site in the Local Plan.</p> <p>In relation to the SHLAA tests, the site is:</p> <p>Available Yes – this site was promoted by the land owner who is attempting to progress the site as a development location (Crawley Borough Council SHLAA)</p> <p>Achievable Yes - there would appear to be no economic constraints which would prevent the site being redeveloped for housing (Crawley Borough Council SHLAA). The area of Worth is highly desirable and there would be a strong market for new homes in this area.</p> <p>Suitable The Borough Council consider the site unsuitable for residential development, principally, on the basis of its location, outside the Built-Up Area Boundary. The impact of any development on the character and appearance of the Conservation Area, Archeologically Sensitive Area and SNCI would also need to be carefully considered in addition to flooding issues. (my emphasis Crawley Borough Council SHLAA). The previous SHLAA identified no objection to the principle of housing development of this site but that conservation and nature conservation constraints would be likely to affect the development potential of the land.</p>	
Mr Tony Fullwood		Mr R Bucknall	land east of Street Hill	<p>Flood Risk In relation to flooding, the Borough Council's SFRA shows a very small area to the south of the site as part of the stream's functional flood plain. As a result a revised area for development was proposed through the SHLAA process (see Map 1 below) to exclude all such land from proposed development. This is not the map shown for Site 13 Land East of Street Hill within the</p>	Comments noted. The revised SHLAA (September 2013) continues to identify the site as being unsuitable for development (Site 223) and identifies the reasons why the site is considered unsuitable. The assessment of the site has been amended to remove the specific reference to on-site flooding. Please refer to

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
				<p>CRAWLEY 2029 - Site Allocation Consultation, June 2013. Consequently, consultation has been undertaken on an incorrect area.</p> <p>The Borough Council's SHLAA indicates that the site is located adjacent to the Gatwick Stream although none of the site falls within functional floodplain (Crawley Borough Council SHLAA).</p> <p>As the site is within an area considered to have the lowest probability of flooding, there can be no reason for not allocating the site for residential development on the grounds of flooding.</p> <p>The Borough Council is again requested to correctly identify Site 13 as defined on Map 1 (below).</p>	this document.
Mr Tony Fullwood		Mr R Bucknall	land east of Street Hill	<p>Conservation Area</p> <p>The site is within the Worth Conservation Area. The Conservation Area was designated to preserve and enhance the rural character and appearance of the open setting of St. Nicholas Church (Worth Conservation Area Statement 2003). The Statement goes on to cite several open spaces and large houses which surround the church, and the amount of tree cover.</p> <p>The NPPF recognises that in Conservation Areas new development opportunities can be achieved without adverse impacts on their character and appearance if proper attention is paid to achieving good design. It would be my client's firm intension to respect the character of the conservation area by</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • retaining a significant part of the upper slope of the valley as open space to protect the rural character and appearance of the open setting of St. Nicholas Church. • reducing the net developable area of the site within his ownership in order to protect the setting of the Grade 1 listed church and retain and enhance tree cover at the margins of the site with substantial open space retained adjoining Worth Way. • there may also be the opportunity to contribute to the general improvements sought as part of the Conservation Area Statement or in connection with the historic parkland identified by the Borough Council. <p>The landowner has carefully taken the Borough Council's published assessments of the historic assets</p>	Comments noted. The revised SHLAA (September 2013) continues to identify the site as being unsuitable for development (Site 223) and identifies the reasons why the site is considered unsuitable. The assessment of the site has been amended to remove the specific reference to on-site flooding. Please refer to this document.

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
				<p>into account when considering the impact of a proposal to minimise conflict between the heritage asset's conservation and any aspect of the proposal in accordance with the NPPF (Para 129).</p> <p>There can be no objection to the sensitive development of this site for housing development as a result of it being located within a conservation area.</p>	
Mr Tony Fullwood		Mr R Bucknall	land east of Street Hill	<p>Historic Park and Garden</p> <p>The Borough Council has designated the area which includes the site as an Historic Park and Garden.</p> <p>The Borough Council's Historic Parks and Gardens Summary of Research and Officer Recommendations, May 2013 states of this site:</p> <p>The first accurate depiction of the immediate neighbourhood of Worth Church is given with the Ordnance Surveyor's drawing of 1808. Worth Way is clearly marked as a road north of the church. A rectangular garden or park lies south of the church. Its southern field border is formed by a stream which flows from the east into Gatwick Stream further west. A semi-circular path leads through the parkland from the southwest of the churchyard and then back to the southeast of the churchyard. Features include two fishponds, a moat, and an almost unchanged parkland landscape for the last 200 years.</p> <p>The site shown in Map 1 as Land East of Street Hill is part of a wider area owned by the same landowner. The proposed development site has been carefully drawn to avoid the key features of the non-designated historic asset. It specifically avoids the area of the original rectangular garden or park to the south of the church and the semi-circular path leading through the parkland from the southwest of the churchyard to the southeast of the churchyard. The site also excludes the fishponds and the moat area from that proposed for development. The site also avoids the belts of trees which form part of the original parkland.</p> <p>The recommendations of the Borough Council's report</p>	Comments noted. Please see policy CH17 for the approach to proposals within a locally designated Historic Park or Garden.

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
				<p>are:</p> <p>The management recommendations given in 1992 by Marion Finch set out that the southern fields of the Conservation Area need heavier grazing and that alternating between grazing and cutting for hay would be helpful.</p> <p>Restoration of the pond seems now (2013) even more urgent. Ideally, rhododendron and laurel should be removed from woodland.</p> <p>Ideally, the moated orchard should be restored.</p> <p>One of the benefits of development could be to help implement these recommendations and even improve public access on land within the ownership of the site owner.</p> <p>The NPPF states that local planning authorities should look for opportunities for new development within Conservation Areas to enhance or better reveal their significance. Proposals that preserve those elements of the setting that make a positive contribution to or better reveal the significance of the asset should be treated favourably (NPPF Para 137).</p> <p>The Borough Council's Historic Parks and Gardens are not registered parks and gardens and have no statutory protection. As non-designated heritage assets: The effect of an application on the significance of a non-designated heritage asset should be taken into account in determining the application. In weighing applications that affect directly or indirectly non designated heritage assets, a balanced judgement will be required having regard to the scale of any harm or loss and the significance of the heritage asset (NPPF Para 135). In putting this site forward, careful consideration has been given to the impact of the proposal on a non-designated asset. The site avoids the historic parkland features of significance. Detailed development proposals concerning density, layout and landscaping are not currently determined and are a matter for negotiation between the Borough Council and the landowner. Finally, sensitive development brings the opportunity to enhance and better reveal the significance of the non-designated heritage asset and,</p>	

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
				in accordance with the NPPF, should be treated favourably. There can be no objection to the principle of development of this site as a result of it being located within a non-designated heritage asset.	
Mr Tony Fullwood		Mr R Bucknall	land east of Street Hill	Site of Nature Conservation Importance The site forms part of a Site of Nature Conservation Importance, designated in 1992. The proposed development area would not include the ponds or the stream margin, the woodlands, or the upper slope of the valley. The designation is 21 years old and, to my knowledge, has not been actively managed, such that much of the original interest may no longer be present. It would be intended that, as part of development proposals for the site, a management plan would be put in place to promote the preservation, restoration and re-creation of priority habitats, ecological networks and the protection and recovery of priority species populations in accordance with the NPPF (Para 117). There can be no objection to the principle of development of this site as a result of it being located within this Site of Nature Conservation Importance.	Comments noted. The revised SHLAA (September 2013) continues to identify the site as being unsuitable for development (Site 223) and identifies the reasons why the site is considered unsuitable. Please refer to this document.
Mr Tony Fullwood		Mr R Bucknall	land east of Street Hill	Visual Impact of development The site is very well screened by significant mature tree belts and woodland. To the west, trees, together with an embankment, restrict views into the site from Street Hill. Extensive woodland also screens the site from the north, east, and to the south around the Mote and along the river. Further native planting is envisaged, particularly to the north of the proposed site in order to form a natural boundary with the open land within my client's ownership. The site borders housing development immediately to the west, a business estate immediately to the south and the motorway to the east. The site to the north is owned by my client who intends to retain its openness as part of the contribution to the character of the Worth Conservation Area.	Comments noted. The revised SHLAA (September 2013) continues to identify the site as being unsuitable for development (Site 223) and identifies the reasons why the site is considered unsuitable. Please refer to this document.

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
Mr Tony Fullwood		Mr R Bucknall	land east of Street Hill	<p>Built up Area Boundary</p> <p>The Borough Council's SHLAA considers the site unsuitable for residential development, principally, on the basis of its location, outside the Built-Up Area Boundary as defined in the Local Plan.</p> <p>As the Borough Council has not positively sought opportunities to meet the housing needs of their area and is not likely to meet those needs, it is imperative that land East of Street Hill is allocated for housing development and a review of the Built Up Area Boundary is undertaken accordingly as part of the Borough Council's current review of the boundary of the town. The definition of the current BUAB leaves insufficient flexibility to enable the local plan to accommodate housing need at Crawley.</p> <p>The proposal would be to draw the BUAB along the northern extent of the proposed housing site, allowing the northern part of the land in my client's ownership and the church and its surrounds to remain outside the built up area.</p>	Comments noted. The revised SHLAA (September 2013) continues to identify the site as being unsuitable for development (Site 223) and identifies the reasons why the site is considered unsuitable. Please refer to this document.
Mr Tony Fullwood		Mr R Bucknall	land east of Street Hill	<p>Conclusion</p> <p>The Borough Council considers that Land East of Street Hill is available and that residential development is achievable on this site.</p> <p>The analysis set out in this submission indicates that there is no overriding reason to reject this site as a housing allocation. The NPPF defines sustainable development as local planning authorities positively seeking opportunities to meet the development needs of their area unless specific policies in the Framework indicate development should be restricted (Para 14). The proposed site has no such restrictions as demonstrated in this submission. Indeed, development of this site would bring the opportunity for enhancements to the Conservation Area and non-designated heritage assets as encouraged by the NPPF.</p> <p>The Borough Council should take a proactive approach to positively planning the development of this site to help meet identified needs. The landowner wishes to enter into dialogue with the Borough Council to</p>	Comments noted. The revised SHLAA (September 2013) continues to identify the site as being unsuitable for development (Site 223) and identifies the reasons why the site is considered unsuitable. Please refer to this document.

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
				<p>determine the most appropriate form of housing development for this site in the Local Plan and the most appropriate associated enhancement measures that can be achieved. There are no insurmountable constraints which prevent land off Street Hill, Worth, Crawley coming forward for housing development within the next 5 or 6 - 10 years.</p> <p>For these reasons the Borough Council is requested to retain the site for housing development in the SHLAA and, following negotiation with the landowner, to promote the site for a suitable housing allocation within the emerging Local Plan to help meet the development needs of their area.</p>	
Mrs J R Mitchell			Langley Green & Burlands	<p>Firstly, I understand that the housing proposal has been deemed by the council as unsuitable at this moment in time. This is the correct decision as access to the area is very limited. Other reasons include poor drainage at the site, this is due to the River Mole in close proximity.</p>	<p>The council acknowledges the transport/access issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work.</p>
Mrs J R Mitchell			Langley Green & Burlands	<p>Last year the ditches were full to capacity and water was running onto the roads and footpaths. This water also seeped back under my house due to the overwhelmed, overstretched drainage systems. This is also an area of natural beauty and holds a lot of wildlife in its habitats.</p>	<p>The site does not fall within functional floodplain and whilst drainage issues may be an issue in this location, its location would not prevent it being identified for housing on the basis of fluvial flooding.</p>
J Kite			Langley Green & Burlands	<p>It is with a great deal of concern that I write to comment on your forward plan which you have in mind for our road, (2029. Without a doubt it is most unsuitable for this road. To the residents whose children grew up here it has always been an oasis where one can walk the dogs, see green fields and see wildlife.</p>	<p>Comments noted. The area outside the built up area boundary is the rural/urban fringe where proposals must meet the criteria of policy CH9 which supports proposals which respect the character and role of the area.</p>
J Kite			Langley Green & Burlands	<p>The area is of poor quality however with airport noise and some pollution and flooding. An abundance of birds come across to my garden including green and spotted woodpeckers. We also have bats. It is the last area of truly wild with hedges and ditches and peace. While it may not be perfect, it is a green space and we need it for future children to play.</p>	<p>Comments noted. Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.</p>

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
J Kite			Langley Green & Burlands	The infrastructure is all wrong, the roads are far too narrow to take vehicles and care has to be taken because cars are parked nose to tail already, and before now I have had to pull in on someone's drive to allow big lorries (council carts, caravans etc) to pass by.	The council acknowledges the transport/access issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work.
Mr & Mrs Thornback			Langley Green & Burlands	We object to the proposed site above for the following reasons: - 1) Access is not suitable via Rushetts Road, Stafford Road and Langley Walk – far too congested. 2) Langley Walk is a beautiful road with woodland – this would be totally ruined.	The council acknowledges the transport/access issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work.
Mrs E Macey			Langley Green & Burlands	I am writing to object to the proposed erection of houses and a travellers site on land between Langley Walk and Burlands. Langley Walk is a narrow road and would become impassable if lorries were constantly moving up and down and thus causing great inconvenience to residents trying to get in and out of the road. Also there is the question of what happens to all the trees that would have to be removed. There is a high risk of constant flooding on one side and at times becomes impassable.	The council acknowledges the transport/access issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. The site does not fall within functional floodplain and whilst drainage issues may be an issue in this location, its location would not prevent it being identified for housing on the basis of fluvial flooding.
Mrs J Woodings			Langley Green & Burlands	Re travellers site and additional housing off Langley Walk, this should not go ahead as 1) The road would not cope with the extra traffic. 2) It is an area of natural beauty and wildlife. 3) The footpaths would have to be resisted to allow public access. 4) The local roads would not be able to cope with extra traffic and also heavy vehicles. 5) The river Mole already floods when we have periods of heavy rain. 6) Building more houses would cause more flooding and drains would not be able to cope. 7) There is already a long wait for a doctors appointment at Langley Green, more people in this area will increase the wait. 8) People use the area as a short cut to the Industrial Estate.	The council acknowledges the transport/access issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. The site does not fall within functional floodplain (River Mole) and whilst drainage issues may be an issue in this location, its location would not prevent it being identified for housing on the basis of fluvial flooding. The area outside the built up area boundary is the rural/urban fringe where proposals must meet the criteria of policy CH9 which supports proposals which respect the character and role of the area. Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
				A cemetery where the proposed housing would be a better option (though not ideal) as the traffic would not be so heavy and there would be less disruption to the wildlife.	biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.
Mr & Mrs W F Chalk			Langley Green & Burlands	I am writing to object to houses being built in Langley Walk as it will cause lots of noise and so much more traffic in a little road. I am also against a gypsy site as that will cause trouble with caravans coming and going all the time. Our road is not wide enough for all this. ... Give us a break now; some of us are too old to cope with all this change.	The council acknowledges the transport/access issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. The site does not fall within functional floodplain (River Mole) and whilst drainage issues may be an issue in this location, its location would not prevent it being identified for housing on the basis of fluvial flooding.
Mr & Mrs AR & HT Frith			Langley Walk & Burlands	I would of course prefer the rear of Burlands not to change.	Comments noted
Mr & Mrs AR & HT Frith			Langley Walk & Burlands	I accept you are required to provide housing and I would not object to a development as indicated for new housing.	Comments noted
Mr & Mrs AR & HT Frith			Langley Walk & Burlands	I trust you will take into account the airport possible development which would affect Burlands to some extend. I have no objection to an airport development.	Comments noted
Mr & Mrs AR & HT Frith			Langley Walk & Burlands	My main objection would be the possible provision of gypsy and Traveller provision. This I would object to with vigor. However, if travellers were rehoused appropriately I would not object.	Comments noted
Mrs Audrey Lindo			Langley Walk & Burlands	I refer to the above proposal relating to Langley Walk and Burlands and would like to lodge my concerns and objections regarding your proposal as a householder living on Langley Walk as follows:- • Langley Walk is an extremely beautiful residential road situated in a rural setting. The woodland is currently used by walkers and local residents who enjoy the wildlife, tranquillity and pleasure that the animals and surroundings afford. • Wildlife and natural habitats would be lost and in some cases totally eradicated	The council acknowledges the transport/access issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. The site does not fall within functional floodplain (River Mole) and whilst drainage issues may be an issue in this location, its location would not prevent it being identified for housing on the basis of fluvial flooding. The development of Gatwick Airport will be carefully considered in the identification

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Introduction of additional housing and traveller provision would significantly increase traffic on a road that is already narrow in terms of access; making it unsuitable for egress and access of cars towing caravans and other large vehicles. • Removal of the surrounding trees and shrubs will expose and subject the residents to greater noise and pollution for a substantial amount of time affecting their enjoyment to family life and home. • Further development would undoubtedly over populate the Walk resulting in a negative experience for the residents. <p>I understand that no decision has as yet been made in relation to the new runway at Gatwick Airport, which will undoubtedly have an effect on the residents of Langley Walk, in terms of noise and disruption. Therefore I cannot see how the Council can effectively decide upon a forward plan when such a fundamental question remains unaddressed.</p>	<p>of sites to ensure that the Council does not seek to promote any sites within areas which may be required for the development of a second runway at the airport.</p> <p>The area outside the built up area boundary is the rural/urban fringe where proposals must meet the criteria of policy CH9 which supports proposals which respect the character and role of the area.</p> <p>Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.</p>
Mrs Audrey Lindo			Langley Walk & Burlands	<p>In my opinion the area should be protected and preserved as local green space, thereby promoting and protecting the environment for Crawley residents.</p>	<p>Comments noted. Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.</p>

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
					<p>The area outside the built up area boundary is the rural/urban fringe where proposals must meet the criteria of policy CH9 which supports proposals which respect the character and role of the area.</p> <p>Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.</p>
Mr & Mrs Pay & Bill Chalk			Langley Walk & Burlands	Regarding houses. For one thing our road is not that wide, also the parking is awful. We have people from Stafford Road parking in our road which causes trouble. More traffic and noise will not be nice.	Comments noted
Mr & Mrs Pay & Bill Chalk			Langley Walk & Burlands	<p>There are elderly people living in Langley Walk us being two of them and we want peace and quiet in our lives. Although we live at the bottom of the road all this will affect us.</p> <p>Houses and gypsies. I have to say we have had no information from the council but we talk to our neighbours and that's how we found out about your proposals. We really hope you look at all this again and see how wrong it will be. We have lived here 44years and been happy but I think this will all change.</p>	Comments noted
Cllrs Chris & Susan Mullins & Mullins			Langley Walk & Burlands	I would like object to the proposal to build housing on land, adjacent to Langley Walk, for the following reasons:	See response below
Cllrs Chris & Susan Mullins & Mullins			Langley Walk & Burlands	Langley Walk is a very narrow road, lined with large mature trees and all the approach roads serving this area are basically down to a single track, the majority of the time, due to the high volume of residents cars parked on the roads, throughout the area. There are already major problems experienced at peak travelling times and when service vehicles, repair vans, delivery lorries, etc. need to access the area. To then have to experience the noise, disruption and pollution from the major construction works, as well as the high volume of	Comment noted. Adequate arrangements for construction vehicles would need to be considered at the time of a planning application to ensure disruption to residents were minimised.

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
				constructors HG vehicles and plant, necessary to develop the site, over many months, will make life quite intolerable for all the residents in the local area, as well as having implications for health and safety and access by the local emergency services.	
Cllrs Chris & Susan Mullins & Mullins			Langley Walk & Burlands	There will be a high impact on the local infrastructure due to the increased need and demand for electricity, gas, water, sewage, communications and waste management required to provide this site, which will lead to prolonged and extensive road works in the area.	The Borough Council liaises with the infrastructure providers to ensure that they are aware of the development planned in Crawley. Also developers have responsibilities to connect to the networks.
Cllrs Chris & Susan Mullins & Mullins			Langley Walk & Burlands	This locality already suffers to a certain extent from noise and air pollution from the adjacent runway at Gatwick Airport, partly screened by mature trees and shrubbery, in place at the moment. There are proposals, current at the present time, to extend the airport and provide a further runway in the near future. Given the specific controls on noise pollution and new build, why is it even being considered appropriate to develop this site for this purpose?	The Borough Council has to take into account the land that is safeguarded for a second runway in the event that it is needed. The sites being allocated in the plan take into account the potential noise impact of a second runway.
Cllrs Chris & Susan Mullins & Mullins			Langley Walk & Burlands	This is a quiet, semi-rural area on the edge of town, one of the few remaining 'green' parts of Crawley, it is also undeveloped land outside the building area. We can ill afford to lose our last remaining natural resources, with regard to trees, plant, animal and insect life and other natural habitats, from this town. Ancient woodland, such as this virgin site, needs to be preserved for the benefit of our future generations, not bulldozed, mature trees destroyed, all animal, bird and insect life annihilated and then concreted over.	<p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level</p>

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
					<p>of protection.</p> <p>The area outside the built up area boundary is the rural/urban fringe where proposals must meet the criteria of policy CH9 which supports proposals which respect the character and role of the area.</p> <p>Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.</p>
Cllrs Chris & Susan Mullins & Mullins			Langley Walk & Burlands	The majority of the local residents have lived here for many years and chose to move into this area because of its peaceful green nature. It is not acceptable to completely change the ambience of this area for such a purpose.	Comments noted
Cllrs Chris & Susan Mullins & Mullins			Langley Walk & Burlands	We recognise the need to build more homes for our growing population in Crawley and understand the pressure to find suitable sites within Crawley's borders, this is simply not the right place. We are not alone in our objections, but have been contacted over and over again by our neighbours and local residents, looking for help, support and advice in objecting to this proposal.	Comments noted
Mrs Kara Bonner			Langley Walk & Burlands	<p>Langley Walk Access/Roads</p> <p>The road infrastructure is not capable of handling any further traffic. As it stands at the moment, the road is always full of potholes and in general disrepair with no repairs being completed on a regular basis. With the additional traffic flow that extra housing would cause, the road state would become a continuous problem. The road is extremely narrow with cars parked on one side of the road. There is only pavement on one side for pedestrians. The road is lined with Oak trees which we believe are to be preserved.</p> <p>With more housing, comes more cars and in turn more speed, therefore causing a higher risk of accidents happening. Langley Walk has always been a safe area on the road, but I fear that this will change with the introduction of more traffic.</p> <p>This leads onto the danger to wild life. Having lived down Langley Walk we see much wildlife ie: foxes and</p>	The Council acknowledges the transport/access issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. The site does not fall within functional floodplain (River Mole) and whilst drainage issues may be an issue in this location, its location would not prevent it being identified for housing on the basis of fluvial flooding.

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
				<p>deer especially. Prior to the new housing being built at the end of Langley Walk I had never seen an animal killed on the road however since the new housing has been built I have seen 2x deer and 2x foxes killed. This in no way lay's blame towards the new residents but my guess is that this is due to the additional amount of traffic, as well as the speed involved therefore placing more wildlife at risk.</p> <p>The two lanes that would take up part of the proposed access for the new housing are also Public Footpaths. These are in continuous use by ramblers and dog walker's alike as well as the rest of the local community. These would need to be re-sited as the lanes are really not suitable for the additional traffic created.</p> <p>Access to the Site for Heavy Goods Vehicles while construction takes place would need to be via Langley Walk. The road itself is not sufficient for these types of vehicles.</p>	
Mrs Kara Bonner			Langley Walk & Burlands	<p>Environment</p> <p>The area is known for its beauty and woodland. Langley Walk has many ramblers that come and enjoy the trails as well as regular dog walkers. The surrounding area is also designated as one of natural beauty and a nature conservation area.</p> <p>The area is known for its vast wildlife ranging from Foxes, Deer and Rabbits to woodpeckers, nuthatches, green & gold finches and also bats. I believe bat's are a protected species and where possible should not be disturbed.</p>	<p>Comments noted. Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.</p> <p>The area outside the built up area boundary is</p>

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
					the rural/urban fringe where proposals must meet the criteria of policy CH9 which supports proposals which respect the character and role of the area. Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.
Mrs Kara Bonner			Langley Walk & Burlands	<p>Flooding The area is known for its flooding. What steps would need to be taken to assure that any new dwelling's do not continuously flood especially as the weather pattern in this country seems to be worsening. Some area's can become impassable?</p> <p>There is also the issue of water runoff. The area around the current properties are ditched and although this works well most of the time with the water making its way to the brook over at Willoughby fields, flooding does still occur. Any new properties with driveways and roofs etc will cause additional run off and therefore the flooding will become even worse.</p>	The site does not fall within functional floodplain (River Mole) and whilst drainage issues may be an issue in this location, its location would not prevent it being identified for housing on the basis of fluvial flooding. Surface runoff would be considered as part of any housing development.
Mrs Kara Bonner			Langley Walk & Burlands	<p>Noise/Pollution If the proposal goes ahead, the length of time that this will take will have a huge knock on effect to local residents. The area will become overdeveloped, noise and debris will rise.</p> <p>Gatwick's 2nd runway has also been on the cards for some time. If this was to occur, would this new site even still be a viable project? There would also be a reduction of trees in the area to accommodate the new site, this would mean that there is less of a block against noise at its current level, let alone if a 2nd runway is built.</p>	Comments noted
Mrs Kara Bonner			Langley Walk & Burlands	<p>Crime Langley Walk has always been a relatively crime free area. The introduction of additional housing will see the crime rate increase. More housing opens up more opportunities for thieves and therefore additional cost would be involved as the area would require additional policing.</p>	This comment does not raise any substantive planning issues

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
Mrs Kara Bonner			Langley Walk & Burlands	Education Langley Green Primary School is currently only running as Satisfactory with Ofsted. With the increase of Housing comes the increase of children who require schooling. If the school is struggling to meet its standards now, how will it cope with more residents and attending pupils. The school does an outstanding job with the resources available to them. It's is a multi ethnic school, so already has many obstacles. With the additional housing would mean additional places required and therefore a reduction of standard is inevitable.	Comments noted.
Karen & Phil Smith			Langley Walk & Burlands	With regards the 'Housing' proposal, our objections are to any development on the said council land due to adverse changes to the character and appearance of the area and the loss of important features such as trees and landscaping (Policy BN10 Areas of Special Environmental Quality). This policy including others (GD1, GD2, GD7, H19) and Supplementary Planning Guidance Notes SPGN5 & SPGN6 have all been quoted to us as grounds of refusal on past planning permission applications on our property (7 Langley Walk). In conclusion to why at that time our planning application was refused, the council stated; "By virtue of its {extension} close relationship to the trees in the neighbouring site {said land} to the north it would adversely affect the health and amenity of these trees and would result in future pressure for works to the trees which would be detrimental to the visual amenities of the street scene and the character of the area, contrary in particular with policies GD1, GD2, GD7, H19 and BN10 of the Crawley Borough Local Plan 2000 and SPG5- Residential Extensions and SPG6- Trees". The council itself have used most of the mentioned policies and Supplementary Planning Guidance Notes prolifically for protecting the said land and now want to develop on it?	Comments noted. At the time that planning permission was refused for your site, the council was not considering the land at Langley Walk and Burlands for housing development, however, the constrained nature of housing land supply, means that all possible sites will need to be considered as a means of accommodating the Borough's demographic housing needs. However, this does not necessarily mean that every site will be considered suitable and development will only proceed where the development can be justified in terms of planning policy. The area outside the built up area boundary is the rural/urban fringe where proposals must meet the criteria of policy CH9 which supports proposals which respect the character and role of the area.
Karen & Phil Smith			Langley Walk & Burlands	We do not want to come across simply as a disgruntled home owner due to refusal of planning permission. Indeed we did get planning permission eventually, not	Comments noted. Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
				exactly how we had originally intended but on strict guidelines from the council so as not to impact on the (said) land on which * the trees are considered to have considerable amenity value, but are not protected by a TPO as they are on land owned by CBC. * (wording taken from our refusal notice).	biodiversity and provides net gains where possible. See Tree policy CH6: New development should retain individual specimens or groups of trees that make a positive contribution to visual amenity. Additional tree planting will also be required to mitigate the visual impact of the intensification of buildings, hard surfacing parking and boundary treatments.
Karen & Phil Smith			Langley Walk & Burlands	We are grateful that we now live comfortably in our house and can stay in our beautiful woody Langley Walk. Since our extension has been built the council have tended to a couple of dead sycamore trees in the said land that were dangerously close to our property.	Comment noted.
Karen & Phil Smith			Langley Walk & Burlands	The land proposed for housing has an abundance of wildlife. Being our neighbour we see the land and its wildlife in all its glory... numerous wild birds especially, Jays, Woodpeckers and Owls (fantastic to listen to at night). In the summer we sit and watch the bats flying overhead. Deer, rabbit and fox families have their homes on the land. The wildflowers, plants and trees on the land form a vital part of the roads unique character and identity and as the council itself states: Trees provide an essential role in terms of nature conservation and contributing to visual amenity (CBC: SPGN6: Trees). We feel really privileged to have this beautiful countryside on our doorstep. The said land is a great (positive) concern to the council for the wellbeing of the scenery as told to us on numerous occasions, so we can not comprehend the council's proposal.	The area outside the built up area boundary is the rural/urban fringe where proposals must meet the criteria of policy CH9 which supports proposals which respect the character and role of the area. Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible. See Tree policy CH6: New development should retain individual specimens or groups of trees that make a positive contribution to visual amenity. Additional tree planting will also be required to mitigate the visual impact of the intensification of buildings, hard surfacing parking and boundary treatments
Karen & Phil Smith			Langley Walk & Burlands	We do have great concerns over privacy to our property, the said land being adjacent. Even now the situation is causing great stress and anxiety to all my family.	Comment noted. Any housing development would need to consider the impact of additional housing on the amenity of adjoining residents in terms of loss of outlook and amenity.
	Langley Green Forum Minutes		Langley Walk & Burlands	• I was refused building insurance in Langley Walk due to the flooding issues surely this is a valid objection, also will trees be removed as they are a natural sound barrier?	The site does not fall within functional floodplain (River Mole) and whilst drainage issues may be an issue in this location, this would not prevent it being identified for housing on the basis of

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
					<p>fluvial flooding. The development of Gatwick will be carefully considered to ensure that the Council does not seek to promote any sites within areas which may be required for the development of a second runway at the airport.</p> <p>See Tree policy CH6: New development should retain individual specimens or groups of trees that make a positive contribution to visual amenity. Additional tree planting will also be required to mitigate the visual impact of the intensification of buildings, hard surfacing parking and boundary treatments.</p>
Mr Ishtiaq Ahmed			Langley Walk & Burlands	<p>I am writing to air my strong concerns regarding the future planning for the land adjacent to the homes on Langley Walk. As residents of this vibrant street, my family and I heavily object to the proposed plans. The mere fact that us as homeowners on this street were not included when these plans were being discussed suggests that you as the Council are aware of the subsequent hostility that would follow and rightly so.</p>	<p>Comments noted</p> <p>This consultation has been widely advertised in the local media and online. Local newspapers publicised the consultation and the council issued press releases, and numerous social media updates. Further to this the consultation was in the local magazine, Crawley Live, which is circulated to all properties within the borough.</p> <p>Each site included in the consultation that had a proposed allocation had site notices placed on the site boundary in visible locations. Further to this, all properties immediately adjacent to the allocations received site specific letters notifying them of the proposals, the consultation, and how they can respond.</p> <p>The council took all reasonable measures to ensure everyone was aware of the consultation and had the opportunity to participate and let us know what they thought.</p>
Mr Ishtiaq Ahmed			Langley Walk & Burlands	<p>To begin, have you considered the uniqueness of Langley Walk? Our street boasts a scenic view that we certainly do not take for granted. There are not many areas of Crawley that have such a beautiful landscape and it is upsetting to know that the future of this, like many other natural areas, will fall victim to housing associations. Are you also aware of the wildlife within this area? There are many mammals living within the</p>	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>The area outside the built up area boundary is the rural/urban fringe where proposals must meet the criteria of policy CH9 which supports proposals which respect the character and role of the area.</p> <p>Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that</p>

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
				depths of the woods and I'd like to know how far you have considered this whilst making your plans?	development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.
Mr Ishtiaq Ahmed			Langley Walk & Burlands	Furthermore, the plans will mean the inevitable widening of the road into the street which will further cut into patches of land in front of each house. This will cause huge inconvenience for us residents whilst all of this will be under construction. There are already many car owners on this street and so this will have an adverse effect on parking; not to mention the tight turns when entering and exiting the street. This will also no doubt affect the neighbouring streets, with the traffic and noise pollution.	Comments noted
Mr Ishtiaq Ahmed			Langley Walk & Burlands	This leads me to another serious concern. The woodland area cancels the noise pollution that would otherwise be caused by the runways on Gatwick Airport. Furthermore, the plans for the new runway are not finalised which means we are not yet aware of where this will be built. If it is any closer to where we are, I shudder to think of the disturbance this will cause.	Comments noted. The impact of noise pollution on residents will be carefully considered in particular the level of aircraft noise which would be experienced by future residents of this development.
Mr Ishtiaq Ahmed			Langley Walk & Burlands	I sincerely hope that our concerns as residents will be seriously considered. It is unfair to cause such grievances and I can only hope that you take the time to consider the factors that quite clearly have been dismissed. I appreciate that your role consists of providing more opportunities to house people but it is unacceptable that you do so at the expense of others.	Comments noted. The council has to balance the need for additional housing development to meet the Borough's demographic needs for housing with the protection of the most valuable areas of open space .
M Cowles			Langley Walk & Burlands	I live in Langley Walk (no.22) and work as an airline pilot – captain. As many folk are quick to remind me – I suppose I could afford to live elsewhere than on a housing estate – but I choose this so as to be able to support my ageing parents, who live in Stafford Road. I chose Langley Walk because a) I'm near them but also because my job can be stressful and involve shift work. Langley Walk is almost unique for a town road – with green spaces, trees, deer and other wildlife. It is used for horse walking/gallops etc.	Comments noted. The council acknowledges the transport/access issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. The site does not fall within functional floodplain (River Mole) and whilst drainage issues may be an issue in this location, its location would not prevent it being identified for housing on the basis of flooding.

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
				<p>I am strongly opposed to both the portended building development for housing and the Travellers site. There surely must be somewhere else where the impact will not be so noticeable!</p> <p>Does this proposal mean that the issue of Gatwick's second runway is now resolved??</p> <p>Certainly, in the few years I've been here, traffic has increased several fold and the road geometry as it stands on the approaches to Langley Walk couldn't take any more – particularly Travellers who tend to use vans and tow vehicles as well!</p> <p>And, my first year here I was twice refused buildings insurance because of proximity to Mole River. So how would a housing development much nearer fair?</p>	
S Zambuni			Langley Walk & Burlands	<p>I am writing to say what I consider to be the main problems in the case of the proposed housing and Travellers sites. These are - access to the local area - flooding and excessive noise from Gatwick Airport. Access on all the roads in this area is a massive problem as there is heavy congestion due to the roads being so narrow and the large number of cars parked on them at any one time particularly weekends and evenings.</p> <p>The drainage in the fields North of Langley Walk has always been very bad as the soil is clay land and drains very badly. In the winter these fields are always flooded and at times can be impassable. This would be particularly unpleasant on the travellers' site as this also would be prone to flooding. This flooding is only likely to get worse in the event of an additional runway at Gatwick as there would be a huge area of new concrete and the run-off from this could affect the site.</p>	The council acknowledges the transport/access issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. The site does not fall within functional floodplain (River Mole) and whilst drainage issues may be an issue in this location, its location would not prevent it being identified for housing on the basis of flooding. The impact of noise pollution on residents will be carefully considered in particular the level of aircraft noise which would be experienced by future residents of this development.
Jo Mulville			Langley Walk & Burlands	I am writing to register my objection to the proposed development opposite my house in Langley Walk. My objections to this proposal are as follows:	-
Jo Mulville			Langley Walk & Burlands	Langley Walk is a beautiful road, with many trees and bushes and open fields on one side. These trees are home to many different species of birds including woodpeckers, which are increasingly uncommon these days. The fields are home to many deer, which often	Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible. See Tree policy CH6: New development should

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
				venture into the street in the early morning. The trees also shield the houses from a lot of the noise associated with nearby Gatwick Airport.	retain individual specimens or groups of trees that make a positive contribution to visual amenity. Additional tree planting will also be required to mitigate the visual impact of the intensification of buildings, hard surfacing parking and boundary treatments.
Jo Mulville			Langley Walk & Burlands	The road itself is not a wide road, and is busy most of me tune as it is used constantly by traffic cutting through from Martyrs Avenue to get to lfield and Bonnetts Lane. Any extra traffic would make it even more difficult to get out of our drives and be more dangerous for the young children who live here. The road is also used a great deal to exercise horses from the stables at the Cherry Lane end of the road, and more traffic would increase the danger to them and their young riders.	Comments noted. The council acknowledges the transport/access issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing.
Jo Mulville			Langley Walk & Burlands	The plan for the new runway to be built at Gatwick has yet to be finalised, so it would seem inappropriate to build more houses in the area, when we have been warned that our road will be affected by two ofthe plans up for consideration.	Comment noted, the council will ensure that the future expansion of the airport in terms of additional land take and associated noise contours are factored into the assessment of future housing sites to ensure that sites are identified in appropriate locations without detriment to the residents of new development.
Jo Mulville			Langley Walk & Burlands	I trust that a meeting for all the local people affected by this proposal is being arranged to enable us to make our objections in person.	<p>The consultation was widely advertised in the local media and online. Local newspapers publicised the consultation and the council issued press releases, and numerous social media updates. Further to this the consultation was in the local magazine, Crawley Live, which is circulated to all properties within the borough.</p> <p>Each site included in the consultation that had a proposed allocation had site notices placed on the site boundary in visible locations. Further to this, all properties immediately adjacent to the allocations received site specific letters notifying them of the proposals, the consultation, and how they can respond.</p> <p>The council took all reasonable measures to ensure everyone was aware of the consultation</p>

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
					and had the opportunity to participate and let us know what they thought. Officers attended the Langley Green Neighbourhood Forum to inform residents about the consultation and answer any queries residents may have had.
Andrew Sander			Langley Walk & Burlands	I would like to start by clearly identifying my thoughts on the proposal. Housing Development: Strongly oppose	Comments noted
Andrew Sander			Langley Walk & Burlands	Moreover, the traffic increase through the surrounding roads would make them more dangerous. We regularly have horses travelling to and from the stables at the end of the road, which the residents of the area enjoy seeing. The increased traffic will make these situations dangerous.	Comments noted. The council acknowledges the transport/access issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing.
Andrew Sander			Langley Walk & Burlands	Further, there is an abundance of wildlife in the area that the site is proposed to be built on. The wildlife includes Deer, rare birds and Pipistrelle Bats (which are protected under the wildlife and countryside act 1981). The natural habitat of these animals will be disturbed beyond restoration. Furthermore, any disruption to the trees, which line the road, will increase noise pollution enormously. With talks of a second runway at Gatwick airport, the importance of these trees would be intensified, providing essential sound deadening.	The area outside the built up area boundary is the rural/urban fringe where proposals must meet the criteria of policy CH9 which supports proposals which respect the character and role of the area. Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible. See Tree policy CH6: New development should retain individual specimens or groups of trees that make a positive contribution to visual amenity. Additional tree planting will also be required to mitigate the visual impact of the intensification of buildings, hard surfacing parking and boundary treatments.
Andrew Sander			Langley Walk & Burlands	It is of my opinion that the Broadfield Kennels location would be far better suited for the Gypsy/ Travellers. This site is easily accessible from a large road system that will effortlessly handle the increase in traffic and provide a wide road for the anticipated vehicles.	Comments noted
Andrew Sander			Langley Walk & Burlands	After talking to some of the residence of the surrounding area a compromise could be made. If	Comments noted

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
				development work were completely necessary in the area north of Langley Walk, We would consider the possibility of a cemetery. This would be much more in keeping with the peaceful and picturesque scenery that we currently adore.	
Mrs I Lovette			Langley Walk & Burlands	Access to/from Langley Walk will be problematic as the road is not capable of taking any more traffic. Parking is on one side of the road and vehicles have to make their way in and out of drive-ways to proceed down the single lane road. Langley Walk is very narrow and only has pavement on one side.	Comments noted. The council acknowledges the transport/access issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing.
Mrs I Lovette			Langley Walk & Burlands	There are two public footpaths that will be affected by the proposed development which will need to be re-sited and re-graded. As these are well used by the community they would be unsuitable for traffic.	Comments noted
Mrs I Lovette			Langley Walk & Burlands	Langley Walk is totally unsuitable for the heavy vehicles which will be used to access the site whilst construction takes place.	Comments noted. The council acknowledges the transport/access issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing.
Mrs I Lovette			Langley Walk & Burlands	Environment The area is an ancient historic woodland and the surrounding area is designated as one of outstanding natural beauty and a nature conservation area. Numerous birds and wildlife have been photographed in this area i.e. woodpeckers, nuthatches, green and goldfinches. Also deer and rabbits are frequently seen and it is also rumoured that there are bats within the area.	These designations do not apply to the Langley Walk Site.
Mrs I Lovette			Langley Walk & Burlands	Flooding There is a high risk of constant flooding in the area.	Comments noted. The site does not fall within functional floodplain (River Mole) and whilst drainage issues may be an issue in this location, its location would not prevent it being identified for housing on the basis of flooding.

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
Mrs I Lovette			Langley Walk & Burlands	Water runoff New properties with drives and roofs will increase the run off in large quantities. All adding to flooding problems. Clean water and sewerage would need to be increased to accommodate the proposed extra housing.	Comments noted. The site does not fall within functional floodplain (River Mole) and whilst drainage issues may be an issue in this location, its location would not prevent it being identified for housing on the basis of flooding. Surface runoff would be considered as part of any housing development.
Mrs I Lovette			Langley Walk & Burlands	Infrastructure There will need to be a huge new infrastructure and the costs would be prohibitive. Without new infrastructure the area will be overdeveloped and a burden placed on residents and the community.	Comments noted
Mrs I Lovette			Langley Walk & Burlands	With the proposed site being so close to current housing, noise, debris etc. from the proposed construction site will blight the area and also cause problems for the community.	Comments noted. The impact of the development of any site on adjoining residents in terms of construction work, noise etc would be carefully considered.
Mrs I Lovette			Langley Walk & Burlands	Gatwick Airport If the 2"d runway goes ahead the proposed housing site will be subject to noise and pollution.	Comments noted, the council will ensure that the future expansion of the airport in terms of additional land take and associated noise contours are factored into the assessment of future housing sites to ensure that sites are identified in appropriate locations without detriment to the residents of new development.
Mrs I Lovette			Langley Walk & Burlands	Air Quality There will be possible health issues due to discharge from aircraft already evident that will need to be dealt with.	Comments as above
Mr B Lovette			Langley Walk & Burlands	Access to/from Langley Walk will be problematic as the road is not capable of taking any more traffic. Parking is on one side of the road and vehicles have to make their way in and out of drive-ways to proceed down the single lane road. Langley Walk is very narrow and only has pavement on one side.	Comments noted. The council acknowledges the transport/access issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing.
Mr B Lovette			Langley Walk & Burlands	There are two public footpaths that will be affected by the proposed development which will need to be re-sited and re-graded. As these are well used by the	Comments noted

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
				community they would be unsuitable for traffic.	
Mr B Lovette			Langley Walk & Burlands	Langley Walk is totally unsuitable for the heavy vehicles which will be used to access the site whilst construction takes place.	Comments noted. The council acknowledges the transport/access issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing.
Mr B Lovette			Langley Walk & Burlands	Environment The area is an ancient historic woodland and the surrounding area is designated as one of outstanding natural beauty and a nature conservation area. Numerous birds and wildlife have been photographed in this area i.e. woodpeckers, nuthatches, green and goldfinches. Also deer and rabbits are frequently seen and it is also rumoured that there are bats within the area.	These designations do not apply to the Langley Walk Site.
Mr B Lovette			Langley Walk & Burlands	Flooding There is a high risk of constant flooding in the area.	Comments noted. The site does not fall within functional floodplain (River Mole) and whilst drainage issues may be an issue in this location, its location would not prevent it being identified for housing on the basis of flooding. Surface runoff would be considered as part of any housing development.
Mr B Lovette			Langley Walk & Burlands	Water runoff New properties with drives and roofs will increase the run off in large quantities. All adding to flooding problems. Clean water and sewerage would need to be increased to accommodate the proposed extra housing.	Comments noted. The site does not fall within functional floodplain (River Mole) and whilst drainage issues may be an issue in this location, its location would not prevent it being identified for housing on the basis of flooding. Surface runoff would be considered as part of any housing development.
Mr B Lovette			Langley Walk & Burlands	Infrastructure There will need to be a huge new infrastructure and the costs would be prohibitive. Without new infrastructure the area will be overdeveloped and a burden placed on residents and the community.	The Borough Council liaises with the infrastructure providers to ensure that they are aware of the development planned within the town so that they can take into account their own investment plans.
Mr John Sander			Langley Walk &	HOUSING ADJACENT TO LANGLEY WALK:	Comments noted

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
			Burlands	STRONGLY OPPOSE	
Mr John Sander			Langley Walk & Burlands	There will be an increased risk of flooding if more of the land is built on. The trees along the length of the road currently block noise from the busy airport, if more trees are removed this will increase noise pollution.	Comments noted
Mr John Sander			Langley Walk & Burlands	There are a number of animals that live in the proposed site. These include foxes, deer, rabbits and bats. Bats are a protected species and their roost should not be disturbed (see wildlife and countryside act 1981). Please see http://willoughbyfields.yolasite.com/wildlifegallery.php for evidence of the animals in the area.	Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.
Mr David Hughes			Langley Walk & Burlands	The area has beautiful trees, a wealth of green shrubs and hedges. There are woodpeckers, nuthatches, green and goldfinches. Deer and rabbits are in the area in abundance. Also it is rumoured that there are bats within the area.	Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.
Mr David Hughes			Langley Walk & Burlands	The area is largely uncultivated, which is just right for wildlife. This would be wiped out as soon as the earthmovers come in.	Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.
Mr David Hughes			Langley Walk & Burlands	The roads are too narrow for any more traffic, wing mirrors are swiped regularly.	Comments noted. The council acknowledges the transport/access issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing.
Mr David Hughes			Langley Walk & Burlands	The access to this road is restricted at either end with tight turns, totally unsuited for more cars/vans.	Comments noted. The council acknowledges the transport/access issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing.

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
Mr David Hughes			Langley Walk & Burlands	We do not know where the new runway at Gatwick Airport will be yet, so how can the council decide a forward plan?	Comments noted, the council will ensure that the future expansion of the airport in terms of additional land take and associated noise contours are factored into the assessment of future housing sites to ensure that sites are identified in appropriate locations without detriment to the residents of new development.
Mr David Hughes			Langley Walk & Burlands	If they take trees and shrubs away we will be subject to further dreadful noise because tall trees shield us from the noise and pollution.	Comments noted
Mrs M Smith			Langley Walk & Burlands	Our road is too small for all the present traffic with the new estate you have built backing onto Juniper Road yet alone for heavy lorries and caravans. The fire brigade would also have difficulty getting through.	Comments noted. The council acknowledges the transport/access issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing.
Mrs M Smith			Langley Walk & Burlands	There are insufficient school places for our expanding community and our services are already stretched to the limit as is our hospital which is struggling to cope at present (East Surrey).	The council liaises with infrastructure providers so that they are aware of the level of development planned in the area.
Mrs M Smith			Langley Walk & Burlands	Access from Langley Walk, and surrounding roads. The road infrastructure is not capable of taking any more traffic. Currently parking is on one side of each road and vehicles make their way in and out to proceed down the road, The passing in the street ensure that the road is single lane. Langley Walk in particular is very narrow without pavement on one side.	Comments noted. The council acknowledges the transport/access issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing.
Mrs M Smith			Langley Walk & Burlands	The two lanes leading into the proposed area are public footpaths this would need to be re-sited and re-graded as they are in continued use by the community and totally unsuitable for traffic.	Comments noted. The council acknowledges the transport/access issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing.
Mrs M Smith			Langley Walk &	Access to the site whilst construction takes place will be	Comments noted, the council acknowledges

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
			Burlands	via by Langley Walk and this is totally unsuitable for heavy vehicles..	the transport/access issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing.
Mrs M Smith			Langley Walk & Burlands	Environment The area also is ancient historic woodland and the surrounding area is also designated as one of outstanding natural beauty and a nature conservation area	These designations do not apply to the Langley Walk Site.
Mrs M Smith			Langley Walk & Burlands	Flooding -It should also be noted that there is a high risk of constant flooding in the area and at times becomes impassable	Comments noted. The site does not fall within functional floodplain (River Mole) and whilst drainage issues may be an issue in this location, its location would not prevent it being identified for housing on the basis of flooding. Surface runoff would be considered as part of any housing development.
Mrs M Smith			Langley Walk & Burlands	The water runoff around the properties in the area is ditched and although these work their way to the brook over at Willoughby Filds flooding still occurs. New properties' with drives and roofs etc will increase the run off in large quantities. All adding to flooding problems. Clean water rains and sewerage would need to be increased to accommodate the extra housing.	Comments noted. The site does not fall within functional floodplain (River Mole) and whilst drainage issues may be an issue in this location, its location would not prevent it being identified for housing on the basis of flooding. Surface runoff would be considered as part of any housing development.
Mrs M Smith			Langley Walk & Burlands	Infrastructure With any new housing development there needs to be a huge new infrastructure and we believe that costs associated with the developments will be prohibitive but with out new infrastructure the area will be overdeveloped and an intolerable burden placed on residents and the community.	The Borough Council liaises with the infrastructure providers to ensure that they are aware of the development planned in the town. Some of the responsibilities for new infrastructure will also be considered by potential developers.
Mrs M Smith			Langley Walk & Burlands	Due to the site being so close to the current housing noise debris etc. from the construction site will blight the area and also cause problems for the community.	Comments noted
Mrs M Smith			Langley Walk & Burlands	Gatwick Airport If the airport 2 nd runway goes ahead the new housing site will be blighted through noise pollution	Comments noted, the council will ensure that the future expansion of the airport in terms of additional land take and associated noise contours are factored into the assessment of

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
					future housing sites to ensure that sites are identified in appropriate locations without detriment to the residents of new development.
Mrs M Smith			Langley Walk & Burlands	Air Quality Possible health issues due to kerosene discharge from aircraft already evident by oil on surface of ponds and water courses.	Comments noted, the council will ensure that the future expansion of the airport in terms of additional land take and associated noise contours are factored into the assessment of future housing sites to ensure that sites are identified in appropriate locations without detriment to the residents of new development.
Mrs AJ Lindo			Langley Walk & Burlands	Such a site would create unwanted noise, disorder, disruption and unrest to those living on Langley Walk. The people currently living here have chosen to do so, and the majority own their own homes. The proposal would undoubtedly devalue property prices, increase extra traffic, for which this road is unsuitable and spoil the enjoyment and social environment for those already living here.	Comments noted. The council acknowledges the transport/access issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing.
Mrs AJ Lindo			Langley Walk & Burlands	I feel that the proposals for both a travellers' site and housing are far too great for such a small area. Debris from construction, air quality, flooding and prolonged disruption would affect those currently living on Langley Walk. Another point that should be considered is that such a site is unsuitable for a residential area such as this, and too close to existing properties.	Comments noted
Mrs AJ Lindo			Langley Walk & Burlands	Alternative sites that are far more suitable should be considered.	Comments noted, the council is proactively working to identify as many sites as possible which are considered suitable for housing development, however, the Council will have to look proactively to bring forward sites which have significant physical constraints such as transport and flooding.
Mr & Mrs P G Wall			Langley Walk & Burlands	We are writing to you to object strongly to your latest proposal for the two developments in Langley Walk, Langley Green. Mainly on the grounds that these narrow roads have enough problems with the flow of traffic, and the parking as it is without adding more congestion. Also there is only one footpath on one side	Comments noted. The council acknowledges the transport/access issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
				of Langley walk, traffic already is a one way street, when the recycle or rubbish bins are being collected, and a further hold up when delivery vans or vehicles are arriving. We purchased our property in Langley Walk because of the beautiful trees, fields and Green open space, while being surrounded by allotments, horse fields and wildlife.	<p>identified for housing.</p> <p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.</p>
Mr & Mrs P G Wall			Langley Walk & Burlands	I agree more homes are needed to be built but I am sure there are plenty of other sites more suitable,	Comments noted, the council is proactively working to identify as many sites as possible which are considered suitable for housing development, however, the council will have to look proactively to bring forward sites which have significant physical constraints such as transport and flooding.
L Corsini			Langley Walk & Burlands	I consider the main problems with the proposed housing site and the travellers' site to be basically the same, i.e. access to the area, drainage (flooding) and excessive noise from Gatwick Airport.	Comments noted, the council is proactively working to identify as many sites as possible which are considered suitable for housing development, however, the council will have to look proactively to bring forward sites which have significant physical constraints such as transport and flooding.
L Corsini			Langley Walk & Burlands	Access on all the roads in this area is a huge problem as there is heavy congestion due to the narrowness of the roads and the many cars parked on them,	Comments noted. The council acknowledges the transport/access issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
				particularly at weekends and evenings.	these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing.
L Corsini			Langley Walk & Burlands	The drainage in the fields North of Langley Walk has always been very poor as the land consists of thick gooey clay and is very water retentive. Every winter and all winter, when there has been fairly heavy rainfall, all the fields are under several inches of water and are only passable wearing wellington boots. This would be particularly unpleasant on the travellers' site as presumably the areas around the hard- standings would remain earth or grass and therefore be liable to flood. This flooding is only likely to get worse in the event of an additional runway at Gatwick as this vast new area of concrete will require run-off and drainage and could affect the site.	Comments noted, the council is proactively working to identify as many sites as possible which are considered suitable for housing development, however, the council will have to look proactively to bring forward sites which have significant physical constraints such as transport and flooding.
Mr H Polkey			Langley Walk & Burlands	Access on all the roads in this area is a big problem as the roads are heavily congested with a large number of cars being parked them at any one time particularly weekends and evenings.	Comments noted. The council acknowledges the transport/access issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing.
Mr H Polkey			Langley Walk & Burlands	The drainage in the fields North of Langley Walk is very poor because of the clay soil which prevents good drainages. In the winter these fields are always flooded and often are impassable. This would also affect the travellers' site in the same area which would also be prone to flood. This flooding is only likely to get worse in the event of an additional runway at Gatwick as there would be a huge area of new concrete and the run-off from this could affect the site.	Comments noted. The council acknowledges the transport/access and flooding issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing.
Mr Wayne Bonner			Langley Walk & Burlands	Langley Walk Access/Roads The road infrastructure is not capable of handling any further traffic. As it stands at the moment, the road is always full of potholes and in general disrepair with no repairs being completed on a regular basis. With the additional traffic flow that extra housing would cause,	Comments noted. The council acknowledges the transport/access and flooding issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. This work would need to be

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
				<p>the road state would become a continuous problem. The road is extremely narrow with cars parked on one side of the road. There is only pavement on one side for pedestrians. The road is lined with Oak trees which we believe are to be preserved.</p> <p>With more housing, comes more cars and in turn more speed, therefore causing a higher risk of accidents happening. Langley Walk has always been a safe area on the road, but I fear that this will change with the introduction of more traffic.</p> <p>This leads onto the danger to wild life. Having lived down Langley Walk we see much wildlife ie: foxes and deer especially. Prior to the new housing being built at the end of Langley Walk I had never seen an animal killed on the road however since the new housing has been built I have seen 2x deer and 2x foxes killed. This in no way lays blame towards the new residents but my guess is that this is due to the additional amount of traffic, as well as the speed involved therefore placing more wildlife at risk.</p> <p>The two lanes that would take up part of the proposed access for the new housing are also Public Footpaths. These are in continuous use by ramblers and dog walkers alike as well as the rest of the local community. These would need to be re-sited as the lanes are really not suitable for the additional traffic created.</p> <p>Access to the Site for Heavy Goods Vehicles while construction takes place would need to be via Langley Walk. The road itself is not sufficient for these types of vehicles.</p>	undertaken before the site could be identified for housing.
Mr Wayne Bonner			Langley Walk & Burlands	<p>Environment</p> <p>The area is known for its beauty and woodland. Langley Walk has many ramblers that come and enjoy the trails as well as regular dog walkers. The surrounding area is also designated as one of natural beauty and a nature conservation area.</p> <p>The area is known for its vast wildlife ranging from Foxes, Deer and Rabbits to woodpeckers, nuthatches, green & gold finches and also bats. I believe bats are a protected species and where possible should not be disturbed.</p>	<p>Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.</p> <p>The area outside the built up area boundary is the rural/urban fringe where proposals must meet the criteria of policy CH9 which supports proposals which respect the character and role of the area.</p>

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
Mr Wayne Bonner			Langley Walk & Burlands	<p>Flooding</p> <p>The area is known for its flooding. What steps would need to be taken to assure that any new dwelling's do not continuously flood especially as the weather pattern in this country seems to be worsening. Some area's can become impassable?</p> <p>There is also the issue of water runoff. The area around the current properties are ditched and although this works well most of the time with the water making its way to the brook over at Willoughby fields, flooding does still occur. Any new properties with driveways and roofs etc will cause additional run off and therefore the flooding will become even worse.</p>	Comments noted. The council acknowledges the flooding issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing.
Mr Wayne Bonner			Langley Walk & Burlands	<p>Noise/Pollution</p> <p>If the proposal goes ahead, the length of time that this will take will have a huge knock on effect to local residents. The area will become overdeveloped, noise and debris will rise.</p> <p>Gatwick's 2nd runway has also been on the cards for some time. If this was to occur, would this new site even still be a viable project? There would also be a reduction of trees in the area to accommodate the new site, this would mean that there is less of a block against noise at its current level, let alone if a 2nd runway is built.</p>	Comments noted, the council will ensure that the future expansion of the airport in terms of additional land take and associated noise contours are factored into the assessment of future housing sites to ensure that sites are identified in appropriate locations without detriment to the residents of new development.
Mr Wayne Bonner			Langley Walk & Burlands	<p>Crime</p> <p>Langley Walk has always been a relatively crime free area. The introduction of additional housing will see the crime rate increase. More housing opens up more opportunities for thieves and therefore additional cost would be involved as the area would require additional policing.</p>	Comments noted
Mr Wayne Bonner			Langley Walk & Burlands	<p>Education</p> <p>Langley Green Primary School is currently only running as Satisfactory with Ofsted. With the increase of Housing comes the increase of children who require schooling. If the school is struggling to meet its standards now, how will it cope with more residents and attending pupils. The school does an outstanding job</p>	Comments noted. The council will ensure that the infrastructure needs associated with additional housing development including education are fully considered in order that educational pressures are adequately addressed.

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
				with the resources available to them. It's is a multi ethnic school, so already has many obstacles. With the additional housing would mean additional places required and therefore a reduction of standard is inevitable.	
Mr H Djaballah			Langley Walk & Burlands	I am writing to you today, in response to a proposed (Traveller site as well as Housing site) on Lngley walk. I would like to join the community in voicing concerns and anger, reason being is that our nature surroundings are on their way to destruction, and our town is being turned into concrete jungle, dirt and an overcrowded place to live in, this is not fair on the majority, I oppose to both plans, and I hope that our trusted local authority will not let this happen to us, as this will only create problems to affect us all in the end. I would like to take this opportunity to thank you for taking time to read this letter, and we trust in you to put an end to this outrageous proposals.	Comments noted
Caitlin Smith			Langley Walk & Burlands	I am writing to you because I am very upset at the proposal [Land adjacent Langley Walk and Burlands] that you are putting forward about the new houses being built up right outside my house. This will affect my family, but most of all my pet cat, Tinx. She spends most of her time wondering through the area designated for housing under your proposals. However, not only my cat will be affected, but also the foxes and other wildlife that can be spotted from my upstairs window. This lovely view will be replaced by brick houses. My favourite place to walk my dog is along my road, Fir Tree close and into the woods, because it is so calm and peaceful and I don't need to worry about to many cars coming down the road. This is also a great place to look for nature and watch horses and their riders. My road Langley Walk is perfect as it is, if this development went ahead there will be so much more noise and traffic and we will lose the current identity of Langley Walk. In conclusion I am devastated that you may make this decision of the proposed housing development on such a beautiful piece of land which is the home to an	Comments noted Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible. The area outside the built up area boundary is the rural/urban fringe where proposals must meet the criteria of policy CH9 which supports proposals which respect the character and role of the area.

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
				abundance of wildlife.	
Adam Jasko			langley walk & burlands	With regards to the housing, by cutting down all these tall trees you will not only be destroying the diverse wildlife that is already there but also getting rid of a barrier to noise and air pollution from the airport. This is all the more potent with the possibility of another runway whose location is yet to be determined. The new residents are unlikely to appreciate this and also potential flooding issues. In addition the strain placed on Langley Walk road may be unbearable. At the moment it is essentially a one way road and cannot accommodate any more traffic from new resident and large caravans. It will become congested.	<p>Comments noted. The council acknowledges the transport/access, noise and flooding issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing.</p> <p>Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.</p> <p>The area outside the built up area boundary is the rural/urban fringe where proposals must meet the criteria of policy CH9 which supports proposals which respect the character and role of the area.</p> <p>See Tree policy CH6: New development should retain individual specimens or groups of trees that make a positive contribution to visual amenity. Additional tree planting will also be required to mitigate the visual impact of the intensification of buildings, hard surfacing parking and boundary treatments.</p>
Mr AJ Pelling			Langley Walk & Burlands (& Gypsy Option)	I am vehemently opposed to any such development, and by extension also the proposed travellers site.	Comments noted
Mr AJ Pelling			Langley Walk & Burlands (& Gypsy Option)	My family bought the show-house here back in 1958 and after the blight of life in inner London, delighted with road with a listed building (the Grange), deer, foxes, owls, bats, etc... We still have these today	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.</p>
Mr AJ Pelling			Langley Walk & Burlands (& Gypsy Option)	I am bemused that building on the west side of the road is being considered when the issue of the second runway for Gatwick is not yet resolved. Back in the sixties, the glass vase, mirror & lounge window used to "sing" with the noise generated by the aircraft of the day.	Comments noted, the Council will ensure that the future expansion of the airport in terms of additional land take and associated noise contours are factored into the assessment of future housing sites to ensure that sites are identified in appropriate locations without

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
				Of course modern aircraft have a different noise signature, but this advantage might well be abrogated by any development, as this would likely necessitate the reduction of current trees / large bushes, that act as a noise barrier; where as house rows tend to act as canyons whereby noise is bounced/reverberated.	detriment to the residents of new development
Mr AJ Pelling			Langley Walk & Burlands (& Gypsy Option)	I read in a recent edition of the Crawley News that Crawley was being considered for a listing in the worst 50 places to live in England! Should either of these developments ever go ahead I will make sure that listing is a reality! Already we have butchered Queens Square and built some of the ugliest buildings imaginable.	Comments noted
Mr AJ Pelling			Langley Walk & Burlands (& Gypsy Option)	Langley Walk and its environs should be preserves as an intrinsic part of the town heritage	Comments noted. Langley Walk contains two listed buildings however as a whole the street is not of historic significance. This street does not warrant any designations aimed at preserving the historic character/
Mr AJ Pelling			Langley Walk & Burlands (& Gypsy Option)	Also, a few years ago I was declined household insurance because I live within 400m or so of the river Mole. What would be the Councils legal indemnity if any developments went ahead and then insurance for new householders was either withheld, highly premiumized or compromised in some way, as a result of proximity to a flood plain or even (as in recent years) a knee jerk reaction by insurers because of flooding elsewhere in the UK.	Comments noted. The site does not fall within functional floodplain (River Mole) and whilst drainage issues may be an issue in this location, its location would not prevent it being identified for housing on the basis of flooding. Surface runoff would be considered as part of any housing development.
Mr Ken Scott			Langley Walk & Burlands (& Gypsy Option)	Whilst in principle I accept there is a need for both projects to go ahead (somewhere), I disagree and will oppose both of the currently considered sites.	Comments noted
Mr Ken Scott			Langley Walk & Burlands (& Gypsy Option)	One of the reasons I moved to Langley Walk, was its lovely ambience, with unusual abundance of fauna & flora - for a town road. A member of my extended family who has a mental handicap, is brought on rambles with a group of fellow suffered, by their carers through the length of the road and weather permitting, they also use	Comments noted

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
				the lanes and adjoining fields, as indeed so other rambler groups, dog walkers etc. In contract to most roads in Crawley, it is outstanding, almost unique, and any development would have a dramatic impact.	
Mr Ken Scott			Langley Walk & Burlands (& Gypsy Option)	We (various neighbours) have had to speak to the owbner of the property "greylands", because the towed caracans that re taken in and out of the storage site there, often clip the car mirrors of cars on Langley Walk. In the last two years the road sometimes gets overworked by through traffic taking advantage of a straight road, using as "a cut thru" from lfield, Charlwood, etc to get to county Oak area.	Comments noted. The council acknowledges the transport/access and flooding issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further transport assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing.
Karen & Phil Smith			Langley Walk & Burlands (& Gypsy Option)	Langley Walk is a lovely quiet green road, ourselves and many other residents have moved to Langley Walk for this reason. We have a wonderful country feel to our road; Horse riders, dog walkers, cyclists, joggers, children, families use the walk daily. We have strong concerns that both the proposed sites (Housing & Fixed Gypsy & Traveller) would create an unacceptable degree of noise and disturbance to the area. It will eliminate a lot of the greenery and generate extra volume of traffic which we feel will make the road unsafe to our regular users. Langley Walk is a narrow road; resident parking on Langley Walk narrows the road to a single lane as most houses have shared driveways. Parked cars on Langley Walk already have problems with swiped wing mirrors. The road really is unsuited for large vehicles and is restricted at either end with tight turns.	Comments noted. The area outside the built up area boundary is the rural/urban fringe where proposals must meet the criteria of policy CH9 which supports proposals which respect the character and role of the area.
Karen & Phil Smith			Langley Walk & Burlands (& Gypsy Option)	We are extremely anxious for our landscape, community and the environment and losing the identity of Langley Walk.	Comments noted. The area outside the built up area boundary is the rural/urban fringe where proposals must meet the criteria of policy CH9 which supports proposals which respect the character and role of the area.
Mr Simon Chapman	Network Rail		Three Bridges Station Site	...there are no further proposals to develop any of the land at the station for housing.	Comments noted

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
Mr John Browning			Tinsley Lane	<p>As requested by Iain Miller in the email chain below I attach the diary collated by myself with input from a number of other residents in Tinsley Lane, Kenmara Close & Somersvere Close relating to the noise nuisance emanating from the unloading of "gravel trains" by Days Aggregates in the small hours of the night.</p> <p>I have been speaking to Nick Sadler at Days (copied in) regarding this matter as I had hoped that we could arrive at a mutual agreement. Since the dialogue started (the first week of May) there seemed to be some improvement with less instances of loud/heavy banging around 03:00am (mainly due to the operators unloading taking more care?), however things were so bad in the early hours of this morning (4th June - not on the attached log) that I resorted to sending Nick an email at 01:18am (Incidentally he has responded and is investigating), consequently the situation IT IS STILL FAR FROM DESIRABLE.</p> <p>In my last conversation with Nick I advised him that in the event of a planning application for the field I would raise the matter of night-time Noise as a particular concern, and although no formal application currently exists I see CBC's current consultation regarding the planned change of use of the "Tinsley Lane area recreation grounds" for housing development as an appropriate time to share this issue with you.</p> <p>I would also add that unless the situation relating to noise disturbance is not adequately addressed then at the time of any application I will certainly object on the grounds of unsuitability due to this issue as all the properties in the proposed development area will be closer to the source than one of the residences currently recording disturbances.</p> <p>I have had an email from Nick Sadler outlining Days position but I do not feel at liberty to share with you HOWEVER he may want to forward this so you have an idea where they currently stand on the issue and the steps that are in their control.</p> <p>This is not a new issue (I started to keep a diary for a short time in 2011) and it has been previously raised in objections to previous planning applications most</p>	<p>Comments noted. The council is currently working with the landowner of this site to bring the site forward for housing development. One of the key issues to be addressed before the site can be identified as being suitable for housing is noise issues associated with the operation of the adjoining goods yard. The Council would need to be satisfied that residents of any new housing development would not be adversely affected by noise from the goods yard. Environmental Health Officers are currently involved in this dialogue to ascertain whether the site is suitable for future housing development.</p>

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
				<p>recently WSCC/016/12/CR. However what is different now is that I have identified other residents who prepared to log the times of their disturbances and experience has taught me that although it may go away for a period of time it will always come back unless a robust fix is put in place.</p> <p>It is often stated that the tree screen is an acoustic block but this does not work for me as the oak tree at the bottom of my garden is in full leaf and is ineffective in filtering out the heavy metallic banging and scraping noises that occur at times.</p> <p>Also I have been asked to raise the issue of dust which also concerns a number of residents, this was recently particularly bad (although not untypical) during the period of 21st to 23rd May when deposits such as that shown on the photo below (taken in Kenmara Close) were deposited in the area.</p>	
Mr Ian Miller	Tinsley Lane Residents Association		Tinsley Lane	<p>Tinsley Lane is a discrete residential area bounded on two sides by the Manor Royal Business District and separated from Pound Hill and the rest of Three Bridges by the railway line and Crawley Avenue motorway link road. Within these defined boundaries, and with a mix of housing styles, many dating from before the New Town, it has developed a village like community with a strong local identity which should be protected and enhanced in any proposed new development.</p> <p>Shielded from the railway line by Summersvere Wood (protected Ancient Woodland) the three sports fields under consideration (two leased by Oakwood football Club) are owned by the Homes and Communities Agency and could be suitable for a mixed development of recreation and housing providing the following aspects are given due consideration:</p>	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) assess the needs and qualitative or quantitative deficits and surpluses of open space in Crawley. This ensures that there is an adequate supply of open space for the current and future population (including sports clubs) and makes the best use of land by identifying open spaces better suited to other uses.</p> <p>The development of this site would allow for part retention and enhancement of open space in line with the council's standards on open space set out in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study.</p> <p>These studies also ensure that there is reasonable access to open space for residents by protecting loss of open space that would lead to residents having to travel further than should be reasonably expected.</p>
Mr Ian Miller	Tinsley Lane Residents		Tinsley Lane	Noise and dust from the Goods Yard Residents in houses bordering the north sports field	Comments noted. The council is currently working with the landowner of this site to bring

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
	Association			have already notified the Environmental Health Authorities of the unacceptable levels of noise and dust from the recycling plant in the Goods Yard and pointed out that the measures promised to mitigate these problems are insufficient or ineffective. Part of the land proposed for housing is much closer to the goods yard than existing houses and unless effective measures could be introduced to reduce both the level of noise and the dust produced then this section would be totally unsuitable for residential development. With improved tree screening from the goods yard it could however be suitable for recreation.	the site forward for housing development. One of the key issues to be addressed before the site can be identified as being suitable for housing is noise issues associated with the operation of the adjoining goods yard. The council would need to be satisfied that residents of any new housing development would not be adversely affected by noise from the goods yard. Environmental Health Officers are currently involved in this dialogue to ascertain whether the site is suitable for future housing development.
Mr Ian Miller	Tinsley Lane Residents Association		Tinsley Lane	<p>Noise and air quality adjacent to Crawley Avenue The land adjacent to Crawley Avenue is subject to noise and pollution from the motorway link road and would require a wide tree screen and noise barrier before it could be considered for residential use. The land was also used as landfill so any contamination issues should be investigated before any change of use is considered.</p> <p>It is currently used for recreation by Oakwood football Club and for the reasons given above this section of the site should continue to be allocated for recreation. Oakwood F.C. has several boys and girls football teams covering all age groups and provides an extremely valuable service in developing our young people but it needs more funding to bring its facilities up to modern standards.</p> <p>Enhanced facilities at Oakwood F.C. to include an open play area for children of residents would be a great asset to the local community and a sustainable low density development project that does not overload the traffic arteries, could be economically viable if a commuted payment from the development could be allocated to redevelopment of the Oakwood F. C. facilities in recognition of the contribution they make to the youth of the Borough.</p>	<p>Comments noted. The council is currently working with the landowner of this site to bring the site forward for housing development. One of the key issues to be addressed before the site can be identified as being suitable for housing is air quality given the location of the site close to a busy dual carriageway. The Council would need to be satisfied that residents of any new housing development would not be adversely affected by poor air quality from the adjoining roads. Environmental Health Officers are currently involved in this dialogue to ascertain whether the site is suitable for future housing development.</p> <p>The provision of improved/enhanced facilities for Oakwood Club would be a requirement of the site's redevelopment possibly including an equipped children's play area.</p>
Mr Ian Miller	Tinsley Lane Residents Association		Tinsley Lane	<p>Traffic The only access points for the area under consideration would be via Tinsley Lane and the two junctions on to the busy Gatwick Road. There is already considerable</p>	Comments noted, the traffic implications in terms of additional traffic volumes and site access/egress will be carefully considered in the development of the site to ensure that the

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
				<p>congestion at peak times at these junctions which will be unable to cope with much extra traffic. This must be borne in mind when considering the number of possible extra dwellings.</p> <p>As the LDF has identified a shortage of skilled labour and of family homes this small site could be allocated for aspirational housing which respects the character of the surrounding area and minimises the impact on the adjacent bungalows.</p> <p>The proximity of the Manor Royal Business District makes it ideal to attract skilled workers and a safe walking distance to Hazelwick School makes the site ideal for family housing.</p> <p>With new recreational facilities centred on Oakwood football Club, Tinsley Lane could become a distinct individual area of Special Environmental Quality of which Crawley could be proud.</p>	<p>surrounding road network has the capacity to accommodate additional housing. The County Council as the local highway authority will be closely involved in this process.</p> <p>Comments regarding aspirational housing noted, however, the development of the site for housing would need to factor in 30% affordable housing subject to viability.</p>
Mr Ken Holford			Tinsley Lane	<p>Thank you the above notice in regard to the Tinsley Lane land sports fields development. I have a few relevant comments.</p> <p>Birch Lea parking: Birch Lea was built circa 1964. It is a narrow road with several dwellings having limited on-site parking. Sports field users parking in Birch Lea --- they go through a hedge gap to get to the clubhouse --- can obstruct drives and the turning area at the end of the close. The pavement width on the north side is very narrow leading to wall damage when turning-vehicle car-boots extend over the pavement. A car parked opposite a drive can also block that exit for a car reversing out due to the car rear-end reaching the parked vehicle before its front is clear of the drive and able to manoeuvre.</p> <p>The parking attraction of Birch Lea is the short distance to the clubhouse, as compared to using the longer distance allocated, narrow, access road. Thus any redesign of the sports field layout, as in item 7 (see below) should aim to redress this imbalance; either with an easier road access, or/and a change of the clubhouse sitting or in some other way.</p> <p>Club Management: Although the present club management do try to discourage visitors parking in Birch Lea, the past has seen many occasions when</p>	<p>Comments noted, the traffic implications in terms of additional traffic volumes and site access/egress will be carefully considered in the development of the site to ensure that the surrounding road network has the capacity to accommodate additional housing. The County Council as the local highway authority will be closely involved in this process.</p> <p>Loss of trees/landscaping would need to be factored into the development of the site and the council would seek to minimise loss of trees and landscaping where necessary particularly groups of trees which provide an important landscape buffer. The council is aware that part of the land constitutes made up ground and as such a contamination survey would need to be undertaken to ascertain the nature of any contaminants within the land.</p>

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
				<p>residents were subjected to parking nuisance. On some occasions, they had to visit the club- house to find an offending parker before they could get out of their drive. Non-Crawley visitors need good direction.</p> <p>Trees: The tree line along west side of the sports field does much to prevent footballs coming over into residential gardens. Before the trees were established there were several occasions of someone in my garden, who had scaled my fence to look for a football.</p> <p>The sports field was once waste disposal land, of which you will be aware. Rubbish can appear if the surfaced ground is disturbed. Earlier users of the sports fields also found it very soggy ground with a drainage problem.</p> <p>Appended below is a relevant extract from your PUB 1994605 (application / pdf Object).</p>	
Kate Matthews	Firstplan	Day Group Ltd, Aggregate Industries and CEMEX UK Operations Ltd.	Tinsley Lane	<p>We are instructed by Day Group Ltd, Aggregate Industries and CEMEX UK Operations Ltd. to submit the following objection to the 'Crawley 2029 Additional Sites Consultation' with particular reference to the Tinsley Lane Site which is proposed to be allocated for residential use.</p> <p>Day Group Ltd, Aggregate Industries and CEMEX UK Operations Ltd have long leases on the land at Crawley Goods Yard, which is located in close proximity to the Tinsley Lane Site (a minimum distance of only 100 metres between the two sites).</p> <p>Crawley Goods Yard is an established rail fed aggregates depot which has been in existence for many decades and benefits from largely unrestrained working hours. This allows large volumes of aggregate to be transported by rail, resulting in a highly sustainable form of development.</p> <p>Activities on the site include 24 hour unloading of trains and open storage of aggregates; an asphalt plant operated by Aggregate Industries; a concrete batching plant operated by CEMEX UK Operations Ltd; and a temporary mobile crusher and screener for processing of construction and demolition waste.</p> <p>Day Group Ltd. has also recently obtained planning permission for a permanent construction and demolition waste recycling plant (WSSC/016/12/CR, granted</p>	<p>Comments noted. The council is fully aware of the nature of uses which take place on your client's site and has advised that a detailed Noise Assessment would need to be undertaken before the site could be considered suitable for housing development. This assessment is currently being undertaken in liaison with the council's Environmental Health Officers. The assessment would need to factor in the current and proposed uses on the land to ensure that there is no conflict between the use of the site for housing and operation of the goods yard in respect of residential amenity.</p>

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
				<p>February 2013). This plant will be located to the south of the Goods Yard as shown on the attached plan. Crawley Goods Yard is identified as a 'safeguarded site for existing rail depots' in the West Sussex Minerals Local Plan (2003 as saved 2007). Policy 37 on railhead states: "Existing minerals rail-heads will be safeguarded from other forms of development where appropriate to ensure that adequate facilities for the transportation of minerals by rail are available"</p> <p>The Goods Yard is also clearly protected by the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) which sets out at Paragraph 143 that existing, planned and potential rail heads and rail links for the bulk transport of minerals, including recycled, secondary and marinedredged materials, by rail must be safeguarded. In addition, Paragraph 143 also requires that existing, planned and potential sites for concrete batching, the manufacture of coated materials, other concrete products and the handling, processing and distribution of substitute, recycled and secondary aggregate material must be safeguarded.</p> <p>The Goods Yard is part of a Main Employment Area in the Crawley Core Strategy (2007, revised 2008) and Policy E3 sets out that all employment sites, particularly within the Main Employment Areas, will be protected. Day Group Ltd, Aggregate Industries and CEMEX UK Operations Ltd. are concerned that the development of the Tinsley Lane site for residential use, in such close proximity to the Goods Yard, could lead to objections from future residents about the existing operations of the site. Whilst the various existing operations take place with the benefit of environmental controls, it is considered highly inappropriate to place a noise sensitive development in close proximity to this active railhead.</p> <p>Paragraph 123 of the NPPF sets out that planning policy should:</p> <p>"recognise that development will often create some noise and existing businesses wanting to develop in continuance of their business should not have unreasonable restrictions put on them because of changes in nearby land uses since they were established"</p>	

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
				<p>The uses undertaken by Day Group, Aggregate Industries and CEMEX UK Operations Ltd. comprise overall a highly sustainable development making use of a safeguarded existing rail depot site. The development comprises sophisticated facilities with comprehensive site management measures and procedures to minimise impact on amenity considerations.</p> <p>However, the arrival, unloading and departure of trains, which serve the activities within the yard in respect of transfer of aggregates to the plants, as would be expected on a site of this nature, can give rise to some degree of noise and potential disturbance.</p> <p>The permitted construction and demolition waste recycling plant has been designed to protect the residential amenity of existing residents through a number of acoustic mitigation measures. However, the nearest residents are currently approximately 250 metres from the site. Future residents on the Tinsley Lane site would be significantly closer to the permitted plant and therefore there could be potential noise issues.</p> <p>In this context the proposal to locate residential development on the Tinsley Lane site should not be considered sound as it conflicts with National and local planning policies which protect existing businesses and recognise the importance of rail-fed depots. There are alternative sites proposed for residential development and therefore we consider that this allocation proposed is not justified and should be added to the list of rejected sites.</p> <p>Notwithstanding our clients' objection, if any designation for housing does come forward, the policy must ensure that any future proposals have due regard in their siting and layout to the existing industrial and rail related activities on the depot site to ensure that future occupants of any proposed dwellings do not have cause for complaint as a result of the permitted and currently operating activities on the site and associated sidings. In particular, noise and dust studies must be carried out to ensure that the impact will be sufficiently mitigated and a suitable buffer between the Yard and any residential development should be provided.</p>	

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
Guy Dixon	Savills	Homes & Communities Agency	Tinsley Lane	<p>We write in relation to your above current consultation and submit this formal response on behalf of our client the Homes and Communities Agency. This letter of representation follows the detailed submissions we made to the Preferred Strategy consultation on your emerging Local Plan in December 2012.</p> <p>Our client remains entirely committed to pursuing a formal allocation for their site at Tinsley Lane, specifically for mixed use proposals comprising a residential led development but including some rejuvenated sporting facilities. For the avoidance of any doubt our client's site is included within the current consultation documentation under "Other Housing Sites that require further work" and referred to as Site 7. Accordingly we wish to register our client's STRONG SUPPORT for a residential led mixed use allocation being forthcoming on this site within the emerging Local Plan.</p> <p>Within the consultation documentation concerns are noted in respect of the Tinsley Lane site relating to environmental pollution but no further details are available. However conversations with officers in your policy team have indicated that the issues relate to noise and air pollution associated with heavy industrial operations to the north of the site, including an aggregates depot and recycling centre; as well as an emerging Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) to the south. The emerging AQMA being a result of car emissions from the Hazelwick roundabout and A2011. However we understand that these issues will not preclude development on the site but will simply shape the future layout and distribution of proposed uses. We understand that your officers are shortly to write direct to our client setting out the next steps and actual requirements and accordingly we would like to formally confirm on behalf of the HCA that they are prepared to work proactively with you towards resolving these issues and towards achieving a residential led scheme on this site.</p>	Comments noted.
Darryl Hemmings	West Sussex County Council		West of Ifield	The majority of this area is of ecological importance, forming Ifield Brook Wood and Meadows Site of Nature	Comments noted

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
				Conservation Importance (SNCl Cr2). It is not considered to be a suitable location for housing development and thus support the rejection of this site. As mentioned in the consultation document, WSCC would support Ifield Brook Meadows being designated as a Local Green Space. Consideration might also be given to declaring this area as a Local Nature Reserve (LNR).	
VR Arnett				<p>I am writing to oppose to your proposal for housing development, traveller site and cemetery site in Langley Green and Ifield. In recent years there have been lots of green areas which have been homes to various wildlife and play areas for children. Listed below are the areas of land taken for other uses in Langley Green and Ifield.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Along Ifield Avenue stretching to Ifield Green there is now housing and a mosque 2. East of Cherry Lane park is now work units and County Oak retail park 3. Juniper road several houses have lost back gardens for housing 4. Flats have been built by Langley Green shops and between Rushetts road and Fox Close <p>We are now left with only small pockets of green land, 15 years ago there was a herd of deer now you are lucky to see one, soon there will be no space for wildlife in our town. We are still under threat of a second runway at Gatwick which is proposed south of the existing one which brings it closer to Langley Walk; reducing yet more green areas of natural beauty and causing more noise pollution.</p> <p>Since building work of houses in Walnut Lane there has been severe problem with flooding as the drains are unable to contain the extra water from these houses, what will happen if more are built. The proposed traveller site already gets flooded for most of the year as it is a designated flood plain so therefore unsuitable for families. Traffic would be a huge problem as Langley Walk does not have enough parking and therefore already causes problems with existing residents when using the roads, caravans / construction Lorries would find it difficult to use.</p>	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>The area outside the built up area boundary is the rural/urban fringe where proposals must meet the criteria of policy CH9 which supports proposals which respect the character and role of the area.</p>

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
				<p>I believe that travellers themselves prefer to be further away from residential areas and that the proposed site is too close to existing properties.</p> <p>On a personal level I have lived in Langley Green for 50 years and have seen travellers setting up home in cherry lane park, the mess left behind when they move on cause's distress and incurs a lot of cost to the council to clear up.</p>	
Mrs PS Arnett				<p>I am writing to oppose to your proposal for housing development, traveller site and cemetery site in Langley Green and lfield. In recent years there have been lots of green areas which have been homes to various wildlife and play areas for children. Listed below are the areas of land taken for other uses in Langley Green and lfield.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Along lfield Avenue stretching to lfield Green there is now housing and a mosque 2. East of Cherry Lane park is now work units and County Oak retail park 3. Juniper road several houses have lost back gardens for housing 4. Flats have been built by Langley Green shops and between Rushetts road and Fox Close <p>We are now left with only small pockets of green land, 15 years ago there was a herd of deer now you are lucky to see one, soon there will be no space for wildlife in our town. We are still under threat of a second runway at Gatwick which is proposed south of the existing one which brings it closer to Langley Walk; reducing yet more green areas of natural beauty and causing more noise pollution.</p> <p>Since building work of houses in Walnut Lane there has been severe problem with flooding as the drains are unable to contain the extra water from these houses, what will happen if more are built. The proposed traveller site already gets flooded for most of the year as it is a designated flood plain so therefore unsuitable for families. Traffic would be a huge problem as Langley Walk does not have enough parking and therefore already causes problems with existing residents when using the roads, caravans / construction Lorries would find it difficult to use.</p>	Comments noted. As above

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
				<p>I believe that travellers themselves prefer to be further away from residential areas and that the proposed site is too close to existing properties.</p> <p>On a personal level I have lived in Langley Green for 20 years and have seen travellers setting up home in cherry lane park, the mess left behind when they move on cause's distress and incurs a lot of cost to the council to clear up.</p>	
Mr RS Upton				<p>I am writing to oppose to your proposal for housing development, traveller site and cemetery site in Langley Green and lfield. In recent years there have been lots of green areas which have been homes to various wildlife and play areas for children. Listed below are the areas of land taken for other uses in Langley Green and lfield.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Along lfield Avenue stretching to lfield Green there is now housing and a mosque 2. East of Cherry Lane park is now work units and County Oak retail park 3. Juniper road several houses have lost back gardens for housing 4. Flats have been built by Langley Green shops and between Rushetts road and Fox Close <p>We are now left with only small pockets of green land, 15 years ago there was a herd of deer now you are lucky to see one, soon there will be no space for wildlife in our town. We are still under threat of a second runway at Gatwick which is proposed south of the existing one which brings it closer to Langley Walk; reducing yet more green areas of natural beauty and causing more noise pollution.</p> <p>Since building work of houses in Walnut Lane there has been severe problem with flooding as the drains are unable to contain the extra water from these houses, what will happen if more are built. The proposed traveller site already gets flooded for most of the year as it is a designated flood plain so therefore unsuitable for families. Traffic would be a huge problem as Langley Walk does not have enough parking and therefore already causes problems with existing residents when using the roads, caravans / construction Lorries would find it difficult to use.</p>	Comments noted. As above.

Housing – Other Sites					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
				<p>I believe that travellers themselves prefer to be further away from residential areas and that the proposed site is too close to existing properties.</p> <p>On a personal level I have lived in Langley Green for 50 years and have seen travellers setting up home in cherry lane park, the mess left behind when they move on cause's distress and incurs a lot of cost to the council to clear up.</p>	
Darryl Hemmings	West Sussex County Council			<p>SITES THAT REQUIRE FURTHER WORK</p> <p>WSSC would welcome further discussions upon any of these sites that Crawley BC decides should be brought forward.</p>	<p>Comments noted. The council will continue to work with the County Council in order to bring forward and identify sites for housing development.</p>

Topic 6: Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Broadfield Kennels

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Broadfield Kennels				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
Rebekah Lashmar			I write in connection to the letter I have received through the post today regarding a proposed site for a gypsy site and I wish to voice my objections strongly due to the fact that living in Broadwood Rise myself I am on the very footpath that links my home to the kennels. This path is a source of constant noise and disruption from quad bikes and off road bikes making noise and destroying the greens and requiring the local residents to phone the police most weekends and summer evenings. We do not want this area occupied by gypsies as they are sometimes responsible for the vast numbers of bikes causing this disruption and when they broke into the site a couple of years back the residents us in particular being the very edge of the wooded area next to the path experienced numerous problems with shouting abuse and noise and still more bikes. it is quite clear that the path will be a main linkway for them into Broadfield and quite honestly we don't want or need this type of problem .	Noted. The proposal would be for a permanent and managed site.
Rebekah Lashmar			Also the access onto and off the main road A264 is far from viable due to the position and incline of the entrance way into the kennel site. Also I fear the impact on Buchan Park will be catastrophic as the area will be destroyed no matter how you might say it won't. It is at the moment a safe place to walk unheeded alone but can you guarantee that it will stay this way and I am someone who walks my dogs there regularly.	The Highway Authority would consider whether the access for a detailed proposal would be suitable and safe. The proposal would be for a permanent and managed site.
Rebekah Lashmar			I hope you listen to my comments and other peoples especially as its very interesting that we have been left less than a month to voice our objections but the residents in Broadwood Rise are already starting a petition to stop this going any further and other agencies and interested parties have been informed as it would appear the letters were not sent out to other parts of Crawley. Can I also ask if you intend to inform the residents of Jackson Road, Abrahams Road, Selsey and Beachy Road, Jersey Road etc as it would appear these have not had a mailshot.	The consultation was widely advertised in the local media and online. Local newspapers publicised the consultation and the council issued press releases, and numerous social media updates. Further to this the consultation was in the local magazine, Crawley Live, which is circulated to all properties within the borough. Each site included in the consultation that had a proposed allocation had site notices placed on the site boundary in visible locations. Further to this, all properties immediately adjacent to the allocations received site specific letters notifying them of the proposals, the consultation, and how they can respond.

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Broadfield Kennels				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
				The council took all reasonable measures to ensure everyone was aware of the consultation and had the opportunity to participate and let us know what they thought.
Tracey Wesson			to add, a few only got letters?? and there is no notice up there!! and the residents want a meeting, you can imagine we are not happy.	<p>The consultation was widely advertised in the local media and online. Local newspapers publicised the consultation and the council issued press releases, and numerous social media updates. Further to this the consultation was in the local magazine, Crawley Live, which is circulated to all properties within the borough.</p> <p>Each site included in the consultation that had a proposed allocation had site notices placed on the site boundary in visible locations. Further to this, all properties immediately adjacent to the allocations received site specific letters notifying them of the proposals, the consultation, and how they can respond.</p> <p>The council took all reasonable measures to ensure everyone was aware of the consultation and had the opportunity to participate and let us know what they thought.</p>
Mrs L Vurchett-Vass			<p>I am a resident in Broadwood Rise adjacent to the proposed site, and one of the FEW residents that were written to informing us of your intentions.</p> <p>Myself and many other residents have serious concerns. From the Travellers point of view, there is no lighting, mains water, sewage facilities and no paved pathway leading to local facilities.</p>	<p>Noted. The proposal would be for a permanent and managed site with the required range of facilities for the new residents.</p> <p>The consultation was widely advertised in the local media and online. Local newspapers publicised the consultation and the council issued press releases, and numerous social media updates. Further to this the consultation was in the local magazine, Crawley Live, which is circulated to all properties within the borough.</p> <p>Each site included in the consultation that had a proposed allocation had site notices placed on the site boundary in visible locations. Further to this, all properties immediately adjacent to the allocations received site specific letters notifying them of the proposals, the consultation, and how they can respond.</p> <p>The council took all reasonable measures to ensure everyone was aware of the consultation and had the opportunity to participate and let us know what they thought.</p>
Mrs L Vurchett-Vass			From the residents point of view, we lose the access route to Buchans park for dog walking, which is one of the reasons	The access route to Buchan Park would remain accessible to all residents.

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Broadfield Kennels				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			for buying in this area.	
Mrs L Vurchett-Vass			We have had continuous problems with youths on motor bikes using the pathways and the area proposed, and feel this will escalate. The Police and council are unable to resolve the problem as it stands now without adding to it.	Noted
Mrs L Vurchett-Vass			We as a Neighbourhood will not feel safe as this will bring two communities both with very strong religious views in close proximity one being the members using the mosque in Broadfield Rise and the other being the Travellers.	Noted
Mrs L Vurchett-Vass			All this in turn will devalue our properties and I personally find this completely unacceptable, and will fight this all the way!	The positive or negative effects of proposals on property values are not generally a planning matter.
Mrs C Clarke-Jones			<p>I am writing to protest against the proposed Gypsy and Traveller site at Broadfield.</p> <p>Whilst I can see why this area has been chosen due to it being away from residential areas, what concerns me is the local schools.</p> <p>This area is where some of the poorer families in Crawley live and many need extra support. In the SEN Code of Practice, target groups that may need support include children who have English as a second Language, single mums, teenage mums and many more. Thomas Bennett, Bewbush and Broadfield schools already have a high percentage of these children, also called 'vulnerable children'. These schools and indeed any new schools that are built on the new site towards Horsham, would be the schools used by the travelling community. Traveller children are also classed as 'vulnerable children'. This community of people will add even more pressure to the schools, nurserys and The Children and Family centres in the area.</p> <p>Although you can't base it on wealth (but there does seem to be some correlation there) a site in a more affluent area, such as Worth, where there are less 'vulnerable children' would be a better option but I think you would agree, that's never going to happen!!!</p>	Noted. The number of appropriate sites is restricted by constraints such as noise and flooding.
Yeshwant Patel			I was notified by a letter from Crawley Borough Council for this option. I am against this option for the following reasons: Buchan park is connected to Kennels. It would certainly have a negative impact due to building of permanent residency to	The need for a Gypsy and Traveller site balanced with the aim of conserving and enhancing the AONB and its setting will be considered.

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Broadfield Kennels				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			wild life and visitors to the area. Nearby housing is of mixed housing consisting of private and council homes. It would certainly have a negative impact on house prices of the private dwellings. If the intension is to integrate them into social fabric then might as well give them homes from the current stock of council homes.	Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.
Margaret San Juan Martin			I have seen the document for the proposed plan for Langley Walk. This area is not suitable for the proposed plan 1. The roads are too narrow for any more traffic. 2. We do not know where the new runway for Gatwick Airport will be, but further plans to build this close to the airport make this area unsuitable. 3. If trees and shrubs are taken away there would be more noise and more pollution. 4. It would seem the area at Buchan Park would be more suitable with more space for development and less problems with traffic. 5. More traffic would bring more problems as access is restricted for larger vehicles in the Langley Walk area. Even the lorries from the local council have problems collecting rubbish from this area now, an increase would make life more difficult for all concerned.	The Highway Authority would consider whether the access for a detailed proposal would be suitable and safe. A second runway is unlikely to affect this site. There may be some impact but any proposals would be required to planting and acoustic mitigation. Buchan Park is currently the preferred sit to be progressed though the Local Plan process.
Mrs Siyar			I'm writing to tell you that I don't want any gypsies moving in to Broadfield.	No planning issues raised.
Mr Petryszyn			Please note that I STRONGLY OBJECT to the above on the following points: 1. I fear our personal safety would be compromised in an area that already has long standing social problems / stigma attached. 2. I fear our personal security would be compromised in an area that already has long standing social problems / stigma attached. 3. The surrounding area of outstanding beauty Buchan Park would be disadvantaged, as the public would avoid visiting this area due to safety / security concerns. In addition the public would fear leaving their cars in the car park for fear of vandalism or theft. 4. The proposed site would be too close to the fast main	The addition of new residents within the park would not necessarily result in an increase in crime, substance abuse or anti-social behaviour. The site is not located near the car parking area. The Highway Authority would consider whether the access for a detailed proposal would be suitable and safe. The positive or negative effects of proposals on property values are not generally a planning matter. The additional burden of a development on local services and facilities would need to be addressed by the scheme, for example through a financial contribution should services be at capacity. The proposed site would be on the western side of the

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Broadfield Kennels				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			<p>road for entry / exit to the site.</p> <p>5. The added negative effect this proposed site would have on our house prices, or the ability to sell a house within a "reasonable" period of time, in an area that already has long standing social problems / stigma attached.</p> <p>6. Crime & the fear of crime in an area that is already impoverished, that has a long standing history of social problems / stigma attached.</p> <p>7. The proposed site could attract / increase alcohol / drug problems / illegal trading / & anti social behaviour within the local area.</p> <p>8. The extra added pressures on our local public services that are already far over stretched in this area.</p> <p>9. Broadfield & Bewbush has a long standing history of safety, security, crime, & the fear of crime, drugs, alcohol, anti social behaviour, high welfare state dependency issues. Having this proposed site "sandwiched" between these two neighbourhoods would only further add to the social problems we are already experiencing.</p> <p>10. The proposed site would make Broadfield & Bewbush a less attractive / desirable place to live.</p> <p>11. The appearance / image of the local area would be laden with rubbish / fly tipping, which could pose a real threat to vehicles driving at high speed along the A264 dual carriageway.</p> <p>I trust that you will include my objections above in any meetings going forward on the matter.</p>	<p>bypass but directly linked to Broadfield for pedestrians and cyclists. The site is not located between Bewbush and Broadfield.</p> <p>The proposal would be for a permanent, managed site with appropriate facilities for residents.</p> <p>The positive or negative effects of proposals on property values are not generally a planning matter.</p>
Mr M Vaidya			<p>I am writing in relation to the recent news I have heard regarding the 'Gypsy Sight', that is going to be granted in the Broadfield area.</p> <p>This news was given to me and my family by our fellow neighbours, who received a letter from Crawley Council stating this issue.</p> <p>Firstly, I currently live on 'Broadwood Rise' which is a stones throw away from where this 'Gypsy Site' will be situated. I am appalled at the fact that none of the residence of 'Broadwood Rise' received a letter informing us of this. As this will affect us dramatically.</p> <p>The area of Broadfield already has issues regarding the safety of our homes, and now it's going to be made a whole lot worse with the 'Gypsy Sight'.</p>	<p>The proposal would be for a permanent and managed site with facilities for the new residents. A permanent site would enable the new residents to become part of the local community.</p> <p>Each site included in the consultation that had a proposed allocation had site notices placed on the site boundary in visible locations. Further to this, all properties immediately adjacent to the allocations received site specific letters notifying them of the proposals, the consultation, and how they can respond.</p> <p>The council took all reasonable measures to ensure everyone was aware of the consultation and had the opportunity to</p>

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Broadfield Kennels				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			<p>This is going to affect the whole of Broadfield, and will put pressure on the local council with ongoing complaints. We DO NOT want Gypsies to be situated near us, there are ongoing stories regarding theft, break ins, cars damaged, muggings and intimidation ... Who will help our local community when these actions take place?</p> <p>We pay our council tax, and do our bit for the community. What are these Gypsies going to do? What will they contribute to the community apart from headache?</p> <p>Myself, my family and all of the neighbourhood who I have spoken to regarding this strongly disagree with this idea, and only hope you listen to your fellow and loyal community. Please keep me updated on this issue.</p>	participate and let us know what they thought.
Mrs Geyniera Colbourn			I understand the Council are considering the above and I wish to strongly object for the following reasons:	Noted
Mrs Geyniera Colbourn			<p>There are already two large Council estates namely Broadfield and Bewbush situated near the above proposed area.</p> <p>These two Council estates already cause a number of problems due to crime, anti social behaviour plus social problems</p>	No planning issues raised.
Mrs Geyniera Colbourn			This could affect the possibility of sales of any private property in the area plus price.	The positive or negative effects of proposals on property values are not generally a planning matter.
Mrs Geyniera Colbourn			<p>I feel there would be a possibility of an increase in crime in the area, and this would cause an extra load on the police. This may then cause the Council to increase our Council Tax, to pay for the extra police that may be necessary.</p>	No planning issue raised.
Mrs Geyniera Colbourn			As I live on my own I would feel vulnerable, as theft may well increase due to the fact of an already overcrowded area.	The proposal would be for a permanent and managed site with facilities for the new residents. A permanent site would enable the new residents to become part of the local community.
Mrs Geyniera Colbourn			I hope the Council will consider the objections the residents have to this proposal, and that a meeting be arranged for all residents in the area so that they may put forward any concerns.	The consultation was widely advertised in the local media and online. Local newspapers publicised the consultation and the council issued press releases, and numerous social media updates. Further to this the consultation was in the local magazine, Crawley Live, which is circulated to all properties

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Broadfield Kennels				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
				<p>within the borough.</p> <p>Each site included in the consultation that had a proposed allocation had site notices placed on the site boundary in visible locations. Further to this, all properties immediately adjacent to the allocations received site specific letters notifying them of the proposals, the consultation, and how they can respond.</p> <p>A local meeting was arranged by members of the public to discuss the consultation.</p> <p>The council took all reasonable measures to ensure everyone was aware of the consultation and had the opportunity to participate and let us know what they thought.</p>
Mrs Geyniera Colbourn			I feel the beauty of Buchan Park would be disadvantaged, and the public would be reluctant to go to the park. I myself would not feel safe leaving my car in the car park, and also walking on my own in the park.	The need for a Gypsy and Traveller site balanced with the aim of conserving and enhancing the AONB and its setting will be considered.
S Zambuni			As Crawley is compelled by law to provide this site, the favoured position should be at Buchan Park Kennels. The site is twice the size of the proposed one at Langley Walk and presumably has electricity, fresh water, drainage and some concreted areas already as it was used as a business. In addition it has easy access to main roads and being on the edge of town is not close to existing properties which I believe the Travellers would prefer.	The Buchan Park site is the Council's preferred site to be progressed through the Local Plan.
Geyniera Corsini			Finally, I believe the proposed site at Buchan Park would be much more suitable as it is in a pleasant and attractive area with no airport noise and is not directly adjacent to other properties. Also, as the site was previously used, amenities such as water and drainage are possibly already there.	Support noted.
Rob Horton			The other proposed site at Broadfield Kennels would meet their needs better, as the road into the kennels comes straight off a main road for their Caravans and trailers, also for the commuting of their trucks & vans on a regular daily basis. It is also close to all their amenities such as schools shops ect.	Support noted.
Mr H Polkey			I believe the best site would be the one at Buchan Park	Support noted.

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Broadfield Kennels				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			Kennels as the site is twice as big as the proposed one at Langley Walk and presumably have electricity, fresh water, drainage and some concreted areas already as it was used as a business. It also has easy access to main roads and being on the edge of town is not close to existing properties which I believe the Travellers would prefer.	
Mrs J R Mitchell			I suggest that Broadfield Kennel site would be a better proposal as it is away further from settled communities. I think that the travelling community would better appreciate a more secluded area for themselves rather than amongst a settled community.	Support noted.
Mrs J R Mitchell			The site at Broadfield Kennels has no adjoining neighbours which are affected should the camp be placed there. The site at the Kennels has much more space and potential for expansion, this would allow for future provisions for more Gypsy Traveller families.	Support noted.
Claire Robinson			<p>I wish to strongly object to the proposed traveller site at Buchan Kennels.</p> <p>On a personal basis I have been a resident here for 13 years and love using Buchan country park to walk my dog- I certainly won't walk in the park if the site goes ahead.</p> <p>I am terrified for my families personal safety as our house is in broadwood rise which is very close.</p> <p>I am aware it will now be impossible to sell our house whilst this cloud hangs over our area.</p> <p>I would imagine that Crest Nicholson will also be concerned re the Kilnwood Vale development which is also very near the proposed site as I can't imagine anyone buying a house there.</p> <p>The A264 is a fast road and therefore the entrance exit to the site would compromise safety of travelers and motorists alike.</p> <p>While I appreciate the council has to provide a certain amount of land to travelers I would plea to the council to think of another site which is not so close to residents, property etc.</p> <p>I have signed petitions and am aware the local residents are also very upset by the proposed site.</p>	<p>The proposal would be for a permanent and managed site with facilities for the new residents. A permanent site would enable the new residents to become part of the local community.</p> <p>The Highway Authority would consider whether the access for a detailed proposal would be suitable and safe.</p> <p>The positive or negative effects of proposals on property values are not generally a planning matter.</p>
Mr & Mrs Gillett			No planning issues raised.

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Broadfield Kennels				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
M Lashmar			<p>I write to you to express my concern and objection at the above proposed plan.</p> <p>Firstly I would like to say how disappointed I am on your communication with local residents and the neighbourhood on this matter. Yes I understand by law you only have to notify a limited amount of people however I question if this was done . I make reference to the lack of public signs as the only one visible is on the public footpath tucked away on a bridleway hidden from most of the community except horse riders and walkers. Also I add this sign was put up sometime between Mon 24th at noon and the morning of Weds 26th June ,less than a week before the deadline for objections . Please could you let me know if this is the right procedure for this matter.</p> <p>I also find it somewhat deceiving that the site is referred to as Broadfield Kennels whereas it is the field beyond which is commonly known as Creaseys Forest to which you are proposing.</p> <p>I also would like to enquire what has changed since the site was last rejected? As I understand it the site was turned down in 2004 by the Highways Agency because of access of the fast moving dual carriageway and also West Sussex County Council as it is a designated area of natural beauty . Please could you let me know what has changed since then and what has prompted you to propose this site yet again as the only thing that has changed is the road getting busier possibly due to the building at the Kilnwood Vale site which when finished will cause further traffic.</p> <p>As you are probably aware this site is right on the border of Buchan Country Park. You may not be aware though that on this park they have several protected species one of which is a low nesting bird called a Nightjar .Which under a EU directive is objected from having infill sites or new builds within 400 metres of there nesting habitat .I make reference to this as I have made enquires on this matter and have been informed from Wealdon Council with regard to their planning stance near to Ashdown Forest.</p> <p>There is no access on to this site for public transport also and I doubt very much that buses would be allowed to stop on the dual carriageway .</p>	<p>The consultation was widely advertised in the local media and online. Local newspapers publicised the consultation and the council issued press releases, and numerous social media updates. Further to this the consultation was in the local magazine, Crawley Live, which is circulated to all properties within the borough.</p> <p>Each site included in the consultation that had a proposed allocation had site notices placed on the site boundary in visible locations. Further to this, all properties immediately adjacent to the allocations received site specific letters notifying them of the proposals, the consultation, and how they can respond.</p> <p>The council took all reasonable measures to ensure everyone was aware of the consultation and had the opportunity to participate and let us know what they thought.</p> <p>Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.</p> <p>A proposal would need to demonstrate that the site could be safely accessed and this may require some works to the existing arrangement.</p> <p>The proposal would take account of protected species or areas.</p> <p>The site would have a pedestrian/cycle link to facilities in Broadfield.</p>
Brian Woods	WS Architecture		It has been drawn to my attention that you are proposing an	A proposal would need to demonstrate that the site could be

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Broadfield Kennels				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
	& Planning		allocation for approximately 10 pitches at Broadfield Kennels. Whilst we are certainly not objecting to such an allocation I thought that it would be helpful to advise you that there was an appeal relating to adjoining land for waste recycling and skip hire. This was a County matter. The County Council objected to the use of the access.	safely accessed and this may require some works to the existing arrangement.
Darryl Hemmings	West Sussex County Council		<p>This proposes a three hectare gypsy and traveller site on this area immediately adjacent to Buchan Country Park. This site is considered inappropriate because it is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Within the protected landscape of the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. One of the top 5 issues identified in the AONB management plan is the erosion of character as a consequence of development which fails to respect AONB character. WSCC are signatories to the statutory AONB Management Plan; ii. 200 metres from Buchan Country Park, a Site of Nature Conservation Importance (SNCI). Designated by West Sussex County Council, SNCIs are amongst the best sites for wildlife in the county; and iii. 250 metres from the Buchan Hill ponds Site of Special Scientific Interest. Natural England considers SSSIs to be the country's very best wildlife and geological sites. <p>From an ecological perspective, WSCC have concerns regarding the proposal to set this land aside for a permanent fixed Gypsy and Traveller site given its close proximity to ecologically significant sites at Buchan Hills Ponds Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) and Buchan Country Park. These sites are ecologically sensitive and could be adversely impacted by pollution entering watercourses and increased levels of disturbance.</p>	<p>The need for a Gypsy and Traveller site balanced with the aim of conserving and enhancing the AONB and its setting will be considered.</p> <p>Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.</p>
Mr Chris Shelford			<p>I have been in contact with Henry Smith reference the proposed travellers site, I was unfortunately too late to register my disgust at the proposal via the on line route and am hoping to register it to the correct department now. I feel Broadfield cannot and should not have to entertain this, there are enough issues which need to be dealt with first before we end up as another statistic.</p> <p>The area is already suffering with overcrowding, traffic , lack of schools for its residents, a new home site being built to rival the size of Maidenbower and a crime rate which is already at a dangerous rate. To that end I fear that the</p>	<p>The need for a Gypsy and Traveller site balanced with the aim of conserving and enhancing the AONB and its setting will be considered.</p>

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Broadfield Kennels				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			<p>addition of a travellers site near an area of natural beauty is the biggest insult available. What is going through the minds of the people in control of these decisions?</p> <p>I would like to formally complain about this proposal and beg that it is thrown out as a clearly ridiculous proposal with the potential to damage and devalue the area.</p> <p>Please treat this email with the urgency and severity it deserves.</p>	
Mr Bradley Flory			I feel compelled to write to you with regards to the traveller site proposal at the former Buchan Kennels site.	Noted
Mr Bradley Flory			This would be a disaster for the area as it is near to Target Hill and also Buchan Country Park I have no issue with a site being built somewhere in Crawley but surely not near 2 of the green open spaces this town enjoys From the proposed site it is just a short hop through the bushes to buchan park which is enjoyed by Joggers, Dog Walkers and Parents with Young Children and could very easily become a very intimidating place to go if the travellers find there way into the park.	The need for a Gypsy and Traveller site balanced with the aim of conserving and enhancing the AONB and its setting will be considered.
Mr Bradley Flory			Not to mention the fisherman who have many hundreds of £'s opf equipment with them and also the welfare of the fish in the lake if this were to become contaminated over the years with the mess being absorbed into the ground and working down to the lake through the soil and surface rain water.	The proposal would be for a permanent, managed site with facilities for the new residents. The potential for contamination would be addressed by a detailed proposal.
Mr Bradley Flory			Whilst i can see the logic behind the proposed site i think it has been over looked that one of the prettiest parks in crawley is just the other side of the boundary tree line, this would be similar to proposing a site in Tilgate Forest and not expecting this to take its toll on the park and park goers.	<p>The need for a Gypsy and Traveller site balanced with the aim of conserving and enhancing the AONB and its setting will be considered.</p> <p>The area outside the built up area boundary is the rural/urban fringe where proposals must meet the criteria of policy CH9 which supports proposals which respect the character and role of the area.</p>
Mr Bradley Flory			Crawley has worked hard to stop travellers getting on to the green spaces around Crawley and has done a fantastic job at almost removing the problem completely and I also find it strange that you are prepared to build this site within such close proximity to a flagship area like Kilnwood Vale as this	The Plan is required to identify a potential permanent site to meet a quantified need.

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Broadfield Kennels				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			will surely de value the properties on that land when they go on sale with the threat of a traveller site so close by and also the proposed development of new houses on the former Bewbush Leisure Centre which will be easily accessible to the travellers with the bridge from Buchan Park at what would be the back of that estate.	
Mr Bradley Flory			I am sure my comments will fall on deaf ears as have many before me but at least I can say I have tried.	Noted.
Sarah Beuden	Savills	Crest Strategic Projects (CSP)	CSP note the requirements for LPAs to identify a supply of specifically deliverable sites for traveller sites in accordance with Government policy (Planning Policy for traveller sites, March 2012). However, it is considered that the potential allocation of Broadfield Kennels is an unsustainable option for this use.	Noted.
Sarah Beuden	Savills	Crest Strategic Projects (CSP)	The Broadfield Kennels site is located to the south east of Kilnwood Vale. It directly adjoins the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB), which is also a Site of Nature Conservation Importance (SNCI) (Creasy's Forest/Buchan Country Park) as identified in Horsham's adopted proposals map.	The area outside the built up area boundary is the rural/urban fringe where proposals must meet the criteria of policy CH9 which supports proposals which respect the character and role of the area. Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible.
Sarah Beuden	Savills	Crest Strategic Projects (CSP)	The NPPF states that in preparing plans to meet development needs, the aim should be to minimise adverse effects on the local and natural environment and should allocate land with the least environmental or amenity value. Further, it states that great weights should be given to conserving the landscape and scenic beauty in AONBS, which have the highest status of protection.	As above
Sarah Beuden	Savills	Crest Strategic Projects (CSP)	CBC's own Sustainability Appraisal identifies that the allocation of this site would have a negative impact on biodiversity and landscape, notwithstanding other negative impacts associated with providing a new vehicular access - the costs of which would be substantial.	As above
Sarah Beuden	Savills	Crest Strategic Projects (CSP)	CSP broadly concurs with CBC's assessment that this allocation would have a negative impact but does not agree that this could be mitigated as the Council suggests.	As above

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Broadfield Kennels				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
Sarah Beuden	Savills	Crest Strategic Projects (CSP)	The site directly bounds AONB and SNCI to the east and the provision of a traveller site here would require the provision of utilities and lighting (health and safety) as well as appropriate buildings to accommodate facilities (toilets, kitchen area etc). This will have a negative impact on the AONB in terms of visual appearance and character. Further, it will have an adverse impact on biodiversity through increased disturbance. This can not be mitigated as this suggests controls which can not be enforced through the planning process. The site is within CBC's administrative boundary but the AONB and SNCI are within HDC. The allocation of this site for a permanent traveller site is contrary to policies DC 4 and DC 5 of HDC's General Development Control Policies (2007), which seek to protect AONBs and SNCIs against proposals that would adversely affect their character, quality and value. This in turn is contrary to the NPPF, which requires plans to be based on effective joint-working on cross-boundary strategic priorities if they are considered to be effective and sound. This proposal is also contrary to the NPPF in respect of the need to minimise adverse effects on the natural environment.	As above
Sarah Beuden	Savills	Crest Strategic Projects (CSP)	Due to the identified impact that the allocation of the Broadfield Kennels site will have on the natural environment with regard to designated AONB and SNCI sites (notwithstanding the question mark over the deliverability of an appropriate access) it is considered that this allocation should be deleted.	As above

Topic 7: Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
Mr AJ Pelling			I am vehemently opposed to any such development, and by extension also the proposed traveller's site.	Opposition noted.
Mr AJ Pelling			My family bought the show-house here back in 1958 and after the blight of life in inner London, delighted with road with a listed building (the Grange), deer, foxes, owls, bats, etc... We still have these today	Noted
Mr AJ Pelling			I am bemused that building on the west side of the road is being considered when the issue of the second runway for Gatwick is not yet resolved. Back in the sixties, the glass vase, mirror & lounge window used to "sing" with the noise generated by the aircraft of the day. Of course modern aircraft have a different noise signature, but this advantage might well be abrogated by any development, as this would likely necessitate the reduction of current trees / large bushes, that act as a noise barrier; where as house rows tend to act as canyons whereby noise is bounced/reverberated.	The implications of a possible second runway, including noise, will be taken into account. Trees and bushes have some impact on noise For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Mr AJ Pelling			I read in a recent edition of the Crawley News that Crawley was being considered for a listing in the worst 50 places to live in England! Should either of these developments ever go ahead I will make sure that listing is a reality! Already we have butchered Queens Square and built some of the ugliest buildings imaginable.	Worst Places to Live is a subjective assessment. Comments on the design of new buildings noted. The Plan includes policies requiring high quality design. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Mr AJ Pelling			Langley Walk and its environs should be preserved as an intrinsic part of the town heritage	The most significant heritage feature has been given protection as a listed building.
Mr AJ Pelling			Also, a few years ago I was declined household insurance because I live within 400m or so of the river Mole. What would be the Council's legal indemnity if any developments went ahead and then insurance for new householders was either withheld, highly premiumized or compromised in some way, as a result of proximity to a flood plain or even (as in recent years) a knee jerk reaction by insurers because of	The flooding implications of any development proposals would be fully considered and addressed where necessary.

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			flooding elsewhere in the UK.	
Mr & Mrs AR & HT Frith			My main objection would be the possible provision of gypsy and Traveller provision. This I would object to with vigor. However, if travellers were rehoused appropriately I would not object.	<p>The Local Plan is required to consider the needs of members of the gypsy and traveller communities that wish to travel for some months of the year. A permanent dwelling is not always an appropriate solution.</p> <p>For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p>
Mr Ken Scott			Whilst in principle I accept there is a need for both projects to go ahead (somewhere), I disagree and will oppose both of the currently considered sites.	<p>The sites under consideration have been considered as appropriate for a Gypsy and Traveller allocation.</p> <p>For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p>
Mr Ken Scott			<p>One of the reasons I moved to Langley Walk, was its lovely ambience, with unusual abundance of fauna & flora - for a town road. A member of my extended family who has a mental handicap, is brought on rambles with a group of fellow suffered, by their carers through the length of the road and weather permitting, they also use the lanes and adjoining fields, as indeed so other rambler groups, dog walkers etc.</p> <p>In contract to most roads in Crawley, it is outstanding, almost unique, and any development would have a dramatic impact.</p>	Comments noted.
Mr Ken Scott			There must be other places where a travellers site would be less intrusive and have less dramatic impact.	The number of appropriate sites available is very limited. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Mr Ken Scott			We (various neighbours) have had to speak to the owner of the property "greylands", because the towed caravans that are taken in and out of the storage site there, often clip the car mirrors of cars on Langley Walk. In the last two years the	Comments noted. The Highway Authority would comment on the suitability of the road as an access to any development site.

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			road sometimes gets overworked by through traffic taking advantage of a straight road, using as "a cut thru" from lfield, Charlwood, etc to get to county Oak area.	
Mr & Mrs Pay & Bill Chalk			Not at all happy about these proposals especially Gypsy site. Past experience of gypsies were in car park at Cherry Lane Car Park. Gypsies riding motorbikes on pathway by Adventure Playground ...and was driven at by another one on a pushbike. Also a few years back they were in the Rugby fields and Poles Mead Bowls. Couldn't go with my dogs because they used everywhere like a public toilet it was awful. You must know about this.	A permanent gypsy and traveller site would be managed and provide appropriate facilities for the new residents. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Mr & Mrs Pay & Bill Chalk			There are elderly people living in Langley Walk us being two of them and we want peace and quiet in our lives. Although we live at the bottom of the road all this will affect us. Houses and gypsies. I have to say we have had no information from the council but we talk to our neighbours and that's how we found out about your proposals. We really hope you look at all this again and see how wrong it will be. We have lived here 44years and been happy but I think this will all change.	Comments noted. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. The consultation was widely advertised in the local media and online. Local newspapers publicised the consultation and the council issued press releases, and numerous social media updates. Further to this the consultation was in the local magazine, Crawley Live, which is circulated to all properties within the borough. Each site included in the consultation that had a proposed allocation had site notices placed on the site boundary in visible locations. Further to this, all properties immediately adjacent to the allocations received site specific letters notifying them of the proposals, the consultation, and how they can respond. The council took all reasonable measures to ensure everyone was aware of the consultation and had the opportunity to participate and let us know what they thought.
Cllrs Chris & Susan Mullins & Mullins			I would like object to the proposal to site a permanent Gypsy and Traveller Site in Langley Walk for the following reasons: Langley Walk is a very narrow road, lined with large mature trees and all the approach roads serving this area are basically down to a single track, the majority of the time, due	The Highway Authority would comments on the suitability of the road as an access to any development site. Most development sites have some impact on adjoining areas during a construction phase.

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			<p>to the high volume of residents cars parked on the roads, throughout the area. There are already major problems experienced at peak travelling times and when service vehicles, repair vans, delivery lorries, etc. need to access the area. To then have to experience the noise, disruption and pollution from the major construction works, as well as the high volume of constructors HG vehicles and plant, necessary to develop the site, over many months, will make life quite intolerable for all the residents n the local area. There will be a high impact on the local infrastructure due to the increased need and demand for electricity, gas, water, sewage, communications and waste management required to provide this site.</p> <p>This locality already suffers to a certain extend from noise and air pollution from the adjacent runway at Gatwick Airport. There are proposals, current at the moment, to extend the airport and provide a further runway in the near future. Given the specific controls on noise pollution, deemed acceptable for caravan dwellers in particular, why is it even being considered appropriate to develop this site for this purpose? This is a quiet, semi-rural area on the edge of town, one of the few remaining 'green' parts of Crawley, it is also undeveloped land outside the building area. We can ill afford to lose our last remaining natural resources, with regard to trees, plant, animal and insect life and other natural habitats, from this town.</p> <p>The majority of the local residents have lived here for many years and chose to move into this area because of its peaceful green nature. It is not acceptable to completely change the ambience of this area for such a small gain. I would also like to pose the question 'Have the local Gypsy and Traveller Community been consulted as to the size, location, suitability and accessibility of this site, for their future needs?'</p> <p>We understand that other sites in the town, some on the North East sector have been proposed, in the past, for this purpose, which were eminently more suitable and were selected with the participation of the Gypsy and Traveller community. These sites should be considered again.</p>	<p>Any development would only proceed if it could be appropriately serviced and new residents provided with appropriate facilities. A permanent gypsy and traveller site would be managed.</p> <p>The impact of a possible second runway, including noise, would be taken into account.</p> <p>There is limited land available within the borough to meet housing needs. The Plan seeks to avoid the development of land that is protected by specific designations, such as Ancient Woodland, or land that is required to meet the specific needs of residents, such as playing fields.</p> <p>The local Gypsy and Traveller community have been consulted, as part of the Needs Assessment and directly as part of the Sites Consultation, including individuals within Crawley and through the local representative forums, as well as via the borough council and county council liaison officers. Representations have been received from members of this community supporting the approach to meeting needs for a pitch site as it arises and for the site identified.</p> <p>Sites in the North East Sector have been reconsidered but are affected by noise considerations or flooding.</p> <p>For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p>
Mr Albert Jordan			With regards to the above reference I would like to register my objection to the above scheme.	Development proposals would need to demonstrate that the sites could be safely accessed and that impacts on significant

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			<p>My reasons are</p> <p>(1) The devaluation of properties in the area</p> <p>(2) The destruction of the tranquil area of Langley Green</p> <p>(3)The site will be for 10 lorries/vans with some cars leaving the access to and from Langley Walk and Mulberry road very limited for small roads.Also it means the extra traffic will have to pass through the whole of Langley Green.</p> <p>(4) There are footpaths in and around the area which are used for walkers etc. The rest of the area is very much untouched and is a haven for many species of wildlife.</p> <p>I would like to know if the properties are devalued will the owners be compensated, and also will there be a reduction in council tax?</p> <p>I have lived in this property since 12th Feb 1954 and I hope you can appreciate my concerns. Look forward to your reply.</p>	<p>nature conservation assets are identified and mitigated.</p> <p>Unfortunately, the positive or negative impact of appropriate new development on property values is not generally a planning matter.</p> <p>For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p>
Mr Vishnue Jivram			<p>with reference to the new house build and site for gypsies and travellers we are devastated by the thought of the noise of the traffic and the pending and the pending runway 2 at Gatwick, also the new housing estate also the travellers,and gypsies, this is very worrying indeed.</p>	<p>The impact of noise from Gatwick and traffic would be considered as part of the consideration of any development proposals.</p> <p>For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p>
Mr Vishnue Jivram			<p>as you must know ,it is a very rare, for crawley to have such an area that still has deers, rabbits, trees that are very old,and rare birds, and bats have been seen on occasions.</p>	<p>Development proposals would need to demonstrate that impacts on significant nature conservation assets are identified and mitigated.</p>
Mr Vishnue Jivram			<p>the travellers use lorries,and large caravans, and we only have a small road ,with difficult bends at each end, we are also happy with the fact that there are public footpaths that is used quit a lot, it is so unspoilt ,there must be other areas which are more suitable, the advantage of the huge trees protects us from a lot of noise and polutions, and that is before any second runway is built.</p>	<p>Development proposals would need to demonstrate that the sites could be safely accessed. Trees have some impact on noise but the impact of a possible second runway would be taken into account.</p>
Mr Vishnue Jivram			<p>I am sending this letter on behalf of neighbour who lives opposite the little lane,that would have to go,aswell as lots of other things. All I ask is that you go and see for yourself and have a good walk in the area,and judge for yourself ,and see how pressius it is.</p>	<p>Comments noted. See response above.</p>

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
Mr Vishnue Jivram			there are lots of stables and horses that use the area, it is so lovely seeing them ride by with their young riders.	Noted.
Mr Vishnue Jivram			please,please think of a way to save this area ,it can never be replaced, it is a little piece of heaven,for all the people of Crawley	Noted.
Mrs Kara Bonner			<p>Langley Walk Access/Roads</p> <p>The road infrastructure is not capable of handling any further traffic. As it stands at the moment, the road is always full of potholes and in general disrepair with no repairs being completed on a regular basis. With the additional traffic flow that extra housing would cause, the road state would become a continuous problem.</p> <p>The road is extremely narrow with cars parked on one side of the road. There is only pavement on one side for pedestrians. The road is lined with Oak trees which we believe are to be preserved.</p> <p>With more housing, comes more cars and in turn more speed, therefore causing a higher risk of accidents happening. Langley Walk has always been a safe area on the road, but I fear that this will change with the introduction of more traffic.</p> <p>This leads onto the danger to wild life. Having lived down Langley Walk we see much wildlife ie: foxes and deer especially. Prior to the new housing being built at the end of Langley Walk I had never seen an animal killed on the road however since the new housing has been built I have seen 2x deer and 2x foxes killed. This in no way lays blame towards the new residents but my guess is that this is due to the additional amount of traffic, as well as the speed involved therefore placing more wildlife at risk.</p> <p>The two lanes that would take up part of the proposed access for the new housing are also Public Footpaths. These are in continuous use by ramblers and dog walkers alike as well as the rest of the local community. These would need to be resited as the lanes are really not suitable for the additional traffic created.</p> <p>Access to the Site for Heavy Goods Vehicles while construction takes place would need to be via Langley Walk. The road itself is not sufficient for these types of vehicles.</p>	Development proposals would need to demonstrate that the sites could be safely accessed and these issues would be considered by the Highway Authority.

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
Mrs Kara Bonner			<p>Environment</p> <p>The area is known for its beauty and woodland. Langley Walk has many ramblers that come and enjoy the trails as well as regular dog walkers. The surrounding area is also designated as one of natural beauty and a nature conservation area.</p> <p>The area is known for its vast wildlife ranging from Foxes, Deer and Rabbits to woodpeckers, nuthatches, green & gold finches and also bats. I believe bat's are a protected species and where possible should not be disturbed.</p>	Development proposals would need to demonstrate that impacts on significant nature conservation assets are identified and mitigated.
Mrs Kara Bonner			<p>Flooding</p> <p>The area is known for its flooding. What steps would need to be taken to assure that any new dwelling's do not continuously flood especially as the weather pattern in this country seems to be worsening. Some area's can become impassable?</p> <p>There is also the issue of water runoff. The area around the current properties are ditched and although this works well most of the time with the water making its way to the brook over at Willoughby fields, flooding does still occur. Any new properties with driveways and roofs etc will cause additional run off and therefore the flooding will become even worse.</p>	Development proposals would need to demonstrate that the risk of flooding had been identified and mitigated.
Mrs Kara Bonner			<p>Noise/Pollution</p> <p>If the proposal goes ahead, the length of time that this will take will have a huge knock on effect to local residents. The area will become overdeveloped, noise and debris will rise. Gatwick's 2nd runway has also been on the cards for some time. If this was to occur, would this new site even still be a viable project? There would also be a reduction of trees in the area to accommodate the new site, this would mean that there is less of a block against noise at its current level, let alone if a 2nd runway is built.</p>	<p>The Highway Authority would comments on the suitability of the road as an access to any development site.</p> <p>Most development sites have some impact on adjoining areas during a construction phase.</p> <p>Any development would only proceed if it could be appropriately serviced and new residents provided with appropriate facilities. A permanent gypsy and traveller site would be managed.</p> <p>The impact of a possible second runway, including noise, would be taken into account.</p>
Mrs Kara Bonner			<p>Crime</p> <p>Langley Walk has always been a relatively crime free area. The introduction of additional housing will see the crime rate increase. More housing opens up more opportunities for thieves and therefore additional cost would be involved as the area would require additional policing.</p>	The addition of new dwellings would not necessarily lead to an increase in crime.

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
Mrs Kara Bonner			<p>Education</p> <p>Langley Green Primary School is currently only running as Satisfactory with Ofsted. With the increase of Housing comes the increase of children who require schooling. If the school is struggling to meet its standards now, how will it cope with more residents and attending pupils. The school does an outstanding job with the resources available to them. It's a multi ethnic school, so already has many obstacles. With the additional housing would mean additional places required and therefore a reduction of standard is inevitable.</p>	<p>New development would need to mitigate for additional burdens where these could not be met by existing infrastructure, for example through a financial contribution to upgrade local facilities.</p>
Mrs Kara Bonner			<p>All of the above points raised within the Housing section apply to this site as well.</p> <p>In addition I have some further point's as to my opposition of this proposal:</p> <p>The area that has been suggested for this site in Langley Walk is around half the size of that at Broadfield kennels and yet it is going to hold the same amount of fixed pitches (10)? Why is this?</p> <p>Once again site access will be an issue. Site access is at the end of Langley Walk, once again the road is very narrow so limited space is available. Traffic will be increased to intolerable levels.</p> <p>We believe that Travellers themselves actually prefer to be located further away from Residential Areas. We believe that this site is too close to existing properties. The Broadfield site is not directly beside an existing community and is on the edge of town which would be more beneficial for all parties. Also has a consideration be made for a permanent Site on Manor Royal? Here are vacant site's in this area, that would compliment everyone's needs.</p> <p>Langley Green is a Multi-Cultural part of Crawley, with many cultures living alongside each other. As a general rule this is harmonious, however fractions do sometimes occur. With the introduction of a further ethnic group's it is likely that hostilities could increase.</p> <p>I appreciate that Crawley needs to grow and be developed, however I believe that Langley Walk is not an ideal site for either proposals. It's not just the residents down Langley Walk that benefit from the green habitat and wildlife, but also the rest of the community within Langley Green and the surrounding areas.</p>	<p>The Broadfield Kennels site is larger than is required for around ten pitches to allow space to mitigate any landscape or access issues.</p> <p>See comment above regarding access and nature conservation.</p> <p>The local gypsy and traveller communities have been consulted on the proposals, as part of the Needs Assessment and directly as part of the Sites Consultation, including individuals within Crawley and through the local representative forums, as well as via the borough council and county council liaison officers. Representations have been received from members of this community supporting the approach to meeting needs for a pitch site as it arises and for the site identified.</p> <p>Existing rights of way would be taken into account.</p> <p>Land at Manor Royal is required for new employment and would not be close to community facilities, such as schools.</p> <p>For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p>

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
Karen & Phil Smith			Langley Walk is a lovely quiet green road, ourselves and many other residents have moved to Langley Walk for this reason. We have a wonderful country feel to our road; Horse riders, dog walkers, cyclists, joggers, children, families use the walk daily. We have strong concerns that both the proposed sites (Housing & Fixed Gypsy & Traveller) would create an unacceptable degree of noise and disturbance to the area. It will eliminate a lot of the greenery and generate extra volume of traffic which we feel will make the road unsafe to our regular users. Langley Walk is a narrow road; resident parking on Langley Walk narrows the road to a single lane as most houses have shared driveways. Parked cars on Langley Walk already have problems with swiped wing mirrors. The road really is unsuited for large vehicles and is restricted at either end with tight turns.	<p>The Highway Authority would consider whether the site could be suitable and safely accessed.</p> <p>For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p>
Karen & Phil Smith			The proposed plan for the Gypsy/Traveller site on Langley Walk also has us concerned regarding privacy issues. The site is overlooked by residential properties which could cause disturbance to both residents and site users.	<p>The impact of specific development proposals on the amenity of existing residents would be carefully considered.</p> <p>For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p>
Karen & Phil Smith			We are extremely anxious for our landscape, community and the environment and losing the identity of Langley Walk.	There is limited land available within the borough to meet housing needs. The Plan seeks to avoid the development of land that is protected by specific designations, such as Ancient Woodland, or land that is required to meet the specific needs of residents, such as playing fields.
	Langley Green Forum Minutes		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There are flooding problems with the Langley Walk site, also the location amongst a residential area is not suitable in terms of road conditions, access and the welfare of the local residents and the gypsy/travellers. 	<p>Development proposals would need to demonstrate that flooding issues had been taken into account and that any negative impacts could be addressed.</p> <p>The Highway Authority would comments on the suitability of the road as an access to any development site.</p> <p>For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p>

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
	Langley Green Forum Minutes		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> When the travellers were given permission to stay on Cherry Lane they left the site in a terrible state, what would the council do to make sure the site was kept clean and tidy? 	Any development would only proceed if it could be appropriately serviced and new residents provided with appropriate facilities. A permanent gypsy and traveller site would be managed.
	Langley Green Forum Minutes		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> What happens if people living outside of Langley Green agrees with the proposed traveller site on Langley Walk? 	The council would consider all comments on their planning merits.
	Langley Green Forum Minutes		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerns were raised regarding access for the large vehicles the travellers sight will attract 	The Highway Authority would consider whether the site could be suitably and safely accessed.
	Langley Green Forum Minutes		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> If the site is intended for families already living in Crawley where are these travellers living currently? 	Noted. The needs arise from those Gypsies and Travellers that currently reside in bricks and mortar accommodation and existing traveller sites.
	Langley Green Forum Minutes		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> I was refused planning permission for an extension, on the grounds of the special nature of the area. How does this impact on the 2029 proposals for the same area? 	The impact of specific proposals on factors of acknowledged importance, such as neighbour amenity, listed buildings and protected trees, would be considered on a case by case basis.
Mr Ishtiaq Ahmed			I am writing to air my strong concerns regarding the future planning for the land adjacent to the homes on Langley Walk. As residents of this vibrant street, my family and I heavily object to the proposed plans. The mere fact that us as homeowners on this street were not included when these plans were being discussed suggests that you as the Council are aware of the subsequent hostility that would follow and rightly so.	<p>The council has undertaken a site's consultation.</p> <p>For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p>
Mr Ishtiaq Ahmed			To begin, have you considered the uniqueness of Langley Walk? Our street boasts a scenic view that we certainly do not take for granted. There are not many areas of Crawley that have such a beautiful landscape and it is upsetting to know that the future of this, like many other natural areas, will fall victim to housing associations. Are you also aware of the wildlife within this area? There are many mammals living within the depths of the woods and I'd like to know how far you have considered this whilst making your plans?	<p>There is limited land available within the borough to meet housing needs. The Plan seeks to avoid the development of land that is protected by specific designations, such as Ancient Woodland, or land that is required to meet the specific needs of residents, such as playing fields.</p> <p>Development proposals would need to demonstrate that impacts on significant nature conservation assets are identified and mitigated.</p>
Mr Ishtiaq Ahmed			Furthermore, the plans will mean the inevitable widening of the road into the street which will further cut into patches of	The Highway Authority would consider whether the site could be suitably and safely accessed.

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			land in front of each house. This will cause huge inconvenience for us residents whilst all of this will be under construction. There are already many car owners on this street and so this will have an adverse effect on parking; not to mention the tight turns when entering and exiting the street. This will also no doubt affect the neighbouring streets, with the traffic and noise pollution.	
Mr Ishtiaq Ahmed			This leads me to another serious concern. The woodland area cancels the noise pollution that would otherwise be caused by the runways on Gatwick Airport. Furthermore, the plans for the new runway are not finalised which means we are not yet aware of where this will be built. If it is any closer to where we are, I shudder to think of the disturbance this will cause.	Trees have some impact on noise but the noise impact of Gatwick and a possible second runway would be considered as part of any development proposal.
Mr Ishtiaq Ahmed			I sincerely hope that our concerns as residents will be seriously considered. It is unfair to cause such grievances and I can only hope that you take the time to consider the factors that quite clearly have been dismissed. I appreciate that your role consists of providing more opportunities to house people but it is unacceptable that you do so at the expense of others.	<p>There is limited land available within the borough to meet housing needs. The Plan seeks to avoid the development of land that is protected by specific designations, such as Ancient Woodland, or land that is required to meet the specific needs of residents, such as playing fields. The impact on the needs of existing residents and on their amenity would be carefully considered.</p> <p>For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p>
M Cowles			<p>I live in Langley Walk (no.22) and work as an airline pilot – captain.</p> <p>As many folk are quick to remind me – I suppose I could afford to live elsewhere than on a housing estate – but I choose this so as to be able to support my ageing parents, who live in Stafford Road.</p> <p>I chose Langley Walk because a) I'm near them but also because my job can be stressful and involve shift work. Langley Walk is almost unique for a town road – with green spaces, trees, deer and other wildlife. It is used for horse walking/gallops etc.</p> <p>I am strongly opposed to both the portended building</p>	<p>Any development proposals would take account of the impact of flooding, local assets of acknowledged importance, Gatwick and the possibility of a second runway. The Highway Authority would consider whether the site could be suitably and safely accessed.</p> <p>For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p>

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			<p>development for housing and the Travellers site. There surely must be somewhere else where the impact will not be so noticeable! Does this proposal mean that the issue of Gatwick's second runway is now resolved?? Certainly, in the few years I've been here, traffic has increased several fold and the road geometry as it stands on the approaches to Langley Walk couldn't take any more – particularly Travellers who tend to use vans and tow vehicles as well! And, my first year here I was twice refused buildings insurance because of proximity to Mole River. So how would a housing development much nearer fair?</p>	
S Zambuni			<p>I am writing to say what I consider to be the main problems in the case of the proposed housing and Travellers sites. These are - access to the local area - flooding and excessive noise from Gatwick Airport. Access on all the roads in this area is a massive problem as there is heavy congestion due to the roads being so narrow and the large number of cars parked on them at any one time particularly weekends and evenings. The drainage in the fields North of Langley Walk has always been very bad as the soil is clay land and drains very badly. In the winter these fields are always flooded and at times can be impassable. This would be particularly unpleasant on the travellers' site as this also would be prone to flooding. This flooding is only likely to get worse in the event of an additional runway at Gatwick as there would be a huge area of new concrete and the run-off from this could affect the site.</p>	<p>Any development proposals would take account of the impact of flooding, local assets of acknowledged importance, Gatwick and the possibility of a second runway. The Highway Authority would consider whether the site could be suitably and safely accessed.</p> <p>For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p>
S Zambuni			<p>Noise would also be a serious problem on the Travellers site as there is permanent background noise from early morning to late evening from the airport which stops us opening our windows to let in air in the summer. This noise is much louder when the jet aircraft take off and would likely be unacceptable to the gypsies. Again, things would only get worse in the event of a new runway at Gatwick as it will be built closer to the site than the present runway is.</p>	See comment above.
Jo Mulville			<p>I am writing to register my objection to the proposed development of a Gypsy/Traveller site in Langley Walk. My</p>	<p>Noted. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels</p>

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			objections to this proposal are as follows:	option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Jo Mulville			Langley Walk is not a wide road, and is accessed at both ends by T-junctions with tight turns and many parked cars. The road itself has parked cars along its entire length making access for any wider vehicles and indeed the caravans that are kept on a site in the road, very difficult. The road is busy with traffic using it as a cut-through to field and horses from the local stables are regularly exercised on the road. Any extra traffic will make it more dangerous for the horses, their riders and the young children who live here.	The Highway Authority would consider whether the site could be suitably and safely accessed.
Jo Mulville			The area earmarked for this site is accessed by a narrow track directly opposite garages and back gardens. The access is compromised by parked cars along the length of the verge opposite. To quote borough councillor for Langley Green, Brenda Smith, 'it's quite close to residential areas and I know from the travelling community they don't particularly like that as its hassle for them'. This begs the question, why on earth is this site even being considered as an option? It's more than quite close; it's right on top of quite a few houses, and the local allotments.	See highways comment above. There is limited land available within the borough to meet housing needs. The Plan seeks to avoid the development of land that is protected by specific designations, such as Ancient Woodland, or land that is required to meet the specific needs of residents, such as playing fields. The impact on the needs of existing residents and on their amenity would be carefully considered.
Jo Mulville			The plan for the new runway to be built at Gatwick has yet to be finalised, and the council itself considers the site inappropriate should one of the options affecting the area be chosen, so why build it at all? The other site being considered near Buchan Park is much further away from residential areas and strikes me as a more sensible option. I don't consider the 'ramp' onto the site would cause problems for the travelling community, given the extreme measures local landowners are forced to go to in order keep them off their land.	The possible impact of a second runway would be taken into account. Comments regarding merits of the Broadfield Kennels site are noted.
Jo Mulville			I trust that a meeting for all the local people affected by this proposal is being arranged to enable us to make our objections in person.	The council has undertaken consultation including a local meeting. All comments are being considered.
Andrew Sander			I would like to start by clearly identifying my thoughts on the proposal. gypsy/Traveller Site: Strongly oppose	Noted.

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
Andrew Sander			An addition of a gypsy/traveller site on this road would be highly impractical. The road itself is far too narrow for large vehicles such as trucks, lorries and caravans which these communities use.	The Highway Authority would consider whether the site could be suitably and safely accessed.
Andrew Sander			Moreover, the traffic increase through the surrounding roads would make them more dangerous. We regularly have horses travelling to and from the stables at the end of the road, which the residents of the area enjoy seeing. The increased traffic will make these situations dangerous.	See highway comment above.
Andrew Sander			Further, there is an abundance of wildlife in the area that the site is proposed to be built on. The wildlife includes Deer, rare birds and Pipistrelle Bats (which are protected under the wildlife and countryside act 1981). The natural habitat of these animals will be disturbed beyond restoration. Furthermore, any disruption to the trees, which line the road, will increase noise pollution enormously. With talks of a second runway at Gatwick airport, the importance of these trees would be intensified, providing essential sound deadening.	The Plan seeks to avoid the development of land that is protected by specific designations. Trees have a limited impact on noise but the potential impact of a second runway would be taken into account.
Andrew Sander			It is of my opinion that the Broadfield Kennels location would be far better suited for the Gypsy/ Travellers. This site is easily accessible from a large road system that will effortlessly handle the increase in traffic and provide a wide road for the anticipated vehicles.	Comments regarding the Broadfield Kennels site noted. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Andrew Sander			After talking to some of the residents of the surrounding area a compromise could be made. If development work were completely necessary in the area north of Langley Walk, We would consider the possibility of a cemetery. This would be much more in keeping with the peaceful and picturesque scenery that we currently adore.	Comment noted. The Langley Walk site was considered for a cemetery use but was considered unsuitable owing to its limited size.
Mrs I Lovette			Access to/from Langley Walk will be problematic as the road is not capable of taking any more traffic. Parking is on one side of the road and vehicles have to make their way in and out of drive-ways to proceed down the single lane road. Langley Walk is very narrow and only has pavement on one side.	The Highway Authority would consider whether the site could be suitably and safely accessed.

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
Mrs I Lovette			There are two public footpaths that will be affected by the proposed development which will need to be re-sited and re-graded. As these are well used by the community they would be unsuitable for traffic.	The Highway Authority would consider the impact on any rights of way and whether any impact could be mitigated.
Mrs I Lovette			Langley Walk is totally unsuitable for the heavy vehicles which will be used to access the site whilst construction takes place.	See highway comment above.
Mrs I Lovette			Environment The area is an ancient historic woodland and the surrounding area is designated as one of outstanding natural beauty and a nature conservation area. Numerous birds and wildlife have been photographed in this area i.e. woodpeckers, nuthatches, green and goldfinches. Also deer and rabbits are frequently seen and it is also rumoured that there are bats within the area.	There is limited land available within the borough to meet housing needs. The Plan seeks to avoid the development of land that is protected by specific designations, such as Ancient Woodland
Mrs I Lovette			Flooding There is a high risk of constant flooding in the area.	The impact of flooding would be taken into account. Development proposals would need to demonstrate that any negative impacts could be addressed.
Mrs I Lovette			Water runoff New properties with drives and roofs will increase the run off in large quantities. All adding to flooding problems. Clean water and sewerage would need to be increased to accommodate the proposed extra housing.	See comment above.
Mrs I Lovette			Infrastructure There will need to be a huge new infrastructure and the costs would be prohibitive. Without new infrastructure the area will be overdeveloped and a burden placed on residents and the community.	New development would need to mitigate for additional burdens where these could not be met by existing infrastructure, for example through a financial contribution to upgrade local facilities.
Mrs I Lovette			With the proposed site being so close to current housing, noise, debris etc. from the proposed construction site will blight the area and also cause problems for the community.	Most new development has some impact during the construction phase. The impact on the needs of existing residents and on their amenity would be carefully considered.
Mrs I Lovette			Gatwick Airport If the 2"d runway goes ahead the proposed housing site will be subject to noise and pollution.	The impact of a possible second runway would be taken into account.
Mrs I Lovette			Air Quality There will be possible health issues due to discharge from aircraft already evident that will need to be dealt with.	See above.

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
Mrs I Lovette			The area identified for the site in Langley Walk is approximately half the size of that at Broadfield Kennels for the same 10 x fixed pitches.	The Broadfield Kennels site is larger than is required for around ten pitches to allow space to mitigate any landscape or access issues.
Mrs I Lovette			There are concerns regarding access to the site as Langley Walk is a cul-de-sac and the proposed site is to the closed end of the street thus increasing traffic to the further point of the road.	See highway comment above
Mrs I Lovette			The Broadfield site is more conducive as it is not directly beside an existing residential community but on the edge of the town.	Comment noted. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Mrs I Lovette			It is understood that Travellers themselves prefer to be away from residential areas as this causes them hassle and that this site is too close to existing properties.	The local gypsy and traveller communities have been consulted.
Mr B Lovette			Access to/from Langley Walk will be problematic as the road is not capable of taking any more traffic. Parking is on one side of the road and vehicles have to make their way in and out of drive-ways to proceed down the single lane road. Langley Walk is very narrow and only has pavement on one side.	See highway comment above.
Mr B Lovette			There are two public footpaths that will be affected by the proposed development which will need to be re-sited and re-graded. As these are well used by the community they would be unsuitable for traffic.	The Highway Authority would consider impacts on existing rights of way.
Mr B Lovette			Langley Walk is totally unsuitable for the heavy vehicles which will be used to access the site whilst construction takes place.	See highway comment above.
Mr B Lovette			Environment The area is an ancient historic woodland and the surrounding area is designated as one of outstanding natural beauty and a nature conservation area. Numerous birds and wildlife have been photographed in this area i.e. woodpeckers, nuthatches, green and goldfinches. Also deer and rabbits are frequently seen and it is also rumoured that there are bats within the area.	See comment above.

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
Mr B Lovette			Flooding There is a high risk of constant flooding in the area.	See comment above
Mr B Lovette			Water runoff New properties with drives and roofs will increase the run off in large quantities. All adding to flooding problems. Clean water and sewerage would need to be increased to accommodate the proposed extra housing.	See comment above
Mr B Lovette			Infrastructure There will need to be a huge new infrastructure and the costs would be prohibitive. Without new infrastructure the area will be overdeveloped and a burden placed on residents and the community.	See comment above
Mr B Lovette			With the proposed site being so close to current housing, noise, debris etc. from the proposed construction site will blight the area and also cause problems for the community.	See comment above
Mr B Lovette			Gatwick Airport If the 2"d runway goes ahead the proposed housing site will be subject to noise and pollution.	See comment above
Mr B Lovette			Air Quality There will be possible health issues due to discharge from aircraft already evident that will need to be dealt with.	See comment above
Mr B Lovette			The area identified for the site in Langley Walk is approximately half the size of that at Broadfield Kennels for the same 10 x fixed pitches.	See comment above
Mr B Lovette			There are concerns regarding access to the site as Langley Walk is a cul-de-sac and the proposed site is to the closed end of the street thus increasing traffic to the further point of the road.	See comment above
Mr B Lovette			The Broadfield site is more conducive as it is not directly beside an existing residential community but on the edge of the town.	Noted. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Mr B Lovette			It is understood that Travellers themselves prefer to be away from residential areas as this causes them hassle and that this site is too close to existing properties.	See comment above

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
Geyniera Corsini			In addition to completing the above form which I have returned under separate cover, I am writing to express my thoughts and concerns over the proposed travellers' site in Langley Walk, both from my prospective as an owner occupier and the prospective of dwellers on that site. I believe the proposed site is inappropriate both for the residents of Langley Walk and for anyone living on it.	Noted
Geyniera Corsini			It is inappropriate for caravans because of the extreme noise pollution levels suffered from aircraft at Gatwick Airport. We have for some years been under the apprehension (possibly mistakenly) that Crawley Council would not allow house building on the allotments in Langley Walk because of this noise and as the proposed site is adjacent to the allotments a similar ruling would apply. The noise from the airport is particularly severe in the summer months when, the aircraft regularly take off in an easterly direction. They taxi to and queue up at the Langley Green end of the runway all day long with their engines roaring and on reaching the runway they immediately accelerate with the resulting roar from their engines as they take off The noise is constant and it is impossible, even on the hottest days or evenings to have the windows open at the front of our house. It is bad enough in a cavity-wall, double-glazed house so I think it would be extremely uncomfortable in a caravan, even more so in the likely event of a second runway being built at Gatwick, which would bring the noise even closer to the site. I believe the travellers would not find it very pleasant living there. Gatwick was a small provincial airport built to serve the race course when we first came to Crawley some fifty years ago and there was no discernible noise from it at that time. Both the airport and the noise have grown immensely since then and are only likely to increase in the future.	The noise impact on any new development would be taken into account. Noise impact on caravans is greater than that on houses.
Geyniera Corsini			in addition to the above, this site and most of the fields in the surrounding area are poorly drained and get extremely wet and boggy in the winter and liable to flooding, making them a very unpleasant and unhealthy place to live.	The impact of flooding would be taken into account.
Geyniera Corsini			Access to the site could also be a problem as, like most of the roads in Crawley these days, parking causes severe congestion in the surrounding streets particularly in the	The Highway Authority would consider whether the site could be suitably and safely accessed. The site would be managed as a permanent site.

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			evenings and at weekends. Although your proposal states the site could accommodate 10 fixed pitches, it is quite possible that many more itinerant travellers might use the site for short stays, with Crawley Council unable to have any control over this. The coming and going of these travellers could cause severe traffic problems in the streets around the site.	
Geyniera Corsini			This proposal is likely to have an adverse effect on the surrounding housing market for the foreseeable future as it seems unlikely that any prospective buyer, on carrying out the usual searches and finding out about the proposed site, would wish to continue with their interest in the property, even at a greatly reduced price.	The potential positive or negative impact of appropriate development proposals on property values are not generally a planning consideration.
Geyniera Corsini			Finally, I believe the proposed site at Buchan Park would be much more suitable as it is in a pleasant and attractive area with no airport noise and is not directly adjacent to other properties. Also, as the site was previously used, amenities such as water and drainage are possibly already there.	Comment noted. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
GA Walker			Access from Langley Walk would be very difficult, noisy and potentially dangerous. The road is very narrow with paving to one side only and parking along one side of the road. Any increase in traffic would be unacceptable especially for large vehicles and caravans that would not easily navigate this road. As such damage and accidents would be inevitable.	The Highway Authority would consider whether the site could be suitably and safely accessed.
GA Walker			This is green belt land and is historic woodland with large parts designated as of outstanding natural beauty and a conservation area. As such this would be an inappropriate development.	There is limited land available within the borough to meet housing needs. The Plan seeks to avoid the development of land that is protected by specific designations, such as Ancient Woodland. There is no land designated as green belt in the borough.
GA Walker			This type of development would require appropriate infrastructure and as the existing facilities are currently overstretched, I believe the additional requirements this development would impose will put an overwhelming strain on local resources like doctors, schools etc. as the area would be overdeveloped.	New development would need to mitigate for additional burdens where these could not be met by existing infrastructure, for example through a financial contribution to upgrade local facilities.
GA Walker			The close proximity of this site and the people who would use it will likely lead to an increase in crime in the local area and	The potential positive or negative impact of appropriate development proposals on property values are not generally

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			definitely increase the fear of crime.	a planning consideration.
GA Walker			
GA Walker			History also demonstrates that members of the travelling community are attracted to each other with documented evidence showing that 1 caravan attracts 10. Thus a development for 10 pitches will likely mean 100 caravans forcing themselves into the area. Likely onto any available space they can find.	A permanent site would be managed. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
GA Walker			
GA Walker			This piece of land has major problems with flooding already and providing these pitches and the roadways to them will only exacerbate this problem and will likely lead to the spread of flooding to nearby housing.	The impact of flooding would be taken into account.
GA Walker			The additional resources required to deal with having such a site so close to residential areas would be a totally inappropriate use of taxpayers' money. ...	See comment above
GA Walker			
Mr John Sander			GYPSY/TRAVELLER SITE: STRONGLY OPPOSE	Noted
Mr John Sander			A totally unsuitable area, as access is very limited to accommodate their large trucks, vans and caravans, the road is narrow and sharp corners at both ends of the road. We have stables to one end with horses regularly trotting up and down the road at various times of the day, needless to say having more trucks/vans trying to manoeuvre around to give horses enough room would be a big hazard.	The Highway Authority would consider whether the site could be suitably and safely accessed.
Mr John Sander			This community doesn't like to be in one place, so why force them to be in one? If there is a need, Broadfield Kennels would be a better site as access wouldn't be a problem.	A proposed site would meet the need of the communities that wish to have a permanent home but still be able to travel for some months of the year. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Mr David Hughes			Having looked at the proposed map planned for the above,	Noted

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			this is to my/our mind in a totally unsuitable area.	
Mr David Hughes			Access to the road is impossibly difficult as most of the men Work in either construction or metal collection and they mostly drive trucks with low bars, which means caravans and lots of noise.	The Highway Authority would consider whether the site could be suitably and safely accessed.
Mr David Hughes			There is stabling in this area and horses trot up and down the road evenings and weekends so there is little room for manoeuvre here. The horses are supposed to go on the road there is nowhere else for them to go.	See above
Mr David Hughes			The other site is near Buchan Park. While it is slightly on the edge of Crawley they all have cars or vans and would seem to be a more suitable area.	Noted. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Mr & Mrs J & I Mamo			I am writing to you in concern with the Travellers we have been told are going to have the field at the back of us, which will be very unsuitable as we are homeowners and if we want to sell our house it will devalue it, and also we have enough noise from Gatwick with the plains taking off.	The potential positive or negative impact of appropriate development proposals on property values are not generally a planning consideration. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Mr & Mrs J & I Mamo			The children want to be able to play on the grass at the front, not be afraid to.	Additional residents would not necessarily result in an increase in crime.
Mr & Mrs J & I Mamo			We've been here 25yrs and we've had a quite life here, now we're getting older we don't want to put up with them, it's bad enough we have to put up with druggies on the corner of us without them as well.	Noted.
Mr & Mrs J & I Mamo			There also will be more noise from them with traffic with the cars and lorries coming backwards and forwards, also they will have to cut the trees down to let them in which they don't cut down very much for anyone else.	The Highway Authority would consider whether the site could be suitably and safely accessed.
Mr & Mrs J & I Mamo			So we hope you respect our wishes and have a re-think where you want to put them.	Noted.
Mrs M Smith			With regards to the traveller's site at the end of our road this	The potential positive or negative impact of appropriate

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			will greatly devalue our properties and we will be living in fear for our security and safety. How would you like a traveller's site at the end of your road! As this will greatly devalue our property (lets face it who would want to buy a house within a short distance from a traveller's site) how much compensation and reduction of rates will you be giving us all. This would need to be very substantial indeed for lowering our neighbourhood!	development proposals on property values are not generally a planning consideration. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Mrs M Smith			All the problems associated with the above would also apply to this site.	Noted.
Mrs M Smith			The area identified for the site in Langley Walk is approximately half the size of that at Broadfield Kennels for the same 10 x fixed pitches	The Broadfield Kennels site is larger than is required for around ten pitches to allow space to mitigate any landscape or access issues.
Mrs M Smith			Concerns regarding access to the site Langley Walk is a cul-de-sac. And the proposed site is to the closed end of the street. This increases traffic to the further point of the road.	The Highway Authority would consider whether the site could be suitably and safely accessed.
Mrs M Smith			The Broadfield site is more conducive as it not directly beside an existing community but on the edge of the town	Noted
Mrs M Smith			We believe that Travellers themselves prefer to be further away from residential areas and that this site is too close to existing properties.	The gypsy and traveller community have been consulted.
Rob Horton			We are writing to you to object strongly to your latest proposal for the two developments in Langley Walk, Langley Green. Mainly on the grounds that these narrow roads have enough problems with the flow of traffic, and the parking as it is without adding more congestion. Also there is only one footpath on one side of Langley walk, traffic already is a one way street, when the recycle or rubbish bins are being collected, and a further hold up when delivery vans or vehicles are arriving. We purchased our property in Langley Walk because of the beautiful trees, fields and Green open space, while being surrounded by allotments, horse fields and wildlife.	The Highway Authority would consider whether the site could be suitably and safely accessed.
Rob Horton			With regards to your proposal of the Gypsy & Travellers site, we feel strongly this would not be a suitable place for them on the grounds as above to the traffic and if you check your	A permanent site would provide appropriate facilities for new residents. The potential positive or negative impact of appropriate development proposals on property values are

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			records they had to be forced from Cherry Lane by the council, and a huge cost to council tax payers to clear up the extreme filth and mess they left behind. I personally think the cost of our property will severely de-value if they move to this area, also a security issue will arise.	not generally a planning consideration.
Rob Horton			The other proposed site at Broadfield Kennels would meet their needs better, as the road into the kennels comes straight off a main road for their Caravans and trailers, also for the commuting of their trucks & vans on a regular daily basis. It is also close to all their amenities such as schools shops ect.	Noted. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
L Corsini			I consider the main problems with the proposed housing site and the travellers' site to be basically the same, i.e. access to the area, drainage (flooding) and excessive noise from Gatwick Airport.	Any development proposals would take account of the impact of flooding, local assets of acknowledged importance, Gatwick and the possibility of a second runway. The Highway Authority would consider whether the site could be suitably and safely accessed. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
L Corsini			Access on all the roads in this area is a huge problem as there is heavy congestion due to the narrowness of the roads and the many cars parked on them, particularly at weekends and evenings.	See above.
L Corsini			The drainage in the fields North of Langley Walk has always been very poor as the land consists of thick goeey clay and is very water retentive. Every winter and all winter, when there has been fairly heavy rainfall, all the fields are under several inches of water and are only passable wearing wellington boots. This would be particularly unpleasant on the travellers' site as presumably the areas around the hard- standings would remain earth or grass and therefore be liable to flood. This flooding is only likely to get worse in the event of an additional runway at Gatwick as this vast new area of concrete will require run-off and drainage and could affect the site.	The impact of flooding would be taken into account.
L Corsini			Noise would also be a serious problem on the Travellers site as there is a permanent hum from the airport from about five	The impact of noise from Gatwick would be taken into account. Comments regarding the Broadfield Kennels site

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			<p>in the morning to the late evening preventing us from opening our windows in the summer. This hum is interspersed with the high pitched noise of the jet engines as the aircraft take off and would be very unpleasant for anyone living in a caravan and I believe would be totally unacceptable to the gypsies. Once again, things can only get worse in the event of a new runway at Gatwick as it will be built closer to the site than the present runway is. It seems to me from the meeting last night that there is only a perceived need for this site and in fact this need may not exist at all. By the very nature of the word traveller they are unlikely to want to settle in one area and if they do, will not they want bricks and mortar rather than a caravan. I believe, in these circumstances, as Crawley has by law to provide this site, the favoured position should be at Buchan Park Kennels as it would not affect anyone if it were not used. The site is twice the size of the proposed one at Langley Walk and presumably has electricity, fresh water, drainage and some concreted areas already as it was used as a business. In addition it has easy access to main roads and being on the edge of town is not close to existing properties which I believe the Travellers would prefer.</p>	noted.
Mr H Polkey			<p>Access on all the roads in this area is a big problem as the roads are heavily congested with a large number of cars being parked them at any one time particularly weekends and evenings.</p>	The Highway Authority would consider whether the site could be suitably and safely accessed.
Mr H Polkey			<p>The drainage in the fields North of Langley Walk is very poor because of the clay soil which prevents good drainages. In the winter these fields are always flooded and often are impassable. This would also affect the travellers' site in the same area which would also be prone to flood. This flooding is only likely to get worse in the event of an additional runway at Gatwick as there would be a huge area of new concrete and the run-off from this could affect the site.</p>	The impact of flooding would be taken into account.
Mr H Polkey			<p>Noise would also be a serious problem on the Travellers site as there is permanent background noise from early morning to late evening from the airport. This prevents us opening our windows to let in air in the summer. This noise is much louder when the jet aircraft take off and would likely be unacceptable to the travellers. Again, things would only get worse in the event of a new runway at Gatwick as it will be built closer to</p>	The impact of Gatwick would be taken into account.

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			the site than the present runway is.	
Mr H Polkey			I believe the best site would be the one at Buchan Park Kennels as the site is twice as big as the proposed one at Langley Walk and presumably have electricity, fresh water, drainage and some concreted areas already as it was used as a business. It also has easy access to main roads and being on the edge of town is not close to existing properties which I believe the Travellers would prefer.	Noted. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
VR			<p>I am writing to oppose to your proposal for housing development, traveller site and cemetery site in Langley Green and lfield. In recent years there have been lots of green areas which have been homes to various wildlife and play areas for children. Listed below are the areas of land taken for other uses in Langley Green and lfield.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Along lfield Avenue stretching to lfield Green there is now housing and a mosque 2. East of Cherry Lane park is now work units and County Oak retail park 3. Juniper road several houses have lost back gardens for housing 4. Flats have been built by Langley Green shops and between Rushetts road and Fox Close <p>We are now left with only small pockets of green land, 15 years ago there was a herd of deer now you are lucky to see one, soon there will be no space for wildlife in our town. We are still under threat of a second runway at Gatwick which is proposed south of the existing one which brings it closer to Langley Walk; reducing yet more green areas of natural beauty and causing more noise pollution.</p> <p>Since building work of houses in Walnut Lane there has been severe problem with flooding as the drains are unable to contain the extra water from these houses, what will happen if more are built. The proposed traveller site already gets flooded for most of the year as it is a designated flood plain so therefore unsuitable for families. Traffic would be a huge problem as Langley Walk does not have enough parking and therefore already causes problems with existing residents when using the roads, caravans / construction Lorries would find it difficult to use.</p> <p>I believe that travellers themselves prefer to be further away</p>	<p>There is limited developable land available within the borough. Whilst new development is necessary to meet the need of the growing town the Plan also takes account of the needs of existing residents, for playing fields.</p> <p>The Highways Authority would consider the safety and suitability of the access.</p> <p>The noise impact from Gatwick would also be considered.</p> <p>The gypsy and traveller communities have been consulted.</p> <p>A permanent site would have the appropriate facilities for new residents and be managed.</p> <p>For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p>

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			<p>from residential areas and that the proposed site is too close to existing properties.</p> <p>On a personal level I have lived in Langley Green for 50 years and have seen travellers setting up home in cherry lane park, the mess left behind when they move on cause's distress and incurs a lot of cost to the council to clear up.</p>	
PS			<p>I am writing to oppose to your proposal for housing development, traveller site and cemetery site in Langley Green and lfield. In recent years there have been lots of green areas which have been homes to various wildlife and play areas for children. Listed below are the areas of land taken for other uses in Langley Green and lfield.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Along lfield Avenue stretching to lfield Green there is now housing and a mosque 2. East of Cherry Lane park is now work units and County Oak retail park 3. Juniper road several houses have lost back gardens for housing 4. Flats have been built by Langley Green shops and between Rushetts road and Fox Close <p>We are now left with only small pockets of green land, 15 years ago there was a herd of deer now you are lucky to see one, soon there will be no space for wildlife in our town. We are still under threat of a second runway at Gatwick which is proposed south of the existing one which brings it closer to Langley Walk; reducing yet more green areas of natural beauty and causing more noise pollution.</p> <p>Since building work of houses in Walnut Lane there has been severe problem with flooding as the drains are unable to contain the extra water from these houses, what will happen if more are built. The proposed traveller site already gets flooded for most of the year as it is a designated flood plain so therefore unsuitable for families. Traffic would be a huge problem as Langley Walk does not have enough parking and therefore already causes problems with existing residents when using the roads, caravans / construction Lorries would find it difficult to use.</p> <p>I believe that travellers themselves prefer to be further away from residential areas and that the proposed site is too close to existing properties.</p>	See comments above.

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			On a personal level I have lived in Langley Green for 20 years and have seen travellers setting up home in cherry lane park, the mess left behind when they move on cause's distress and incurs a lot of cost to the council to clear up.	
Mr RS Upton			<p>I am writing to oppose to your proposal for housing development, traveller site and cemetery site in Langley Green and lfield. In recent years there have been lots of green areas which have been homes to various wildlife and play areas for children. Listed below are the areas of land taken for other uses in Langley Green and lfield.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Along lfield Avenue stretching to lfield Green there is now housing and a mosque 2. East of Cherry Lane park is now work units and County Oak retail park 3. Juniper road several houses have lost back gardens for housing 4. Flats have been built by Langley Green shops and between Rushetts road and Fox Close <p>We are now left with only small pockets of green land, 15 years ago there was a herd of deer now you are lucky to see one, soon there will be no space for wildlife in our town. We are still under threat of a second runway at Gatwick which is proposed south of the existing one which brings it closer to Langley Walk; reducing yet more green areas of natural beauty and causing more noise pollution.</p> <p>Since building work of houses in Walnut Lane there has been severe problem with flooding as the drains are unable to contain the extra water from these houses, what will happen if more are built. The proposed traveller site already gets flooded for most of the year as it is a designated flood plain so therefore unsuitable for families. Traffic would be a huge problem as Langley Walk does not have enough parking and therefore already causes problems with existing residents when using the roads, caravans / construction Lorries would find it difficult to use.</p> <p>I believe that travellers themselves prefer to be further away from residential areas and that the proposed site is too close to existing properties.</p> <p>On a personal level I have lived in Langley Green for 50 years and have seen travellers setting up home in cherry lane park, the mess left behind when they move on cause's</p>	See comments above

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			distress and incurs a lot of cost to the council to clear up.	
Mrs CJ Upton			<p>I am writing to oppose to your proposal for housing development, traveller site and cemetery site in Langley Green and lfield. In recent years there have been lots of green areas which have been homes to various wildlife and play areas for children. Listed below are the areas of land taken for other uses in Langley Green and lfield.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Along lfield Avenue stretching to lfield Green there is now housing and a mosque 2. East of Cherry Lane park is now work units and County Oak retail park 3. Juniper road several houses have lost back gardens for housing 4. Flats have been built by Langley Green shops and between Rushetts road and Fox Close <p>We are now left with only small pockets of green land, 15 years ago there was a herd of deer now you are lucky to see one, soon there will be no space for wildlife in our town. We are still under threat of a second runway at Gatwick which is proposed south of the existing one which brings it closer to Langley Walk; reducing yet more green areas of natural beauty and causing more noise pollution.</p> <p>Since building work of houses in Walnut Lane there has been severe problem with flooding as the drains are unable to contain the extra water from these houses, what will happen if more are built. The proposed traveller site already gets flooded for most of the year as it is a designated flood plain so therefore unsuitable for families. Traffic would be a huge problem as Langley Walk does not have enough parking and therefore already causes problems with existing residents when using the roads, caravans / construction Lorries would find it difficult to use.</p> <p>I believe that travellers themselves prefer to be further away from residential areas and that the proposed site is too close to existing properties.</p> <p>On a personal level I have lived in Langley Green for 35 years and have seen travellers setting up home in cherry lane park, the mess left behind when they move on cause's distress and incurs a lot of cost to the council to clear up. My husband has an allotment which is adjacent to the proposed travellers site which is a concern and the thought of these travellers living about 30 metres from my home for the rest of my life, I feel</p>	See comments above

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			will put me under a lot of stress and I have serious concerns that my health would suffer.	
Mrs LL Whitfield			<p>I am writing to oppose to your proposal for housing development, traveller site and cemetery site in Langley Green and lfield. In recent years there have been lots of green areas which have been homes to various wildlife and play areas for children. Listed below are the areas of land taken for other uses in Langley Green and lfield.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Along lfield Avenue stretching to lfield Green there is now housing and a mosque 2. East of Cherry Lane park is now work units and County Oak retail park 3. Juniper road several houses have lost back gardens for housing 4. Flats have been built by Langley Green shops and between Rushetts road and Fox Close <p>We are now left with only small pockets of green land, 15 years ago there was a herd of deer now you are lucky to see one, soon there will be no space for wildlife in our town. We are still under threat of a second runway at Gatwick which is proposed south of the existing one which brings it closer to Langley Walk; reducing yet more green areas of natural beauty and causing more noise pollution.</p> <p>Since building work of houses in Walnut Lane there has been severe problem with flooding as the drains are unable to contain the extra water from these houses, what will happen if more are built. The proposed traveller site already gets flooded for most of the year as it is a designated flood plain so therefore unsuitable for families. Traffic would be a huge problem as Langley Walk does not have enough parking and therefore already causes problems with existing residents when using the roads, caravans / construction Lorries would find it difficult to use.</p> <p>I believe that travellers themselves prefer to be further away from residential areas and that the proposed site is too close to existing properties.</p> <p>On a personal level I grew up in Langley Green until I was 20 there have been many occasions the travellers have set up home in cherry lane park, the mess left behind when they move on caused distress and incurred a lot of cost to the council to clear up.</p> <p>On a personal level I didn't feel safe going to cherry lane park</p>	See comments above

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			as a child to play and I have concerns about the closeness of this proposed site to my parents' home, one being the stress it will cause them and two they look after my two children so I can work.	
Mr Wayne Bonner			<p>Langley Walk Access/Roads</p> <p>The road infrastructure is not capable of handling any further traffic. As it stands at the moment, the road is always full of potholes and in general disrepair with no repairs being completed on a regular basis. With the additional traffic flow that extra housing would cause, the road state would become a continuous problem.</p> <p>The road is extremely narrow with cars parked on one side of the road. There is only pavement on one side for pedestrians. The road is lined with Oak trees which we believe are to be preserved.</p> <p>With more housing, comes more cars and in turn more speed, therefore causing a higher risk of accidents happening. Langley Walk has always been a safe area on the road, but I fear that this will change with the introduction of more traffic.</p> <p>This leads onto the danger to wild life. Having lived down Langley Walk we see much wildlife ie: foxes and deer especially. Prior to the new housing being built at the end of Langley Walk I had never seen an animal killed on the road however since the new housing has been built I have seen 2x deer and 2x foxes killed. This in no way lays blame towards the new residents but my guess is that this is due to the additional amount of traffic, as well as the speed involved therefore placing more wildlife at risk.</p> <p>The two lanes that would take up part of the proposed access for the new housing are also Public Footpaths. These are in continuous use by ramblers and dog walkers alike as well as the rest of the local community. These would need to be re-sited as the lanes are really not suitable for the additional traffic created.</p> <p>Access to the Site for Heavy Goods Vehicles while construction takes place would need to be via Langley Walk. The road itself is not sufficient for these types of vehicles.</p>	The Highways Authority would consider the safety and suitability of the access and any impacts on rights of way.
Mr Wayne Bonner			<p>Environment</p> <p>The area is known for its beauty and woodland. Langley Walk has many ramblers that come and enjoy the trails as</p>	Noted. The impact of development on designated sites or protected species would be taken into account.

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			<p>well as regular dog walkers. The surrounding area is also designated as one of natural beauty and a nature conservation area.</p> <p>The area is known for its vast wildlife ranging from Foxes, Deer and Rabbits to woodpeckers, nuthatches, green & gold finches and also bats. I believe bat's are a protected species and where possible should not be disturbed.</p>	
Mr Wayne Bonner			<p>Flooding The area is known for its flooding. What steps would need to be taken to assure that any new dwellings do not continuously flood especially as the weather pattern in this country seems to be worsening. Some area's can become impassable?</p> <p>There is also the issue of water runoff. The area around the current properties are ditched and although this works well most of the time with the water making its way to the brook over at Willoughby fields, flooding does still occur. Any new properties with driveways and roofs etc will cause additional run off and therefore the flooding will become even worse.</p>	The advice of the Environment Agency would be sought and development proposals would need to address negative impacts.
Mr Wayne Bonner			<p>Noise/Pollution If the proposal goes ahead, the length of time that this will take will have a huge knock on effect to local residents. The area will become overdeveloped, noise and debris will rise. Gatwick's 2nd runway has also been on the cards for some time. If this was to occur, would this new site even still be a viable project? There would also be a reduction of trees in the area to accommodate the new site, this would mean that there is less of a block against noise at its current level, let alone if a 2nd runway is built.</p>	Most development can have some disruptive effects during construction but the hours of operation can be controlled. The impact of a second runway would be taken into account.
Mr Wayne Bonner			<p>Crime Langley Walk has always been a relatively crime free area. The introduction of additional housing will see the crime rate increase. More housing opens up more opportunities for thieves and therefore additional cost would be involved as the area would require additional policing.</p>	Additional residents would not necessarily lead to an increase in crime.
Mr Wayne Bonner			<p>Education Langley Green Primary School is currently only running as Satisfactory with Ofsted. With the increase of Housing comes the increase of children who require schooling. If the school</p>	New development would need to mitigate for additional burdens where these could not be met by existing infrastructure, for example through a financial contribution to

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			is struggling to meet its standards now, how will it cope with more residents and attending pupils. The school does an outstanding job with the resources available to them. It's a multi ethnic school, so already has many obstacles. With the additional housing would mean additional places required and therefore a reduction of standard is inevitable.	upgrade local facilities.
Mr Wayne Bonner			The area that has been suggested for this site in Langley Walk is around half the size of that at Broadfield kennels and yet it is going to hold the same amount of fixed pitches (10)? Why is this?	The Broadfield Kennels site is larger than is required for around ten pitches to allow space to mitigate any landscape or access issues.
Mr Wayne Bonner			Once again site access will be an issue. Site access is at the end of Langley Walk, once again the road is very narrow so limited space is available. Traffic will be increased to intolerable levels.	See highway comment above
Mr Wayne Bonner			We believe that Travellers themselves actually prefer to be located further away from Residential Areas. We believe that this site is too close to existing properties. The Broadfield site is not directly beside an existing community and is on the edge of town which would be more beneficial for all parties. Also has a consideration be made for a permanent Site on Manor Royal? Here are vacant site's in this area, that would compliment everyone's needs.	The gypsy and traveller communities have been consulted. Manor Royal is too distant for new residents to access services and facilities and the land is required for new employment. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Mr Wayne Bonner			Langley Green is a Multi-Cultural part of Crawley, with many cultures living alongside each other. As a general rule this is harmonious, however factions do sometimes occur. With the introduction of a further ethnic group's it is likely that hostilities could increase.	Noted
Mr Wayne Bonner			I appreciate that Crawley needs to grow and be developed, however I believe that Langley Walk is not an ideal site for either proposals. It's not just the residents down Langley Walk that benefit from the green habitat and wildlife, but also the rest of the community within Langley Green and the surrounding areas.	There is limited developable land available within the borough. Whilst new development is necessary to meet the need of the growing town the Plan also takes account of the needs of existing residents, for playing fields.
Mr R Woods			The immediate areas adjacent to the propose site are Residential and Recreational	The proposed use would be residential. The council is required to meeting housing needs. Comment

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			<p>Hence the Travellers Site would be Out of Keeping with the surrounding land use</p> <p>The site at Broadfield Kennels, if we have got to have one, seems a much more acceptable place with access from bypass and away from established residential properties</p> <p>The area around the proposed site contains Cherry Lane Playing Fields which is used for Football, Cricket and Bowls</p> <p>There is an Adventure Playground and BMX Track and on one side of the proposed site are Allotments</p> <p>Many people have put much work into their employment and leisure interests in this area and would be spoilt by this type of development</p> <p>The Little Balgair Grazing Stables, which occupies the proposed site, is part of this recreational mix and has added to this diversity and would be displaced for the Travellers</p> <p>Hundreds of Residential properties front or back onto the access road (Langley Walk) and the site itself</p> <p>This residential area would be blighted by this development.</p> <p>In this long period (and sustained) recession the last thing mortgage payers want is a huge reduction in their properties market value, especially those with newly built properties</p> <p>The inevitable expansion of Gatwick already has this type of effect already</p> <p>Many residents (like myself) are now pensioners and are looking forward to a long retirement and staying in this area of Crawley, mainly because we have no choice</p> <p>There is low level crime associated with this area of Langley Green already...</p> <p>Access is restricted at both ends with on street residential parking</p> <p>I live on the junction of Langley Walk with Stafford Road and the lack of space at the junction continually causes maneuvering problems for larger vehicles</p> <p>We do not want further light commercial traffic down an already narrow residential road</p> <p>The site and the surrounding area around the Proposed Site is very wet and boggy</p> <p>It's basically the flood plain of the nearby River Mole</p> <p>The whole site floods when there is heavy rainfall. This winter the riding stables road side field was under water</p> <p>The surrounding area and the River Mole is uncultivated which allows the wild life to thrive and with the mature trees help to reduce noise pollution from Gatwick</p>	<p>regarding the Broadfield Kennels site noted. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p> <p>Additional residents are likely to benefit from and contribute to local employment and leisure opportunities.</p> <p>The loss of the stables may affect the area but the loss is not a planning issue compared to, for example, the loss of playing pitches.</p> <p>The potential positive or negative impact of appropriate development proposals on property values are not generally a planning consideration.</p> <p>The impact of a potential second runway would be taken into account.</p> <p>Additional residents would not necessarily result in an increase in crime.</p> <p>The Highways Authority would consider the safety and suitability of the access and any impacts on rights of way.</p> <p>The impact of flooding and the impact on ecologically protected areas and species would be taken into account.</p> <p>The proposal would be for permanent pitches with appropriate facilities on a managed site. The council is required to meet the quantifiable needs of the gypsy and traveller communities.</p>

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			<p>There will eventually be pressure to extend (towards Gatwick) the original ten sites and more Travellers would no doubt arrive illegally, which usually happens. There is scope to park on nearby verges and in residential roads</p> <p>I believe this has happened in the past north of the proposed site</p> <p>...</p> <p>Clearing up their vacated sites costs local Council Tax Payers a lot of money</p> <p>What is the cost? Surely there are more disserving long term residents in Crawley</p> <p>This proposal seems like positive discrimination towards the Travellers</p> <p>If Crawley just has not got a suitable site its nobodies fault</p>	
Rachel Price			<p>I am writing this letter as a petition and complaint against the proposed gypsy site in Langley Green for the following reasons:</p> <p>...</p> <p>I also walk my dog every day in the rugby fields which is accessed down the lanes past Langley Grange. I am worried again about feeling threatened or intimidated when it is 6.30 - 7 am in the morning and dark.</p> <p>I know you will say they are respectable people but in all honesty, we all know this is not the case. This community have absolutely NO RESPECT for people around them. We have them housed three doors down from us and this has been proved time and time again..They just park their cars where they want, across driveways and blocking the neighbors in. There was an issue with their dog being kept out the front running loose all the time.</p> <p>When there were travelers parked up near Langley Green farm a few years ago, again there was no respect.Their dogs just went where they wanted and there was mess everywhere.</p> <p>I found this very intimidating walking my own dog past them. Can you give me a promised guarantee that if dog fouling goes on that you will be giving out fines to them as you do to the ordinary public, and if any rubbish is left laying around, you will be there ordering them to pick it up, because at the moment they are allowed to get away with anything that us public seem to get prosecuted for?</p> <p>The rugby fields themselves are used for rugby matches. The</p>	<p>The proposal would be for a managed site with appropriate facilities for the residents.</p> <p>The Highways Authority would consider the safety and suitability of the access and any impacts on rights of way.</p> <p>For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p>

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			<p>travellers will have easy access to these fields for their own recreation. There is the potential for all sorts of issues here, including dog mess and rubbish.</p> <p>Also the houses down Langley Walk. The value will be de-valued by having a travellers site in the same road. Especially the house who's back garden fence backs onto the potential site. Surely this cannot be allowed.</p> <p>It seems ludicrous that consideration is even being given to having a travellers site in the same road as a housing estate - I thought this was NOT ALLOWED ??? The roads are not that wide which would put a risk to everyone who has to park their cars along that road and the children that play out side their own houses.</p> <p>****PLEASE RECONSIDER THIS PLANNING DEVELOPMENT****</p>	
Lynsey Woods			<p>I wish to make objection to the proposed Traveller site located at Langley Walk.</p> <p>My parents have lived in Stafford Road for over 40 years and I have grown up here. Langley Walk is lovely peaceful residential area with lots of fields, trees and farmland. People love to walk their dogs and ride their horses. Cherry Tree Playground is also located in the area which attracts lots of Children. Not to mention the tennis courts, cricket ground, club, and further playground for smaller children.</p> <p>The site is proposed to be built on top of existing horse stables which have been there for years – why would you want to change this from a lovely peaceful field full of grazing horses to a concrete parking lot full of caravans?</p> <p>My parents also manage an allotment next door to the proposed site. Again, why turn this peaceful safe and crime free area into somewhere where my parents would feel scared or intimidated to spend their afternoons?</p> <p>If this plan goes ahead, the house prices in the area would be significantly affected. How would anyone be able to sell their house?</p>	<p>The loss of the stables may affect the area but the loss is not a planning issue compared to, for example, the loss of playing pitches.</p> <p>Additional residents would not necessarily result in an increase in crime or undermine all of the positive features in the area.</p> <p>The potential positive or negative impact of appropriate development proposals on property values are not generally a planning consideration.</p> <p>For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p>
Kai Fan			<p>I'm writing to object the plans for the patch of land in Langley walk for a gypsy site.</p> <p>I feel this would be bad for the community and will cause more crime in the area. I feel that the council should not waste money on this as this will have a major impact on the tax payers in the area on the repairs on the streets, extra</p>	<p>The proposal would be for a permanent, managed site with facilities for the residents.</p> <p>Additional residents would not necessarily result in an increase in crime.</p> <p>For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent</p>

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			security that's needed like patrol officers or CCTV's I feel strongly about this as gypsies don't contribute to the community and will only cause unrest in the area and Crawley as a whole.	gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Mr S Woods			<p>I wish to make known my objections to this proposal; I visit my parents, who live at the end of Langley Walk, and walk our dog with them around this area This is also my home where I was brought up.</p> <p>The Proposed Travellers Site would be completely out of keeping with this Valuable and Diverse Recreational area of Crawley. Losing the Riding Stables site to Travellers would be unacceptable</p> <p>This Facility contains Sports Fields and Bowls, Allotments and Riding Stables, BMX Track and an Adventure Playground for the children!!</p> <p>Hundreds of Residential properties front or back onto the access road and the site itself</p> <p>This residential area would be blighted by this development and have a detrimental effect on an already difficult market situation for property owners, especially those with nearby newly built properties.</p> <p>In walking the surrounding area, the Proposed Site can be seen to be very wet and boggy from the overflowing nearby River Mole after heavy rain</p> <p>This uncultivated area allows the wild life to thrive and with the mature trees help to reduce noise pollution from Gatwick for the Residents.</p> <p>There will eventually be pressure to extend (towards Gatwick) the original ten sites.</p> <p>More Travellers would no doubt arrive and occupy the site illegally or park on nearby verges and in residential roads. Clearing up their vacated sites costs local Council Tax Payers a lot of money</p> <p>A Travellers site should be acceptable to everyone concerned and if there is nothing suitable in Crawley then it cannot come to fruition</p> <p>....</p>	<p>The loss of the stables may affect the area but the loss is not a planning issue compared to, for example, the loss of playing pitches.</p> <p>The potential positive or negative impact of appropriate development proposals on property values are not generally a planning consideration.</p> <p>The impact of flooding and the impact on ecologically protected areas and species would be taken into account.</p> <p>The proposal would be for permanent pitches with appropriate facilities on a managed site. The council is required to meet the quantifiable needs of the gypsy and traveller communities.</p> <p>For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p>
Mrs J R Mitchell			<p>Firstly, I understand that the housing proposal has been deemed by the council as unsuitable at this moment in time. This is the correct decision as access to the area is very limited. Other reasons include poor drainage at the site, this</p>	<p>Comments noted. The council acknowledges the transport/access, noise and flooding issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further assessment work.</p>

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			is due to the River Mole in close proximity.	This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing.
Mrs J R Mitchell			Last year the ditches were full to capacity and water was running onto the roads and footpaths. This water also seeped back under my house due to the overwhelmed, overstretched drainage systems. This is also an area of natural beauty and holds a lot of wildlife in its habitats.	See above. Impacts on protected ecological areas or species would be taken into account.
Mrs J R Mitchell			Secondly, the proposed Gypsy and Traveller site on land at Langley Walk is not particularly suitable as it is smack bang in the middle of a 'settled' community. You have said yourselves that Gypsy/Travellers are very sensitive to noise and airport noise does occur here.	See above
Mrs J R Mitchell			If this were to go ahead and the current tenants, whom have horses on the site, were evicted to make way for Gypsies then feelings and tensions would run very high within our community.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
J Kite			It is with a great deal of concern that I write to comment on your forward plan which you have in mind for our road, (2029). Without a doubt it is most unsuitable for this road. To the residents whose children grew up here it has always been an oasis where one can walk the dogs, see green fields and see wildlife.	Comment noted.
J Kite			The area is of poor quality however with airport noise and some pollution and flooding. An abundance of birds come across to my garden including green and spotted woodpeckers. We also have bats. It is the last area of truly wild with hedges and ditches and peace. While it may not be perfect, it is a green space and we need it for future children to play.	See above. Impacts on protected ecological areas or species would be taken into account. The Council acknowledges the transport/access, noise and flooding issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing.
J Kite			The infrastructure is all wrong, the roads are far too narrow to take vehicles and care has to be taken because cars are parked nose to tail already, and before now I have had to pull in on someone's drive to allow big lorries (council carts, caravans etc) to pass by.	See above.

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
J Kite			The site you wish to put 10 pitches on for Gypsy/Travellers is also a no go area. They prefer to stay close to each other and usually out of the public eye, this is why the site near Buchan Park is more suited to that life style, the fact that it is on the edge of the town is to their good.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Mr & Mrs Thornback			We object to the proposed site above for the following reasons: - 1) Langley Walk could not take anymore traffic 2) Too near residential area 3) Noise and pollution from generators 4) Ruining the natural beauty of the area and wildlife 5) Tight access into site	Impacts on protected ecological areas or species would be taken into account. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. The council acknowledges the transport/access, noise and flooding issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing.
Mr Stephen Marriott			The proposal is flawed in that the following: - • The road infrastructure is too small to support a large number of caravans in transit. • Grassed area is being sacrificed which will be detrimental to the wildlife using the land. • Only route out of the area would be Langley Walk. I would ask for you to reconsider this proposal in light of the objections above.	Impacts on protected ecological areas or species would be taken into account. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. The Council acknowledges the transport/access, noise and flooding issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing.
Mrs E Macey			I am writing to object to the proposed erection of houses and a travellers site on land between Langley Walk and Burlands. Langley Walk is a narrow road and would become impassable if lorries were constantly moving up and down and thus causing great inconvenience to residents trying to get in and out of the road. Also there is the question of what happens to all the trees that would have to be removed. There is a high risk of constant flooding on one side and at	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. The Council acknowledges the transport/access, noise and flooding issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			times becomes impassable.	through further assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing.
Mrs E Macey			With regard to the proposed Traveller site, it will be far too near to existing properties. Many people will remember when they occupied the site at Langley Green shops – where the flats have been built and were an absolute nuisance.	The proposals would be for a managed, permanent site with facilities for residents. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Mrs J Woodings			Re travellers site and additional housing off Langley Walk, this should not go ahead as 1) The road would not cope with the extra traffic. 2) It is a area of natural beauty and wildlife. 3) The footpaths would have to be resited to allow public access. 4) The local roads would not be able to cope with extra traffic and also heavy vehicles. 5) The river Mole already floods when we have periods of heavy rain. 6) Building more houses would cause more flooding and drains would not be able to cope. 7) There is already a long wait for a doctors appointment at Langley Green, more people in this area will increase the wait. 8) People use the area as a short cut to the Industrial Estate. A cemetery where the proposed housing would be a better option (though not ideal) as the traffic would not be so heavy and there would be less disruption to the wildlife.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan. The Council acknowledges the transport/access, noise and flooding issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing. New development would need to mitigate for additional burdens where these could not be met by existing infrastructure, for example through a financial contribution to upgrade local facilities.
Mr & Mrs W F Chalk			I am writing to object to houses being built in Langley Walk as it will cause lots of noise and so much more traffic in a little road. I am also against a gypsy site as that will cause trouble with caravans coming and going all the time. Our road is not wide enough for all this. Give us a break now; some of us are too old to cope with all this change.	The Council acknowledges the transport/access, noise and flooding issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
Mr H Djaballah			<p>I am writing to you today, in response to a proposed (Traveller site as well as Housing site) on Lngley walk.</p> <p>I would like to join the community in voicing concerns and anger, reason being is that our nature surroundings are on their way to destruction, and our town is being turned into concrete jungle, dirt and an overcrowded place to live in, this is not fair on the majority, I oppose to both plans, and I hope that our trusted local authority will not let this happen to us, as this will only create problems to affect us all in the end.</p> <p>I would like to take this opportunity to thank you for taking time to read this letter, and we trust in you to put an end to this outrageous proposals.</p>	See above.
Mrs Theresa Stevens			<p>I am writing to express my opposition to the proposed traveller's site at Langley Walk, Langley Green.</p> <p>Being fully aware and appreciative of the need for growth, development, regeneration and compromise within a neighbourhood, I am however, still apprehensive and very concerned at the above mention proposal within an already heavily populated area.</p> <p>I would like to address the potential Health & Safety, environmental and congestion issues, amongst others, that this proposal could create. From first-hand experience I have witnessed defecation of public/private footpaths together with copious amounts of rubbish (including soiled nappies) being discarded without thought, creating a major environmental and Health & Safety issue. At the time it was also apparent that dogs around the site were in very poor and neglectful condition. Incidents of criminal damage to vehicles, often by younger adults and children, occurred promoting a "fear factor" increasing concern for personal safety and that of associated property. Sheer volumes of additional traffic also created access and parking problems with some confrontational incidents.</p> <p>I have been an employed taxpayer since leaving school in 1986 and am fully aware of the escalating cost of living and associated Council and Public services charges, to which I contribute through my Council taxation. I would therefore hope the residents would be making identical financial contribution for maintenance and upkeep of this purpose built facility. I would also expect monitoring of conditions with rules and regulations in place and appreciate your comments on</p>	The proposal would be for a permanent, managed site with facilities for residents. However, for a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			how these will be enforced. What, if any, will be the consequences of any breaches?	
John Connelly			<p>The proposed site will accommodate ten fixed pitches for travellers and there families. My concerns and observations are as follows.</p> <p>The area is too close to Gatwick Airport and the noise levels would not make it an ideal area to develop the site.It is still possible the airport will get a second runway, which would come closer to the proposed site</p> <p>The only way to access the proposed site will be from Langley Walk, the road has parking problems.It is already difficult for vehicles to pass on the road if a car is parked. I am concerned how the council will monitor and prevent illegal encampments around the large open spaces next to the proposed fixed pitches. This would cause tension between the travelling community and local residents.</p> <p>In my experience travellers prefer not to be in close proximity to residential areas. I recall travellers at Cherry Lane Playing fields. They parked as far away from the houses as possible and next to the industrial area which backed on to the park. Another time travellers parked on a small area next to the Gatwick road roundabout, surrounded by factories.</p> <p>I am also concerned regarding the drainage of the proposed site, it floods often in the winter.</p> <p>In this instance I do not think the council has selected a suitable area for the travellers.</p>	<p>The proposal would be for a permanent, managed site with facilities for residents. However, for a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p>
H Patel			<p>Firstly I would like to say that the consultation process did not cover the whole of the community /residential that it effected . Surely letters should have been send all residents who live on Stafford road and Langley walk. Luckily I have good neighbours who informed me.</p> <p>My objections are as follows</p> <p>The area is a residential area. Family use this area for walking and recreation I do not think that if it becomes a Travellers site that it would be a safe area for us to access. Where are we then going to use for walking our dogs or taking the children out for their daily walks etc.</p> <p>The Little Balgair Grazing Stables, which rents the land from yourself will be homeless and the sad part of this process is that you did not have the decency to even inform the tenants of you Plans!! You Also have fields adjacent to the</p>	<p>The consultation was widely advertised in the local media and online. Local newspapers publicised the consultation and the council issued press releases, and numerous social media updates. Further to this the consultation was in the local magazine, Crawley Live, which is circulated to all properties within the borough.</p> <p>Each site included in the consultation that had a proposed allocation had site notices placed on the site boundary in visible locations. Further to this, all properties immediately adjacent to the allocations received site specific letters notifying them of the proposals, the consultation, and how they can respond.</p> <p>The council took all reasonable measures to ensure everyone was aware of the consultation and had the opportunity to</p>

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			<p>proposed site where there are stables ,please tell me that These horses will be safe and be left alone or are there going to be ridden by the travellers which is often the case. Will these animals be safe</p> <p>What is going to happen to the allotments. Are we going to provide free fruit and veg to the site !</p> <p>Hundreds of Residential properties front or back onto the access road (Langley Walk) and the site itself.</p> <p>This residential area would be blighted by this development. In this long period (and sustained) recession the last thing mortgage payers want is a huge reduction in their properties market value, especially those with newly built properties. I chose to live in this area because of the green space and this leads to the question if I want to continue living in this area if the proposed plans go a head.</p> <p>I also would like to raise my concerns about how this will effect the crime rate. We live in a safe neighbourhood where I feel safe, yes there have been burglaries but that has been targeted to certain communities. Like many people we need to invest our hard money on improving the security within our homes, money that we have not needed to spend over the twenty years that we have we have lived here.</p> <p>...</p> <p>Traffic pollution is another issue ,the road both Langley walk and Stafford road are narrow and access is difficult for all users especially larger vehicles</p> <p>Access is restricted at both ends with on street residential parking</p> <p>We do not want further light commercial traffic down an already narrow residential road</p> <p>The site is prone to flooding and is very wet and boggy</p> <p>It's basically the flood plain of the nearby River Mole</p> <p>The whole site floods when there is heavy rainfall. This winter the riding stables road side field was under water</p> <p>The surrounding area and the River Mole is uncultivated which allows the wild life to thrive and with the mature trees help to reduce noise pollution from Gatwick</p> <p>Also the proposed site is for ten caravans however this will not prevent more travellers arriving illegally and pitching up. Also no doubt the field next to the proposed site will also be illegally used by the travellers</p> <p>There is also scope to park on nearby verges and in residential roads I believe this has happened in the past north</p>	<p>participate and let us know what they thought.</p> <p>For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p>

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			<p>of the proposed site</p> <p>We are all aware that there there are obvious problems associated with Travellers where ever they go and. We do not want them in a residential area, in an area where families feel safe we do not want to have to deal with unacceptable an social behaviour as well as the increased criminal activity. Clearing up their vacated sites costs local Council Tax Payers a lot of money</p> <p>The site at Broadfield Kennels, if we have got to have one, seems a much more acceptable place with access from bypass and away from established residential properties</p>	
Miss S Patel			<p>Firstly I would like to say that the consultation process did not cover the whole of the community /residential that it effected. Surely letters should have been send all residents who live on Stafford road and Langley walk. Luckily I have good neighbours who informed me.</p> <p>My objections are as follows</p> <p>The area is a residential area. Family use this area for walking and recreation I do not think that if it becomes a Travellers site that it would be a safe area for us to access. Where are we then going to use for walking our dogs or taking the children out for their daily walks etc.</p> <p>The Little Balgair Grazing Stables, which rents the land from yourself will be homeless and the sad part of this process is that you did not have the decency to even inform the tenants of you Plans!! You Also have fields adjacent to the proposed site where there are stables, please tell me that these horses will be safe and be left alone or are there going to be ridden by the travellers which is often the case. Will these animals be safe</p> <p>What is going to happen to the allotments. Are we going to provide free fruit and veg to the site!</p> <p>Hundreds of Residential properties front or back onto the access road (Langley Walk) and the site itself.</p> <p>This residential area would be blighted by this development. In this long period (and sustained) recession the last thing mortgage payers want is a huge reduction in their properties market value, especially those with newly built properties. I chose to live in this area because of the green space and this this leads to the question if I want to continue living in this area if the proposed plans go a head.</p>	See above

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			<p>I also would like to raise my concerns about how this will effect the crime rate. We live in a safe neighbourhood where I feel safe ,yes there have been burglaries but that has been targeted to certain communities. Like many people we need to invest our hard money on improving the security within our homes , money that we have not needed to spend over the twenty years that we have we have lived here.</p> <p>Crime will inevitable rise with unknown Travellers coming and going to this site this is a proven fact and can not be ignored</p> <p>Traffic pollution is another issue ,the road both Langley walk and Stafford road are narrow and access is difficult for all users especially larger vehicles</p> <p>Access is restricted at both ends with on street residential parking</p> <p>We do not want further light commercial traffic down an already narrow residential road</p> <p>The site is prone to flooding and is very wet and boggy</p> <p>It's basically the flood plain of the nearby River Mole</p> <p>The whole site floods when there is heavy rainfall. This winter the riding stables road side field was under water</p> <p>The surrounding area and the River Mole is uncultivated which allows the wild life to thrive and with the mature trees help to reduce noise pollution from Gatwick</p> <p>Also the proposed site is for ten caravans however this will not prevent more travellers arriving illegally and pitching up. Also no doubt the field next to the proposed site will also be illegally used by the travellers</p> <p>There is also scope to park on nearby verges and in residential roads I believe this has happened in the past north of the proposed site</p> <p>We are all aware that there there are obvious problems associated with Travellers where ever they go and. We do not want them in a residential area, in an area where families feel safe we do not want to have to deal with unacceptable an social behaviour as well as the increased criminal activity.</p> <p>Clearing up their vacated sites costs local Council Tax Payers a lot of money</p> <p>The site at Broadfield Kennels, if we have got to have one, seems a much more acceptable place with access from bypass and away from established residential properties</p>	
Linda Connelly			I object to the plans for the above site for the following	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			<p>reason's.</p> <p>1) Parking in Langley Walk is very tight now for the residents that already live there.</p> <p>2) Sound Pollution. The proposed site is close to Gatwick Airport and the noise levels would not make it an ideal site. It is still possible the airport could get a second runway which would have a further adverse affect on the site.</p> <p>3)The land in question is prone to flooding throughout the winter, which would surely put cost to the council to have to reroute drains etc.</p> <p>4)Travellers normally have business's that involve large lorries etc. do you not think that this will add to the volume of parking & traffic. This would cause further problems for the local residents that live there.</p> <p>5) I am concerned how the council will monitor and prevent illegal encampments around the large open spaces next to the proposed fixed pitches. This would cause tension between the travelling community and the local residents.</p>	<p>forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p> <p>The council acknowledges the transport/access, noise and flooding issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing.</p>
Adam Jasko			<p>With regards to the housing, by cutting down all these tall trees you will not only be destroying the diverse wildlife that is already there but also getting rid of a barrier to noise and air pollution from the airport. This is all the more potent with the possibility of another runway whose location is yet to be determined. The new residents are unlikely to appreciate this and also potential flooding issues. In addition the strain placed on Langley Walk road may be unbearable. At the moment it is essentially a one way road and cannot accommodate any more traffic from new resident and large caravans. It will become congested.</p>	<p>For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p> <p>The council acknowledges the transport/access, noise and flooding issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing.</p>
Mrs Pauline Botting			<p>My daughter and I were successful in our application and became licensees of the land for grazing in September 2010. Following discussions with Mr. Cockram, after 2 years as license holders, we further cemented our commitment and responsibility for the management of the land as leaseholders commencing in October 2012. During the 2 years 9 months we have been managing the site we have naturally gained a great deal of knowledge about the site and the challenges it presents throughout the year. We have maintained regular contact with Mr. Cockram, our line manager and officer for the Property Division, and kept him up to date with the</p>	<p>Noted. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p> <p>The council acknowledges the transport/access, noise and flooding issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing.</p>

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			<p>challenges of the site and progress towards restoring it as a well managed asset to the local area and community. I would like to share with you some important information regarding the site which I hope will prove useful in judging the suitability of the site for the proposed change of use and respectfully raise the following genuine concerns.</p>	
Mrs Pauline Botting			<p>Flooding of the site for prolonged periods of the year During each year there are several periods in which the majority of the site is completely under water. Local residents have witnessed this for many years and our first-hand experiences over the last 3 seasons lead us to absolutely confirm this fact. The low-lying land becomes completely waterlogged as soon as sufficient rain has fallen to raise the water table. The clay is very °unforgiving and holds the water above the surface for considerable periods of time, this year several months. I note in the Needs Analysis for Gypsies and Travellers that land which floods is understandably deemed to be unsuitable for their needs.</p> <p>The flooding has been brought to the Council's attention on previous occasions and a former tenant has confirmed that when a surveyor for the council visited the site the low-lying nature of the land was thought to be a problem that could not be resolved. The cost of undertaking such a task would be prohibitive and even if achievable would then make the adjoining properties subject to greater flooding than at present. [Please see attached photographic examples of the flooding. If required, I have many additional photographs, so please do not hesitate to ask.]</p>	See above
Mrs Pauline Botting			<p>Inadequate local infrastructure The site is in a quiet area on the northern boundary of Langley Green neighbourhood and the road in which it is situated and those leading to the site are particularly narrow. The local residents have many more vehicles than the original designers of the neighbourhood were intending and as a consequence the roads are heavily congested with parked cars. This makes travelling along Langley Walk very difficult as there are too few places for vehicles to pass. The surrounding roads leading to Langley Walk are also congested and it is difficult to envisage any further strain on the already overloaded roadways. The extra traffic of day to day life of ten extra families and the transportation of large</p>	See above

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			caravans and mobile homes on to the site will place an insurmountable burden on the inadequate infrastructure.	
Mrs Pauline Botting			Site entrance and exit The current entrance to the site is shared with the adjacent allotment holders and would be entirely unsuitable for daily traffic associated with ten gypsy and traveller families. We are very mindful of this and currently arrange our deliveries to avoid the times when we know the entrance will be busy with the allotment holders. The entrance would also not be suitable for the arrival and exit of mobile living accommodation or construction vehicles which would be necessary to prepare the site as indicated in the Gypsy and Traveller Needs Analysis.	See above
Mrs Pauline Botting			Airport noise level Having been at the site for nearly 3 years I fully support the concerns that have been raised regarding the noise level experienced as a result of the close proximity of Gatwick Airport. The local residents have voiced their concerns regarding any changes to the demographics of the site affecting their ability to cope with airport noise. We have experienced the levels of noise variation, depending on wind direction, weather conditions and direction of flight take off that they speak of and concur with the concerns for the suitability of the site for gypsies and travellers already raised by the Council. The nature of the structure of temporary mobile accommodation would not afford much protection even at today's noise levels much less if a second runway were to be approved.	See above
Mrs Pauline Botting			Access to local amenities According to the recent Gypsy and Traveller Needs Analysis this site offering permanent pitches has been proposed to address the growing needs of existing traveller families. The report mentions the need for good access to the local amenities. I would respectfully draw your attention to the fact that there are already a very high proportion of families in Langley Green with young children and the local Primary school is unable to offer places to all in the local catchment area. Indeed, the boy living next door to the site, who started school this year, could not have a place at his local school and now travels to the far side of Ifield to secure his	New development would need to mitigate for additional burdens where these could not be met by existing infrastructure, for example through a financial contribution to upgrade local facilities.

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			education.	
Mrs Pauline Botting			<p>Onward protection of the wildlife</p> <p>The land immediately to the north of the proposed gypsy and traveller site allocation has been recognised as an area of natural interest and is clearly marked as such on the Council maps. There is a family of deer living in a thicket on this land, a long-standing family of foxes in a den positioned right on the border of the two areas of land and woodpeckers at work are a common sound at the site. We have been sensitive to the well-being and continuation of so much wildlife in this area which falls within the land we currently lease. The wildlife lives quite happily alongside our horses and as we bring the horses in each night to preserve the grazing the deer and foxes have the evening and night time to take full advantage of the site while the horses are in bed! This piece of special natural land with all its wild residents will become trapped behind the proposed gypsy and traveller allocation. It would be extremely difficult for the Council to ensure the site is protected and not compromised if plans for a change of use of the adjacent land come to fruition.</p>	Noted. Impacts on protected ecological areas or species would be taken into account. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Mrs Pauline Botting			<p>Protection of the oaks</p> <p>This long-established site has an abundance of mature oak trees, forming much of the boundaries, which need to be protected. The road line has mature oaks spaced along the whole frontage and it would be impossible to bring a new entrance to the site from Langley Walk without the destruction of oak trees. Any construction work on the site would disturb and endanger the survival of these old oaks.</p>	See above
Mrs Pauline Botting			<p>Local community</p> <p>Since taking over the site in September 2010 we have worked tirelessly to remove all the rubbish, the fly-tipped mess that had built up in the old driveway, restore the boundaries and make the site an asset to the local area. We have built strong positive relationships with local residents and allotment holders alike and naturally feel anxious that this could now be in jeopardy.</p>	A permanent, managed site would provide appropriate facilities for new residents. See above.
Mrs Pauline Botting			I do hope the information above will prove helpful in the consultation process. I fully appreciate the need to consider sites for gypsies and travellers but I firmly believe that the	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			land north of Langley Walk that has been proposed in the recent Crawley 2029 Local Plan is mostly definitely NOT suitable.	council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Mrs Fay McCausland			I write to show my concerns regarding the Land North of Langley Walk (Little Balgair) that you have considered for the use of ten permanent gypsy/ traveller residential sites.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Mrs Fay McCausland			FLOODING As a current lease holder, our horses graze the land and I have firsthand knowledge of how the fields cope during wet weather. There is a slope uphill from the roadway towards the back of the field which has resulted in a low point in which water always collects and sits for a considerable time before very slowly receding. Throughout the winter months we have been able to wade knee deep at the worst spots. We have also found frogs leaving spawn in these flood waters because it sits stagnant for weeks at a time. I believe that persons using this for caravans/mobile homes would not find this serviceable for at least five to six months of the year.	See above
Mrs Fay McCausland			ACCESS Access to this particular site is limited due to the position of the current drive way I would see people having difficulty trying to tow a caravan into the site. The roads are already used to their maximum capacity with cars constantly parked to one side which results in difficulty when two or more vehicles pass. This results in drivers struggling to find an adequate space in which to pass safely. This could only be made worse with more traffic and especially if towing. The junction at the end of Langley Walk has cars parked at the mouth of the junction and to the sides which at times causes problems now. If the traffic was to increase this would be exacerbated and the problem would increase to the real possibility of accidents. All roads leading off Langley Walk are very small side roads so whatever way you enter, access is very limited.	See above
Mrs Fay McCausland			DOCTORS and SCHOOLING Having got to know the local people very well in our time here we have heard of many local children who live near or next to	See above

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			the school that have not been granted a place. The school simply does not have space to enrol the children who currently reside within the catchment area. Parents are forced to send their children to schools outside of the catchment area. I would only imagine that this would mean that the children of the travelling families would also face the same problem and they would not be able to find spaces in an already oversubscribed school. This also stands with the current doctor's surgery that is also stretch to capacity.	
Mrs Fay McCausland			<p>NOISE</p> <p>I am at this site twice a day every day to tend to our live stock and at most times the noise from the airport from the planes coming and going is very loud. I would think that people living in a mobile home/caravan who do not have adequate double glazing and very thick structural walls would find it very unpleasant over the course of time. I can only imagine that this would then become even more of a problem should the second runway be granted permission.</p>	See above
Mrs Fay McCausland			<p>TREES, WILDLIFE and GREENSPACE</p> <p>Spending much of my time at the proposed land I have become very familiar with the surroundings and have noticed just how much established wildlife use these fields. It is currently home to a fox set and a deer family. There are also jay families using the trees and woodpeckers to name but a few. I feel that the green space In Crawley is steadily diminishing and that we should be encouraging pockets of land that is not suitable for any other use to be left so that they do not spill over into people's gardens which would create problems.</p> <p>I have a really grave concern regarding the stunning and very old English oak trees that line the whole front of the plot of land and to the side. If this were to be developed, hard standing and waste removal would need to be put in which would require digging up of the land and I feel that the root systems would be damaged as a result of this. Worse still, the trees may be cut down which would be a travesty. If these trees were to be removed this would also create more noise from the airport as they are very much helping to reduce sound from the airport.</p>	See above

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
Mrs Fay McCausland			<p>HISTORY I was fascinated to find out that the old 'Little Balgair' bungalow, formerly on this particular plot of land was indeed the place in which the famous play "Dial M for Murder" was written. I think that it would be a real shame if part of Crawley's history were to be forgotten and used in a way that was not sympathetic.</p>	See above
Mrs Fay McCausland			<p>COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE Having leased this land now for nearly three years we have found the community spirit here wonderful. People are lovely and welcoming, social and chatty and we often have people come to the land to spend time relaxing and learning about the animals. I feel that if this proposal were to go ahead that the community spirit may break down. I have already heard of people who have had their houses up for sale and offers that had been accepted have now been retracted because of the possibilities of travellers living within the area. I believe that the current residents of the area would struggle financially to sell or move house and this would cause unease which may in turn end in unsocial behaviour and possible rises in crime.</p>	See above
Mrs Fay McCausland			To conclude, as much as I fully appreciate that space should be made available, I am strongly against this particular proposal. I believe Gypsies and travellers would not find this suitable themselves for the above mentioned reasons. More would be lost than gained if this was given the go ahead.	See above
Mr Peter McCausland			I am writing to object to the land at Langley walk Crawley being used to house Gypsies and travellers.	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Mr Peter McCausland			Flooding - I have seen the land flood regularly and to excess which is very bad during the winter. I do not believe this would be good for caravan dwellings.	See above
Mr Peter McCausland			Access - The roads around this area are busy and constantly being used to park vehicles to the side which has caused problems when trying to pass other cars. I would think that the traffic would increase and that vehicles towing would find	See above

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			this a problem. The Gate to the land is tight and again would thing travellers would find it difficult to get in and out.	
Mr Peter McCausland			Schools- I have heard that the local school is full and that local children are being refused a place and children are having to travel further to get an education. I do not think that there will be enough space to accommodate any more children in the immediate future.	See above
Mr Peter McCausland			Noise - The airport is very close to this plot of land and I feel that if people were to live in a caravan at this site they would find it very very noisy through the walls and windows of their homes. There is talk of the second runway which would mean the noise would become much worse.	See above
Mr Peter McCausland			Trees - There are many mature oak trees surrounding the borders of this land that would be compromised if the site were approved. I am concerned that they would be irrevocably damaged or destroyed should this land be developed for the use.	See above
Mr Peter McCausland			Community - People in this area are approachable and friendly and very welcoming since my wife and mother-in-law took on the lease hold for this land they have completely removed years and years of rubbish and dumped items from within the grounds making it safe clean and pleasant which the locals have commented on several times. Because of this they have regular visitors from local people who stop for a chat and a cup of tea. I think that many people would lose this fantastic community social aspect should this be used for travellers.	See above
Deepak Gangwar			As a resident of Langley Walk, I would like to express my concerns regarding your proposal for a site to accommodate Gypsies/Travellers in this area.	Noted
Deepak Gangwar			You may not be aware that the site north of Langley Walk houses animal wildlife such as deer, rabbits, birds, foxes, bats, (which do not cause any problems for us residents). Also many forms of plantlife. Have you consulted with any nature conservationists as to the destruction of the home of the above. There could be a possibility that some of the above life could be a protected species. Plant life and trees	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			provide oxygen for the world, to enable us to live. Yes, this is only a small area, but if all councils do the same, this could amount to destroying a large forest.	
Deepak Gangwar			I presume that the cost of the site has been budgeted from local council tax. However, the UK government has frozen this tax for 2 years.	See above
Deepak Gangwar			Tall trees provide some protection against noise pollution from the airport.	Agreed
Deepak Gangwar			Will there be any facilities provided at the site. Such as clean water in, sewage/drainage out. Refuse collection will also be an issue. Also any power source, e.g. electric. Who will be funding these. If no power source will be provided, then generators will cause more noise pollution. Will the Travellers use our road/grassland to park their vehicles, and leave refuse in our front gardens for you to collect.	A permanent, managed site would have provided appropriate facilities for new residents, including parking.
Deepak Gangwar			The 2 lanes in this road are public footpaths, used by many residents of this area. Are these paths allowed to be destroyed by any local or national rules/law.	See above
Deepak Gangwar			A hard standing site, which I presume this will be, will increase the risk of flooding in rainy weather. April and June 2012 were the wettest on record, as reported on Sky News.	See above
Deepak Gangwar			Access seems to be your only concern with this site. As you must be aware, access from both ends of our road is very restricted, with tight turns at each end, which are totally unsuitable for larger vehicles. Refuse collection and recycling vehicles are assessed for access and navigation to any roads. However, will Travellers vehicles be restricted to a maximum size, and how will you enforce this. Langley Walk is essentially a one lane road, because most of us park our vehicles on the road, which we are entitled to do. Therefore, more traffic will cause more disruption to the traffic flow.	See above
Deepak Gangwar			Roads adjoining Langley Walk, and roads adjoining them, will also be affected as all of these roads are quite narrow. Have the residents of these roads also been notified of your plans. The whole of Crawley will also suffer from increased traffic,	See above

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			due to a constant flow of Travellers from all directions.	
Deepak Gangwar			There will be more damage to our road (so many potholes have had to be patched up with normal traffic/harsh weather) due to increased heavy traffic, from construction vehicles. There will no doubt be more damage caused by the constant flow of heavy traffic.	See above
Deepak Gangwar			Will there be any compensation paid to us residents for the construction of this site it goes ahead. Large vehicles in and out, therefore access to our home will be hindered. House value will drop. Therefore council tax should drop in this area to reflect this.	See above
Deepak Gangwar			Have you consulted the Travellers to find out if they want to be in a residential area. I don't think they do.	The local gypsy and traveller communities have been consulted. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Deepak Gangwar			I have enclosed 2 maps of the proposed site in Langley Walk. On 1 map, Fir Tree Close is to the left of the site. But on the other map Fir Tree Close is to the right. Are you not sure where you plan to construct this site.	The two maps that you received alongside your letter related to two separate proposals. One was for a potential housing site, whilst the other was for a potential Gypsy and Traveller site. These maps were correctly titled and the letter you received also stated that there were two sites with two different allocations.
Mrs LD Waterman			I am writing to you in response to the Crawley 2029 nLocal Plan to Allocatie Gypsy and Traveller provisions on Land North of Langley Walk (Little Balgair)	For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.
Mrs LD Waterman			I would like to strongly oppose this proposal for the following reasons: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Land Floods • Large mature Oaks will be disturbed • Wildlife habitat being lost • Roadways are too narrow • Noise from Gatwick will not be suitable for Mobile Homes. 	See above
Mrs Whitehead			As a local resident I feel very strongly that this site does not get approval and go ahead for the following reasons;	Noted. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
				<p>option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p> <p>The Council acknowledges the transport/access, noise and flooding issues associated with this site and will continue to explore whether any of these issues can be addressed through further assessment work. This work would need to be undertaken before the site could be identified for housing.</p>
Mrs Whitehead			The pasture lands in question, is not suitable or fit for purpose. They are prone to flooding and current tenants have had to get a vet to treat horses with cases of mud fever because of the damp conditions. We are all advised to expect wetter conditions in the UK because of Global Warming so this isn't likely to improve the site.	See above
Mrs Whitehead			The site is lined with beautiful historic oak trees which surely must have preservation orders? To the back of the site there is all number of wildlife, including deer, which would disappear.	See above. Impacts on protected ecological areas or species or amenity designations would be taken into account.
Mrs Whitehead			You would need to put down toilets and shower block and hard standing to the caravans and probably at least 2 cars/vans for each pitch. This is on the old part of Langley Green so the sewerage pipes are likely to be small 6 inch pipes which could need changing. So there would be a lot of development, noise pollution, and disruption to the area, possibly roads being dug up, while this was being done.	See above
Mrs Whitehead			The access road is very narrow with cars parked down leaving usually one lane available and surrounding area is heavily built up with also narrow roads. Lots of health and safety issues. There are no pavements on the site of site with no option because of the huge trees – again a health and safety!! It would be a nightmare with development needs and then gypsy/travellers going at all times of the days – getting large transits and caravans in and out – very difficult and disrupting and would cause no end of friction to surrounding residents.	See above
Mrs Whitehead			Councils have always had trouble controlling numbers of travellers on sites and over the country there have been cases of trouble and violence. There have been TV	A permanent, managed site would have provided appropriate facilities for new residents, including parking.

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			programmes on the feuding and violence. We as tax payers are always footing the costs. There is currently a shanty town in London where Romanian travellers have been living and it's not only an eyesore but an absolute pig style. Rubbish everywhere.	
Mrs Whitehead			The uncertainty concerning this Crawley site, has already lead to house sales falling through and will lead to loss of house values in general to this area as confidence goes.	See above
Mrs Whitehead			When the Gypsy/travellers have camped on Cherry Lane playing fields, there was always trouble. I didn't feel safe in my own home or getting my car out. I had trouble from the children walking my dog on the fields and stopped going and also in the garage block getting my car in and out. The children were cheeky and had no reason to be there. They even used a laser pen beam of light against me while drying my car which was very dangerous.	See above
Mrs Whitehead			I previously lived in Furnace Green for 29 years and they frequently camped to the site and back of Waveny Walk. They used the woods as their personal toilets – it was a disgrace. They threatened my Indian neighbour with a hammer. A friend just managed to stop in time, her dog being taken over the wall in her garden. I know there are a lot of very nice travellers it stands to reason but there is a lot of suspicion and unease. By putting them in such a built up area in general, there could be trouble and friction.	A permanent, managed site would have provided appropriate facilities for new residents, including parking.
Mrs Whitehead			I understand that the Gypsy/Travellers are currently being housed by the council; if this is so why do you need the site?	The Local Plan is required to consider the needs of members of the gypsy and traveller communities that wish to travel for some months of the year. A permanent dwelling is not always an appropriate solution.
Mrs Whitehead			To conclude this is not a rant against the gypsy/travellers in general, they have got to go somewhere. But you have to consider that this site actually <u>is not</u> suitable, not for their requirements because of its location, situation, and general area and local peoples needs too. We are already possibly ear marked at Langley Green to be seriously affected by a 2 nd runway at Gatwick, had the local Mosque tripled in size but no additional parking built in. No doubt county oak businesses will suffer. We have also had a new Mental	Noted.

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			Health Hospital built that was supposed to be a drop-in but now patients are staying over. It seems that Langley Green has or will suffer the most and this is wrong. The shall I say challenges should be spread over the whole of Crawley.	
Mrs Whitehead			Even our local school has had a large chunk of the playing fields taken to put up the doctors surgery, community centre, and another property being built.	The County Council had identified the additional playground as surplus to requirements which provided the opportunity to deliver additional community facilities and services.
Mrs Whitehead			So please place the site in a more sympathetic position to prevent local displeasure and conflict all-round.	Noted
Mrs Whitehead			Was very disappointed at lack of notification for proposed Gypsy/traveller site – only just found out about it. Don't think this was one of previous 5 sites considered and didn't see anything in papers!! Sorry the letter is a bit rushed but ran out of time to get the letter in by the deadline given.	<p>The consultation was widely advertised in the local media and online. Local newspapers publicised the consultation and the council issued press releases, and numerous social media updates. Further to this the consultation was in the local magazine, Crawley Live, which is circulated to all properties within the borough.</p> <p>Each site included in the consultation that had a proposed allocation had site notices placed on the site boundary in visible locations. Further to this, all properties immediately adjacent to the allocations received site specific letters notifying them of the proposals, the consultation, and how they can respond.</p> <p>The council took all reasonable measures to ensure everyone was aware of the consultation and had the opportunity to participate and let us know what they thought.</p>
Fryer & Day			My family & I run a storage facility for touring caravans, approx. 80 – 100 caravans and have done so for over 50 years. Our site runs along side the allotments, which are illustrated on your map. As you'll see on the map they are next to where the potential traveller site is proposed to be. These allotments were abandoned for many years before we put up security fencing around our site. Vandals were using this land as an exit route for when they broke in to the caravans or to hitch up and drive them across the allotment land. It meant that they had easy access to and from our site without drawing attention as it was hidden from the road. As you can imagine nobody wished to risk leaving their caravan on a site where this kept happening. We lost the majority of the caravans at that time. Unfortunately because these	Noted. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			<p>instances happened more frequently during the summer months, the travellers that set up camp in different parts of Crawley, would have the figure pointed at them. After a while we knew that if we didn't do something to stop our site being broken into we would no longer have a business at all. Without the business we wouldn't be able to afford the rent to the council. At the end of the day it is my mothers home! We realised we had to do something to stop this from happening so we had to take out a loan to improve the existing security we had. We put security fencing around the whole field and adjoining area. The cost to do this was in excess of £25,000. I appreciate that not all travellers should be tarred with the same brush but that said it's the reputation they have that will destroy our business if this proposal is approved. The people who own the allotments will leave their plots due to fear of them being vandalised and our customers will move their caravans all because of the stereo type a traveller has. Since this has been made public we have already had 10 caravans taken off and that's with just the possibility of there being a travellers permanent site so close to our site.</p>	
Fryer & Day			<p>We wrote to the Planning Services Division in 2006 applying for a part change of use for the land we rent from storage of 35 caravans to airport parking for 35 vehicles – In response to our letter we were told that this would be rejected because our site is within the area defined as countryside/green belt land and because of the potential increase in vehicle movements. 35 vehicles would have been the maximum we would have stored in one time on the site. The vehicles would be stored for a minimum of 7 days plus as we would not offer storage for any time less than this. The vehicle movement would have been less than the site which is being proposed for 10 permanent mobile homes? We also believe that they may start with 10 pitches but that this will increase over time just as it has on other traveller sites.</p>	<p>Noted. For a number of reasons the reserve option for a permanent gypsy and traveller site at Langley Walk is not being taken forwards at this stage. The Broadfield Kennels option is the Council's preferred option and will be progressed in the Submission Local Plan.</p>
Fryer & Day			<p>Langley Green has had a bad reputation for existing crime rate over several years. The police have and are working on improving this. I believe the crime rate will increase again. Not because of the travellers committing the crime but vandals know that they will get the blame if things start happening. Again it's their reputation that is the problem here and is what will do the damage to our business, house prices,</p>	<p>See above</p>

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
Mr I Tansley			<p>increase in crime rate. This new proposal will just put the area back to being a bad area to live. House prices are lower in our area already due to its reputation. This proposal will add fuel to the fire as they say!</p> <p>To have the site in Langley Walk would be the same to when it was tried some years ago, when they were invited to a site in Langley Green Playing Fields.</p> <p>They upset children in the Adventure Playground, would not pay the entrance fee, were very abusive to the staff, rubbish was left around the area both domestic and commercial.</p> <p>I had heard at the time there was an increase in break-ins to sheds, garages, building sites etc.</p> <p>Some of them will no doubt have dogs, not of the friendliest nature. I cannot see them picking up the poo or keeping them on leads.</p> <p>Also the local parade will suffer when they visit.</p> <p>The local allotments will be a pick your own farm and the nearby fields once again become a dumping site for unwanted horses and what time it took to get them moved.</p>	Comments Noted.
R J Robinson			The site north of Langley Walk is prone to flooding.	Noted & see above
R J Robinson			Langley Walk itself is not suitable for even more traffic than it copes with now.	Noted & see above
R J Robinson			To improve access would, I feel, mean destruction of trees and shrubs which help to screen existing properties from aircraft noise, also the Oaks in this area are extremely important as an aid to keeping water levels down.	Noted & see above
R J Robinson			There is a lot of wildlife in this area including deer, foxes, rabbits, various amphibians as well as many bird and insect species, the allotment plots beside the proposed site benefiting from the latter.	Noted & see above
R J Robinson			Aircraft noise would be more intimidating for the travellers as their homes are less well insulated than brick built houses.	Noted & see above
R J Robinson			I feel that as there are so many empty sites on the industrial areas, with the infrastructure already in place, it would be a	Noted & see above

Gypsy & Traveller Site Option – Langley Walk				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			good idea to use one of these sites for the travellers rather than take away even more natural areas from the town. I oppose the plan.	

Topic 8: Cemetery Allocation – Ewhurst Playing Fields

Cemetery Allocation – Ewhurst Playing Fields				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
Mr & Mrs P & S Wynne			With reference to the proposed site for a cemetery at Ewhurst Playing Fields. We would like to state that we have no objection to the proposed site.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs P.R. Haworth			You state in the Additional Information in your communication regarding the above that this is the only suitable site, but there is a large playing field off Ifield Avenue by the Ambulance Station and behind Sainsburs Store which I understand is seldom used. The Ewhurst site is well used, particularly by organised groups of children Saturdays and Sundays throughout the year and evenings when it is light. Surely this must be taken account when deciding this issue, At the moment they are learning discipline through participating in sport. Where are they to go?	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs P.R. Haworth			You say that if another site isn't found people will have to be buried outside the town, and this site is good because there is a bus service nearby, but cremations and the memorials for these are outside town and not, as far as I know on a bus route. Most people now prefer to be cremated. I have been to many cremations but not a burial since 1997.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs P.R. Haworth			Then there is the problem of car parking, the car park you are talking about is full each morning and afternoon during school terms, as is the Mardens. There would be less of a problem with parking and traffic build at the Ifield Drive mini roundabout and Ifield roundabout if the site was at West Green as there isn't a school nearby.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs P.R. Haworth			Finally it seems inappropriate to have a cemetery next to a retirement block of flats.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr David			I am writing to let you know of my disappointment in the	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the

Cemetery Allocation – Ewhurst Playing Fields				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
Margetts			<p>towns plans to put a cemetery on Ewhurst playing fields for the reasons listed below</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - another playing field used regularly by dog walkers, young children, football teams being taken away - road chaos if current entry point onto the fields is used with possibly long funeral corteges blocking the already very busy neighbourhood road - burials taking place as close as 50 feet from my front room window - affect on house prices - toilets open at weekends with the potential for inappropriate use - the ridiculous reason that its close to Snell Hatch but with a duel carriage way in-between - the even more ridiculous reason that people would have to go out of the town for burials but its ok to be cremated on the furthest perimeter of the town - more traffic more danger exiting our driveways <p>Positives</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - my wife wouldn't have far to go to visit me when I am gone 	Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Cheryl Thompson-Balk	St Margaret's C of E Primary School		<p>On our School Roll we have 422 Pupils and 60 Staff as well as a separate Nursery onsite with 9 Staff and 90 Children and this brings a lot of parents with cars to school. At present they park in The Mardens and in the car park at Ewhurst Playing Field. We already have serious road congestion in and around our school community and our concern is what will happen to the car park at Ewhurst Playing Fields. The safety of our pupils, staff and our community is paramount. We look forward to receiving any further information from you.</p>	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr Barry Taylor			<p>Reading the latest copy of the Crawley News I was astonished to read that Ewhurst playing fields are considered the only suitable location for a new cemetery in Crawley. This area is very important for the surrounding areas of Ifield, West Green and Langley Green. It's a great space for families and children to go and enjoy. They are playing fields and should remain so. I hope you agree and will fight against any change of use.</p>	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.

Cemetery Allocation – Ewhurst Playing Fields				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
Mr David Baker			<p>I would like to object to the proposal to make Ewhurst playing fields into a cemetery for the following reasons.</p> <p>At the present moment young people are being encouraged to partake in sports and you are proposing to take away playing fields.</p> <p>You have under estimated the use these fields are put to with football matches, training, impromptu sports by groups and families, dog walkers and people just enjoying the open space.</p> <p>Why give Wasps Ladies football club planning permission (CR/2012/0547/FUL) for a storage container for 5 years with a view to extending the Pavilion if you have other plans for the playing fields?</p> <p>In consultation papers you say the pavilion is not suitable for the playing fields as it is only has facilities for single sex use. If you use these for a toilet for a cemetery then you would have to rebuild the pavilion.</p> <p>The car park would not be suitable as it is used by cars for St Margaret's school. Cars would be parked along Ifield Drive, which is heavily congested at times.</p> <p>You state that "Soil and drainage conditions make it a good site" Why then does the children's play area in the middle of the pitches continually flood. When the area was a farm this was a pond so there is a natural water source there and this could be contaminated.</p> <p>This is described as an average site but in 2009 I was informed by a council employee that this was one of the best pitches and was popular with the football clubs.</p> <p>You claim this site is near Snell Hatch but this does not seem relevant. In any case you have the A23 in between and you would have to go some distance to travel from one site to the other.</p> <p>I feel that Ewhurst Playing Fields is entirely inappropriate site for a cemetery. Situated where it is, and overlooked by houses on Ifield Drive and also The Mardens.</p> <p>I would like to know more detail of the 40 sites looked at apart from the shortlist of one Private, Ewhurst playing fields, and the corner of Goffs Park.</p>	<p>Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.</p>
Cllr. Vanessa Crumper			<p>I was contacted by the press about the forward plan that states that the only suitable site for a new cemetery is Ewhurst playing fields of course as a local Councillor I would</p>	<p>Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery</p>

Cemetery Allocation – Ewhurst Playing Fields				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			<p>object to this as this area is used by many different groups throughout the year and there is no alternative for outside play of this size in West Green</p> <p>Can you please advise if this comes under your care if not who should I contact as I would like to know what other sites are being considered and ensure that we do not remove play areas from West Green as this would leave West Green with enough outside areas for public use</p>	<p>in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.</p>
	Langley Green Forum Minutes		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Objections to the conversion of Ewhurst playing fields immediately adjacent to Langley Green in close neighbouring Ifield, into a Cemetery were also raised. 	<p>Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.</p>
Mrs Joan Thrift			<p>I am writing with reference to your recent letter concerning plans to build a cemetery on Ewhurst playing fields in Ifield.</p>	<p>Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.</p>
Mrs Joan Thrift			<p>I realise that you have to find somewhere to build a cemetery but it is hardly a good site, in the middle of a built up area surrounded by busy roads, close to schools and next to a retirement home. My idea of a site would be a nice quiet and peaceful place, this is certainly not. Snell Hatch was opened in 1925, prior to the new town being built; therefore houses and buildings were built around it.</p>	<p>Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.</p>
Mrs Joan Thrift			<p>The field is well used by children, both boys and girls playing and coaching football, not only at the weekends, but in the evenings also. Why the need to take away yet another playing field?</p>	<p>Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.</p>
Mrs Joan Thrift			<p>As to the ground, it lays very wet, always has since my family farm did before the new town. There was a pond where the children's play area is, which now often floods. The further field used to grow reeds on it, it also floods by the back of my</p>	<p>Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of</p>

Cemetery Allocation – Ewhurst Playing Fields				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			fence, despite it being drained a few years ago.	the Local Plan process.
Mrs Joan Thrift			Having lived in Crawley all my life, and this road most of it, I am 93 years of age and I do despair the way Crawley is going.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs Joan Thrift			You haven't yet stated what your alternatives are; however, surely there must be several further out without having to lose another area piece of Greenland.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs Joan Thrift			I would like to request that a proposed plan of the layout is sent to myself and other residents of whom may be affected by this.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
S Zambuni			With regard to the cemetery site I think it is a bit unkind to put it in full view of the windows of a block of retirement homes where the occupants will be able to see it every day.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs I Lovette			Loss of public playing field and football pitches - with further housing developments in the area all open green place and recreational space will be needed.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs I Lovette			It is very close to residential accommodation	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of

Cemetery Allocation – Ewhurst Playing Fields				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
				the Local Plan process.
Mrs I Lovette			There are retirement homes nearby and this will cause distress to residents who do not wish to look out over a cemetery. This is a very insensitive proposal.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs I Lovette			There will not be sufficient room for parking of funeral vehicles and visitors.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs I Lovette			Parking and congestion close to the school is already a problem at start and end of the school day.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs I Lovette			Other sites Consideration should be given to lfield Brook Meadows or land to the rear of Rusper Road.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr B Lovette			Loss of public playing field and football pitches - with further housing developments in the area all open green place and recreational space will be needed.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr B Lovette			It is very close to residential accommodation	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of

Cemetery Allocation – Ewhurst Playing Fields				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
				the Local Plan process.
Mr B Lovette			There are retirement homes nearby and this will cause distress to residents who do not wish to look out over a cemetery. This is a very insensitive proposal.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr B Lovette			There will not be sufficient room for parking of funeral vehicles and visitors.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr B Lovette			Parking and congestion close to the school is already a problem at start and end of the school day.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr B Lovette			Other sites Consideration should be given to lfield Brook Meadows or land to the rear of Rusper Road.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
KC & RM Benn			In response to your letter of 31" May we wish to express our extreme disappointment and displeasure at your proposal to provide a cemetery on the playing fields immediately adjacent to the McCarthy & Stone retirement complex for the elderly.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
KC & RM Benn			We purchased our apartment in good faith that future plans for adjacent land had been thoroughly researched and we would not have proceeded with the purchase had we known of these considerations. How the Council can be so insensitive to the future wellbeing of their elderly residents is quite beyond our comprehension. What a depressing outlook	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of

Cemetery Allocation – Ewhurst Playing Fields				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			to be constantly reminded of what the future holds for us. Many residents are in poor health, some have just lost their partners and are very vulnerable at this time in their lives.	the Local Plan process.
KC & RM Benn			In addition, we consider the site to be wholly unsuitable due to the bottleneck of traffic around this site. Ifield Road and Drive are always busy with cars taking children to School, traffic coming off the A23, commuters to the Industrial Estate and Airport, Town centre, etc.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
KC & RM Benn			As elderly residents we enjoy having the children around playing sports and it is the only green area we can safely access for exercise. There are enough fields on the outskirts of the town rather than put a cemetery on the rapidly dwindling green spaces in the residential areas. When we first came here in 1951, Crawley was green and pleasant. Let us try to keep it that way, give the children green spaces to play games and concentrate on the living. Relatives of the dead would rather have peace and tranquil resting places to visit the graves of their loved ones, not the roar of traffic.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
M Corali			I wish to make my feelings known we need the playing fields for the future generations.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
M Corali			You cannot rob our children of the playing fields. We have to prevent childhood obesity.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs M Smith			Loss of public playing field - and football pitches Y with further housing developments in the area all open green play and recreational space will be needed.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.

Cemetery Allocation – Ewhurst Playing Fields				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
Mrs M Smith			Very close to residential accommodation.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs M Smith			Close to Retirement homes, causing distress to residents, who do not wish to look out over a cemetery. Very insensitive.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs M Smith			Not enough parking for funerals and visitors.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs M Smith			Close to school which already causes parking and congestion problems at start and end of the school day.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs M Smith			Other sites Why not consider lfield Brook Meadows or land to the rear of Rusper Road.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr F Day			On the subject of a new cemetery why can't the part of Tilgate Forest that runs along side the A23 be used, if I remember right a few years ago there was talk of building a college campus on there so it will not set a precedent, it's also on the number 2 bus route so it is in every ones reach	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.

Cemetery Allocation – Ewhurst Playing Fields				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
Mr D H Baker			At the present moment young people are being encouraged to partake in sports and you are proposing to take away playing fields. You have under estimated the use these fields are put to with football matches, training, impromptu sports by groups and families, dog walkers and people just enjoying the open space.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr D H Baker			Why give Wasps Ladies football club planning permission (CR/201 2/0547/F UL) for a storage container for 5 years with a view to extending the Pavilion if you have other plans for the playing fields?	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr D H Baker			In consultation papers you say the pavilion is not suitable for the playing fields as it is only has facilities for single sex use. If you use these for a toilet for a cemetery then you would have to rebuild the pavilion.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr D H Baker			The car park would not be suitable as it is used by cars for St Margaret's school. Cars would be parked along lfield Drive, which already is heavily congested at times.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr D H Baker			You state that the "Soil and drainage conditions make it a good site" Why then does the children's play area in the middle of the pitches continually flood. When the area was a farm this was a pond so there is a natural water source there and this could be contaminated.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr D H Baker			This is described as an average site but in 2009 I was informed by a council employee that this was one of the best the pitches and was popular with the football clubs.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.

Cemetery Allocation – Ewhurst Playing Fields				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
Mr D H Baker			You claim this site is near Snell Hatch but this does not seem relevant. If you require it to be close to Snell Hatch then Goff's Park would be more suitable particularly as there is a footbridge over the railway line. In any case you have the A23 in between and you would have to go some distance to travel from one site to the other.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr D H Baker			As we have a cemetery on the West of Crawley it would make more sense to have the second on the East side to make travelling easier for people living to the East.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr D H Baker			I feel that Ewhurst Playing Fields are entirely inappropriate site for a Cemetery. Situated where it is, overlooked by houses on Ifield Drive, The Mardens and in the middle of a residential area.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs B Coleman			These playing 'fields are a valuable resource for Ifield and are well used daily by people who live in all parts of the town- 52 weeks of the year. Recently Crawley and Pease Peerage junior football clubs have amalgamated and are awaiting a small shipping container for the equipment which is currently being stored in the toilet facilities.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs B Coleman			Why is it necessary to put a cemetery adjacent to as infant/junior school whose parents regularly take their children to play on the swings after school?	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs B Coleman			The existing car park, adjacent side roads and grass verges are frequently overflowing and together with the bus route already cause considerable congestion.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.

Cemetery Allocation – Ewhurst Playing Fields				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
Mrs B Coleman			Last winter the playground was lit deep in water and the excess water was running into Ifield Drive, The field was unusable even for dog walking, I fail to understand how this can be good “soil and drainage” as quoted in your letter.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs B Coleman			Very recently McCarthy Stone built Millfield Court retirement complex with one of the main attractions being the open field aspect from the 50+ windows. These retirees have sold their family homes to purchase these apartments to enjoy the open aspect and watch all age groups playing football and dog walking. For people who often have limited ability to get out and about this gives them a feeling of belonging to the community, They now face the prospect of having this View being destroyed and their remaining years look at their next “home”.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs B Coleman			I have recently walked through Ifield’s ancient church, St Margarets, and found the graves uncared for and totally neglected - a complete disgrace. This is not what I want to see opposite my house.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs B Coleman			With the addition of 2/3,000 new houses being built on the western side of Crawley surely a more suitable site could be found where people purchasing these new properties would know what was happening on ground adjacent to their new homes	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
K Marriott			I would like to oppose the Ewhurst Playing fields being taken for use as a Cemetery for the following reasons. A) The loss of valuable for playing fields for the young and old. B) Open green space which is lacking in this area for the general public. C) The car park is not suitable and cars will be parked on Ifield Drive. D) The Toilets are not suitable. E) The play area for swings etc regularly flood as this used to	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.

Cemetery Allocation – Ewhurst Playing Fields				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			<p>be a pond when the area was a farm.</p> <p>F) Being near Snell hatch is of no advantage.</p> <p>G) This is not a suitable site as it is in the middle of a residential area, near a busy main Road.</p> <p>H) You should look for a site to the East or North of Crawley perhaps near to the present Crematorium.</p>	
A Marriott			<p>I would like to oppose the Ewhurst Playing fields being taken for use as a Cemetery for the following reasons.</p> <p>A) The loss of valuable for playing fields for the young and old.</p> <p>B) Open green space which is lacking in this area for the general public.</p> <p>C) The car park is not suitable and cars will be parked on Ifield Drive.</p> <p>D) The Toilets are not suitable.</p> <p>E) The play area for swings etc regularly flood as this used to be a pond when the area was a farm.</p> <p>F) Being near Snell hatch is of no advantage.</p> <p>G) This is not a suitable site as it is in the middle of a residential area, near a busy main Road.</p> <p>H) You should look for a site to the East or North of Crawley perhaps near to the present Crematorium.</p>	<p>Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.</p>
Kessa Russell			<p>I would like to oppose the Ewhurst Playing fields being taken for use as a Cemetery for the following reasons.</p> <p>A) The loss of valuable for playing fields for the young and old.</p> <p>B) Open green space which is lacking in this area for the general public.</p> <p>C) The car park is not suitable and cars will be parked on Ifield Drive.</p> <p>D) The Toilets are not suitable.</p> <p>E) The play area for swings etc regularly flood as this used to be a pond when the area was a farm.</p> <p>F) Being near Snell hatch is of no advantage.</p> <p>G) This is not a suitable site as it is in the middle of a residential area, near a busy main Road.</p> <p>H) You should look for a site to the East or North of Crawley perhaps near to the present Crematorium.</p>	<p>Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.</p>
Mrs K Russell			<p>I would like to oppose the Ewhurst Playing fields being taken for use as a Cemetery for the following reasons.</p>	<p>Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5</p>

Cemetery Allocation – Ewhurst Playing Fields				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			<p>A) The loss of valuable for playing fields for the young and old.</p> <p>B) Open green space which is lacking in this area for the general public.</p> <p>C) The car park is not suitable and cars will be parked on Ifield Drive.</p> <p>D) The Toilets are not suitable.</p> <p>E) The play area for swings etc regularly flood as this used to be a pond when the area was a farm.</p> <p>F) Being near Snell hatch is of no advantage.</p> <p>G) This is not a suitable site as it is in the middle of a residential area, near a busy main Road.</p> <p>H) You should look for a site to the East or North of Crawley perhaps near to the present Crematorium.</p>	will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Ms S Russell			<p>I would like to oppose the Ewhurst Playing fields being taken for use as a Cemetery for the following reasons.</p> <p>A) The loss of valuable for playing fields for the young and old.</p> <p>B) Open green space which is lacking in this area for the general public.</p> <p>C) The car park is not suitable and cars will be parked on Ifield Drive.</p> <p>D) The Toilets are not suitable.</p> <p>E) The play area for swings etc regularly flood as this used to be a pond when the area was a farm.</p> <p>F) Being near Snell hatch is of no advantage.</p> <p>G) This is not a suitable site as it is in the middle of a residential area, near a busy main Road.</p> <p>H) You should look for a site to the East or North of Crawley perhaps near to the present Crematorium.</p>	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr DG Russell			<p>I would like to oppose the Ewhurst Playing fields being taken for use as a Cemetery for the following reasons.</p> <p>A) The loss of valuable for playing fields for the young and old.</p> <p>B) Open green space which is lacking in this area for the general public.</p> <p>C) The car park is not suitable and cars will be parked on Ifield Drive.</p> <p>D) The Toilets are not suitable.</p> <p>E) The play area for swings etc regularly flood as this used to be a pond when the area was a farm.</p>	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.

Cemetery Allocation – Ewhurst Playing Fields				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			<p>F) Being near Snell hatch is of no advantage.</p> <p>G) This is not a suitable site as it is in the middle of a residential area, near a busy main Road.</p> <p>H) You should look for a site to the East or North of Crawley perhaps near to the present Crematorium.</p>	
Miss M Russell			<p>I would like to oppose the Ewhurst Playing fields being taken for use as a Cemetery for the following reasons.</p> <p>A) The loss of valuable for playing fields for the young and old.</p> <p>B) Open green space which is lacking in this area for the general public.</p> <p>C) The car park is not suitable and cars will be parked on Ifield Drive.</p> <p>D) The Toilets are not suitable.</p> <p>E) The play area for swings etc regularly flood as this used to be a pond when the area was a farm.</p> <p>F) Being near Snell hatch is of no advantage.</p> <p>G) This is not a suitable site as it is in the middle of a residential area, near a busy main Road.</p> <p>H) You should look for a site to the East or North of Crawley perhaps near to the present Crematorium.</p>	<p>Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.</p>
R Bonis			<p>I would like to oppose the Ewhurst Playing fields being taken for use as a Cemetery for the following reasons.</p> <p>A) The loss of valuable for playing fields for the young and old.</p> <p>B) Open green space which is lacking in this area for the general public.</p> <p>C) The car park is not suitable and cars will be parked on Ifield Drive.</p> <p>D) The Toilets are not suitable.</p> <p>E) The play area for swings etc regularly flood as this used to be a pond when the area was a farm.</p> <p>F) Being near Snell hatch is of no advantage.</p> <p>G) This is not a suitable site as it is in the middle of a residential area, near a busy main Road.</p> <p>H) You should look for a site to the East or North of Crawley perhaps near to the present Crematorium.</p>	<p>Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.</p>
EJ Heed			<p>I would like to oppose the Ewhurst Playing fields being taken for use as a Cemetery for the following reasons.</p> <p>A) The loss of valuable for playing fields for the young and</p>	<p>Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery</p>

Cemetery Allocation – Ewhurst Playing Fields				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			<p>old.</p> <p>B) Open green space which is lacking in this area for the general public.</p> <p>C) The car park is not suitable and cars will be parked on Ifield Drive.</p> <p>D) The Toilets are not suitable.</p> <p>E) The play area for swings etc regularly flood as this used to be a pond when the area was a farm.</p> <p>F) Being near Snell hatch is of no advantage.</p> <p>G) This is not a suitable site as it is in the middle of a residential area, near a busy main Road.</p> <p>H) You should look for a site to the East or North of Crawley perhaps near to the present Crematorium.</p>	<p>in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.</p>
Mrs J Bovis			<p>I would like to oppose the Ewhurst Playing fields being taken for use as a Cemetery for the following reasons.</p> <p>A) The loss of valuable for playing fields for the young and old.</p> <p>B) Open green space which is lacking in this area for the general public.</p> <p>C) The car park is not suitable and cars will be parked on Ifield Drive.</p> <p>D) The Toilets are not suitable.</p> <p>E) The play area for swings etc regularly flood as this used to be a pond when the area was a farm.</p> <p>F) Being near Snell hatch is of no advantage.</p> <p>G) This is not a suitable site as it is in the middle of a residential area, near a busy main Road.</p> <p>H) You should look for a site to the East or North of Crawley perhaps near to the present Crematorium.</p>	<p>Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.</p>
Mrs M Baker			<p>I object most strongly to the Ewhurst Playing Fields being taken for use as a Cemetery. Whilst acknowledging that a Cemetery will be required in the Future this proposal has not been thought out, taking into account the needs of those residents who will have to live with the consequences.</p>	<p>Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.</p>
Mrs M Baker			<p>The field is in constant use for recreation at sport by all ages, and groups. Families use it for games, picnics etc. Dog walkers exercise their pets (and mostly clear up after them). School children walk across the field to and from school. Also pedestrians use it to access the footbridge over the A23.</p>	<p>Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of</p>

Cemetery Allocation – Ewhurst Playing Fields				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			School playing fields along I field Drive have been taken in recent years leaving no open space for children or adults in this part of Ifield.	the Local Plan process.
Mrs M Baker			Why does a new Cemetery have to be this side of Crawley? Surely sites could be looked at to the North. There would appear to be land vacant as one drives past eg towards Copthorne and the Balcombe Road.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs M Baker			Regarding toilet facilities the existing building would not be suitable.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs M Baker			The Car park is small and is used by school parents, visitors and residents. Extra parking would be required, and possible congestion caused in Ifield Drive.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs M Baker			We are told that 40 other sites were looked at but not the reason for their rejection. What were they?	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs M Baker			Contrary to the statement issued by the Council this field QQ flood. Where the children's play area is there historically was a natural pond, and every winter the area is flooded!	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
JM Evans			I would like to oppose the Ewhurst Playing fields being taken for use as a Cemetery for the following reasons. A) The loss of valuable for playing fields for the young and old.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery

Cemetery Allocation – Ewhurst Playing Fields				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			<p>B) Open green space which is lacking in this area for the general public.</p> <p>C) The car park is not suitable and cars will be parked on Ifield Drive.</p> <p>D) The Toilets are not suitable.</p> <p>E) The play area for swings etc regularly flood as this used to be a pond when the area was a farm.</p> <p>F) Being near Snell hatch is of no advantage.</p> <p>G) This is not a suitable site as it is in the middle of a residential area, near a busy main Road.</p> <p>H) You should look for a site to the East or North of Crawley perhaps near to the present Crematorium.</p>	space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr & Mrs P G Wall			We also disapprove of the new cemetery site being used on Ewhurst playing fields, again with the traffic on this road, the school, and the new flats used for the elderly is of little thought to them over looking what could be their final resting place.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
L Corsini			With regard to the cemetery site I consider it rather cruel and insensitive to propose a site right under the windows of a block of retirement homes where the occupants will be able to see it every day.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
J & RH Saunders			<p>Whilst we acknowledge the need for another cemetery, we are writing to oppose the conversion of Ewhurst Playing Field for this purpose. We urge you to consider the following points;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The area is exposed, lacking the seclusion preferred for the activity a site like this needs. An area on the outskirts of the town, still accessible by public transport, should be considered. 2. The field is a very popular and essential recreational area within a residential neighbourhood. 3. Part of the field floods at times, making it unsuitable for a burial area. <p>We trust you will consider these as very valid reasons to seek an alternative site.</p>	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr H Polkey			With regard to the cemetery site I think it should not be put in full view of the windows of a block of retirement homes	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5

Cemetery Allocation – Ewhurst Playing Fields				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			where the occupants will be able to see it every day.	will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
J Kite			Which brings me to the subject of “burial” site on Ewhurst playing fields. Right next to elderly residents homes?? Surely you’re not serious. A terrible decision indeed! Think again councillors, go outside Crawley if needs be. The deceased won’t object!	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr & Mrs Thornback			We object to the above because: - 1) This is a playing field and should be kept as such. There must be other sites that are more suitable.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr & Mrs Sharma			I would like to oppose the Ewhurst Playing fields being taken for use as a Cemetery for the following reasons. A) The loss of valuable for playing iields for the young and old. B) Open green space which is lacking in this area for the general public. C) The car park is not suitable and cars will be parked on Ifield Drive. D) The Toilets are not suitable. E) The play area for swings etc regularly flood as this used to be a pond when the area was a farm. F) Being near Snell hatch is of no advantage. G) This is not a suitable site as it is in the middle of a residential area, near a busy main Road. H) You should look for a site to the East or North of Crawley perhaps near to the present Crematorium.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr Brian Smith			I would like to make my objection to the proposed redevelopment of Ewhurst playing fields to a cemetery, for the following reasons:	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.

Cemetery Allocation – Ewhurst Playing Fields				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
Mr Brian Smith			At a time when government policy is to encourage greater sporting activity the council is planning to reduce facilities for both the youth and the committed footballing community.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr Brian Smith			The field is used every night for training at weekends for matches and throughout the day by dog walkers. At weekends it is used by many single parents who bring their children for a picnic.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr Brian Smith			The lower end of the field floods regularly (there was a pond and a stream running through the centre of the field in times past). The drainage is so poor that water flood onto the road so how can this be suitable for interment purposes?	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr Brian Smith			Parking is at a premium in the area - often the grass verge alongside the field is used, The Mardens is often completely blocked by parents cars delivering and collecting children they also use the playing fields car park. The new Elim Church is also extremely well attended the majority of the congregation arrive in cars and park all-round the church area. We also have a the retirement home adjacent to the playing field which has a considerable number of visitors. How would the bereaved feel without the opportunity to park - or indeed a hearse double parking to deliver the coffin?	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr Brian Smith			The mention that the site is near Snell Hatch is a red herring - driving is across the A23 and no closer than any where else in the town.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr Brian Smith			Crawley was planned to have green spaces throughout the town a considerable number have been sold -this is completely wrong!	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery

Cemetery Allocation – Ewhurst Playing Fields				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
				in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr Brian Smith			If Ewhurst playing field are redeveloped the cemetery will be totally inadequate for a rapidly developing town. This will require another cemetery elsewhere- surely we should plan now and provide far larger facilities outside the town to meet the current and future needs of the community	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Penelope Smith			I would like to make my objection to the proposed redevelopment of Ewhurst playing fields to a cemetery, for the following reasons:	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Penelope Smith			At a time when government policy is to encourage greater sporting activity the council is planning to reduce facilities for both the youth and the committed footballing community.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Penelope Smith			The field is used every night for training at weekends for matches and throughout the day by dog walkers. At weekends it is used by many single parents who bring their children for a picnic.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Penelope Smith			The lower end of the field floods regularly (there was a pond and a stream running through the centre of the field in times past). The drainage is so poor that water flood onto the road so how can this be suitable for interment purposes?	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Penelope Smith			Parking is at a premium in the area - often the grass verge alongside the field is used, The Mardens is often completely blocked by parents cars delivering and collecting children they also use the playing fields car park. The new Elim	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery

Cemetery Allocation – Ewhurst Playing Fields				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			Church is also extremely well attended the majority of the congregation arrive in cars and park all-round the church area. We also have a the retirement home adjacent to the playing field which has a considerable number of visitors. How would the bereaved feel without the opportunity to park - or indeed a hearse double parking to deliver the coffin?	space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Penelope Smith			The mention that the site is near Snell Hatch is a red herring - driving is across the A23 and no closer than any where else in the town.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Penelope Smith			Crawley was planned to have green spaces throughout the town a considerable number have been sold -this is completely wrong!	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Penelope Smith			If Ewhurst playing field are redeveloped the cemetery will be totally inadequate for a rapidly developing town. This will require another cemetery elsewhere- surely we should plan now and provide far larger facilities outside the town to meet the current and future needs of the community	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Jennifer Wilson	Environment Agency		Cemetery The proposed cemetery location is underlain by the Weald Clay. The clay contains a low permeability which indicates that there is a potentially low risk to groundwater. However, a risk assessment will be required. Additional information and guidance is provided in the following Environment Agency documents: · Assessing the Groundwater Pollution Potential of Cemetery Development; and · Groundwater Protection: Principals and Practice (GP3). These can be found on our website www.environment-agency.gov.uk .	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Adam Jasko			With regards to the cemetery, it seems shocking that the council have highlighted this as the “Only potential site”. It is	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5

Cemetery Allocation – Ewhurst Playing Fields				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			very well used by dog walkers, people playing football, children playing or simply for recreation. Where are these users meant to go instead? It is also in a built up neighbourhood with a very busy and noisy dual carriageway, hardly the place to mourn in silence for the deceased.	will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr David Sharp			I would like to oppose the Ewhurst Playing fields being taken for use as a Cemetery for the following reasons. A) The loss of valuable for playing fields for the young and old. B) Open green space which is lacking in this area for the general public. C) The car park is not suitable and cars will be parked on Ifield Drive. D) The Toilets are not suitable. E) The play area for swings etc regularly flood as this used to be a pond when the area was a farm. F) Being near Snell hatch is of no advantage. G) This is not a suitable site as it is in the middle of a residential area, near a busy main Road. H) You should look for a site to the East or North of Crawley perhaps near to the present Crematorium.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs LD Waterman			I would like to oppose the Ewhurst Playing fields being taken for use as a Cemetery for the following reasons. A) The loss of valuable for playing fields for the young and old. B) Open green space which is lacking in this area for the general public. C) The car park is not suitable and cars will be parked on Ifield Drive. D) The Toilets are not suitable. E) The play area for swings etc regularly flood as this used to be a pond when the area was a farm. F) Being near Snell hatch is of no advantage. G) This is not a suitable site as it is in the middle of a residential area, near a busy main Road. H) You should look for a site to the East or North of Crawley perhaps near to the present Crematorium.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mr Don Stephenson			As Ifield residents we would like to express our displeasure at the disgraceful proposed use of a public playing field for a Cemetery. Is this Crawley's Olympic legacy of "sport for all"?	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery

Cemetery Allocation – Ewhurst Playing Fields				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			<p>The Conservative government are forever telling us that we are becoming an obese nation and that our children, in particular, need more exercise. Can you honestly imagine that removing a very popular playing field is, in any way, going to help in achieving this objective. Not all families can afford to go to expensive gyms for their exercise.</p> <p>There are not many open spaces in Ifield and to remove one is ludicrous. When the new town was created these playing fields were to cater for the population then. The population has since grown and will continue to do so in the future and we cannot afford to lose these open spaces. They should be protected!!</p> <p>Instead of removing this facility, perhaps it should be enhanced with some picnic tables and benches. I am sure the elderly residents of Millfield Court, a retirement community which is adjacent to the playing fields, would appreciate some benches to sit on rather than having to look out on their next destination.</p> <p>To place a cemetery in a residential area is ridiculous. The Planning and Development Committee should hold their heads in shame to even consider such an idea.</p>	<p>in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.</p>
Mr WM Deacon			<p>PETITION 1339 SIGNATURES - WE BELIEVE THAT EWHURST PLAYING FIELDS ARE MORE THAN SPORTS PITCHES, THEY ALSO OFFER ATTRACTIVE OPEN GREEN SPACES, FOR LOCAL PEOPLE TO ENJOY, AND SHOULD REMAIN SO FOR THE FUTURE.</p>	<p>Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.</p>
Mrs I Wakeham			<p>I attended the Ifield community meeting on Monday at which the planning officer asked if we could come up with any other possible sites for a new cemetery.</p> <p>Looking on the internet, I found out that 10 councils including Manchester, which has the largest cemetery in the UK, are making their cemeteries nature reserves.</p> <p>I do not know if you have considered Gratton Park, entrance via St Mary's Drive in Three Bridges, as a possible site for a new cemetery.</p> <p>There is a very large field and two smaller ones. It has two of the same borders as Snell Hatch i.e. Railway line, road, pins the additional benefit of no houses.</p> <p>It is a beautiful peaceful place combining hedges and mature trees and I think that the cemetery and wildlife would</p>	<p>Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.</p>

Cemetery Allocation – Ewhurst Playing Fields				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			compliment each other. The site seems to have the serene atmosphere that could never be achieved at the Ewhurst site.	
Mrs P R Haworth			I attended the meeting at Ifield Community College last Monday and came away convinced that you weren't taking the remarks made seriously and also that you had not properly looked into some of the points raised.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs P R Haworth			Regarding the car parking, this car park is full every morning until about 9.30 and every afternoon between 3.00 and 4.00m during term time and yesterday was completely full all day. I wonder if during your investigations the fact that Lady Margaret's School is a faith school had been taken into account during your quest for a site for a new cemetery. Children attend this school from all over Crawley, hence the volume of traffic, which in turn makes the Ifield Drive/Ifield Avenue junction extremely busy.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs P R Haworth			At a time when we here so much about obese children it is ludicrous that you are considering taking away a playing field that is used by organised groups of young children every weekend and most light evenings. I understand from a dog walker friend that this site is under water at times.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs P R Haworth			I would like to know how you intend to transport coffins and mourners from the car park to the proposed site? Surely this will mean a tarmac road and further car parking across the field.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs P R Haworth			I would also like to know the date when this idea could have been noticed on a search during conveyancing.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.

Cemetery Allocation – Ewhurst Playing Fields				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
Mrs P R Haworth			Have you considered a site at the top of Tolgate Hill which I understand is owned by the Council and various sites around the Industrial Estate.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs P R Haworth			Lastly, this is a retirement home and some of the residents here will be looking at hearses entering the field. Very insensitive to say the least. This playing field was put here for the living not the dead.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs CA Henriques			I am writing to you as my Ifield Councillor to make you aware of my opposition to the change of use of Ewhurst playing fields in Ifield to a cemetery as described in the Crawley 2029, Additional Sites Allocation Consultation Documentation.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs CA Henriques			I have already made my objections known to the forward planning department and have also signed a petition against this proposal.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs CA Henriques			The reports in the local Press are erroneous in saying that the fields are not much used. They are well used every day of the week. Another point I should like to make is that in the winter or during wet weather the drainage is very poor and much of the field get waterlogged. I have observed these conditions when I take my dog there for our walks.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs CA Henriques			I believe that we need to keep all of Crawley's green spaces "green" for those who live here now, and for our future generations. Surely if the previous planners designated these places before the town's population expanded to it's present number, then we most certainly need to keep them for the future residents of Crawley, which will surely have increased even more.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.

Cemetery Allocation – Ewhurst Playing Fields				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
Peter Smith			Can you confirm the list of alternative sites that were considered for the cemetery, please? Was it the list presented to the Local Plan working group on 9 th May, or was this just alternative traveller/housing sites?	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Peter Smith			One suggestion that I have received is land to the East of the railway in Pound Hill. There is already a small car park on St Mary's drive by the railway bridge and a large space heading north alongside the railway, bounded by Crawley Avenue to the North and Grattons Park to the east. This area is already protected from flooding by work to the north and it would appear to be large enough and remote enough.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Peter Smith			Another option would be land in the North East sector, perhaps even in Forge Wood abutting up to the crematorium? This could then utilise the existing access from the Balcombe road. It would have the advantage of already being in a wooded	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
David Sharpe & Family			Soil and Drainage. Local residents have known for years that these fields become waterlogged so much so that water is regularly seen to be pouring out of the field into Ifield Drive. On many occasions the drains along Ifield Drive only just cope with the flow of water. In addition, is the Council aware that the field used to have a pond located where the children's playground area is located? With this amount of water logging has appropriate advice been taken on the possibility of contaminated water from the proposed cemetery not only leaching into the ground water, but also into the still existent moat located at the site of Ewhurst Place opposite the playground in Ifield Drive?	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
David Sharpe & Family			The sites proximity to Snell Hatch Cemetery in West Green This does not have any relevance to this site or any other site chosen within Crawley or South East England as most people travel by car or use public transport.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.

Cemetery Allocation – Ewhurst Playing Fields				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
David Sharpe & Family			The use of existing car Park This car park is full twice a day (up to 60 cars each time) as parents' park here whilst dropping off and collecting their children from St Margaret's School situated in the Mardens. Also, the car park of Elim Church is also used by parents which is adjacent to the Ewhurst field car park is also full with (up to 30 cars each time) this is still not enough space as the grass verge outside the church is used as a parking area (up to 10 cars each time) Also, the residents of Millfield Court have problems with people using their car park at school times. In addition, the Ewhurst field car park is full 3 nights a week and most weekends by people using the football pitches together with local people who use the field for personal recreation. When all of the above car parking space is used the overspill vehicles can be seen to be parked on grass verges along Ifield Drive and Ardingly Close.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
David Sharpe & Family			The existing traffic conditions along Ifield Drive The existing traffic conditions along Ifield Drive do not seem to have been considered in this proposed change of use. Every morning and evening traffic is jammed up from the mini roundabout at the junction of Ifield Avenue and Ifield Drive all the way past the Ewhurst playing field car park that is going to be designated for the cemetery. This traffic flow is set to increase with the building of 125 houses on the old Ifield Community College site in St Margaret's Road as West Sussex Council has refused access to the A23 their only option will be to use Ifield Drive. At present access to Ifield Drive from Ardingly Close and Findon Road is difficult and will become more problematic, as will the fumes and noise pollution from all these extra vehicles.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
David Sharpe & Family			Existing toilet on site. The existing toilets on site are not suitable and will need renovating. You also state that there is an option that some of the playing fields could be retained. Does this mean that there will be a sharing of the facilities by those using the sports field for changing and those people visiting the cemetery?	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
David Sharpe & Family			50 year period use as a cemetery Finally, you state the site has enough space to accommodate	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5

Cemetery Allocation – Ewhurst Playing Fields				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			<p>burials for the next 50 years. This seems to me a short term solution for a long term problem.</p> <p>My reasoning for this is as follows. Snell Hatch Cemetery was opened in 1925 and the expected closure is in 2 years time, as burial space has been predicted to run out by then. So by 2015 Snell Hatch would be closed after being open for 90 years.</p> <p>This is not only a Crawley dilemma but a national one. In 2007 Harriet Harman the then Government Justice Minister said all designated burial space in England and Wales will be full in 30 years. As it's now 2013 all burial space in England and Wales will be full in 24 years.</p> <p>Yet the present day planners of Crawley Borough Council want to close down a very active sports field and much valued green space which is used not only by residents in Ifield but also by people who live in Langley Green and West Green which will only be available for 50 years as a cemetery.</p> <p>Please tell me where the forward planning is in this decision... The planners have determined that the 'life span' of its new cemetery will be 40 years less than its old one!</p> <p>This then raises the future question of which Crawley green field site in 2065 will the Council have to use then?</p> <p>With this in mind, the solution is clear; the only site for a new cemetery which can be used longer than 50 years is outside the borough of Crawley.</p> <p>We need to keep all of Crawley's green spaces "green" for future generations. If it was right for the previous planners to designate these green places as the town's population expanded, we most certainly need to keep them for the future residents of Crawley.</p>	will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Sean Keleher			<p>Ewhurst Road playing fields is a highly used piece of land by local football teams. Crawley United have ages from 6 or 7 year olds up to senior side practicing and playing at the fields all throughout the football season and extra training in between keeping the kids occupied, which is more to be said about other pieces of playing fields in the town. Please review the thought of Ewhurst playing fields of being the land for the cemetery.</p>	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.

Cemetery Allocation – Ewhurst Playing Fields				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
Eunice Clement			Can the planners really be sure that the demand for burials (apart from those for religious purposes) will be high enough to necessitate setting aside such an attractive and well-used piece of land? The trend is towards cremation which is more environmentally satisfying. I am not convinced that it would be impossible to find sufficient land in the area of the crematorium or elsewhere on the margins of the town. The argument in favour of land near Snell Hatch was totally unconvincing - how often do we have to make this journey? Let's follow the example of the memorial gardens - natural beauty rather than an accumulation of gravestones.	Comments noted. The allocation of a cemetery site in the Local Plan is not being progressed at this stage. Policy IN5 will however continue to support the provision of a cemetery in a suitable location. The provision of additional cemetery space will continue to be pursued by the council outside of the Local Plan process.
Mrs VJ Scully			I have been a Crawley resident since 1951 and both of my children were born at Crawley Hospital and live here. My parents also both still live in Crawley and are now in their late 80's and early 90's. We are three generations of Crawley people and are all outraged at the recent proposal to build a cemetery on Ewhurst Playing fields and would like to register our very strong objections.	Objections noted.
Mrs VJ Scully			To take away another green space in the middle of a busy neighbourhood makes no sense, it is used by residents of Ifield, Langley Green and West Green. We are surrounded and swamped by houses back to back, I understand the need for growth and progress but we need to keep Ewhurst playing fields for our children, our sports, our elderly residents to walk around in safety and for our family fun. To even consider taking away this precious piece of green space is incomprehensible.	Noted. See above
Mrs VJ Scully			What amazes me is that someone could believe that people of Crawley would actually want to be buried in the middle of a busy neighbourhood, next to a very noisy A23 and congested roundabout. Everyone I have spoken to has been horrified that this area, as a Crawley resident, may be our future 'place of rest'. Can you also imagine how awful it would be visiting your family and friends in the cemetery, to have to make your way to the centre of Crawley and then listen to the roaring of the traffic and sirens of police cars and ambulances as they dash up and down the A23. This is not a place of tranquillity and peace! I live in The Dingle, parallel to the A23 and I can confirm the level of traffic noise throughout the day, I am also minute away from Snell Hatch cemetery and can confirm the	Noted. See above

Cemetery Allocation – Ewhurst Playing Fields				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
Mrs VJ Scully			<p>amount of traffic and congestion a funeral can make, added to the busy traffic around Ewhurst playing fields, with the school, church, retirement home, and local shops, I can't think of a more unsuitable area for a cemetery.</p> <p>You must look at those sights on the outskirts of Crawley again, eg Outreach 3 Way, a perfect location. Crawley is surrounded by beautiful, peaceful open spaces how can you even compare Ewhurst playing fields with that. How wonderful it would be, to not only be laid to rest in such an area but also to visit our loved ones in such a tranquil environment, we would also not have to cope with the heavy traffic and congestion in central Crawley. I realise this comes down to finances, however on such an important issue, Crawley Borough Council are obligated and should be committed to doing the right thing for it's community, they owe the people of Crawley a safe, peaceful haven in which to lay their loved ones to rest.</p>	Noted. See above.

Topic 9: Historic Parks & Gardens

Historic Parks & Gardens					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
Bev Clayden			Burley's Wood	<p>I am concerned about the proposal to remove Burley's Wood from the historical records as per the Additional Allocation consultation. The survey states.....</p> <p>Burley's Wood and Ifield Park are currently designated as Historic Parks and Gardens. Since being designated developments around both sites have resulted in many of the historical features being removed. After considering the quality and standard of other six Historic Parks and Gardens in the town, the council would like to remove their designation. This would mean they would no longer be Historic Park and Gardens, but other Local Plan policies would protect their key features.....</p> <p>Could you clarify how their key features would be protected as many residents enjoy using this area especially the dog walkers in the community. I strongly feel that any historic site should be protected as we are losing too many historic sites throughout the UK. Would CBC still maintain the area if it lost its status?</p>	<p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>CBC would still maintain the area.</p>
Mr & Mrs Allan			Burley's Wood	<p>we are writing to you to express our disappointment and outrage at the proposal to remove the current Historic Park & garden designation on Burley's Wood.</p> <p>The letter received from the council stated that 'Since being designated, developments around the site have resulted in many of the historical features being removed' (if you have a copy of the letter sent, you will notice that I have amended the grammatical errors).</p> <p>We have lived on this estate for the last 21 years and other than a Metal Lion statue (which was quickly removed), we recall no other changes to the stated area. So we are confused as to why it is felt that this status should now be removed? Can you confirm what has changed since the 1980's; we can find no reference or supporting documentation on the council's site to answer this question?</p> <p>The 'Officers recommendations paper' states that</p>	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p>

Historic Parks & Gardens					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
				<p>the original status was placed during the 1980's and that the M23 was put in long before in the 1960's; so in the report how can something that has remain unchanged since the 1980's no longer have any historical features to conserve. It also mentions that there is no access or connection to the old estate; there is a clear underpass that still connects the two areas and that is used by equestrians based in Crabbet park estate (which is part of the history of this piece of land!). Surely the fact that there is still an equestrian centre on the Crabbet park estate and that these people continue to freely use this land with their horses is proof that the history of the old estate continues today.</p> <p>It is very clear to identify (at all times of the year) that there are many great trees that line access to what would have been one of the access routes to the old estate.</p> <p>We understand that this phase of the 2029 work is to review 'Site Allocation'; BUT we don't believe for one moment that this is anything more than the council looking at possible sites for future development and to state otherwise is an insult to the constituents of Crawley.</p> <p>It is very clear to us that this area has a very clear historic value that should be retained for the future history of 'Old Crawley' which is now become unrecognisable. More and more open green spaces in Crawley are being taken away and removed for development; this town's resident can no longer sit back and allow the remaining space and historic areas to be taken for fat cat developers to take and ruin. Once land has been taken for development its gone and will never return; do we really want to allow another area of historic value to be taken away for good?</p> <p>On the consultation letter it states all comments made about the proposed sites will be a matter of public record and published on "our website". Can you confirm when and how we will search for this information?</p> <p>As a public body you should demonstrate transparency during this process such as a real-time summary of the results of the online</p>	

Historic Parks & Gardens					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
				<p>questionnaire which are available during the consultation process. For example the central government have a similar scheme.</p> <p>It is also disappointing that the council who have a team of staff and consultants have had months to prepare their recommendations, whilst we are provided less than a month to research and raise any objections. This timeframe does not take in account people who working fulltime, families or holidays.</p> <p>We look forward to your comments and hopefully answers to our questions. We also look forward to your support to ensure that this small piece of land (in the scheme of things) is retained for historic and recreational purposes for the future generations of Crawley.</p>	
Barbara & Kelvin Pattison			Burley's Wood	<p>It has been brought to our attention that CBC are considering taking away protected status from Burleys Wood – I am presuming that the ulterior motive for this will be at some point to build yet more houses in what is already an overcrowded area – particularly the already heavy traffic that passes through Pound Hill.</p> <p>I for one do object to this status as does my husband and I'm sure more people in the area would object too – that is if we were told about it – I think that the way this has been done is very sneaky and underhand – I will be contacting the RSPB to see if they have any concerns over this – as the area is already short of woods and the trees etc are shelter for birds and wildlife. The whole area of around the top part of Pound Hill is a very historical place and should be left as a tribute to what has gone on in the past.</p>	<p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>Policy ENV2 seeks conservation of areas valued for their biodiversity.</p>
W & M Bennett			Burley's Wood	<p>We would like to put our name to the petition to protect Burleys Wood, areas like this near residential areas are essential for the use of residents and families and need preservation – too many wild areas are being developed.</p>	As above
Sean Keleher			Burley's Wood	<p>Burleys Wood at the end of Sedgefield Close (one of many entrances) should always be kept as a green area for local dog walkers and an area for children to play in. I this was in any way thought of as a piece of land to develop on I would fiercely</p>	As above

Historic Parks & Gardens					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
				defend land that is clearly being used by the community. I have just taught my youngest son over this weekend how to ride his bike on a safe piece of land close to where we live. There are less and less areas like this and should be left alone for everyone to enjoy.	
Jo Stovold	Ifield Park		Ifield Park	Thank you for your letter. I think the consultation letter made its intentions perfectly clear, and we worjkingat Ifield Park had no concerns whatsoever!! I have already met with Tom Nutt to discuss the historic parks and gardens designation and am very happy with the councils proposals.	Comments noted.
Isabel Baker			Ifield Park	Please can you confirm that you are objecting to the proposed plan to remove the "historic Park" designation from Ifield Park and supporting the plans to ensure that Ifield Brook Meadows remain as green open space. If not, how can you support the extension of Ifield Village Conversation but ignore these other two issues?? There is much of historical interest at this end of Ifield too.	Whilst the site was once part of a larger area of land that formed a pleasure ground and park-like meadowlands, the area has changed considerably with much of the land having being developed between 1930s-1950s. Some original trees remain but the site has lost much of its historic interest. It is therefore proposed that this area is not identified as an historic park in the Local Plan. However, any areas of valued landscaping or specific trees will be reflected in planning policy.
Rod Horton			Ifield Park	As a resident of the Millbank I have received a letter from the Development and Resource Directorate regarding the removal of Historic Park status from Ifield Park. I am writing to you to seek your support, as our Councillors, in rejecting the proposal to remove this status from Ifield Park. I do understand that many of the historic features have been lost over the years, so even more reason to protect what remains. I see that the CBC document states that the park has existed since the mid 19th century, and that is correct in its present size, however it has actually been in existence since 1453 and deserves to keep its current status, we have diminishing history in the town and it would be criminal to allow part of our heritage that is 560 years old to be open to future planning consents, which is why after all that so many of the original features have been lost in the first place! The CBC own websites state the following;	The Sussex Gardens Trust undertook the research on Crawley's Historic Parks/Gardens that has informed the proposed designations/de-designations as part of the Sites Allocation Consultation. Their research goes back to 1860 which pinpoints when a 'gentlemens residence' was built including stables, outbuildings surrounded by lawns and trees (25acres). This research shows that there is a longer history to the site as part of a much larger Ifield Park Estate. However, It seems that the area in question was a small undeveloped part of this much larger estate which was then transformed around 1860 into a new residence and landscaped gardens. It is unlikely that the history of the site pre 1860 as part of the much larger estate bears any resemblance to the character of the site which exists now. It is likely that the 1860 development and landscaping led to it being designated as an historic garden. I think this

Historic Parks & Gardens Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
				<p>Main site, Ifield sub-section they state; "Ifield is one of the most historic areas of the town and is mentioned in the Domesday Book of 1086." In their Parks and Gardens Section they say; "Crawley Borough Council is committed to the promotion, conservation and sustainable use of natural resources, and we want to ensure that Crawley's natural environment is protected and maintained and new wildlife habitats are created in our parks and open spaces, where possible. Residents and visitors alike have an important part to play in the conservation and protection of our local wildlife." So the current proposal flies in the face of its own stated aims. I presume that you are intimate with the site and are aware of the abundance of wildlife that exists there, Tawny Owls, Green and Greater Spotted Woodpeckers and bats to name but a few. I assume, perhaps wrongly, that having Historic status attached costs the council nothing in monetary terms so why remove it? On the face of it to lose this status seems innocuous but, the realist within me says, of course what this does do is open the area up to development in the future, and this I presume is the main reason for the current move. Having looked at the proposals for 2029, when completing the online questionnaire, I see that there are proposals to develop relatively small plots of land throughout the town, removing other natural oases from our habitat. I am at a loss to understand this strategy for we have vast tracts of brownfield land that is either tired and needs re developing or, as in the case of parts of the industrial estate actually derelict. I see that the council proposes redevelopment of the Stevenson Way site, this has a natural link to Three Bridges and Furnace Green, why not go further and develop Spindle Way also, as a further development attached to the old Leisure Centre site. Both of these areas would give opportunity for significant development rather than tinkering with pockets of land here and there and the council should push these forward with haste. Obviously the businesses there need "re homing"</p>	<p>would be why the Sussex Gardens Trust chose to include historical research from 1860 although I'm sure they are aware that it was part of the much larger estate referred to by the resident which covered much of the west side of Crawley and Rusper area. Interestingly the new residence took on the name Ifield Park which could cause confusion with the much larger estate of Ifield Park that pre-dates it. Protection of open space will be through: Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance. Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities. Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible. See Tree policy CH6: New development should retain individual specimens or groups of trees that make a positive contribution to visual amenity. Additional tree planting will also be required to mitigate the visual impact of the intensification of buildings, hard surfacing parking and boundary treatments.</p>

Historic Parks & Gardens					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
				<p>and that naturally could be on the industrial estate where there are vast areas of "bombsite" currently.</p> <p>I make no apology for the length of this email for I do feel strongly about the loss of our heritage, this park has been in existence for 560 years and has an abundance of wildlife that will be lost if redeveloped in the future. I know that CBC states that significant trees will be protected. It is that that would be seen to be less significant that is also important, the shrubbery, hazels, chestnut and hawthorn, those that would be lost often house this fauna, and of course these are the very items that would be destroyed as we have seen in the past on developed sites.</p>	
Catherine Chamberlin			Ifield Park	<p>This morning I received a letter from a Rod Horton of The Millbank drawing my attention to disturbing plans being drawn up by CBC.</p> <p>At his suggestion I have just completed the Questionnaire (though lied about my age as OAPs usually get disregarded).</p> <p>I have only this week heard about Henry Smith MP's visit to Ifield Green to be shown by Ifield Conservation how close to us the second runway would be. That was bad enough but now I hear about Housing and Gypsy sites on my doorstep which is frightening. I need to feel safe in Ifield for the rest of my life.</p> <p>Please make Ifield a better place to live. You only have to look at the poorly maintained gardens and mountains of litter to see how the area is deteriorating with every year that goes by. Further developments will only make it worse.</p>	Comment noted.
Michelle Baillie			Ifield Park	<p>I have been told that Crawley Borough Council has sent letters to residents who, like me, live in Ifield, regarding a potential change in designation for Ifield Park, leaving it open to future plans for potential developments.</p> <p>As our local councillors' you know as well as we do, the pressure that Ifield is already under regarding traffic flow, pressure on utilities etc and a potential development or travellers site will only exacerbate this situation. One of the reasons that many people like me moved to Ifield is because of the beautiful countryside not far from out home,</p>	<p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p>

Historic Parks & Gardens					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
				<p>and the thought that the designation will be changed in favour of potential future money generating developments is a travesty and completely wrong.</p> <p>Please can you advise what your view is on the situation and, ideally, what you will be doing to help Ifield residents to preserve this beautiful bit of countryside.</p>	<p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>Policy ENV2 seeks conservation of areas valued for their biodiversity.</p> <p>See Tree policy CH6: New development should retain individual specimens or groups of trees that make a positive contribution to visual amenity. Additional tree planting will also be required to mitigate the visual impact of the intensification of buildings, hard surfacing parking and boundary treatments.</p>
David Smith			Ifield Park	<p>As a person who was born in Crawley before World War 2,I have seen the disappearance of many beautiful old houses,buildings and open spaces, with much sadness. The New Towns Commission had a policy to retain as many open spaces in Crawley as possible.</p> <p>This proposal for Ifield Park appears to go against any of those original principles, also against the Crawley Borough Councils committment for the promotion of conservation of our natural resources.</p> <p>In Ifield Park and surrounding land there is abundant wild life ,which includes foxes,bats,green and greater spotted woodpeckers,sparrowhawks also tawny owls.</p> <p>The trees in this area of parkland should have a preservation order placed upon them,as there are Sequoiadendron (Redwoods) Pinus Sylvestres (Scots Pine) amongst the other trees that surround this beautiful area.</p> <p>I do understand the need for more housing,but this small pocket of land ,if devoloped would add undue traffic burden upon Rusper Road.,and should Horshan District Council develop the land of Ifield Golf Club in the future ,as has been mooted,this would axacerbate the situation.</p> <p>This will probably not happen during my time ,but I feel the main reason for removing the historic designation is to release this area for permission to develop,and.I would therefore like to think that I</p>	<p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>Policy ENV2 seeks conservation of areas valued for their biodiversity.</p> <p>See Tree policy CH6: New development should retain individual specimens or groups of trees that make a positive contribution to visual amenity. Additional tree planting will also be required to mitigate the visual impact of the intensification of buildings, hard surfacing parking and boundary treatments.</p>

Historic Parks & Gardens					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
				had played a role in preserving this beautiful part of Ifield, for the future generations	
Mrs Tapp			Ifield Park	I have recently discovered that Crawley Borough Council are seeking the removal of Historic Park and Garden designation, Ifield Park. As a resident in Ifield I am emailing to ask for this to be reconsidered. Ifield is one of the most historic areas of the town and by removing the park status I am concerned that the area could be redeveloped, resulting in the destruction of the natural habitat for plants and animals.	<p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>Policy ENV2 seeks conservation of areas valued for their biodiversity.</p> <p>See Tree policy CH6: New development should retain individual specimens or groups of trees that make a positive contribution to visual amenity. Additional tree planting will also be required to mitigate the visual impact of the intensification of buildings, hard surfacing parking and boundary treatments.</p>
Isabel Baker			Ifield Park	Please can you confirm that you are objecting to the proposed plan to remove the "historic Park" designation from Ifield Park and supporting the plans to ensure that Ifield Brook Meadows remain as green open space. If not, how can you support the extension of Ifield Village Conversation but ignore these other two issues?? There is much of historical interest at this end of Ifield too.	Whilst the site was once part of a larger area of land that formed a pleasure ground and park-like meadowlands, the area has changed considerably with much of the land having being developed between 1930s-1950s. Some original trees remain but the site has lost much of its historic interest. It is therefore proposed that this area is not identified as an historic park in the Local Plan. However, any areas of valued landscaping or specific trees will be reflected in planning policy.
Rob Horton			Ifield Park	PETITION: 102 signatures This park has existed since 1453 (not the mid-19 th Century as stated on the council website) and as such must be one of the oldest Parks in Crawley so deserving to keep its current status, we have diminishing history in the town and it would be criminal to allow part of our heritage that is 560	The Sussex Gardens Trust undertook the research on Crawley's Historic Parks/Gardens that has informed the proposed designations/de-designations as part of the Sites Allocation Consultation. Their research goes back to 1860 which pinpoints when a 'gentlemens residence' was built including stables,

Historic Parks & Gardens Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
				<p>years old to be open to future planning consents, which is why after all that so many of the original features have been lost in the first place!</p> <p>The CBC own website states the following; Main site, lfield sub-section; "lfield is one of the most historic areas of the town and is mentioned in the Domesday Book of 1086." In their Parks and Gardens Section they say; "Crawley Borough Council is committed to the promotion, conservation and sustainable use of natural resources, and we want to ensure that Crawley's natural environment is protected and maintained and new wildlife habitats are created in our parks and open spaces, where possible. Residents and visitors_ alike have an important part to play in the conservation and protection of our local wildlife." So the current proposal flies in the face of its own stated aims. There is an abundance of wildlife that exists here, Tawny Owls, Green and Greater Spotted Woodpeckers and bats to name but a few. We assume, perhaps wrongly, that having Historic status attached costs the council nothing in monetary terms so why remove it? On the face of it to lose this status seems innocuous but the reality is that once this designation is lost it opens the area up to development in the future, and this we presume is the main reason for the current move. We have vast tracts of brownfield land that is either tired and needs redeveloping or, as in the case of parts of the industrial estate actually derelict. For example we see that the council proposes redevelopment of the Stevenson Way site, this has a natural link to Three Bridges and Furnace Green, why not go further and develop Spindle Way also, as a further development attached to the old Leisure Centre site. Both of these areas would give opportunity for significant development rather than tinkering with pockets of land here and there and the council should push these forward with haste. Obviously the businesses there need "re homing" and that naturally could be on the industrial estate where</p>	<p>outbuildings surrounded by lawns and trees (25acres). This research shows that there is a longer history to the site as part of a much larger lfield Park Estate. However, It seems that the area in question was a small undeveloped part of this much larger estate which was then transformed around 1860 into a new residence and landscaped gardens. It is unlikely that the history of the site pre 1860 as part of the much larger estate bears any resemblance to the character of the site which exists now. It is likely that the 1860 development and landscaping led to it being designated as an historic garden. I think this would be why the Sussex Gardens Trust chose to include historical research from 1860 although I'm sure they are aware that it was part of the much larger estate referred to by the resident which covered much of the west side of Crawley and Rusper area. Interestingly the new residence took on the name lfield Park which could cause confusion with the much larger estate of lfield Park that pre-dates it. Protection of open space will be through: Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance. Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013) ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities. Policy ENV2: Biodiversity ensures that development minimises its impact on biodiversity and provides net gains where possible. See Tree policy CH6: New development should retain individual specimens or groups of trees that make a positive contribution to visual amenity.</p>

Historic Parks & Gardens					
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Site Name	Comments	CBC Response
				<p>there are vast areas of "bombsite" currently. We feel strongly about the loss of our heritage, this park has been in existence for 560 years and has an abundance of wildlife that will be lost if redeveloped in the future. I know that CBC states that significant trees will be protected. It is that that would be seen to be less significant that is also important, the shrubbery, hazels, chestnut and hawthorn, those that would be lost often house this fauna, and of course these are the very items that would be destroyed as we have seen in the past on developed sites.</p> <p>Please listen to the people who have taken the time and trouble, and feel strongly enough, to add their names to this petition.</p> <p>We the undersigned want Crawley Borough Council to: Drop the proposal to remove Historical site designation from this site</p>	<p>Additional tree planting will also be required to mitigate the visual impact of the intensification of buildings, hard surfacing parking and boundary treatments.</p>
WM Constable			Tilgate Park	<p>Thank you for the letter regarding the additional sites allocation consultation. I am very interested as I have known Tilgate since 1926. I lived here as a child and now have lived at the above address for the past 45 years. I have many happy memories. This house adjoins the walled garden and I remember the lovely fruit that was grown and later sent to Covent Garden. Mr. Nix (the then owner) was also well known in the horticultural world and thanks to him we have some beautiful trees and shrubs to enjoy. Every day I can see the pleasure all the people, especially the children get when they visit the nature centre too. The gardeners here today still keep the whole area including the lake looking nice and I do hope very much that it will be designated a historic park and garden for many more years.</p>	<p>Support for extension to Tilgate Historic Park is noted.</p>

Topic 10: Local Green Space – Ifield Brook Meadows

Local Green Space – Ifield Brook Meadows				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
RJ & PM Warren			We are very much in favour of measures being taken to preserve this space for the future of Crawley and see your proposal as a positive step.	Support noted
RJ & PM Warren			The land with its high environmental value, (which is very much enhanced by the stream) and as a habitat for wildlife (much of which is shy and much threatened by urban development) is very highly valued by Crawley residents.	Support noted
RJ & PM Warren			Generally speaking any loss of habitat is bad for wildlife, we have seen deer, foxes and rabbits on this land, sparrow hawks and cuckoos have perched in trees in our garden. Bluetits, robins, blackbirds and others nesting and rearing young on my property hunt for food on this land whilst owls, nightingales, bats and kingfishers make their home there.	Noted.
RJ & PM Warren			We hope that the proposal is accepted into the new Local Plan for the period 2014-2029.	Support noted
Mr & Mrs SP & BK Hartwell			We feel that the above site should be made into a Designated Green Space as it is planned that Horsham Council will build high density housing the other side of the Ifield Brook. It is essential for all to have some green space and this would, no doubt, be enjoyed by all (a 'green oasis' in a 'concrete jungle').	Support noted
Mr & Mrs SP & BK Hartwell			More importantly, however, is the fact that this area has always been a flood plain and with the volume of rainfall we have experienced over the last 3 years we feel that anything proposed in this space would be prone to flooding, especially if the Horsham housing goes ahead - no matter how good a new drainage system could be.	Comments noted.
Darryl Hemmings	West Sussex County Council		WSSCC Countryside Team through its ecologist post has responsibility for the West Sussex Sites of Nature Conservation Importance Initiative. This involves designating, monitoring and promoting conservation and enhancement of such sites. The proposed designation of the site as a Local Green Space is therefore supported.	Support noted

Topic 11: Local Green Space – Other Possible Sites

Local Green Space – Other Possible Sites				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
Sharon Harris			<p>I would just like to echo Sylvia Handy's email sent to you of 17 May. As you know from consultations that you have been involved with, we have a strong sense of Community around the green space of Ely Close. This area is so much more than waste land which has been so wrongly described. It is everything Sylvia has said - I know the powers that be, believe its just about not wanting housing/development, but it is one of the characteristics of an area we have grown to love & like Sylvia says the tranquillity of a much appreciated green lung. I myself will often watch from my bedroom window either children playing or the odd Fox - it is just so lovely & the main reason I brought my house.</p> <p>On a more practical note the access if a Development were to take place would be horrendous, creating not only congestion but Health & Safety issues, not only for the existing residence, but also for the new comers.</p>	<p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.</p>
Jackie Littleton			<p>I am writing to express my interest in the designation of green spaces in Crawley.</p> <p>I live in an area of Tilgate backing on to the field in Ely Close, which has recently been targeted for development. This field is regarded as highly important to those who live around it and in the local vicinity of, as it provides a much needed breathing space between our neighbours and an escape from the busy roads.</p> <p>Along with many other areas in Crawley, fields like these are important for the health and well-being of those living in the area. With the ever-changing structures of the town and the increasing population in the south of England, particularly in Crawley, areas such as these are vital to the community, to enable people to live in harmony with one another. Populations are being crammed in to neighbourhoods, bringing with it all the normal things like increases in noise pollution, vehicles and other day-to-day living noise etc. I feel it is very important for current and new residents to have space between them, hence why there are pockets of these</p>	<p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.</p>

Local Green Space – Other Possible Sites				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			<p>fields around currently.</p> <p>I urge you please, to include Ely Close field in your plans for the designation of Green Spaces and also to include further areas like these in the future plans for Crawley itself. After all, we are not all lucky enough to live somewhere with acres of green space around us!</p>	
Mrs Audrey Lindo			<p>In my opinion the area (Land adjacent Langley Walk & Burlands) should be protected and preserved as local green space, thereby promoting and protecting the environment for Crawley residents.</p>	<p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.</p>
Sylvia Handy			<p>My interest in green spaces relates to the need for relief from the hard landscaping of roads, houses, and cars. We need the soft landscaping of shrubs, trees and grass. In Tilgate we are tightly packed, mainly in terraces without garages, with narrow roads which are choked with parked vehicles. The green spaces we have between rows of houses are special and valued, giving us a green lung - good to see and good to breathe.</p> <p>One such space is Ely Close field, and I would like to suggest that as a Local Green Space. The recent campaign to save it from development was supported by 360 people. This field has been used as a recreation space since the 1950s, and as a safe place for children to play under the watchful eye of their parents.</p> <p>It is surrounded by houses, so it is in very close proximity to the community, it is special because of its recreational value</p>	<p>Protection of open space will be through:</p> <p>Policy ENV3 for those spaces demonstrably special to the local community and hold particular local significance.</p> <p>Policy ENV4 to ensure that any loss of open space is fully justified to be surplus to ensure valued sites are protected. This is underpinned by The Crawley Borough Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (2013) and the Playing Pitch Study for Crawley Borough Council (2013)</p> <p>ENV5 to deliver open space to support growth of the town and mitigate impacts on existing facilities.</p> <p>All responses to this question have been noted and assessed whether it meets NPPF criteria para 77 or whether the Local Plan draft policies on open space and character (such as CH3, ENV1 and ENV4) provide the appropriate level of protection.</p>

Local Green Space – Other Possible Sites				
Name	Organisation	On behalf of	Comments	CBC Response
			and tranquillity, and it is local in character and not extensive. The NPPF Local Green Space designation should be used to protect land like this. Please include Ely Close field in the Local Plan.	